



AGENDA

November 10, 2020

GRANTS ADVISORY COMMISSION MEETING

5:30 p.m.

Council Chambers - (Remote)

311 Vernon Street

Roseville, CA 95678

To help minimize the spread of COVID-19, members of the public may not attend in person, but may view the meeting on Comcast channel 14, Consolidated Communications channel 73 and AT&T U-Verse. The City of Roseville meetings are also

video streamed live and are available on the City's website and YouTube channel. Members of the public may offer public comment by the following means:

Dial in Phone Number: 916-774-5353

Email: publiccomment@roseville.ca.us

If you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact Voice: 916-774-5200, TDD: 916-774-5220. Requests must be made as early as possible.

THE CITY OF ROSEVILLE WELCOMES YOUR PARTICIPATION

If an agenda item is open to public comment, such public comment shall be addressed to the chair of the meeting.

Public Comment - Speakers have three minutes under Public Comment to speak on issues that are not listed on the agenda and are within the City's jurisdiction. The Brown Act does not permit any action or discussion on items not listed on the agenda.

Consent Calendar - If applicable, the Consent Calendar consists of routine items that may be approved by one motion. Any person can remove an item from the Consent Calendar to be discussed separately.

Agenda Items - Speakers have five minutes to address items that are listed on the agenda.

Americans with Disabilities Act - Notify the City Clerk or Secretary at least 72 hours in advance if special assistance is required to participate in a meeting including the need of auxiliary aids or services.

Audio/Visual Presentations - If making a presentation regarding an agenda item, audio/visual materials must be submitted to the City Clerk or Secretary at least 72 hours in advance.

Roseville City Clerk 311 Vernon Street, Roseville, CA 916-774-5200 TDD 916-774-5220

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

5. PRESENTATIONS / REVIEWS / ACTION ITEMS

5.1. Approve the Minutes of the October 13, 2020 Grants Advisory Commission Meeting

Approve the Minutes of the October 13, 2020 Grants Advisory Commission Meeting.

5.2. Approve the Formation of Subcommittee to Select a Youth Commissioner

Approve the formation of a subcommittee to select a Youth Commissioner for the 2021-Grant Funding Cycle.

5.3. Approve the Final Reports and Summary Report for the FY2019-20 Grant Funding Cycle

Approve the Final Reports and Summary Report for the FY2019-20 Grant Funding Cycle which ended with the submittals of those reports by August 31, 2020.

5.4. Approval of Final Grant Documents for the FY2021-2022 Grant Funding Cycle

Approve the Final Grant Documents for the FY2021-2022 Grant Funding Cycle.

6. PUBLIC COMMENTS

7. COMMISSIONER / STAFF REPORT

8. ADJOURNMENT



GRANTS ADVISORY COMMISSION COMMUNICATION

Title: Approve the Minutes of the October 13, 2020 Grants Advisory Commission Meeting
Contact: Melissa Hagan, 916-774-5476, mhagan@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 11/10/2020
Item #: 5.1.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff is recommending the Commission approve the minutes of the October 13, 2020 Grants Advisory Commission Meeting.

BACKGROUND

There is not background associated with this item.

Respectfully Submitted,

Melissa Hagan, Management Analyst II

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

Minutes of the October 13, 2020 GAC Meeting



MINUTES

October 13, 2020

VIDEO

GRANTS ADVISORY COMMISSION MEETING

5:30 p.m.

Council Chambers - (Remote)

311 Vernon Street

Roseville, CA 95678

To help minimize the spread of COVID-19, members of the public may not attend in person, but may view the meeting on Comcast channel 14, Consolidated Communications channel 73 and AT&T U-Verse. The City of Roseville meetings are also

video streamed live and are available on the City's website and YouTube channel. Members of the public may offer public comment by the following means:

Dial in Phone Number: 916-774-5353

Email: publiccomment@roseville.ca.us

If you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact Voice: 916-774-5200, TDD: 916-774-5220. Requests must be made as early as possible.

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Vice-Chair Carroll at 5:30 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Roll Call was taken at 5:31 p.m.

Commissioners Present:

Commissioner Flynn

Commissioner Heywood

Commissioner Lyss

Vice Chair Carroll

Commissioner Gibson

Commissioner Perez

Absent: Kriz, Sordi

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Pledge of Allegiance was recited at 5:32 p.m.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

One Public Comment was received after the review of the CBT and REACH documents began to be discussed and the comment was related to the Application and the Scoring Criteria.

This comment is discussed during the Presentations/Reviews/Action Items section of the Minutes.

5. MINUTES

5.1. Minutes for the September 8, 2020 Meeting of the Grants Advisory Commission

Adopt the Minutes of the September 8, 2020 Meeting.

Minutes were adopted at 5:36 p.m.

Chair Lyss abstained as he was not present at the September 8, 2020 meeting.

Motion by Renee Perez, seconded by Michelle Gibson, Approve Motion to Approve the Minutes of the September 8, 2020 Grants Advisory Commission Meeting. The Motion Passed.

Roll call vote: Ayes: Carroll, Flynn, Gibson, Heywood, Perez

Absent: Kriz

Abstain: Lyss

6. PRESENTATIONS / REVIEWS / ACTION ITEMS

6.1. Review of Citizens Benefit Trust Grant Documents for FY2021-2022 Grant Funding Cycle

The Grants Advisory Commission (GAC) will review the draft Citizens Benefit Trust (CBT) and Roseville Employees Annual Charitable Hearts (REACH) program documents for the upcoming FY2021-2022 grant cycle and provide edits, if necessary.

The commissioners reviewed the draft Citizens Benefit Trust and REACH documents that were edited by the subcommittee formed at the September 8, 2020 meeting and consisting of Vice-Chair Carroll, Commissioner Flynn, and Commissioner Gibson. These changes were intended to be in line with the Implementation Guidelines recommended by the Kone Consulting report. Vice-Chair Carroll went through each change with the Commissioners requesting

comments and discussion.

The changes were recommended to the Scoring Criteria, the Application, Funding Guidelines, and Policy Manual. It is worth noting that the red-line version of the documents was made available as an attachment to this item in the Agenda for the October 13, 2020 meeting which highlighted the proposed changes.

The commission also decided to have a link available to the final Kone Consulting report on the GAC website.

Add full dates to the Manual - show years as four-digit dates (xx/xx/xxxx).

Commissioner Perez thanked the subcommittee members for their hard work in editing these documents.

After the commissioners agreed to the changes, staff indicated the documents revised and brought back to the Commission in their final form at the November 10, 2020 Meeting. After the Commissions final approval, the Policy Manual will be taken to City Council for their approval.

If further changes are needed to the documents, they can be both made at the November 10th meeting and approved since the Application for the 2021-22 funding cycle will be opened on December 7, 2020, and there is no meeting scheduled before that time.

We will not be opening our meetings to the Public through Zoom by the January meeting; everything will remain status quo for the Workshop.

Public Comment received regarding the Scoring Scale related to small grants.

Discussion ensued regarding the caller's question and Commissioners can look at the Scoring Criteria again to address the issue of points awarded or not awarded for grantees who do not have additional funding. Commissioners will discuss this further at the November 10th meeting.

7. COMMISSIONER / STAFF REPORT

Vice-Chair Carroll mentioned the October 21 City Council Meeting presentation. Staff Liaison Matteoli shared with the Commission that she will be presenting the Kone Consulting Grants Advisory Commission Implementation Strategy to City Council on October 21st and encouraged the Commissioners to attend that meeting.

Vice-Chair Carroll mentioned that the Summary of Accomplishments is in draft form and will be completed for the November meeting to share with the Commissioners.

Staff Liaison Matteoli shared that at the November 10th meeting, the

Commissioners will be selecting a new Youth Commissioner. if there are any applicants, the interviews will be at 5:30 p.m. via Teams and the regular meeting will be at 7:00 p.m.

8. ADJOURNMENT

Vice-Chair Carroll requested a motion to adjourn the meeting. The meeting was adjourned at 6:27 p.m.

Motion by Ellaison Carroll, seconded by Dawn Heywood, Approve Motion to Adjourn the Meeting. The Motion Passed.

Roll call vote: Ayes: Carroll, Flynn, Gibson, Heywood, Lyss, Perez

Absent: Kriz



GRANTS ADVISORY COMMISSION COMMUNICATION

Title: Approve the Formation of Subcommittee to Select a Youth Commissioner

Contact: Laura Matteoli, lmatteoli@roseville.ca.us, 916-774-5284

Meeting Date: 11/10/2020

Item #: 5.2.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff Recommends the Grants Advisory Commission approve the formation of a subcommittee to interview prospective youth commission applicants and select a youth commissioner for the FY2021-2022 Grant Funding Cycle.

BACKGROUND

The City of Roseville, City Clerks office is currently accepting youth applications to various Boards and Commissions. The City Clerks office has a standardized schedule for applications which allows fairness and consistency for all applicants. This year the youth commission application deadline is Friday, November 13, 2020. The application deadline for the youth commissioner occurs after the next scheduled (tonight's) Grants Advisory Commission meeting wherein staff was scheduled to interview and select an applicant for the FY2021-2022 Grant Funding Cycle. Due to the delayed timing of youth commissioner applications, staff recommends the formation of a subcommittee to interview and select a youth commissioner who will be seated at the January 12, 2021 Grants Advisory Commission meeting.

Respectfully Submitted,

Laura Matteoli, Economic Development Director



GRANTS ADVISORY COMMISSION COMMUNICATION

Title: Approve the Final Reports and Summary Report for the FY2019-20 Grant Funding Cycle
Contact: Laura Matteoli, lmatteoli@roseville.ca.us / 916-774-5284

Meeting Date: 11/10/2020
Item #: 5.3.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff requests that the Grants Advisory Commission approve the final reports and the summary report for the FY2019-2020 Grant Funding Cycle. The final reports are available in ZoomGrants.

BACKGROUND

The City of Roseville Grant Agreements require that each grant recipient file a final report detailing the program status and funds spent. Final reports are important to maintain the integrity and accountability of the grant process. They are also a way for agencies to summarize their accomplishments, document their progress, and report on their expenditures.

The FY2019-20 grant recipients were emailed notifications through ZoomGrants during July and August 2020 with reminders to submit their final reports by August 31, 2020. The Grants Advisory Commissioners (GAC) can review the final reports online through ZoomGrants, under FY2019-20 Grant Application, Final Reports tab. There were two organizations that were unable to upload their Final Reports within the Final Reports tab but were uploaded under the "additional documents" tab, with staff's assistance. These organizations were RPAL and Placer Food Bank. All grant recipients for the FY2019-20 Grant Funding Cycle filed a final report.

This year the GAC requested a final report summary be prepared that highlights accomplishments for each grant recipient. Please see Attachment 1 for a summary of grantee accomplishments for FY2019-2020 Grant Funding Cycle. Once approved, the new Final Report summary/ accomplishments will be posted on the Grants webpage and shared with City Council.

Respectfully Submitted,

Laura Matteoli, Economic Development Director

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

Funding Summary

Grant Advisory Commission

Citizens Benefit Trust & Roseville Employees
Annual Charitable Hearts



A total of \$362,100 in grant funds were awarded to

\$362,100

15

organizations for use from
July 2019 to June 2020.

ORGANIZATION	GRANT FUNDS USED	ACCOMPLISHMENTS	SUCCESS MEASURES
	\$20,000	Provided 29 Disability Awareness Workshops to 1,224 Roseville City students	Survey of students, parents and teachers
	\$45,000	Partially funded employment or housing for over 850 individuals in Placer County, including over 30 Roseville residents, and also provided assistance with utilities, groceries and case management	Number of participants who have increased income and obtained housing
 with the Tommy Apostolos Fund	\$45,500	Partially funded clothing for 867 school age children in Roseville	Survey of participating families
	\$25,000	Partially funded navigation assistance to 120 Roseville residents (patients & family members) to help them access resources and peer support for childhood cancer	Survey of parents reporting adherence to their child's cancer treatment plan
	\$10,000	Provided counseling assistance to 101 Roseville families with children to help them access resources and peer support for their children who have been diagnosed with cancer	Evidence-based measurement tools such as the Beck's Depression Inventory, ACE's Questionnaire, FAM III Assessments, and pre/post questionnaires
	\$18,000	Funded the Music in the Park concert series consisting of 6 free events from June through September 2019 for Roseville and area residents	Attendance and increased social media presence
	\$20,000	Partially funded serving 708 Roseville residents the equivalent of 44,519 meals including 30,358 pounds of fresh produce.	Number of people served and food distributed

ORGANIZATION	GRANT FUNDS USED	ACCOMPLISHMENTS	SUCCESS MEASURES
	\$10,000	Partially funded the volunteer chaplains who responded to over 37 calls for service to assist Roseville citizens	Being trusted to respond effectively
	\$10,000	Partially funded services to 253 walk in veterans including Medical, Dental and Vision care for 240 patients, three meals a day for participants and volunteers, and veterinary services to 42 dogs and 19 cats	Number of participants served and impact on homeless & at risk veterans in Roseville
	\$15,000	Partially funded a summer program for 123 area students	Pre- and post- surveys of participants
	\$30,000	Partially funded the food locker program which had 26,900 clients from across Placer County	Annual survey of clients
Sierra College Foundation 	\$25,000	Provided over 150 Care Packs to former foster youth attending Sierra College which included school supplies, hygiene items and Walmart gift cards	Number of former foster youth who stay in school and graduate
	\$30,000	Provided partial funding for 219 Roseville residents with 12,734 services including crisis intervention, legal advocacy, temporary restraining orders, and accompaniment to court, therapy sessions and Safe House assistance	Ability to provide assistance to those who need services and client surveys
 providing a hand up, not a handout	\$50,000	Partially funded services to 700 unduplicated guests from across Placer County and more than 55,000 bed nights of care	Number of homeless guests who exit to a positive housing situation
The Lazarus Project 	\$8,600	Partially funded housing for 22 homeless individuals from across Placer County	Through outcome data forms



GRANTS ADVISORY COMMISSION COMMUNICATION

Title: Approval of Final Grant Documents for the FY2021-2022 Grant Funding Cycle
Contact: Laura Matteoli, lmatteoli@roseville.ca.us / 916-774-5284

Meeting Date: 11/10/2020

Item #: 5.4.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff requests the Grants Advisory Commission (GAC) approve the following documents for the FY2021-2022 Grant Funding Cycle and authorize staff to make additional revisions to the documents necessary to implement the program, as recommended by the Commission:

- Citizens Benefit Trust (CBT) Policy Manual
- Citizens Benefit Trust (CBT) Funding Guidelines for FY2021-2022
- Roseville Employees Annual Charitable Hearts (REACH) Guidelines for FY 2021-2022
- Scoring Criteria for CBT FY2021-2022
- Grant Application and Documents for CBT/REACH FY 2021-2022

BACKGROUND

The purpose of this report today is to provide a final review of the FY2021-2022 Grant Funding Cycle program documents. At the October 13, 2020 Grants Advisory Commission (GAC) meeting, the Commission reviewed the draft documents and recommended revisions. At this time, staff recommends that the Commission review the final annual grant documents for the FY2021-2022 grant funding cycle which include:

- Citizens Benefit Trust (CBT) Policy Manual, Attachment 1
- Citizens Benefit Trust (CBT) Funding Guidelines for FY2021-2022, Attachment 2
- Roseville Employees Annual Charitable Hearts (REACH) Guidelines for FY 2021-2022, Attachment 3
- Scoring Criteria for CBT FY2021-2022, Attachment 4
- Grant Application and Documents for CBT/REACH FY 2021-2022, Attachment 5

Should the Commission have additional revisions please direct staff to make those minor revisions and finalize program documents.

Please note: The CBT Policy Manual will be forwarded to City Council for review and approval.

Respectfully Submitted,

Laura Matteoli, Economic Development Director

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

Attachment 1 - CBT Policy Manual 11.18.2020

Attachment 2 - CBT Funding Guidelines for FY2021-2022

Attachment 3 - REACH Funding Guidelines for FY2021-2022

Attachment 4 - Scoring Criteria & Scale for CBT for FY2021-2022

Attachment 5 - CBT Application & Documents for FY2021-2022

**City of Roseville
Citizens' Benefit Trust
Policy Manual**



**adopted by the
Roseville Grants Advisory Commission**

**September 12, 2000
amended**

November 12, 2002

December 3, 2003

November 9, 2004

November 8, 2005

November 18, 2008

December 19, 2012

October 8, 2013

February 17, 2016

June 21, 2017

November 18, 2020

Policy Manual

Table of Contents

Purpose	3
Chronology of Citizens' Benefit Trust.....	4
Adopted Policies of the Grants Advisory Commission & Roseville City Council.....	7
Criteria for Grant Applicants.....	8
Original Criteria and Application Submittal Requirements.....	8
Americans with Disabilities Act Compliance.....	8
Bids for Capital Items.....	8
Funding for Religious or Sectarian Activities Prohibited.....	9
Grant recipients located in Roseville	9
Ownership or Long-term Lease of Property Improved with Grant Funds.....	9
Compliance with California Prevailing Wage Law – SB 975/AB 2690.....	9
Tax Status.....	10
Process-Related Policies.....	11
Annual Review	11
Recipient Reporting	11
Appeal of Grant Advisory Commission Decision	11
Funds Expended within One Year	12
Line Item Review.....	12
Applicant Workshop.....	12
Process to Amend or Extend Timeframe of Approved Grants.....	12
Site Visits.....	13
Application Review and Process.....	13
Funding Policies.....	14
City Projects.....	14
Categories and Target Maximum Amount.....	14
Funding Priorities.....	14
Matching Funds Not Required.....	15
Multiple Applications from One Agency.....	15
Multiple Year Grants	16
Perpetual Funding	16
Fund Investing & Funding Source.....	16
Grant requests from Schools	16
Appendices.....	17
A: Ordinance 3388	
B: Application Guidelines	
C: Application	
D: Finance Department Audit Form (Request for Funds and Report of Expenditures) – form retired after 2007/08 funding cycle	
E: Grant Agreement	
F: Request for Amendment to Grant Application	
G: Memorandum from Deputy City Attorney Bob Schmitt to Assistant to the City Manager Julia Burrows dated September 20, 2002 regarding SB 975 and the Citizens' Benefit Trust	
H: Memorandum from Associate City Attorney Michele Sheidenberger dated August 31, 2004 regarding AB 2690 and the Citizens' Benefit Trust	
I: 2013 Historical Assessment of the Roseville Grant Program	

J: [Implementation Strategies](#) for 2019/20 Kone' Consulting's [Community Needs Assessment \(CNA\)](#)

**City of Roseville
Citizens' Benefit Trust
Policy Manual**

Purpose

The purpose of the Citizens' Benefit Trust Policy Manual is to:

- ◆ Document the policy decisions of the Grants Advisory Commission and Roseville City Council regarding the Citizens' Benefit Trust by year and by topic;
- ◆ Serve as a reference for new Grants Advisory Commissioners and Roseville City Councilmembers as to program implementation; and
- ◆ Answer questions from the public regarding the grants process, including questions from potential grant applicants, and current grant recipients.

Chronology of Citizens' Benefit Trust

Jun 1, 1994	Grants Advisory Commission established by Ordinance 2774. Hospital Proceeds Fund Established by Ordinance number 2788. Chapter 4.06 is added to the Roseville Municipal Code to establish the Hospital Proceeds Fund.
Jul 12, 1994	City Council approves criteria and first grant application.
Jan 25, 1995	Joint City Council/Grants Advisory Commission Workshop to discuss Grant application process, including estimated timeframe for processing grant applications and restricting grant funds to benefit Roseville residents only for specific project, rather than general funding; no funding for administrative costs, audit procedures following Grant awards; procedures to notice Commission meetings and interviews with applicants.
Sep 10, 1997	Joint City Council/Grants Advisory Commission Workshop to discuss grant consideration process, appeal opportunities, award process, stewardship of funds, application form changes, multiple year funding, auditing, collaboration of organizations, grant requests for capital projects, city projects or from agencies outside the city.
Dec 16, 1998	City Council approves formal process to consider modifications to approved grants and adoption of marketing program for the Citizens' Benefit Trust.
Aug 18, 1999	Ordinance 3388 adopted by the Roseville City Council amending Chapter 4.06 of the Roseville Municipal Code. Amendments include: 1. Name change from Hospital Proceeds Fund to Citizens' Benefit Trust to reflect opportunity for donations to the fund from the community rather than limited principal amount from sale of municipal hospital. 2. Amendment to Section 4.04.040, Expenditures of Money, to indicate unappropriated amounts may be carried forward to subsequent fiscal years, or at the discretion of the City, may be added to the principal.
Jul 10, 1999	Joint City Council/Grants Advisory Commission Workshop to discuss policies related to requirement for matching funds, grant funding for leased vs. owned property, perpetual funding, monitoring/annual reports, and Ad Hoc Committee.
Nov 3, 1999	City Council adopts policies related to issues from joint Commission/Council workshop.
Dec 3, 2003	City Council approves Grants Advisory Commission recommendations for funding criteria and process improvements following three workshops by the Commission and the first REACH/Enron funding cycle.

Dec 15, 2004	City Council approved Grants Advisory Commission recommendations for revisions as a result of AB 2690 – repealing the requirement that volunteers be paid prevailing wages and eliminating the mandatory workshop.
December 19, 2012	The City Council approved a financial report on the Citizens' Benefit Trust (CBT) and a work plan for a comprehensive grants policy review.
May 29, 2014	GIM to the City Council regarding completion of phase 1 in the Comprehensive Grants Review Process – Historical Assessment Report of the Roseville Grants program.
April 20, 2015	Joint City Council/Grants Advisory Commission Workshop to discuss policies relating to administration of the Trust and Trust investment and program options.
December 16, 2015	City Council considered and reaffirmed: 1. Retention of the Citizens' Benefit Trust (CBT) with the City to be invested and administered by the City. 2. Retention of the Grants Program and Commission with administration by the City. 3. Revision of the Citizens' Benefit Trust (CBT) Policy Manual and Guidelines, as follows: a) Accept investment strategy revisions expanding strategy consistent with Government Codes. b) Reaffirm smoothing strategy to hold back proceeds in excess of \$500K and establish new policy for annually utilizing proceeds in excess of \$500K for smoothing or special projects or community benefits. c) Reaffirm the nine (9) funding program categories. d) Retain limits on school districts and schools, as approved by the Grants Commission. e) Continue policy that the City Manager, or his designee, approve grants submitted for City requests. f) Confirm no giving priorities with each funding request to be evaluated on its own merits. g) Establish a policy for an annual needs assessment for Council to consider and establish priorities for the coming funding cycle.
June 17, 2017	City Council approved revisions to Grants Policy Documents to streamline program procedures relating to administration of Grants program. Additionally, Grants have been focused and aligned to solely private schools and non-profits entities.

November 18, 2020. City Council approved new [Implementation Strategies](#) provided by the Grants Advisory Commission based on findings from the [Community Needs Assessment](#) by Kone Consulting. Changes include:

- 1.) Service Categories
- 2.) Funding priorities
- 3.) Collaboration
- 4.) Promoting Outcomes
- 5.) Measuring Success
- 6.) Scoring Methodologies

Adopted Policies of the Grants Advisory Commission and Roseville City Council 1994-2020
--

Criteria for Grant Applicants

Original Criteria and Application Submittal Requirements (6/1994, 2/2016)
Americans with Disabilities Act Compliance (1/1999)
Bids Required for Capital Items to be Included (1/1996, 10/1999)
Funding for Religious or Sectarian Activities Prohibited (1/1999)
Grant recipients located in Roseville (9/1997)
Ownership or Long-term Lease of Property Improved by Grant Funds (11/1999)
Compliance with State of California Prevailing Wage Law – SB 975 (11/2002) & AB 2690 (12/2004)
Tax Status (12/2003)

Process-Related Policies

Annual Review (10/2013, 6/2017)
Recipient Reporting (11/1999)
Appeal of Grant Advisory Commission Decision (9/1997, 6/2017)

Funds Expended within One Year (8/1997)
Line Item Review (11/1999)
Applicant Workshop (9/1997)
Process to Amend or Extend Timeframe of Approved Grants (12/1998)
Site Visits (12/2003)
Application Review and Process (12/2003, 2/2016, 6/2017)

Funding Policies

Categories and Target Maximum Amount (9/1997, 12/2003, 2/2016, 6/2017, 11/2020)
Priority Areas (11/2020)
Matching Funds Not Required (11/1999)
Multiple Applications from One Agency (12/2003, 6/2017)
Multiple Year Grants (9/1997, 12/2003)
Perpetual Funding (11/1999)
Fund Investing and Funding Source (11/2005, 2/2016)
Funding for Schools (11/2008, 6/2017)

Criteria for Grant Applicants

Original Criteria and Application Submittal Requirements

Adopted July 1994
Revised February 2016

The original criteria and application form were established by the Grant Evaluation Criteria Subcommittee in 1994. The subcommittee conducted public meetings on May 24, June 14, and June 27 to discuss and revise the guidelines and presented their draft, adopted by the Commission on June 27th to the City Council on July 6, 1994.

The criteria included a points/rating system that was not adopted by the Commission. A majority of the questions in the current application were as originally drafted. New policies that have amended the application over time are discussed in this manual.

In January 2014, the Grants Advisory Commission approved, and made a requirement that all, Grant Applications be submitted via an online web-based program.

Americans with Disabilities Act Compliance

Adopted January 1999

The Commission added a question to the application form requesting specific information regarding compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. The City is not allowed to fund programs or services by agencies that do not comply with ADA. Applicants are also required to comply with state disability laws, which in many cases are more stringent than the federal ADA law.

Bids Required for Capital Items

Adopted January 1996
Revised October 1999
Revised February 2016

In January 1996, the Commission adopted a requirement for applicants to submit bids for capital expenditures. Capital projects costing \$2,499 and under must have 3 documented telephone bids. Capital items costing \$2,500 and above must have 3 written bids included. Bids do not have to be awarded to the lowest bidder; bids should be awarded to the most responsible bidder.

This language was modified in October 1999 by the Commission and approved by the City Council based on input from grant applicants. In some instances, three bids are not available for specialized equipment that may be manufactured by only one or two companies. Also, in most cases, telephone, online and written bids are only valid for 30 to 60 days or less; the grant application process runs from February to June (120 days) for final approval, which extends beyond the expiration date for the bids. The bids are still to be included in the packet, but with notations if less than three bids are included.

Bid Requirements: Individual items costing \$250 - \$2,499 require 3 documented telephone, online or written cost estimates. Individual items \$2,500 or greater require 3 written cost estimates. Individual items less than \$250 do not require an estimate.

Funding for Religious or Sectarian Activities Prohibited**Adopted January 1999**

In January 1999, the Commission added a question to the application form asking if funding would be used to support any religious or sectarian activities. The City is allowed to fund religious organizations, but the activity, project or program cannot include the promotion a particular religious belief. The City is prohibited from funding religious activities based on laws governing the separation of church and state.

Grant Recipients Located in Roseville**Adopted September 1997**

The Commission and Council specified that grant recipients are to be located in Roseville rather than previous wording stating that grant recipients are to be “local.” In practice, this has been interpreted by the Commission to mean that grant recipients that provide service in Roseville, but may have headquarters or offices in other locations, are eligible for funding.

**Ownership or Long-Term Lease for Properties
Improved by Grant Funds****Adopted November 1999
Revised February 2016**

Concerns were raised during the 1999-2000 grant funding cycle regarding the investment in properties by grant applicants who do not own the property. The public funds are therefore making the property of a private citizen more valuable. Grant applicants are required to submit ownership documents and/or copies of lease agreements that reveal how long the property will be leased by the applicant. With long-term leases, the value of any capital improvements will depreciate over time and benefit the grant recipients directly. The Commission recommended and the Council adopted a policy to review the applicants with long-term leases on a case-by-case basis. Staff is to look at the amount of grant funding requested and the use of the funds in particular.

Compliance with California Prevailing Wage Law – SB 975**Adopted November 2002
Revised December 2004
Revised February 2016**

The California Labor Code as amended by SB 975 in 2000 affects applicants to the Citizens' Benefit Trust in two ways: the requirement to pay prevailing wages for public or private works of improvement that receive public funds and the use of volunteer labor.

By Interoffice Memorandum from the City Attorney's Office to the City Manager's Office dated September 20, 2002, the attorney clearly states applicants must comply with the SB 975. The memo details the policy for the State Prevailing Wage Laws and the Applicability to Volunteer Labor. The memorandum is attached as Appendix G to the Policy Manual.

By Interoffice Memorandum from the City Attorney's office to the City Manager's office dated August 31, 2004, the requirement that prevailing wages be paid to volunteers on public works projects was repealed by urgency legislation signed by the Governor.

Under the new law, AB 2690, the requirement to pay prevailing wages does not apply to volunteers, volunteer coordinators, or members of the California Conservation Corps or Community Conservation Corps. Although a volunteer cannot be paid, the volunteer may receive reasonable meals, lodging, transportation, and incidental expenses or nominal non-monetary awards without losing volunteer status if those benefits and payments are not a substitute form of compensation for work performed. The Memorandum is attached as Appendix H to the Policy Manual.

State Prevailing Wage Laws. Any grant applicant receiving \$1,000 or greater in public monies, in this case, Citizens' Benefit Trust, must pay prevailing wages to all workers employed on public works. Public works actually includes any public or private work of improvement, and is defined as "construction, alteration, demolition, installation, or repair work done under contract and paid for in whole or in part out of public funds." (Labor Code Section 1720 (a)(1)).

Exceptions to the law include public utility improvements, carpet installation, transportation projects, and certain residential and low-income housing projects. (Labor Code Section 1720(a)).

An applicant or their advisor may request the Director of Industrial Relations to provide an opinion as to the applicability of SB 975 to their project. Previous opinions and current prevailing wages can be found at the state agency's web-site: www.dir.ca.gov.

Tax Status

Adopted December 2003
June 2017

The Grants Advisory Commission is recommending that only agencies with the following tax status be eligible for funding.

- 501(C) 3
- 501(C) 4
- Private schools

Applications from agencies that are not within one of these categories will be ineligible. For example, 501 (C) (6) are not eligible because 1) by definition they exist primarily to serve their members rather than the community at large and 2) they can lobby and take political positions on issues, thus creating potential for conflict of interest.

Process-Related Policies

Annual Review

Adopted October 2013
Revised February 2016
Revised June 2017

The Grants Staff Liaison will annually review the Grant Application and Guidelines, and make revisions to improve efficiencies. The Grant Application and Guidelines shall reflect, but is not limited to: 1) current funds available; and 2) Council priorities, if identified.

Recipient Reporting

Adopted November 1999
Revised November 2005, 2008
Revised February 2016

Beginning with the 2009-10 funding cycle, applicants who fail to submit a Final Report for a grant received from the preceding funding year are not eligible to apply during the subsequent funding year, or any future funding cycle until at least one year after the Final Report has been submitted.

Beginning with the 2006-2007 funding cycle, each recipient is required to submit a report describing the expenditures and accomplishments achieved with the grant funds. The report is due within 30 days of the end of the grant period. In addition, the Commission at its discretion may also require a grant recipient to submit a "mid-year" report due six months from the grantee's initial receipt of funds.

Appeal of Grant Advisory Commission Decision

Adopted September 1997
Revised June 2017

There is no appeal of Grant eligibility made by the Grants Staff Liaison or allocation recommendation made by the Commission. Final allocation decisions are made by the City Council.

Funds Expended within One Year

Adopted August 1997

Based upon several requests from agencies that funds be carried over to another fiscal year, or be used for additional purposes, the Commission voted to revise all forms stating that funds must be expended during the fiscal year for which the grant was included in the City budget. The Citizens' Benefit Trust contract was also amended to state that all funds must be spent within one year.

Line Item Review

Adopted November 1999

The City Council reaffirmed a standing practice that individual grant applications may be reviewed by the City Council following the recommendations being forwarded by the Grants Advisory Commission. The City Council reviews the recommendations as part of the annual budget adoption in June of each year. Councilmembers may "pull" individual grant applications for discussion, questions, and separate action.

Applicant Workshop

Adopted September 1997
Revised November 2004
Revised February 2016

The Grants Advisory Commission strongly encourages that a representative from each applying agency attend the applicant workshop, held on the 2nd Tuesday of January.

Process to Amend or Extend Timeframe of Approved Grants

Adopted December 1998

In September 1998, the Commission determined that a formal process should be established to clearly define the requirements in the event a change to an approved grant was requested by a grant recipient. Through the work of Policy Subcommittee members, Sylvia Besana, Carol Garcia, and Susan Rohan, guidelines and a standard form were drafted for use by future applicants. Appendix F includes the Guidelines and Form approved by the City Council on December 16, 1998. As stated in the Guidelines, the City does not encourage amendments to approved grants. Circumstances may warrant flexibility by the Grants Commission and the City Council; however, only if the criteria established by the Policy Subcommittee are met and the amendment process is followed.

Site Visits

Adopted December 2003
Revised February 2016

The Commission reached consensus to NOT conduct site visits during the application period (January through May) and to limit visits to other times during the year.

If individual Grants Commissioners visit applicants during the process of reviewing grants, they may receive information in addition to that contained in the written application that is not received by the other Commissioners. The City's criteria specifically states the grant will be awarded based upon the written materials provided to the Commission for its consideration.

Application Review and Process

Adopted December 2003
Modified October 2013
Revised February 2016
Revised June 2017

The Grants Commission Staff Liaison shall review and either approve or disqualify each grant application. Staff will make their decisions based upon the materials submitted by the agency and/or organization. Grant applications submitted without required and/or complete documents will be disqualified. Grant applicants do not have the right to appeal disqualifications.

The Grants Advisory Commission will meet in the Council Chambers on the second Tuesday of April to review and recommend final funding for each grant application.

Funding Policies

City Projects

Adopted September 1997
Reaffirmed October 1999
Revised February 2016

Due to the limited amount of funding in the community and the high level of local need for qualifying projects, City projects and programs will no longer qualify for funding.

Categories and Target Maximum Amount

Adopted September 1997
Revised December 2003
Revised February 2016
Revised June 2017
Revised November 2020

In keeping with the original intent of the sale of the Roseville hospital to “Improve the Quality of Life for the Citizens of Roseville”, Service Categories have been aligned with Social Determinants of Health as interpreted in the Kone Consulting community workshops with the goal of making Roseville a healthier community. The City Council approved updated funding Service Categories as follows:

- Neighborhood and Physical Environment – Improved access to safe and affordable housing for all
- Food – Increased access to healthier food options for lower income communities
- Health and Wellness – Improved physical, mental, and behavioral health and wellness
- Community and Social Context – Improved access to arts and cultural resources for all and a kinder, more inclusive and connected community
- Education – More vocational training and educational opportunities for all ages

For a more detailed definition of previously approved CBT Categories, please refer to the 2013 Historical Assessment of the Roseville Grant Program (Appendix I). At its discretion, the Grants Advisory Commission, with action by the City Council, may wish to prioritize the above-stated categories for CBT funding for a given application year.

Funding Priorities

Adopted November 2020

The target goal is to divide the grants awards among the three areas described below. The Commission will work to fund grant requests to the extent it is reasonable based on funds available, the applications received and the consensus on merit of the proposals in a given year.

The City Council approved the addition of the following funding priorities:

1. Strategic, one-time grants for innovative projects or programs, capital improvements or new initiatives. Examples of these “special” grants may be:
 - o • **New Initiatives** – Applicant identifies a need, service, or opportunity in the community that could offer an enhanced and improved quality of life or experience in Roseville.
 - o • **Innovative Ideas** – Based on models from other areas or agencies and attempting to take a new approach in providing opportunity to enhance or improve quality of life or experience in Roseville.
 - o • **One-time requests** – If applicants need special consideration on a single request basis.
2. Operational/Mission support grants for programs or projects that demonstrate effectiveness addressing a community need. Grant recipient can reapply in future years for this priority area.
3. Smaller requests of up to \$10,000 which can go towards either new programs/projects or support existing operations which have demonstrated effectiveness at meeting community needs.

Matching Funds Not Required

Adopted November 1999

The City Council approved the Grants Advisory Commission recommendation that matching funds not be required of grant applicants. Mandating that matching funds be required would limit the application numbers and the flexibility of the Commission and Council to award grants to worthy applicants. Matching funds will be a component of the evaluation by Commissioners and Council in determining which applicants have demonstrated their commitment to a project, but will not be mandatory.

Multiple Applications from One Agency

Affirmed December 2003
Revised November 2004
Revised June 2017

The Commission agreed that the practice of allowing multiple grant applications from a single agency should not be continued. The City Council has directed the Commission to issue fewer larger grants.

Multiple Year Grants

Adopted September 1997
Reaffirmed November 2020

The Grants Advisory Commission and City Council discussed, but voted against allowing grant applicants to apply for funding beyond one fiscal year. Funding is only available for the fiscal year in which the grant is approved.

The Commission and Council affirmed this policy after discussion in 2020.

Perpetual Funding

Adopted November 1999
Reaffirmed December 2003

The City Council approved the Grants Advisory Commission recommendation that no limit be set on the number of years a grant applicant may receive funding. Grant applicants will be judged on their individual merit each year.

The Commission and Council affirmed this policy after discussion in 2003. There are several agencies that receive repetitive funding from the Citizens' Benefit Fund. In fact, five organizations have received funding in all of the past ten funding cycles, and six organizations have received funding in eight of the last ten funding cycles. The Commission agreed that because the agencies provide worthy services to the community and Commissioners do an independent evaluation each year, there should not be a limit on repetitive funding.

Fund Investing & Funding Source

Adopted November 2005
Revised February 2016

Grant Funds shall be invested in accordance with the Government Code §53601, as determined by the City's Chief Financial Officer or his designee.

Grant Fund proceeds in excess of \$500,000 shall be retained for smoothing during lean years and/or special project or community benefits, as approved by the City Council.

The Grants Advisory Commission may award grants from multiple funding sources. In the event that an applicant meets funding criteria for more than one funding source, the Commission has the discretion to make awards from any or all funds for which any individual applicant is eligible.

Grant Requests from Schools

Adopted November 2008
Revised June 2017

Private School and non-profit Parent-Teacher Clubs, Booster Clubs and non-profit school-based programs are eligible to apply and are limited to one grant request per site.

Appendices

Related Ordinances - Appendix A

Funding Guidelines for Current Year - Appendix B

Grant Application for Current Year - Appendix C

Request for Funds & Report of Expenditures - Appendix D

Grant Agreement - Appendix E

Request for Amendment to CBF Grant - Appendix F

Memorandum re: SB975 and Citizens' Benefit Fund - Appendix G

Memorandum re: AB 2690 and Citizens' Benefit Fund - Appendix H

2013 Historical Assessment of the Roseville Grant Program – Appendix I

Implementation Strategy 2019/20 Kone' Consulting's CNA - Appendix J



Citizens' Benefit Trust 2021-2022 FUNDING GUIDELINES

History and Purpose	The Citizens' Benefit Trust was established on June 4, 1993 with the proceeds from the sale of Roseville Community Hospital. Each year up to ninety percent of the interest from these funds may be distributed to non-profit organizations. The purpose of the Citizens' Benefit Trust is to assist in improving the quality of life for the citizens of the City of Roseville.
Funds Available	The Roseville Grants Advisory Commission has approximately \$357,700 in the Citizens' Benefit Trust to distribute to agencies "to improve the quality of life for the citizens of the City of Roseville". Citizens' Benefit Trust are limited, and demand is high. The City is looking to fund a limited number of high impact projects and programs that support collaboration and result in measurable outcomes.
Targeted Maximum Awards	There are no maximum funding awards. Private Schools including Parent Teacher Club's PTC's, Preschools, Booster Clubs, etc. should submit <i>only one application per school site</i> .
Eligibility	Private schools and non-profit 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organizations serving citizens of Roseville are eligible to apply. Grants will not be made for religious purposes/activities or to organizations that discriminate on the basis of race, religion, color, national origin, ancestry, physical or mental disability, veteran status, medical condition, marital status, age, sex, or sexual orientation. Grants will not be made for religious or sectarian activities. Applicants who failed to submit a Final Report from the prior funding year (2019-2020 funding cycle) are not eligible to apply during the 2021-2022 funding cycle.
Disqualification	The Grants Staff Liaison makes the decision based upon the written materials submitted by your organizations. Applications submitted without required and/or complete documents will be disqualified.
Public Documents	All grants submitted to the City become public documents and are available to the public for review. If you would like a section or your entire application kept confidential, please mark the top of the application "CONFIDENTIAL" and the City Attorney's office will review your request. Examples of documents that may qualify include those with reference to trade secrets or home addresses of Board Members. Staff will contact the applicant within 10 days of submittal of a request for confidentiality to provide a response to the request.
Roseville Business License	The Roseville Municipal Code requires anyone conducting business within the City of Roseville to have a current business license on file with the City of Roseville. This includes non-profits doing business or providing services in Roseville. Forms are available at the Finance counter in the Roseville Civic Center. The City of Roseville will waive the license fee for non-profit organizations however there is a \$1.00 State fee that will be collected. An active Roseville business license is required for a complete application. Applicants without a business license will be disqualified.

State Prevailing Wage Laws	All Works of Improvement within the State of California that receive public funds in an amount greater than \$1,000 are subject to prevailing wage laws. Prevailing wage law was detailed in SB975 and became effective January 1, 2001. The law requires that any "construction, alteration, demolition, installation, or repair work done under contract and paid for in whole or in part out of public funds" must pay laborers the general prevailing wage rate. Grant applicants should read California Labor Code Sections 1771 and 1720 for more information regarding prevailing wage laws and refer to the State Department of Industrial Relations website at www.dir.ca.gov . Applicants are also encouraged to consult with their attorneys and/or accountants to ensure that the proposed project will include the documentation and resources to demonstrate compliance with state law.
Funding, Limitations and Reporting	The Grants Advisory Commission will make its recommendations in April 2021 with final action by the City Council in May 2021. Grant funds are expected to be available to successful applicants on or after July 14, 2021, following submittal of the signed Grant Agreement to the City Manager's Office. Awards are made for one year only and in no way imply that funding will be awarded in subsequent years. Grant funds must be used for the purpose specified in the grant application. Grant funds are intended to supplement, not supplant funding or programs that already exist. All funds must be spent by June 30, 2022, or returned to the City. Final Reports should include details regarding project expenditures and results. Failure to provide a Final Report by August 31, 2022 will disqualify an applicant from the next funding cycle for which the applicant would otherwise be eligible.
Dates and Deadlines	December 07, 2020: Link to online Grant Application available on the City's main webpage and at www.roseville.ca.us/grants January 12 2021: Applicant Workshop at 5:30pm in the Roseville Council Chambers, 311 Vernon Street. Applicants are STRONGLY ADVISED to attend the workshop to receive instruction and understand any modifications that have been made to the application and application process. Meeting is also televised. February 8, 2021: Completed Grant Applications <u>must</u> be submitted online at www.zoomgrants.com by 5:00 p.m. PST
For more information	Visit the City's Grants website at www.roseville.ca.us/grants or contact Melissa Hagan, Secretary to Grants Advisory Commission, at mhagan@roseville.ca.us or (916) 774-5476.



Roseville Employees Annual Charitable Hearts Fund 2021-2022 FUNDING GUIDELINES

History and Purpose	The R.E.A.C.H. Fund is a special fund that was established in March 2003 when the City of Roseville established a City-directed Employee Charitable Giving Program comprised of contributions by Roseville city employees, retirees and businesses in our community. 100% of the donated funds may be distributed to non-profit organizations to assist in improving the quality of life for youth, families and seniors in Placer County .
Funds Available	The Roseville Grants Advisory Commission is projected to have approximately \$2,500 - \$4,000 in funds from contributions by Roseville city employees, retirees and businesses in our community.
Targeted maximum awards	There are no maximum funding awards. Private Schools including Parent Teacher Club's PTC's, Preschools, Booster Clubs, etc. should submit only one application per school site.
Eligibility	Private schools and non-profit 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organizations are eligible to apply. The R.E.A.C.H. Committee, comprised of employees from every department in the City, determined funds are to benefit youth, families and seniors in Placer County. Grants will not be made for religious purposes/activities or to organizations that discriminate on the basis of race, religion, color, national origin, ancestry, physical or mental disability, veteran status, medical condition, marital status, age, sex or sexual orientation. The 19 organizations* designated for direct employee contributions are not eligible to apply for R.E.A.C.H. funds. *(KidsFirst, American Cancer Society, Make-A-Wish, Stand Up Placer, Placer County Law Enforcement Chaplaincy, United Cerebral Palsy/Saddle Pals, Placer SPCA, Sacramento Fire Chaplaincy, SAFE KIDS Placer County, American Red Cross, Sacramento Ronald McDonald House, American Diabetes Association, Foothills Habitat for Humanity, Shriners Hospital for Children Northern California, Placer Food Bank, United Way, Zafia's Family House, Roseville Police Activities League, Roseville Fire Museum) Applicants who failed to submit a Final Report from the prior funding year (2019--2020 funding cycle) are not eligible to apply during the 2021-22 funding cycle.
Disqualification	The Grants Advisory Commission makes its decisions based upon the written materials submitted by your organizations. Applications submitted without required documents will be disqualified.
Public Documents	All grants submitted to the City become public documents and are available to the public for review. If you would like a section or your entire application kept confidential, please mark the top of the application "CONFIDENTIAL" and the City Attorney's office will review your request. Examples of documents that may qualify include those with reference to trade secrets or home addresses of Board Members. Staff will contact the applicant within 10 days of submittal of a request for confidentiality to provide a response to the request.
Roseville Business License	The Roseville Municipal Code requires anyone conducting business within the City of Roseville to have a current business license on file with the City of Roseville. This includes non-profits doing business or providing services in Roseville. Forms are available at the Finance counter in the Roseville Civic Center. The City of Roseville will waive the license fee for non-profit organizations however there is a \$1.00 State fee that will be collected. An active Roseville business license is required for a complete application. Applicants without a business license will be disqualified.

State Prevailing Wage Laws	All Works of Improvement within the State of California that receive public funds in an amount greater than \$1,000 are subject to prevailing wage laws. Prevailing wage law was detailed in SB975 and became effective January 1, 2001. The law requires that any “construction, alteration, demolition, installation, or repair work done under contract and paid for in whole or in part out of public funds” must pay laborers the general prevailing wage rate. Grant applicants should read California Labor Code Sections 1771 and 1720 for more information regarding prevailing wage laws and refer to the State Department of Industrial Relations website at www.dir.ca.gov . Applicants are also encouraged to consult with their attorneys and/or accountants to ensure that the proposed project will include the documentation and resources to demonstrate compliance with state law.
Funding, Limitations and Reporting	The Grants Advisory Commission will make its recommendations in April 2021 with final action by the City Council in May 2021. Grant funds are expected to be available to successful applicants on or after July 14, 2021, following submittal of the signed Grant Agreement to the City Manager’s Office. Awards are made for one year only and in no way imply that funding will be awarded in subsequent years. Grant funds must be used for the purpose specified in the grant application. Grant funds are intended to supplement, not supplant funding or programs that already exist. All funds must be spent by June 30, 2022, or returned to the City. Final Reports should include details regarding project expenditures and results. Failure to provide a Final Report by August 31, 2022 will disqualify an applicant from the next funding cycle for which the applicant would otherwise be eligible.
Dates and Deadlines	December 7, 2020: Link to online Grant Application available on the City’s main webpage and at www.roseville.ca.us/grants January 12, 2021: Applicant Workshop at 5:30pm in the Roseville Council Chambers, 311 Vernon Street. Applicants are STRONGLY ADVISED to attend the workshop to receive instruction and understand the modifications that have been made to the application and application requirements. Meeting is also televised. February 8, 2021: Completed Grant Applications <u>must</u> be submitted online at www.zoomgrants.com by 5:00 p.m. PST
For more information	Visit the City’s Grants website at www.roseville.ca.us/grants or contact Melissa Hagan, Secretary to Grants Advisory Commission at mhagan@roseville.ca.us or (916) 774-5476.



Review Criteria for FY2021-2022 Funding Cycle for Citizens Benefit Trust (CBT) and REACH Grant Applications

Grant Threshold Requirements

Applications will only be considered if:

- Applicant has submitted all of the required application documents by the application deadline.
- Applicant has submitted required annual report(s) for FY2019-2020 CBT and REACH grants.

Grant Application Scoring Questions and Scoring Scale

Scoring Questions	Scoring Scale
1. Application demonstrates the need for the project or program.	15
2. Application clearly defines the project/program and how success is measured.	15
3. Application reflects mission and meets the general requirements and guidelines for CBT and/or REACH.	5
4. Requested funds achieve an impact on the identified need.	20
5. Application demonstrates evidence of community support.	10
6. Application clearly describes how the collaborative partners increase efficiency and efficacy of the grant.	10
7. Request is reasonable given the proposed project/program and the organization's experience and capacity.	5
8. Project budget is clear and organization's budget demonstrates sustainability.	10
9. Additional funding support for this project/program is clearly identified.	5
10. Application clearly identifies how agency will reduce costs or generate additional revenue to cover project/program and operating costs.	5
TOTAL POINTS	100



Powered by ZoomGrants™

City of Roseville

2021-2022 CBT/REACH

Deadline: 2/8/2021

Print Preview Prop

Jump to: [Application Questions](#) [Project/Program Budget](#) [Documents](#)

USD\$ 0.00 Requested

Additional Contacts

none entered

printpreview@printpreview.com

Tel: 888-867-5309

Application Questions [top](#)

1. Lead Agency is:

- ☐ Non Profit 501(c)(3)
- ☐ Non Profit 501(c)(4)
- ☐ Private School

2. Project Description: In 50 words or less, describe the proposed program/project, including the use of the funds and who will be served.

Example: The ABC Unified School District requests \$5,000 to support "The Learning Project," a comprehensive youth service and leadership program for low-income youth.

-no answer-

3. Funding Priority: (please select one option)

- ☐ Strategic: One-time grants for innovative programs/projects, capital improvements or new initiatives
- ☐ Operational/Mission Support grants for programs/projects that demonstrate effectiveness addressing a community need
- ☐ Small Request (up to \$10,000) grants which can go towards either new programs/projects or support existing operations which have demonstrated effectiveness at meeting community needs

4. Community Need Areas: (please select one that your program/project serves)

- ☐ Neighborhood and Physical Environment - Improved access to safe and affordable housing for all
- ☐ Food - Increased access to healthier food options for lower income communities
- ☐ Health and Wellness - Improved physical, mental and behavioral health, and wellness
- ☐ Community and Social Context - Improved access to arts and cultural resources for all and a kinder more inclusive and connected community
- ☐ Education - More vocational training and educational opportunities for all ages

5. Number of:

	Board Members
	Paid Staff (F/T)
	Paid Staff (P/T)
	Volunteers

Total

0.00 TOTAL

6. Date Founded:

-no answer-

7. Organization's Mission:

-no answer-

8. What are the major goals of your organization?

-no answer-

9. What were the major accomplishments of the organization last fiscal year?

(please list specific outcomes)

-no answer-

10. How does the organization use volunteers?

-no answer-

11. Please describe the need for this project/program and evidence of community support.

-no answer-

12. Describe the population, number to be served, and how the proposed project/program differentiates from similar services in the community.

Include key supporters, volunteer hours, collaborators, donors and processes used to ensure those being served are ROSEVILLE RESIDENTS (Citizens' Benefit Funding) or Placer County Youth, Families or Seniors (REACH Funding).

-no answer-

13. Does your organization have collaborative partners in the provision of your proposed program/project? If yes, please describe the role/relationship of each partners in the provision of the proposed program/project.

-no answer-

14. Define anticipated outcomes for this project/program. How will success be measured?

-no answer-

15. List below any previous funds awarded in the last five funding cycles by the Roseville Grants Advisory Commission and the purpose of the funding.

-no answer-

16. If your organization received a FY2020-21 grant award, please provide the amount, funds spent to date and accomplishments thus far. Also include the number of those served, other success measures and any challenges encountered in achieving the outcomes described in your grant application.

-no answer-

17. If full funding is not available, how will your organization be able to accomplish your project/program? Please explain:

-no answer-

18. Please list other funding received or requested for this project/program (include funder, amount received or requested, and status of request, if applicable. Also include any fundraisers or donations as well as financial support requested or received from governmental entities.).

-no answer-

19. How will your organization reduce costs or generate additional revenue once grant funds are expended to ensure sustainability of this grant project/program?

-no answer-

20. If the grant money obtained is to be used to fund a capital Expenditure or Property Improvement, please describe briefly the item(s) needed and the itemized costs.

Capital Expenditure is defined as any grant money that is used to acquire or upgrade a long term asset that has a useful life of more than one (1) year.

-no answer-

21. If requesting capital for Property Improvement, does the organization own or lease the building or property to be improved?

- ☐ Yes (Provide Proof of Ownership or Copy of Lease under the Documents Tab)
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

22. If requesting capital for Property Improvement or Capital Expenditure, have you included the required bids?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Not Applicable

Project/Program Budget [top](#)

Documents [top](#)

Documents Requested *	Required? Attached Documents *
Signed Board Authorization (Label Board Authorization.pdf) download template	✓
Optional Other Opportunity to upload one document with any additional information (not previously requested in the application) you feel important to share with the Commission (Label OptionalOther.pdf)	
Assurances and Certifications (Label AssurancesCert.pdf) download template	✓
If request is for Property Improvement (Question 21), Proof of Ownership (grant deed, tax bill) or copy of lease specifying the length of property lease is required (Label ProofofOwnership.pdf)	
If your request is for Capital Expenditures or Property Improvement (Question 20) provide description of the item(s) needed and itemized costs (Label CapitalitemDescription.pdf)	
Requirements: Individual items costing \$250-\$2,499 or greater require 3 written estimates from vendor. Individual items less than \$250 require no estimates (Label Bids123.pdf)	
Provide a list of Board Members, Officers, Directors and key management positions, include their appointment dates, when term expires and affiliations. Identify any personnel paid from grant proceeds. (Label KeyPersonnel.pdf)	✓
Copy of 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) IRS tax determination letter if applicable (Label 501C3.pdf or 501C4.pdf) e-card is acceptable.	✓
Copy of Agency's registration/renewal fee report, California State Attorney General (ct RRF-1), if applicable (Label RRF-1.pdf) if not required, please submit an explanation.	✓
Agency's budget for the current Fiscal Year (Label AgencyBudget.pdf)	✓
Most recent audited financial statement or financial review, if applicable (Label AuditedFinancials.pdf)	✓

* ZoomGrants™ is not responsible for the content of uploaded documents.

