



MINUTES

August 11, 2026

BOARD OF APPEALS HEARING

9:00 a.m.
City Council Chambers
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, CA

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson Charles Sandoval called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. in the City Council Chambers.

2. ROLL CALL (SILENT)

The following Hearing Panel members were present:

Arthur Pauly, Jr.
Charles Sandoval
Andrea Seminer

The following Staff members were present:

Travis Cochran, Senior Deputy City Attorney
Lynda Risucci, Board Secretary

3. IDENTIFICATION OF CHAIR

Chairperson for the August 11, 2026 hearing was Charles Sandoval.

4. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairperson Charles Sandoval led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

5. PUBLIC COMMENT

No one from the public offered any comments.

6. SWEAR IN

Chairperson Charles Sandoval administered the Oath to those in attendance who would be offering testimony at the hearing.

7. MATTERS TO BE HEARD

7.1. Administrative Citation No.: T09387

Appellant: Angelique Aliso, 5501 Sienna Hills Way, Antelope, CA 95843
• Roseville Municipal Code Section 10.58.100(a), Trespass on Parking Structure

A. Staff Report:

Police Officer Loza offered testimony regarding the Staff Report (Exhibit 7.1-A) and Notice of Violation (Exhibit 7.1-B). Officer Loza presented Body Worn Camera video evidence of the incident which was entered into the minutes as Exhibit 7.1-C.

Per RMC Section 2.50.060(D), the Staff Report was submitted to the City of Roseville Attorney's Office prior to the hearing and was and was provided to the Appellant and the panel members in advance of the hearing.

B. Appellant's Response:

Request for Hearing:

Appellant's Request for Hearing form (Exhibit 7.1-D) was received by the Roseville City Attorney's Office on June 26, 2026 and was provided to the panel in advance of the hearing for review.

Appellant Angelique Alviso offered testimony in support of the appeal.

C. Rebuttals and Panel Questions:

Police Officer Loza offered rebuttal testimony and answered panel questions.

Appellant Angelique Alviso offered rebuttal testimony and answered panel questions.

D. Panel Discussion and Decision:

The panel discussed the evidence and testimony presented for Administrative Citation No. T09387.

In regard to the violation of the Roseville Municipal Code Section 10.58.100(a), a motion was made by Andrea Seminer and seconded by Arthur Pauly to deny the appeal and uphold the citation but waive the fine in the interest of justice.

The motion passed with the following vote:

AYES: Arthur Pauly, Jr., Andrea Seminer

NOES: Charles Sandoval

Chairperson Charles Sandoval signed the decision form (Exhibit 7.1-E), asked the Appellant to see staff for the paperwork, and informed the parties that they may file a petition for review with the Superior Court in Placer County within 20 days.

7.2. Administrative Citation No.: T09363

Appellant: Rodolfo Flores, Jr., 1304 Manza Circle, Roseville, CA 95678
• Roseville Municipal Code Section 10.58.100(a), Trespass on Parking Structure

At 9:34 a.m., the Appellant was not present. According to Section VII(A) of the rules for Administrative Hearing Procedures, if the responsible party fails to appear for the hearing within 15 minutes after the hearing commences, the responsible party's appeal will be automatically denied.

A. Panel Decision:

In regard to the violation of Roseville Municipal Code Section 10.58.100(a), Trespass on Parking Structure, a motion was made by Andrea Seminer and seconded by Arthur Pauly to deny the appeal and uphold Administrative Citation No. T09363 because Appellant failed to appear.

The motion passed with the following vote:

AYES: ALL

NOES: NONE

Chairperson Charles Sandoval signed the decision form (Exhibit 7.2-A.)

7.3. Administrative Citation No.: 22522

Appellant: Paula Medcalf-Miller, 2633 Heirloom Way, Roseville, CA 95747

Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, One Count
Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.16.010, Failure to License, One Count

A. Staff Report:

Animal Control Supervisor Sarah Cooper offered testimony regarding the Staff Report (Exhibit 7.3-A) and Notice of Violation (Exhibit 7.3-B).

Per RMC Section 2.50.060(D), the Staff Report was submitted to the City of Roseville Attorney's Office prior to the hearing and was provided to the Appellant, Complainant, and the panel members in advance of the hearing.

Complainant's Statement:

Complainant Linda French offered testimony in support of the complaint.

B. Appellant's Response:

Request for Hearing:

Appellant's Request for Hearing form (Exhibit 7.3-C) was received by the Roseville City Attorney's Office on July 14, 2026 and was provided to the panel in advance of the hearing for review.

Appellant Paula Medcalf-Miller presented evidence entered into the minutes as Exhibit 7.3-D and offered testimony in support of the appeal.

Exhibit 7.3-D:

1. Copies of two photographs of Appellant's dog and safety gates;
2. Copy of City of Roseville Animal License Receipt;
3. Copy of Proof of Service of Restraining Order;
4. Five photographs of Complainant's dog off-leash.

C. Rebuttals and Panel Questions:

Complainant Linda French offered rebuttal testimony and answered panel questions. Complainant requested that the Board review the two videos she provided as evidence in support of her complaint. The videos were entered into the Minutes as Exhibit 7.3-E.

Appellant Paula Medcalf-Miller offered rebuttal testimony and answered panel questions.

D. Panel Discussion and Decision:

The panel discussed the evidence and testimony presented for Administrative Citation No. 22522.

Senior Deputy City Attorney Travis Cochran provided the City's interpretation of the statute for dog off leash.

In regard to the following violation of the Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, One Count, a motion was made by Andrea Seminer and seconded by Arthur Pauly to deny the appeal and uphold the citation because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.

The motion passed with the following vote:

AYES: ALL

NOES: NONE

In regard to the violation of the Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.16.010, Failure to License, One Count, this violation was administratively dismissed and did not require a motion by the panel.

Chairperson Charles Sandoval signed the decision form (Exhibit 7.3-F), asked the Appellant to see staff for the paperwork, and informed the parties that they may file a petition for review with the Superior Court in Placer County within 20 days.

8. ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Andrea Seminer and seconded by Arthur Pauly to adjourn the hearing.

AYES: ALL

NOES: NONE

Chairperson Charles Sandoval adjourned the August 11, 2026 hearing at 10:01 a.m.

Lynda Risucci
Board Secretary

EXHIBIT 7.1-A



Roseville Police Department
1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Troy Bergstrom, Chief of Police

**Administrative Citation Appeal Hearing
Staff Report**

Date of Hearing: Tuesday, August 11, 2026, at 9:00 AM
Appellant: Angelique Alviso
Case Number: CE26-00531; RPD T09387

Violation: RMC 10.58.100(a) – Trespass on parking structure

"It is unlawful for any person to enter, be upon, or remain upon the premises of any parking garage, parking lot, parking structure, or other parking facility owned by the city, unless that person is on the premises for the purpose of parking a vehicle or retrieving a vehicle."

Narrative:

On June 7, 2026, at approximately 2200 hours (10:00 p.m.), Officers of the Roseville Police Department were dispatched to 200 Oak Street, a City-owned parking structure, for reports of individuals loitering in the parking garage.

Upon arrival, Officers observed several individuals on the top floor of the parking garage that were neither coming nor going, and who appeared to be hanging out. One of these individuals was identified as Angelique Alviso. Officer Loza Loza then issued Alviso a Notice to Appear for unlawful loitering with the parking structure. Upon review by the City Attorney's Office, the Notice to Appear (an infraction) was administratively reduced to an administration citation for violation of Roseville Municipal Code Section 10.58.100(a), which specifically applies to loitering/trespassing within a City parking structure or facility. A portion of the Officers' contact with the individuals at the garage was also recorded via bodyworn camera, relevant portions of which may be shown during the hearing.

Respectfully Submitted,
A. Loza Loza, Badge #1232

EXHIBIT 7.1-B

ROSEVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT		☐ Misdemeanor		T 09387													
NOTICE TO APPEAR		☒ Nontraffic ☐ Traffic															
Date of Violation	Time	☐ A.M. ☒ P.M.	Day of Week	DR#													
06/07/26	2200		(5) M T W T F S	26-34324													
Name (First, Middle, Last)																	
ANGELIQUE ELYCIA ALVES																	
Address																	
5501 STEVENA HILLS WAY ☐ Transient																	
City	State	Zip															
ANTELOPE	CA	95843															
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Sex</td> <td>Hair</td> <td>Eyes</td> <td>Height</td> <td>Weight</td> <td>DOB</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>						Sex	Hair	Eyes	Height	Weight	DOB						
Sex	Hair	Eyes	Height	Weight	DOB												
☐ Booking requested (see reverse)																	
Code/Ordinance	Description of Violation																
☐ 8.02.200(B)(2)	Smoking in Park				I												
☐ 8.02.280	Possession of Open Container in Park				I												
☐ 8.02.290	Possession of Glass Container in Park				I												
☐ 8.02.316(G)	Park Exclusion			M	I												
☐ 9.12.190	Dumpster Diving				I												
☐ 10.30.010	Possession of Open Container/Streets				I												
☐ 10.30.020	Possession of Open Container/off-sale				I												
☐ 10.37.040	Panhandling			M	I												
☐ 10.38.010	Urinating/Defecating in Public			M	I												
☐ 10.56.010	Illegal Camping on Public Property			M	I												
☐ 10.56.020	Illegal Camping on Private Property			M	I												
☒ 10.58.100 RMC	Trespass on Parking Structure				(1)												
☐ 22435.2(b) B&P	Unlawful to Possess Shopping Cart			M													
☐				M	I												
☐				M	I												
Location of Violation(s)																	
200 GAK ST (PARKING GARAGE) 95678 ROSEVILLE CA																	
☐ Violations not committed in my presence, declared on information and belief. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct.																	
Dec. Date:	Arresting/Citing Officer:		Badge #														
06/07/26	A. LOZA LOZA		1232														
WITHOUT ADMITTING GUILT, I PROMISE TO APPEAR AT THE TIME AND PLACE INDICATED BELOW																	
X Signature: <i>[Signature]</i>																	
When:	On this date:	Time:	☒ A.M. ☐ P.M.														
	09/14/26	0900															
Where:	☒ Placer Superior Court Dept. 30 10820 Justice Center Drive Roseville, CA 95678 (916) 408-6000																
	☐ Homeless Court Dept. 10820 Justice Center Drive Roseville, CA 95678 (916) 408-6000																
Judicial Council of California Form Rev. 09-02-05 (Veh. Code §§ 40500(b), 40513(b), 40522, 40600; Pen Code, § 853.9) Approved by Placer County Presiding Judge 06-2011				SEE REVERSE TR-130													

Rev 3.9.18

EXHIBIT 7.1-C

**TO VIEW A COPY OF THE
EXHIBIT ON FILE,
PLEASE CONTACT THE CITY
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE**

EXHIBIT 7.1-D

Administrative Citation Appeal Form Request For Hearing



Name ANGELIQUE ALVISO	Email	Phone [REDACTED]
Address 5501 Sierra Hills Wy	City Antelope	Zip 95849
Mailing Address (if different from above)	City	Zip
Citation Number T08387	Date of Citation June 7 2026	

Reason for appeal?

(Include all information to support your appeal here. Continue on second page if needed.)

On the date above my girlfriends and I parked our car in the parking garage. We went to watch a venue at a nearby location. When we were done, we walked to the garage to get our car. We were on the third floor I believe and decided to go up to the fifth floor to look at the stars and see the city as it was going to be my 21st birthday and my friend wanted to sing me happy birthday as we looked at the city lights. We were talking for 10 - 15 minutes, had no idea that this would be considered trespassing. The sign said it was a public garage and we went to the garage to park. We were surrounded by approximately 5 to 7 policemen, it was actually very scary. They were not nice, very abrupt and not kind at all. Telling us not to move, and that we were trespassing. I have never been approached by a police officer, my whole life and never been in trouble. I don't believe it's right for me to get a trespassing citation for going into the garage to get our car. I am young I'm just starting out my life on my own. It would've been nice if they were informing me and giving us a warning instead of a citation. Please do the right thing and remove the citation. I know now about trespassing if I had known, I never would've done it. Thank you, Angelique

Angelique Alvizo
Signature of Applicant

6/26/26
Date

Please mail or personally deliver this form within 30 days after the date of the citation notification letter to the Roseville City Attorney's office located at:

**City of Roseville
City Attorney's Office
317 Vernon Street
Roseville, CA 95678
(916) 774-5325 • FAX (916) 773-7348**

Forms received later than 30 days after the date of the citation notification letter will not be accepted pursuant to Roseville Municipal Code § 2.50.060.

March, 2022

EXHIBIT 7.1-E

CITATION APPEAL DECISION

Name of Appellant: Angelique Alviso

Citation No.: T09387


VIOLATION

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 10.58.100(a) – Trespass on Parking Structure

- ☐ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
- ☐ That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
- ☐ That the appellant is the responsible party.
- ☒ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION but waive the fine in the interest of justice.
- ☐ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the appellant failed to appear.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant failed to appear.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION at the request of the City/Complainant.

Dated: August 11, 2026

By:



Charles Sandoval
Hearing Panel Chairperson

Notice to Appellant: Under California Government Code Section 53069.4, any appeal of today's Hearing Panel decision must be filed with Placer County Superior Court within twenty (20) days of today's date.

EXHIBIT 7.2-A



City Attorney
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

CITATION APPEAL DECISION

Name of Appellant: Rodolfo Flores, Jr.

Citation No.: T09363

VIOLATION

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 10.58.100(a) – Trespass on Parking Structure

- ☐ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
- ☐ That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
- ☐ That the appellant is the responsible party.
- ☐ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION but waive the fine in the interest of justice.
- ☒ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the appellant failed to appear.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant failed to appear.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION at the request of the City/Complainant.

Dated: August 11, 2026

By:

Charles Sandoval
Hearing Panel Chairperson

Notice to Appellant: Under California Government Code Section 53069.4, any appeal of today's Hearing Panel decision must be filed with Placer County Superior Court within twenty (20) days of today's date.

EXHIBIT 7.3-A



Roseville Police Department
1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Jeff Beigh, Chief of Police

Administrative Citation Appeal Hearing

Staff Report

Date of Hearing: Tuesday, August 11th, 2026 @ 09:00 AM
Appellant: Paula Medcalf Miller @ 2633 Heirloom Way
Citation: 22522

Violation: RMC 7.12.010 Leash law (1 count)

No person shall permit or allow any dog to run at large.

Additional Information: RMC Section 7.04.060 defines "at large" as follows:

A. "At large" means the following:

1. Off the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control, or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by a leash or adequate enclosure. The leash shall not exceed six feet in length and shall be of sufficient strength to restrain the dog and must be held continuously by a responsible person capable of controlling the dog.
2. On the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control, or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by means adequate and sufficient to prevent the dog from leaving the premises or under the control and in the immediate presence of the owner or person having care, custody, control, or possession of the dog.

Violation: RMC 7.16.010 Licensing (1 count)

A dog or cat over the age of four months must be licensed according to the provisions of this chapter.

Narrative:

On June 16th, 2026 @ approximately 1543 hours

Roseville Police dispatch received a call from complainant Linda French reporting her neighbor's dog running loose and being on her property.

On June 17th, 2026, at approximately 0907 hours, Linda French called in and requested a phone call regarding the dog being loose. She advised the dog was no longer running loose.

Animal Control is not a witness to the reported violations. The violations of RMC Section 7.12.010 and 7.16.010 are based on the statements of the complainant and appellant.

I, Animal Control Officer B. Chacon contacted Linda who stated the following in summary; Linda stated that on June 06/16/2026 at approximately 1300 hours, she was notified of movement on her property by her ring camera. When she checked her camera she saw her neighbor's dog, "Lainey" running loose. Linda advised this is an ongoing issue with her neighbor walking her dog off leash as well as her ring camera getting set off multiple times when Paula will be on Linda's property trying to catch "Lainey." She requested a citizen citation be issued on her behalf for the leash law violation.

I attempted to contact dog owner Paula Medcalfmiller via telephone. Her phone went straight to voicemail, and I was unable to leave a message due to her voicemail box being full.

I completed citizen's citation #22522 on behalf of Linda French, against dog owner Paula Medcalfmiller for leash law and licensing, and forwarded the citation to the City Attorney's office for review.

As of July 30th, 2026, PetData shows there still is no current license for Paula's residence.

Respectfully Submitted,
B. Chacon, Badge #3090
Animal Control Office

CITY OF ROSEVILLE

NOTICE OF CITATION

26-36584

22522

Date	Time	Day of Week
6/18/2026	0745 hrs	Thursday
Name (First, Middle, Last)		
Paula, Rachelle, Medcalf Miller		
Residence Address		
2633 Heirloom Way Roseville, CA, 95767		
Business Address		
Driver's Lic. No.	State	Class
	CA	
Date of Birth		
Sex	Hair	Eyes
M		
Height	Weight	Age
Description		
Breed	Color	Age
terrier	Beige/White	
Vehicle Make	License #	Sex
Model	Year	
Color	Year	
Location of Violation		
2633 Heirloom Way, Roseville, CA, 95747		
Date / Time of Violation		
6/16/2026 1:300 hours		
Code / Ordinance	Description of Violation	
RMC 7.12.010	Leash Law	
RMC 7.16.010	Licensing	
☒ VIOLATIONS NOT COMMITTED IN MY PRESENCE, CERTIFIED ON INFORMATION AND BELIEF.		
I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.		
Date	Issuing Officer	
06/18/2026	Chalon-Raoul	
	Badge No. #3090	
COMPLAINING PARTY		
X Signature: Complaint		
I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS CITATION.		
X Signature: Complaint		
YOU DO NOT NEED TO DO ANYTHING AT THIS TIME. YOU WILL RECEIVE NOTICE BY MAIL WITHIN 14 DAYS. CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (916) 774-5325		
1 - City Attorney Copy. 2 - Defendant Copy 3 - Issue Dept Copy		

EXHIBIT 7.3-C

Electronic Submission Form

Submitted by:

Submitted On: 2026-07-14 21:54:28

Submission IP: 146.75.154.147 (172.31.66.189)
proxy-IP (raw-IP)

Status: Open

Name

Paula Medcalf-Miller

Citation Number

2636584

Home Address

2633 Heirloom Way

City

Roseville

Zip

95747

Mailing Address (if different from above)

2633 Heirloom Way

Phone

[REDACTED]

Email


[REDACTED]

Reason for Appeal

I am writing to appeal the citation dated 6/18/2026 for a "beige and white terrier." I'm assuming our neighbor, Linda French, is referring to our poodle mix, Lainey. We adopted Lainey (a parvo survivor) through Fosters and Paws late November and have been working with her ever since to not run out of the door. Our neighbor, Linda French, reported that on 6/18/2026 at 7:45, a beige and white terrier violated a leash law. We are not denying that as a puppy, she has tried to take full advantage of an open door. However, we can assure you that we are not negligent dog owners and respect the leash law. In the event she gets out when the door is opened (we have kids), we are on top of getting her back into the house within seconds. Please note that this does not happen often and is never intentional. In reviewing our camera footage, we did not observe a video of her getting out on 6/18/26 at 7:45. We invite you to come over and watch as our 70 pound boxer sits patiently inside our home with the door wide open until given specific commands to "cross." This is our goal for Lainey but it takes time. We are happy to pay the licensing fee but ask that you consider withdrawing the citation at this time. Our daughter struggles with major anxiety and this continued harassing behavior by Linda French only intensifies it for her. Thank you for your time and consideration.

By checking this box, I certify that I am the individual named on the citation and am appealing the administrative citation issued to me.

EXHIBIT 7.3-D



City of Roseville Animal License Receipt

License Expires 2/28/27

 **CITY OF ROSEVILLE**
CALIFORNIA
City of Roseville Animal Licensing
C/O PetData
PO Box 141929
Irving, TX 75014-1929

*Thank you for being a responsible pet owner!
Please keep this receipt as proof of your license.*

**PAULA MEDCALF-MILLER
2633 HEIRLOOM WAY
ROSEVILLE, CA 95747-9182**

License Tag No. 0040517 License Date 07/23/26 Fee Paid \$25.00 S/N 1Y
Rabies Vaccination Date 02/10/26 Vaccination Expiration Date 02/10/27

Pet's Name LAINEY (PREVI) Breed POODLE STND/MALTESE Color WHITE Sex S

The following will be used to contact you in case your pet is found.
Please call us or visit our website to update your information.

Home Phone [REDACTED] Work Phone [REDACTED] Alternate Phone [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Dear Pet Owner: The metal license tag you receive when you first license your pet is permanently assigned. If a tag is not enclosed, please compare the tag number printed here to the number on your pet's license tag and notify us if they do not match.

www.petdata.com ♦ 877-816-6708

City of Roseville Animal License Receipt

License Expires 7/31/27

 **CITY OF ROSEVILLE**
CALIFORNIA
City of Roseville Animal Licensing
C/O PetData
PO Box 141929
Irving, TX 75014-1929

*Thank you for being a responsible pet owner!
Please keep this receipt as proof of your license.*

**PAULA MEDCALF-MILLER
2633 HEIRLOOM WAY
ROSEVILLE, CA 95747-9182**

License Tag No. [REDACTED] License Date 07/23/26 Fee Paid \$25.00 S/N 1Y
Rabies Vaccination Date 04/29/25 Vaccination Expiration Date 04/29/28

Pet's Name LINCOLN Breed BOXER Color FAWN Sex N

The following will be used to contact you in case your pet is found.
Please call us or visit our website to update your information.

Home Phone [REDACTED] Work Phone [REDACTED] Alternate Phone [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Dear Pet Owner: The metal license tag you receive when you first license your pet is permanently assigned. If a tag is not enclosed, please compare the tag number printed here to the number on your pet's license tag and notify us if they do not match.

www.petdata.com ♦ 877-816-6708

ATTORNEY (Name and Address): [REDACTED]		FOR COURT USE ONLY	
E-MAIL: [REDACTED]			
ATTORNEY FOR: In Propria Persona			
NAME OF COURT, JUDICIAL DISTRICT or BRANCH COURT, IF ANY: Placer County Superior Court 10820 Justice Center Drive PO Box 619072 Roseville, CA 95661-9072			
PLAINTIFF: [REDACTED]	COURT CASE NO.: [REDACTED]		
DEFENDANT: [REDACTED]	LEVYING OFFICER FILE NO.: [REDACTED]		

Proof of Service


1. At the time of the service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
 2. I served copies of the:
 - f. other (specify documents): [REDACTED]
 3. a. Party served: [REDACTED]
 4. Address where party was served: [REDACTED]
 5. I served the party:
 - a. by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of the process for the party (1) on: 11/21/2019 (2) at: 08:55 PM.
 7. Person who served:
 - a. Name: [REDACTED]
 - b. Address: [REDACTED]
 - c. Telephone Number: [REDACTED]
 - d. The fee for service was: \$ [REDACTED]
 9. I am a California sheriff or marshal and I certify that the foregoing is true and correct.
- Friday, [REDACTED]
- Hearing: [REDACTED]
- Remarks

Sheriff's Authorized Agent
DEVON BELL, Placer County Sheriff-Coroner-Marshal

Judicial Council form POS-010

Attorney/Plaintiff

0/98830



Saturday 8/1/26 @ 10:37am
Pistachio Park
4350 Westpark Drive
Roseville, CA 95747





EXHIBIT 7.3-E

**TO VIEW A COPY OF THE
EXHIBIT ON FILE,
PLEASE CONTACT THE CITY
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE**

EXHIBIT 7.3-F

CITATION APPEAL DECISION

Name of Appellant: Paula Medcalf-Miller

Citation No.: 22522

VIOLATION

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 7.12.010, Leash Law, One Count

☒

DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.

☐

GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:

☐

That the violation(s) occurred; and/or

☐

That the appellant is the responsible party.

☐

DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION but waive the fine in the interest of justice.

☐

DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the appellant failed to appear.

☐

GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant failed to appear.

☐

GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION at the request of the City/Complainant.

Name of Appellant: Paula Medcalf-Miller

Citation No.: 22522

VIOLATION

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 7.16.010, Licensing, One Count

- ☐ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
- ☐ That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
- ☐ That the appellant is the responsible party.
- ☐ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION but waive the fine in the interest of justice.
- ☐ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the appellant failed to appear.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant failed to appear.
- ☒ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION at the request of the City/Complainant.

Dated: August 11, 2026

By:



Charles Sandoval
Hearing Panel Chairperson

Notice to Appellant: Under California Government Code Section 53069.4, any appeal of today's Hearing Panel decision must be filed with Placer County Superior Court within twenty (20) days of today's date.