



Roseville Electric Building, 116 S. Grant Street, 1st Floor

roseville.ca.gov

The City of Roseville welcomes your participation.

Meeting Schedule: Regular meetings of the Board of Appeals are held on the second Tuesday of the month at 9:00 a.m. and the fourth Thursday of the month at 3:00 p.m.

Public Comment: Speakers have three (3) minutes under Public Comment to address the Chair of the meeting on issues that are not listed on the agenda and are within the City's jurisdiction. Please submit a yellow speaker card to the Secretary before the item is heard if you wish to make a comment.

Brown Act: According to the Brown Act, the Board of Appeals is prohibited from taking any action, debating, or discussing items not listed on the agenda.

Agenda Items: Speakers have five (5) minutes to address items that are listed on the agenda.


Levine Act Provisions: If you've made a campaign contribution totaling more than \$500 (\$250 prior to January 1, 2025) to City Council Members in the last twelve (12) months, you must disclose it before addressing an item on the agenda. Please visit [Levine Act – City of Roseville](#) for updated forms and information.

Audio/Visual Presentations: If making a presentation regarding an agenda item, audio/visual materials must be submitted to the secretary for consideration at least 72 hours in advance.

Americans with Disabilities Act: If special assistance is required to participate in a meeting, including the need of auxiliary aids or services, please notify the City Clerk at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting.
City Clerk 311 Vernon Street cityclerkroseville@roseville.ca.us 916-774-5263 TDD: 916-774-5220

Security Measures: All attendees must pass through a metal detector. Prohibited items – including firearms (even with valid CCW), knives, pepper spray/mace, explosives, weapons, dangerous devices of any kind, illegal drugs, and alcohol – are not allowed.

Viewing Options: The City of Roseville provides the following option for viewing hearings:





Sharon Lawin, Board Member
Connor Milligan, Board Member
Arthur Pauly, Jr., Board Member
Howard Rudd, Board Member
Tom Rutherford, Board Member
Charles Sandoval, Board Member
Louise Santiago, Board Member
Robert Schmitt, Board Member
Andrea Seminer, Board Member
Sandeep Upamaka, Board Member
Lynda Risucci, Secretary
Travis Cochran, Liaison

AGENDA

Board of Appeals Hearing

July 14, 2026

9:00 AM

Roseville Electric Building, 116 S. Grant Street, 1st Floor

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. ROLL CALL (SILENT)

III. IDENTIFICATION OF CHAIR

IV. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

V. PUBLIC COMMENTS

VI. SWEAR IN

VII. MATTERS TO BE HEARD

1. Appeal of Administrative Citation No.: 21041 - Phillip Lee

Appellant: Phillip Lee, 1005 Palos Verdes Court, Roseville, CA 95661

Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, One Count (\$100.00)

Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.16.010, Failure to License, Three Counts (\$225.00)

CONTACT: Lynda Risucci 916-774-5363 lmrisucci@roseville.ca.us

2. Appeal of Administrative Citation No.: 22513 - Julie Ann Elizabeth LaHiff

Appellant: Julie Ann Elizabeth LaHiff, 1736 Chilton Drive, Roseville, CA 95747

Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, Two Counts (\$200.00)

CONTACT: Lynda Risucci 916-774-5363 lmrisucci@roseville.ca.us

3. Appeal of Administrative Citation No.: 21040 - Travis Little

Summary: Appellant: Travis Little, 317 Pleasant Street, Unit C, Roseville, CA 95678
Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, Two Counts, First Offense (\$200.00)
Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.16.010, Failure to License, One Count, Third Offense (\$300.00)
Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.16.010, Failure to License, One Count, Fourth Offense (\$300.00)
Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.14.010, Removal of Dog Defecation, Second Offense (\$200.00)

CONTACT: Lynda Risucci 916-774-5363 lmrisucci@roseville.ca.us

VIII. STAFF/BOARD REPORTS

IX. ADJOURNMENT



Board of Appeals Communication

Meeting Date: 7/14/2026

Item #: VII.1

Item ID: 2026-575

Title: Appeal of Administrative Citation No.: 21041 - Phillip Lee

Contact: Lynda Risucci 916-774-5363 lmrissucci@roseville.ca.us

SUMMARY

Appellant: Phillip Lee, 1005 Palos Verdes Court, Roseville, CA 95661

Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, One Count (\$100.00)

Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.16.010, Failure to License, Three Counts (\$225.00)

RECOMMENDATION

The Board of Appeals will consider the appeal of Administrative Citation No.: 21041, submitted by Phillip Lee for the following violations:

Violation: RMC § 7.12.010 Leash law (1 count):

No person shall permit or allow any dog to run at large. This section may be cited as the "leash law."

RMC § 7.04.060 at large defined.

"At large" means the following:

1. Off the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by a leash or adequate enclosure. The leash shall not exceed six feet in length and shall be of sufficient strength to restrain the dog and must be held continuously by a responsible person capable of controlling the dog.
2. On the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by means adequate and sufficient to prevent the dog from leaving the premises or under the control and in the immediate presence of the owner or person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog.

B. A dog shall not be considered "at large" if it is:

1. A guide dog, signal dog, or service dog as defined in Section 54.1 of the California Civil Code, as may be amended, while performing the duties it is trained to perform;
2. Assisting or in training to assist a peace officer who is engaged in law enforcement duties;
3. Enrolled and actively participating in a commercial dog training or obedience class, exhibition or competition;
4. Within the confines of a city-designated dog park and licensed pursuant to this chapter;
5. Securely confined in a vehicle.

RMC § 7.16.010 Licensing (3 counts):

A dog or cat over the age of four months must be licensed according to the provisions of this chapter.

Respectfully Submitted,
Lynda Risucci, Legal Assistant

Travis Cochran, Senior Deputy City Attorney

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Staff Report - Phillip Lee
2. Lee - Citation
3. Lee - Request for Hearing

REVIEWERS:

Lynda Risucci, City Attorney Department

Created -



Roseville Police Department
1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Troy Bergstrom, Chief of Police

Administrative Citation Appeal Hearing

Staff Report

Date of Hearing: Tuesday, July 14th, 2026 @ 09:00 AM
Appellant: Phillip Lee @ 1005 Palos Verdes Ct.
Citation: 21041

Violation: RMC § 7.12.010 Leash law (1 count)

No person shall permit or allow any dog to run at large. This section may be cited as the "leash law."

RMC § 7.04.060 at large defined.

"At large" means the following:

1.

Off the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by a leash or adequate enclosure. The leash shall not exceed six feet in length and shall be of sufficient strength to restrain the dog and must be held continuously by a responsible person capable of controlling the dog.

2.

On the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by means adequate and sufficient to prevent the dog from leaving the premises or under the control and in the immediate presence of the owner or person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog.

B.

A dog shall not be considered "at large" if it is:

1.

A guide dog, signal dog, or service dog as defined in Section 54.1 of the California [Civil Code](#), as may be amended, while performing the duties it is trained to perform;

2.

Assisting or in training to assist a peace officer who is engaged in law enforcement duties;

3.

Enrolled and actively participating in a commercial dog training or obedience class, exhibition or competition;

4.

Within the confines of a city-designated dog park and licensed pursuant to this chapter;

5.

Securely confined in a vehicle.

RMC § 7.16.010 Licensing (3 counts)

A dog or cat over the age of four months must be licensed according to the provisions of this chapter.

Narrative:

On March 30th, 2026, at 1029 hours, Roseville Police Animal Control dispatch received a telephone call from Melanie Hirsch to report an ongoing issue with her neighbor's dog located at 1005 Palos Verdes Ct.

On March 30th, 2026, at approximately 1135 hours, I spoke to Melanie via telephone. Melanie stated that at approximately 0940 hours one of the dogs from the address of 1005 Palos Verdes was loose. The dog was described as a white dog with black spots and a long tail. The dog was in the street and on the sidewalk. When Melanie walked out of her home, she stated that Phillip Lee called the dog back home. Melanie requested that a citizen citation be issued for leash law.

On March 30th, 2026, at approximately 1155 hours, I spoke to dog owner Phillip Lee on the telephone. Phillip stated that he had three dogs and the dog in question belonged to a friend and he was just the custodian of the dog. Phillip claimed that the dog was not violating the leash law and is allowed to go onto the sidewalk and into the court. I educated on leash law and advised that he would be receiving a citizen citation.

Respectfully Submitted,
M. Terry, Badge #3100
Animal Control Officer

CITY OF ROSEVILLE
NOTICE OF CITATION 2026-18612 21041

Date	Time	Day of Week
3-30-26	1203	Monday
Name (First, Middle, Last) Phillip, Randolph, Lee		
Residence Address 1005 Palos Verdes Ct Roseville CA 95661		
Business Address _____		
Driver's Lic. No.	State	Class
[REDACTED]		
Sex	Hair	Eyes
[REDACTED]		
Height	Weight	Decent
[REDACTED]		
Description of Animal (If Applicable) Breed: Large dog, Color: White/black, Sex:		
Vehicle Make	License #:	
Model:	_____	
Color:	Year: _____	
Location of Violation Palos Verdes Ct.		
Date / Time of Violation 3/30/26 0940		
Code / Ordinance	Description of Violation	
RMC 7.12.010 Leash law x 1		
RMC 7.16.010 Licensing x 3		
☒ VIOLATIONS NOT COMMITTED IN MY PRESENCE, CERTIFIED ON INFORMATION AND BELIEF. I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.		
Date:	Issuing Officer:	Badge No.
3-30-26	M. TERRY	*3100
COMPLAINING PARTY		
X Signature: Complaint		
I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS CITATION.		
X Signature: Complaint		
YOU DO NOT NEED TO DO ANYTHING AT THIS TIME. YOU WILL RECEIVE NOTICE BY MAIL WITHIN 14 DAYS. CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (916) 774-5325		
1 - City Attorney Copy 2 - Defendant Copy 3 - Issue Dept Copy		



View Edit Report Activity

ADMINISTRATIVE CITATION APPEAL - REQUEST FOR HEARING 2026-04-06 12:33 PM(PST)

Completely fill out all required information prior to submitting. The City Attorney's office will contact you once a hearing date has been set.

Submissions received later than 30 days after the date of the citation notification letter will not be accepted pursuant to Roseville Municipal Code § 2.50.060.

***Name:** Phil Lee

***Citation Number:** 21041

***Home Address:** 1005 Palos Verdes Court

***City** Roseville

***Zip** 95661

Mailing Address
(if different from above): 1005 Palos Verdes Court

***Phone Number:**

***Email:**



One of the dogs that is not licensed was a pet I was watching for a friend and don't know if its licensed, she is from Sacramento. I have two pets and I know they are not licensed and will take care of mine. The dog in question is my friends dog that I am no longer watching and ran out of my house when I went to check the mail and greeted my neighbor. The dog was on my property until she seen my neighbor and ran to great her. This neighbor (Melanie Hirsh) has had problems with multiple neighbors, has had several complaints for her dogs barking, abandoned cars and I also had to get a TRO against her since she moved her. This is retaliation and the claim is unfounded and I should have to pay the leash law or the third animal fine. I no longer watch the dog and havent since the day in question. I am also moving at the end of the month so I told my friend she needed to find someone else.

***Reason
for
Appeal:**

☒ By checking this box, I certify that I am the individual named on the citation and am appealing the administrative citation issued to me.

☒ I certify under penalty of perjury that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Submit

Cancel





Board of Appeals Communication

Meeting Date: 7/14/2026
Item #: VII.2
Item ID: 2026-577

Title: Appeal of Administrative Citation No.: 22513 - Julie Ann Elizabeth LaHiff
Contact: Lynda Risucci 916-774-5363 lmriscucci@roseville.ca.us

SUMMARY

Appellant: Julie Ann Elizabeth LaHiff, 1736 Chilton Drive, Roseville, CA 95747
Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, Two Counts (\$200.00)

RECOMMENDATION

The Board of Appeals will consider the appeal of Administrative Citation No.: 22513, submitted by Julie Ann Elizabeth LaHiff for the following violation:

Violation: RMC § 7.12.010 Leash law (2 counts):

No person shall permit or allow any dog to run at large. This section may be cited as the "leash law."

RMC § 7.04.060 at large defined:

"At large" means the following:

1. Off the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by a leash or adequate enclosure. The leash shall not exceed six feet in length and shall be of sufficient strength to restrain the dog and must be held continuously by a responsible person capable of controlling the dog.
 2. On the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by means adequate and sufficient to prevent the dog from leaving the premises or under the control and in the immediate presence of the owner or person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog.
- B. A dog shall not be considered "at large" if it is:
1. A guide dog, signal dog, or service dog as defined in Section 54.1 of the California Civil Code, as may be amended, while performing the duties it is trained to perform;
 2. Assisting or in training to assist a peace officer who is engaged in law enforcement duties;
 3. Enrolled and actively participating in a commercial dog training or obedience class, exhibition or competition;
 4. Within the confines of a city-designated dog park and licensed pursuant to this chapter;
 5. Securely confined in a vehicle.

Respectfully Submitted,
Lynda Risucci, Legal Assistant

Travis Cochran, Senior Deputy City Attorney

ATTACHMENTS:

1. LaHiff - Staff Report

2. LaHiff Citation
3. LaHiff Request for Hearing

REVIEWERS:

Lynda Risucci, City Attorney Department

Created -



Roseville Police Department
1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Troy Bergstrom, Chief of Police

Administrative Citation Appeal Hearing

Staff Report

Date of Hearing: Tuesday, July 14th, 2026 @ 09:00 AM
Appellant: Julie Ann Elizabeth Lahiff @ 1736 Chilton Dr.
Citation: 22513

Violation: RMC § 7.12.010 Leash law (2 counts)

No person shall permit or allow any dog to run at large. This section may be cited as the "leash law."

RMC § 7.04.060 at large defined.

"At large" means the following:

1.

Off the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by a leash or adequate enclosure. The leash shall not exceed six feet in length and shall be of sufficient strength to restrain the dog and must be held continuously by a responsible person capable of controlling the dog.

2.

On the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by means adequate and sufficient to prevent the dog from leaving the premises or under the control and in the immediate presence of the owner or person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog.

B.

A dog shall not be considered "at large" if it is:

1.

A guide dog, signal dog, or service dog as defined in Section 54.1 of the California [Civil Code](#), as may be amended, while performing the duties it is trained to perform;

2.

Assisting or in training to assist a peace officer who is engaged in law enforcement duties;

3.

Enrolled and actively participating in a commercial dog training or obedience class, exhibition or competition;

4.

Within the confines of a city-designated dog park and licensed pursuant to this chapter;

5.

Securely confined in a vehicle.

Narrative:

On April 1st, 2026, at 0940 hours, Roseville Police Animal Control Dispatch received multiple telephone calls regarding two Siberian Husky type dogs running loose in the area.

On April 1st, 2026, at approximately 1001 hours, I spoke to a reporting party Lisa via telephone. Lisa stated that she was walking her dog on leash. Two Siberian Husky type dogs ran at her dog, and she began to scream. Her dog did not sustain any injuries. Lisa requested that if a dog owner

for the two Siberian Husky type dogs was located that the dog owner was issued a citation for leash law.

On April 1st, 2026, at approximately 1012 hours, I located the dogs on Turnberry Lane in the backyard of a second reporting party. One of the dogs by the name of 'Olaf' was microchipped to Julie Lahiff. I contacted Julie via telephone. Julie stated that she was driving around looking for the dogs. The second dog that I picked up that was not microchipped was named "Grinch". I met Julie at her residence and returned both dogs to her, educated her about dog licensing, and provided information on how to secure the fence to prevent the dogs from getting out again.

Respectfully Submitted,
M. Terry, Badge #3100
Animal Control Officer

CITY OF ROSEVILLE

NOTICE OF CITATION 26-19052 22513

Date	4-1-2026	Time	1805	Day of Week	Wednesday
Name (First, Middle, Last) Julie, Ann Elizabeth, Lahiff					
Residence Address 1736 Chilton Dr. Roseville CA 95747					
Business Address —					
Driver's Lic. No.		State	Class	Date of Birth	
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
Sex	Hair	Eyes	Height	Weight	Decent
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Description of Animal (If Applicable) "Grinch" & "Olaf"					
Breed:	HUSKY	Color:	Black/White	Age:	2yr 15mo
Sex:	nm				
Vehicle Make		License #:			
Model:		Year:			
Color:		—			
Location of Violation Turnberry Ln.					
Date / Time of Violation 4/1/26 944					
Code / Ordinance		Description of Violation			
RMC 7.12.010		leash law x2			
☒ VIOLATIONS NOT COMMITTED IN MY PRESENCE, CERTIFIED ON INFORMATION AND BELIEF.					
I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.					
Date:	4/1/2026	Issuing Officer:	M. Terry #3100		
		Badge No.			
COMPLAINING PARTY					
X Signature: Complaint					
I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS CITATION.					
X Signature: Complaint					
YOU DO NOT NEED TO DO ANYTHING AT THIS TIME. YOU WILL RECEIVE NOTICE BY MAIL WITHIN 14 DAYS. CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (916) 774-5325					
1 - City Attorney Copy		2 - Defendant Copy		3 - Issue Dept Copy	

LP

From: noreply@revize.com
To: [Finch, Sydney](#)
Subject: Contact Us
Date: Thursday, April 23, 2026 7:54:07 AM

External: This email was sent by a person from outside your organization. Exercise caution when clicking links, opening attachments, or taking further action.

Name = Julie LaHiff
Citation-Numbe = CE26-00441
Home-Address = 1736 Chilton Dr
City = Roseville
Zip = 95747
Mailing-Address-if-different-from-above =
Phone-Number = [REDACTED]
Email = [REDACTED]

Reason-for-Appeal = Dog got out without knowledge , they broke down the fence. They were not off leash intentionally. Very kind and sweet dogs, never had an issue with other people or dogs before.

undefined[] = By checking this box, I certify that I am the individual named on the citation and am appealing the administrative citation issued to me.

undefined[] = I certify under penalty of perjury that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Client IP = 2601:204:c700:2330:50bd:a46a:988a:81ee



Board of Appeals Communication

Meeting Date: 7/14/2026

Item #: VII.3

Item ID: 2026-578

Title: Appeal of Administrative Citation No.: 21040 - Travis Little

Contact: Lynda Risucci 916-774-5363 lmrissucci@roseville.ca.us

SUMMARY

Appellant: Travis Little, 317 Pleasant Street, Unit C, Roseville, CA 95678

Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, Two Counts, First Offense (\$200.00)

Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.16.010, Failure to License, One Count, Third Offense (\$300.00)

Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.16.010, Failure to License, One Count, Fourth Offense (\$300.00)

Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.14.010, Removal of Dog Defecation, Second Offense (\$200.00)

RECOMMENDATION

The Board of Appeals will consider the appeal of Administrative Citation No.: 21040, submitted by Travis Little for the following violations:

Violation: RMC 7.12.010 Leash law (2 counts)- First Offense:

No person shall permit or allow any dog to run at large.

Additional Information: RMC Section 7.04.060 defines "at large" as follows:

A. "At large" means the following:

1. Off the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control, or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by a leash or adequate enclosure. The leash shall not exceed six feet in length and shall be of sufficient strength to restrain the dog and must be held continuously by a responsible person capable of controlling the dog.

2. On the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control, or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by means adequate and sufficient to prevent the dog from leaving the premises or under the control and in the immediate presence of the owner or person having care, custody, control, or possession of the dog.

Violation: RMC 7.16.010 Licensing (2 counts) - 1 Count Third Offense - 1 Count Fourth Offense:

A dog or cat over the age of four months must be licensed according to the provisions of this chapter.

Violation: RMC 7.14.010 Removal of Dog Defecation (1 count - Second Offense):

It is unlawful for the owner or person having the custody of any dog to fail to immediately remove and dispose of in a sanitary manner, by placing in a closed or sealed container and depositing in a trash receptacle, any feces deposited by such dog upon public property or upon private property not exclusively owned or controlled by the owner or person having the custody of such dog.

Any person who has the charge or control of any dog in a location other than on private property exclusively owned or controlled by such person, or on the property of the owner of the dog, shall have in his or her possession a suitable wrapper, bag or container for the purpose of complying with the requirements of this section. The failure of any person to carry such a wrapper, bag or container when in charge or control of any dog in a location other than on property exclusively owned or controlled by such person, or on the property of the owner of the dog, shall constitute a violation of this section.

Subsections A and B of this section shall not apply to the proper use of guide dogs, service dogs, or signal dogs as defined in Section 54.1 of the California Civil Code, as may be amended.

It is unlawful for the owner or person having the custody of any dog to allow its feces to accumulate or remain on the ground or other surface on his or her private property to the extent that the accumulation creates a nuisance. A nuisance, for purposes of this subsection, includes, but is not limited to, feces accumulation that interferes with the use or enjoyment of any neighboring property as a result of odors or the attraction or breeding of vermin or vectors.

(Ord. 6268 § 1, 2020)

Respectfully Submitted,
Lynda Risucci, Legal Assistant

Travis Cochran, Senior Deputy City Attorney

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Little - Staff Report
2. Little - Citation
3. Little - Request for Hearing

REVIEWERS:

Lynda Risucci, City Attorney Department

Created -



Roseville Police Department

1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Jeff Beigh, Chief of Police

Administrative Citation Appeal Hearing

Staff Report

Date of Hearing: Tuesday, July 14th, 2026 @ 09:00 AM
Appellant: Travis Little @ 317 Pleasant St unit C
Citation: 21040

Violation: RMC 7.12.010 Leash law (2 counts)

No person shall permit or allow any dog to run at large.

Additional Information: RMC Section 7.04.060 defines “at large” as follows:

A. “At large” means the following:

1. Off the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control, or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by a leash or adequate enclosure. The leash shall not exceed six feet in length and shall be of sufficient strength to restrain the dog and must be held continuously by a responsible person capable of controlling the dog.

2. On the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control, or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by means adequate and sufficient to prevent the dog from leaving the premises or under the control and in the immediate presence of the owner or person having care, custody, control, or possession of the dog.

Violation: RMC 7.16.010 Licensing (2 counts)

A dog or cat over the age of four months must be licensed according to the provisions of this chapter.

Violation: RMC 7.14.010 Removal of Dog Defecation (1 count)

- A. It is unlawful for the owner or person having the custody of any dog to fail to immediately remove and dispose of in a sanitary manner, by placing in a closed or sealed container and depositing in a trash receptacle, any feces deposited by such dog upon public property or upon private property not exclusively owned or controlled by the owner or person having the custody of such dog.
- B. Any person who has the charge or control of any dog in a location other than on private property exclusively owned or controlled by such person, or on the property of the owner of the dog, shall have in his or her possession a suitable wrapper, bag or container for the purpose of complying with the requirements of this section. The failure of any person to carry such a wrapper, bag or container when in charge or control of any dog in a location other than on property exclusively owned or controlled by such person, or on the property of the owner of the dog, shall constitute a violation of this section.
- C. Subsections **A** and **B** of this section shall not apply to the proper use of guide dogs, service dogs, or signal dogs as defined in Section **54.1** of the California Civil Code, as may be amended.
- D. It is unlawful for the owner or person having the custody of any dog to allow its feces to accumulate or remain on the ground or other surface on his or her private property to the

extent that the accumulation creates a nuisance. A nuisance, for purposes of this subsection, includes, but is not limited to, feces accumulation that interferes with the use or enjoyment of any neighboring property as a result of odors or the attraction or breeding of vermin or vectors.

(Ord. 6268 § 1, 2020)

Narrative:

March 22nd, 2026 @ approximately 1634 hours

I contacted Angela due to her leaving me a voicemail reporting a neighbor continuing to allow his dogs off leash and not picking up his dogs feces.

Animal Control is not a witness to the reported violations. The violations of RMC Section 7.12.010, 7.14.010, and 7.16.010 are based on the statements of the complainant and appellant.

I contacted Angela who stated the following in summary; Angela stated that on March 18th, at approximately 1736 hours her neighbor allowed his two dogs off leash in Mango Aly, she advised this is an ongoing issue as well as him not picking up the dogs' feces. She requested to pursue a citizen citation due to a warning being issued to the dog owner on February 3rd and no change occurring.

I completed citizen's citation #21040 on behalf of Angela Mcmindes, regarding dog owner Travis Little, and forwarded the citation to the City Attorney's office for review.

Past History:

The following citations have been issued to Travis Little, which were not appealed and remain unpaid:

12/17/25 - Citation 22210 – Failure to License, 1 Count, First Offense

03/01/26 - Citation 22208 – Failure to License, 1 Count First Offense, 1 Count Second Offense, Removal of Dog Defecation, First Offense

03/10/26 – Citation 21039 – Failure to License, 1 Count Second Offense, 1 Count Third Offense

As of June 27th, 2026, PetData shows two current licenses for Travis' residence.

Respectfully Submitted,
B. Chacon, Badge #3090
Animal Control Officer

CITY OF ROSEVILLE
NOTICE OF CITATION 26-16870 21040

Date	Time	Day of Week
3/22/2020	11:27 hrs	Sunday
Name (First, Middle, Last)		
Travis James, Little		
Residence Address		
317 Pleasant St Unit C Roseville, CA, 95678		
Business Address		
Driver's Lic. No.	State	Class
Date of Birth		
Sex	Hair	Eyes
Height	Weight	Percent
Description of Animal (If Applicable)		
See back		
Breed:	Color:	Age:
Vehicle Make	License #:	Sex:
Model:		
Color:	Year:	
Location of Violation		
Tango Hwy 1 Alta Ave		
Date/Time of Violation		
3/18/20	1 1736 hours	
Code / Ordinance	Description of Violation	
PMC 7.12.010	X2	Leash Law
PMC 7.16.010	X2	Licensing
PMC 7.14.010	Removal of dog defecation	
VIOLATIONS NOT COMMITTED IN MY PRESENCE, CERTIFIED ON INFORMATION AND BELIEF.		
DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.		
Date:	Issuing Officer:	
3/22/2020	Chalon-Raoul	
	Badge No. #3090	
COMPLAINING PARTY		
Signature:	Complaint	
HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS CITATION.		
Signature:	Complaint	
YOU DO NOT NEED TO DO ANYTHING AT THIS TIME. YOU WILL RECEIVE NOTICE BY MAIL WITHIN 14 DAYS. CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (916) 774-5325		
1 - City Attorney Copy 2 - Defendant Copy 3 - Issue Dept Copy		

Angela Memindes



Angela is reporting her neighbor who allows his two dogs off leash in the city and does not pick up his dogs feces. One dog is a yellow lab and the other is a golden retriever.

AO B. Chalon-Raoul #3090

▶ NA 3085 ◀

MAR 22 2020

From: noreply@revize.com
To: [Finch, Sydney](#)
Subject: Contact Us
Date: Tuesday, April 21, 2026 3:56:17 PM

External: This email was sent by a person from outside your organization. Exercise caution when clicking links, opening attachments, or taking further action.

Name = Travis Little

Citation-Numbe = 21040

Home-Address = 317 pleasant st

City = Roseville

Zip = 95678

Mailing-Address-if-different-from-above = 224 capri pl , Lincoln ca 95648

Phone-Number = [REDACTED]

Email = [REDACTED]

Reason-for-Appeal = Due to issue with mailing services and a mass clearing of mail from all unit mailboxes, I was not able to receive the proper documentation in time to register my dogs. I apologize for the inconvenience, and they will be immediately registered. As for the leash law charges, I was uninformed that you could not play fetch with your dog here in Roseville. I understand now after receiving the cities letter that it is not allowed. As any normal person, this law sounds very surprising at first. As for Ms. McMIndes, me and the rest of our neighbors can agree she has been a constant harassment to the neighborhood adults, teenagers, toddlers and especially pets. After explaining to her multiple times that I pick up my dogs poop, and there's many other animals that come through this ally, she proceeds to go out of her way to verbally harass and bully me constantly. I have caught her in the act of poisoning the patch of grass my dogs go to the bathroom on, she explained to me it was to keep my dogs away and that it was bad for them. Note, this grass area would be the property belonging to residents on Pleasant street, and not Grove street. Ms. McMIndes harasses and claims that that's her property and enforces her rule as such. When informing the actual owners of the property they had no knowledge of her actions and seemed upset about hearing the news of Ms. McMIndes's actions. I have had animal poop thrown on my driveway multiple times, most of the time it is not even dog poop, it is small cat poop like pieces, or poop that would not be from my animals. She was verbally screaming and harassing at neighbor's toddler that was playing in the ally, one of many tantrum episodes from Ms. McMIndes. According to other neighbors, Ms. McMIndes is known to be this type of person who consistently behaves like this. She has been caught multiple times stalking me and other neighbors through taking pictures and videos of the property and asking where I live. I have been polite and respectful to Ms. McMIndes and offered help and chores to her. I have done absolutely everything I can to take the higher road with her and do the right thing. It has gotten so bad with Ms. McMIndes, that I have had to call the police department twice due to her behaviors and harassment. Ms. McMIndes's behaviors and harassment need to cease immediately as well as poisoning our dogs.

undefined[] = By checking this box, I certify that I am the individual named on the citation and am appealing the administrative citation issued to me.

undefined[] = I certify under penalty of perjury that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Client IP = 2601:204:c900:5770:c855:b238:7b9:6096