CITY OF ROSEVILLE CALIFORNIA

AGENDA
August 23, 2017

CITY COUNCIL
LAW & REGULATION COMMITTEE MEETING
5:30 p.m.
City Council Chambers
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC
Persons may address the City Council on items not on this agenda. Please complete a "Speaker Information Card" and present it to the City Clerk prior to the start of the meeting. Speakers shall restrict their comments to issues that are within the subject jurisdiction of the City Council and limit their comments to three (3) minutes per person. The total time allocated for Public Comment is 25 minutes. The Brown Act, with certain exceptions, does not permit the City Council to discuss or take action on issues that are not listed on the agenda.

5. MINUTES

5.1. Minutes of Prior Meetings

Memo from City Clerk Sonia Orozco recommending the Committee approve the minutes of the July 26, 2017 City Council Law & Regulation Committee meeting.

CC #: 8704

File #: 0103-32-01

CONTACT: Sonia Orozco 916-774-5263 sorozco@roseville.ca.us

6. REPORTS/COMMENTS/COMMITTEE/STAFF

6.1. AB 398 Cap-and-Trade Program

Memo from Governmental Relations Analyst Chris Romero and Electric Utility

Director Michelle Bertolino with an informational report of the landmark legislation recently signed by the governor that will extend the Cap-and-Trade program.

CC #: 8705

File #: 0103-32-02

CONTACT: Chris Romero 916-746-1660 cromero@roseville.ca.us

6.2. Electric Legislative Summary

Memo from Government Relations Analyst Chris Romero and Electric Utility Director Michelle Bertolino with an informational report. Roseville Electric Utility staff has developed a legislation priority list. Further inquiry is being conducted as the most effective advocacy strategies for legislation on this list is explored.

CC #: 8706

File #: 0103-32-02

CONTACT: Chris Romero 916-746-1660 cromero@roseville.ca.us

6.3. Priority Legislation August 2017

Memo from Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski and Public Affairs & Communications Director Megan MacPherson with the August list of priority legislation reflecting the bills that remain active after the July 21 legislative deadline for policy committees to hear and report bills before the beginning of the Legislature’s summer recess. Staff continues to track all the bills identified as possibly affecting Roseville. The costs of these activities are contained within the City’s current budget.

CC #: 8707

File #: 0103-32-02

CONTACT: Mark Wolinski 916-774-5173 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

7. ADJOURNMENT

CITY COUNCIL
Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 8704
File #: 0103-32-01

Title:	Minutes of Prior Meetings
Contact:	Sonia Orozco 916-774-5263 sorozco@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 8/23/2017
Item #: 5.1.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

Approve the the minutes of the July 26, 2017 City Council Law & Regulation Committee meeting.

BACKGROUND

Not applicable.

FISCAL IMPACT

Not applicable.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

Not applicable.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Not applicable.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sonia Orozco, City Clerk

Rob Jensen, City Manager

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

July 26, 2017 Minutes

MINUTES

July 26, 2017

CITY COUNCIL
LAW & REGULATION COMMITTEE MEETING
5:30 P.M.
City Council Chambers
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California

1. CALL TO ORDER

Councilmember Allard called the July 26, 2017 Law & Regulation meeting to order.

2. ROLL CALL (Appointed Committee Members)

Present: Allard, Alvord

Councilmember/Committee Member: John Allard
Councilmember/Committee Member: Scott Alvord

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilmember Alvord.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

No public comment received.

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC

Persons may address the City Council on items not on this agenda. Please complete a "Speaker Information Card" and present it to the City Clerk prior to the start of the meeting. Speakers shall restrict their comments to issues that are within the subject jurisdiction of the City Council and limit their comments to three (3) minutes per person. The total time allocated for Public Comment is 25 minutes. The Brown Act, with certain exceptions, does not permit the City Council to discuss or take action on issues that are not listed on the agenda.

5. MINUTES

5.1. Minutes of Prior Meetings

Memo from City Clerk Sonia Orozco recommending the Committee approve the minutes of the June 28, 2017 City Council Law & Regulation Committee meeting.

CC #: 8646

File #: 0103-32-02

CONTACT: Sonia Orozco 916-774-5263 sorozco@roseville.ca.us

The minutes of the June 28, 2017 Law & Regulation meeting were approved by consensus with correction of Councilmember Alvord's attendance.

6. REPORTS/COMMENTS/COMMITTEE/STAFF

6.1. SB 384 Alcohol Hours of Sale

Memo from Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski and Public Affairs & Communications Director Megan MacPherson with an informational report on the current status of Senate Bill 384. SB 384 the Alcoholic Beverages: Hours of Sale bill would authorize the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) to issue an additional hours permit to an on-sale licensee which would authorize, with or without conditions, the selling, giving, or purchasing of alcoholic beverages at the licensed premises between the hours of 2 a.m. and 4 a.m. This overview is provided for the Committee's understanding of the bill, its current status, and for the Committee's input.

CC #: 8648

File #: 0103-32-02

CONTACT: Mark Wolinski 774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski made the presentation to the Committee.

No public comment received.

Item for information only. No action taken.

6.2. SB 35 Affordable Housing

Memo from Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski and Public Affairs & Communications Director Megan MacPherson with an informational report on the current status of Senate Bill 35. SB 35 is devised as a solution to meet the state's needs for market rate and affordable housing, but it treats all jurisdictions the same, whether they are a high producer of housing or not. This bill disproportionately penalizes pro-growth communities such as Roseville with an unattainable affordable-housing goal, requires jurisdictions to act as developers in place of the private sector, pre-empts local discretionary land-use authority and public input, offers no funding to meet new mandates, and penalizes jurisdictions that do not meet production standards regardless of whether they are already a high producer of housing.

CC #: 8647

File #: 0103-32-02

CONTACT: Mark Wolinski 774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski made the presentation to the Committee.

No public comment received.

Item for information only. No action taken.

6.3. SB 649 Wireless Communications

Memo from Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski and Public Affairs & Communications Director Megan MacPherson with an informational report. The following is an update on SB 649 the Wireless Telecommunication Facilities bill. If passed, the bill would dramatically change how wireless communication equipment is installed throughout the city and it would reduce compensation paid to the City for use of public infrastructure. Roseville remains opposed to the bill and has provided the author with a series of amendments that would minimize several of the most troubling aspects of the bill.

CC #: 8649

File #: 0103-32-02

CONTACT: Mark Wolinski 774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski made the presentation to the Committee.

No public comment received.

Item for information only. No action taken.

6.4. Cap and Trade Presentation

Electric Administrative Analyst Chris Romero made the presentation to the Committee.

No public comment received.

Item for information only. No action taken.

7. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned by consensus at 6:27 p.m.

John Allard, Acting Chair

Sonia Orozco, Secretary

CITY COUNCIL
Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 8646
File #: 0103-32-02

Title:	Minutes of Prior Meetings
Contact:	Sonia Orozco 916-774-5263 sorozco@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 7/26/2017
Item #: 5.1.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

Approve the minutes of the June 28, 2017 City Council Law & Regulation Committee meeting.

BACKGROUND

There is no background associated with this item.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact associated with this item.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

There is no economic development associated with this item.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

There is no environmental review required by this item.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sonia Orozco, City Clerk

Rob Jensen, City Manager

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

June 28, 2017 Minutes

CITY OF ROSEVILLE CALIFORNIA

MINUTES
June 28, 2017

CITY COUNCIL
LAW & REGULATION COMMITTEE MEETING
5:30 p.m.
City Council Chambers
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

Vice Mayor/Chair Bonnie Gore opened the June 28, 2017 Law & Regulation Committee meeting at 5:30 p.m.

2. **ROLL CALL (Appointed Committee Members)**

SILENT ROLL CALL
Present: Gore, Alvord

Councilmember/Committee Member:	**John Allard**
Vice Mayor/Committee Chair:	**Bonnie Gore**

3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by City Attorney Robert Schmitt.

4. **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

No public comment received.

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC
Persons may address the City Council on items not on this agenda. Please complete a "Speaker Information Card" and present it to the City Clerk prior to the start of the meeting. Speakers shall restrict their comments to issues that are within the subject jurisdiction of the City Council and limit their comments to three (3) minutes per person. The total time allocated for Public Comment is 25 minutes. The Brown Act, with certain exceptions, does not permit the City Council to discuss or take action on issues that are not listed on the agenda.

5. **MINUTES**

5.1. Minutes of Prior Meetings

Memo from City Clerk Sonia Orozco recommending the Committee approve the minutes of the May 24, 2017 Law & Regulation Committee meeting.

CC #: 8618

File #: 0103-32-02

CONTACT: Sonia Orozco 916-774-5263 sorozco@roseville.ca.us

The minutes of the May 24, 2017 Law & Regulation Committee were approved by consensus.

6. REPORTS/COMMENTS/COMMITTEE/STAFF

6.1. Electric Legislative Update

Memo from Government Relations Analyst Chris Romero and Electric Utility Director Michelle Bertolino with an informational report. Roseville Electric Utility staff has developed a legislative bill priority list. Staff continues to monitor and participate in various advocacy strategies for legislation as identified on this list.

CC #: 8619

File #: 0103-32-03

CONTACT: Chris Romero 916-746-1660 cromero@roseville.ca.us

Government Relations Analyst Chris Romero made the presentation to the Committee.

No public comment received.

For information only. No action required.

6.2. Environmental Utilities Legislative Update

Memo from Public Affairs Administrator Sean Bigley and Environmental Utilities Director Richard Plecker with a report providing the Law & Regulation Committee an update on the existing state legislation related to water, wastewater, recycled water and solid waste policy issues.

CC #: 8620

File #: 0103-32-03

CONTACT: Sean Bigley 916-774-5513 sbigley@roseville.ca.us

Public Affairs Administrator Sean Bigley made the presentation to the Committee.

No public comment received.

For information only. No action required.

6.3. Priority Legislation June 2017

Memo from Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski and Public Affairs

& Communications Director Megan MacPherson with an informational report. The June list of priority legislation reflects the bills that remain active after the June 2 legislative deadline for bills to pass out of their house of origin. Staff continues to track all the bills that have been identified as possibly affecting Roseville. Advocacy strategies are developed for each of the bills on this list, and the list is continually refined during the course of the legislative session. The costs of these activities are contained within the City's current budget.

CC #: 8621

File #: 0103-32-02

CONTACT: Mark Wolinski 916-774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski made the presentation to the Committee.

No public comment received.

For information only. No action required.

7. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 6:35 p.m. by consensus.

CITY OF ROSEVILLE CALIFORNIA

CITY COUNCIL
Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 8648
File #: 0103-32-02

Title:	SB 384 Alcohol Hours of Sale
Contact:	Mark Wolinski 774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 7/26/2017
Item #: 6.1.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

This overview of SB 384 is provided for the Committee's understanding of the bill, its current status, and for the Committee's input.

BACKGROUND

The stated purpose of this bill is to provide local governments and communities an optional tool in their nightlife regulations. The bill author states, "California is a diverse state, with cities and neighborhoods that have different needs when it comes to nightlife. By granting local control to our cities to extend their late night hours, we can support areas that benefit economically and culturally from a strong nightlife presence, while ensuring that other cities and neighborhoods retain their current rules. This nuanced approach has been successful in other cities across the country, and California cities should have the same options as places like Chicago, New York, and Washington D.C." The author's office points out that SB 384 would align California with at least 15 other states where local jurisdictions have the authority to decide alcoholic beverage service hours.

Currently, ABC is vested with the exclusive authority to license and regulate the manufacture, distribution, and sale of alcoholic beverages within California. The ABC must notify local officials when an application for the issuance or transfer of a liquor license is filed. Existing law prohibits the ABC from issuing or transferring a license until at least 30 days after these notices are provided. A component of this process allows local officials to file a protest against the issuing of the license.

This bill would permit the ABC to authorize, with or without conditions, the selling, giving, delivering, or purchasing of alcoholic beverages at individual on-sale licensed premises between the hours of 2 a.m. and 4 a.m. within a city or county provided the local governing body develops and approves a local plan, relative to the additional hours of service. Once the local plan authorizing extended alcohol sales is approved, a business must then apply to the ABC for an extended-hours license. The city or county plan must include the following elements:

a) Shows that the public convenience or necessity will be served.
b) Identifies the area that will be affected and demonstrates how the area will benefit.
c) Exhibits resident and business support.
d) Includes an assessment by local law enforcement regarding the potential impact on the area and a public safety plan, created by local law enforcement.
e) Shows that transportation services are readily accessible in the area during the additional service hours.
f) Includes programs to increase public awareness of the transportation services available and the impacts of alcohol consumption.

Once a city or county has developed and certified its plan, the plan is submitted to the ABC for review and approval. If the plan is approved by the ABC, a business within the plan area would then be allowed to apply for extended hours for serving alcohol. The application process for that approval would include the following steps:

1. Requires the Department of ABC, upon receipt of an application by an on-sale licensee for additional hours, to conduct a thorough investigation to determine whether the additional hours would unreasonably interfere with local residents' quiet enjoyment of their property in the city, county, or city and county in which the applicant's licensed premises are located.
2. Requires the licensed applicant to notify law enforcement agencies, residents within 500 feet of the premises, and any other interested parties, as determined by the local governing body, of the application for additional hours within 30 consecutive days of the filing of the application in a manner determined by the local governing body.
3. Provides that protests may be filed within 30 days from the first date of notice of the filing of an application for additional hours. Also, permits the Department of ABC to extend the 30-day period by an additional 20 days.
4. Provides that the Department of ABC may reject protests, except protests made by a public agency or public official, if it determines the protests are false, vexatious, frivolous, or without reasonable or probable cause at any time before hearing thereon. If, after investigation, the ABC recommends that additional hours be authorized notwithstanding a public protest by a public agency or a public official, the ABC must notify the agency or official in writing of its determination and the reasons for its findings.
5. Provides that if, after investigation, the Department of ABC recommends that additional hours be authorized, with or without conditions on the applicant's license, the Department of ABC must notify the local governing body and all protesting parties whose protests have been accepted in writing of its determination.
6. Provides that any person who has filed a verified protest in a timely fashion that has been accepted by the Department of ABC may

request a hearing on the issue or issues raised in the protest.
7. Requires the Department of ABC to notify the applicant of the outcome of the application and provides that any conditions placed upon the license shall be subject to existing provisions of the ABC Act pertaining to conditional licenses.
8. Requires the applicant to include an unspecified fee with his/her application for additional hours, which shall be deposited in the ABC Fund.
9. The bill would prohibit a new license to operate during extended hours from being issued until any existing license issued by the ABC at the premises has been canceled.
10. Makes various findings and declarations related to allowing local jurisdictions to extend the hours of alcoholic beverage service in their respective communities, subject to state approval.

Staff recently had discussions with Assemblymember Kiley regarding concerns the City had with the bill. Staff shared that the City appreciated the local control aspect of the bill, but had concerns that if an adjoining city applied for and received the authority to have extended hours of alcohol sales, that the extended hours would result in an increase in the numbers of impaired drivers being on Roseville's streets, which would lead to safety issues and necessitate that the City pay for more traffic enforcement during early morning hours.

Staff from the City Attorney's office developed amendment language to the bill to address these issues and has forwarded the amendment language to Assemblymember Kiley's staff. The amendments are as follows:

• Section 3 (D) . . .This includes an assessment of the potential impact of an additional hours service area on adjacent cities, counties, or cities and counties, including but not limited to nearby law enforcement agencies.
• Section 3 (i) If the additional-hours service area reasonably results in increased law enforcement costs to adjacent cities, counties, or city and counties, such adjacent cities, counties, or city and counties shall be eligible for reimbursement of such reasonable costs from the city, county, or city and county allowing the additional hours service area.

The Assemblymember's staff has indicated that he will discuss the City's concerns and amendments with the author of the bill for his consideration.

<u>Bill Status</u>
The bill recently passed out of the Assembly Committee on Governmental Organization on a 15-4 vote. The bill passed out of its house of origin with similar strong support (27-9). The next action on the bill is to be heard in the Assembly Appropriations Committee. The date of that hearing has not been set at this time. However, staff continues to advocate for the City's amendments.

Conclusion
SB 384 defines a process for cities and counties to apply to the ABC for a permit to extend the hours for the sales of alcohol from 2 a.m. to 4 a.m. While the City recognizes the local control aspect of the bill, the City has concerns with a potential increase in impaired drivers being on City streets and the associated increased cost to the City for extended traffic enforcement in the early morning hours. Staff is working with Assemblymember Kiley's staff to have amendments taken on the bill that would address the City's concerns.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u>

The costs of these activities are contained within the City's current budget.

<u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED</u>

The activities detained in this report will not result in job development or creation.

<u>ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW</u>

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §1506(b) (3). The action of reviewing proposed CEQA legislation does not include the potential for a significant environmental effect, therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mark Wolinski, Government Relations Administrator

Megan MacPherson, Public Affairs and Communications Director

____
Rob Jensen, City Manager

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

SB 384 Attachment A

Attachment A

AMENDED IN SENATE March 20, 2017

AMENDED IN SENATE May 26, 2017

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY June 29, 2017

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE--2017-2018 REGULAR SESSION

Senate Bill

No. 384

Introduced by Senators Wiener and Anderson

February 14, 2017

(Coauthor: Senator Allen)

(Principal coauthors: Assembly Members Dababneh and Santiago)

(Coauthor: Assembly Member Obernolte)

An act to amend Section 25631 of, and to add Section 25634 to, the Business and Professions Code, relating to alcoholic beverages.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 384, as amended, Wiener. Alcoholic beverages: hours of sale.

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Act provides that any on- or off-sale licensee, or agent or employee of the licensee, who sells, gives, or delivers to any person any alcoholic beverage between the hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. of the same day, and any person who knowingly purchases any alcoholic beverages between those hours, is guilty of a misdemeanor. Existing law provides for moneys collected as fees pursuant to the act to be deposited in the Alcohol Beverage Control Fund, with those moneys generally allocated to the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control upon appropriation by the Legislature.

This bill would ~~allow an on-sale licensee to apply to~~ authorize the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control to issue an additional hours permit to an on-sale licensee which would authorize, with or without ~~conditions on the on-sale license,~~ conditions, the selling, giving, or purchasing of alcoholic beverages at the licensed premises between the hours of 2 a.m. and 4 a.m., upon completion of specified requirements by the local jurisdiction in which the licensee is located and upon payment of a fee to be deposited in the Alcohol Beverage Control Fund, as provided. The bill would require the applicant to notify specified persons of the application for an additional hours permit and would provide a procedure for protest and hearing regarding the application. ~~The bill would prohibit a new license to operate during extended hours under these provisions from being issued until any existing license issued by the department at the premises has been canceled.~~

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote Required: MAJORITY Appropriation: NO Fiscal Committee: YES Local Program: YES Immediate Effect NO Urgency: NO Tax Levy: NO Election: NO Usual Current Expenses: NO Budget Bill: NO Prop 25 Trailer Bill: NO

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

(a) It is the policy of the state to promote the responsible consumption of alcoholic beverages through making multiple planning options available to local communities and entertainment areas of the state, including the option of extended services hours up to a limit of 4 a.m. in communities and areas of the state where those extended hours are found by the governing body of the responsible community to be proper and appropriate.

(b) At least 15 states across the country delegate complete or partial authority for setting service hours to local jurisdictions or allow local jurisdictions to extend the hours of service, subject to state approval.

(c) The Legislature supports a well-planned and managed nightlife that can have a profound positive impact on a local economy, generating direct tax revenues, and growing public funds through revitalized business districts, and increased tourism.

(d) The Legislature supports the world-renowned California licensed restaurant, venue, and entertainment industry, which generates more than $50 billion every year in consumer spending in California communities on jobs, goods and services, and related industries, and that attracts world-class acts as well as tourists to visit and enjoy California.

(e) The Legislature has determined that it is in the best interest of the State of California for extended hours of operation policies to be administered by the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control in connection with applications for additional hour privileges, with the fees for those applications to be determined and assessed by the department at a rate that will fully reimburse the department for administrative expenses.

SEC. 2. Section 25631 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:

25631. (a) (1) Except as provided in subdivision (b), any on- or off-sale licensee, or agent or employee of that licensee, who sells, gives, or delivers to any persons any alcoholic beverage or any person who knowingly purchases any alcoholic beverage between the hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. of the same day, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

(2) For the purposes of this subdivision, on the day that a time change occurs from Pacific standard time to Pacific daylight saving time, or back again to Pacific standard time, "2 a.m." means two hours after midnight of the day preceding the day such change occurs.

(b) (1) In a city, county, or city and county that has additional serving hours pursuant to Section 25634, any on-sale licensee, or agent or employee of the licensee, who sells or gives to any person any alcoholic beverage or any person who knowingly purchases any alcoholic beverage between the hours of 4 a.m. and 6 a.m. of the same day, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

(2) For the purposes of this subdivision, on the day that a time change occurs from Pacific standard time to Pacific daylight savings time, or back again to Pacific standard time, "4 a.m." means four hours after 12 midnight of the day preceding the day the change occurs.

SEC. 3. Section 25634 is added to the Business and Professions Code, to read:

25634. (a) Notwithstanding Section 25631, the department may issue an additional hours permit which would authorize, with or without conditions, the selling, giving, or purchasing of alcoholic beverages at an individual on-sale licensed premises between the hours of 2 a.m. and 4 a.m. within a city, county, or a city and county if the local governing body of that city, county, or city and county, or its designated subordinate officer or body does the following:

(1) Develops and approves a local plan that meets the following requirements:

(A) Shows that the public convenience or necessity will be served by the additional hours.

(B) Identifies the area that will be affected by the additional hours and demonstrates how that area will benefit from the additional hours.

(C) Shows that residents and businesses within the additional hours service area support the additional hours.

(D) Includes an assessment by local law enforcement regarding the potential impact of an additional hours service area and the public safety plan, created by local law enforcement, for managing those impacts that has been approved by the local governing body.

(E) Shows that transportation services are readily accessible in the additional hours service area during the additional service hours.

(F) Includes programs to increase public awareness of the transportation services available in the additional hours service area and the impacts of alcohol consumption.

(2) Resolves and certifies the local plan and submits the local plan to the department.

(b) Upon receipt of a local plan developed pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (a), the department may review the local plan to ensure compliance with existing law.

(c) An on-sale licensee shall not apply for an additional hours permit pursuant to this section until the department has received the local plan of the city, county, or city and county in which the licensed premises is located.

(d) (1) Upon receipt of an application by an on-sale licensee for an additional hours permit pursuant to this section, the department shall make a thorough investigation to determine whether the additional hours permit sought by the applicant would unreasonably interfere with the quiet enjoyment of their property by the residents of the city, county, or city and county in which the applicant's licensed premises are located.

(2) The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agencies of the city, county, or city and county, the residents of the city, county, or city and county located within 500 feet of the premises for which an additional hours ~~are~~ permit is sought, and any other interested parties, as determined by the local governing body, of the application by an on-sale licensee for an additional hours permit pursuant to this section within 30 consecutive days of the filing of the application, in a manner determined by the local governing body.

(3) Protests may be filed at any office of the department within 30 days from the first date of notice of the filing of an application by an on-sale licensee for an additional hours permit. The time within which a local law enforcement agency may file a protest shall be extended by the period prescribed in Section 23987.

(4) The department may reject protests, except protests made by a public agency or public official, if it determines the protests are false, vexatious, frivolous, or without reasonable or probable cause at any time before hearing thereon, notwithstanding Section 24300. If, after investigation, the department recommends that an additional hours ~~be authorized~~ permit be issued notwithstanding a protest by a public agency or a public official, the department shall notify the agency or official in writing of its determination and the reasons therefor, in conjunction with the notice of hearing provided to the protestant pursuant to Section 11509 of the Government Code. If the department rejects a protest as provided in this section, a protestant whose protest has been rejected may, within 10 days, file an accusation with the department alleging the grounds of protest as a cause for revocation of the additional hours permit and the department shall hold a hearing as provided in Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

(5) This section shall not be construed as prohibiting or restricting any right that the individual making the protest might have to a judicial proceeding.

(e) (1) If, after investigation, the department recommends that an additional hours ~~be authorized, with or without conditions on the applicant's license,~~ permit be issued, with or without conditions, notwithstanding that one or more protests have been accepted by the department, the department shall notify the local governing body and all protesting parties whose protests have been accepted in writing of its determination.

(2) Any person who has filed a verified protest in a timely fashion pursuant to subdivision (d) that has been accepted pursuant to this section may request that the department conduct a hearing on the issue or issues raised in the protest. The request shall be in writing and shall be filed with the department within 15 business days of the date the department notifies the protesting party of its determination as required under paragraph (1).

(3) At any time prior to the issuance of the ~~license,~~ permit, the department may, in its discretion, accept a late request for a hearing upon a showing of good cause. Any determination of the department pursuant to this subdivision shall not be an issue at the hearing nor grounds for appeal or review.

(4) If a request for a hearing is filed with the department pursuant to paragraph (2), the department shall schedule a hearing on the protest. The issues to be determined at the hearing shall be limited to those issues raised in the protest or protests of the person or persons requesting the hearing.

(5) Notwithstanding that a hearing is held pursuant to paragraph (4), the protest or protests of any person or persons who did not request a hearing as authorized in this section shall be deemed withdrawn.

(6) If a request for a hearing is not filed with the department pursuant to this section, any protest or protests shall be deemed withdrawn and the department may approve the on-sale licensee's application for an additional hours permit without any further proceeding.

(7) If the person filing the request for a hearing fails to appear at the hearing, the protest shall be deemed withdrawn.

(f) The department shall notify the applicant of the outcome of the application for ~~additional hours.~~ an additional hours permit. Any conditions placed upon the ~~license~~ permit pursuant to this section shall be subject to Article 1.5 (commencing with Section 23800).

(g) The applicant shall, at the time of application for an additional hours permit pursuant to this section, accompany the application with a fee of two thousand five hundred dollars ($2,500). Fees collected pursuant to this section shall be deposited in the Alcohol Beverage Control Fund.

~~(h) An extended license described by this section shall not be issued until any existing licenses issued at the premises by the department are canceled.~~

SEC. 4. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution because the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school district will be incurred because this act creates a new crime or infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution.

CITY OF ROSEVILLE CALIFORNIA

CITY COUNCIL
Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 8647
File #: 0103-32-02

Title:	SB 35 Affordable Housing
Contact:	Mark Wolinski 774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 7/26/2017
Item #: 6.2.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

This update is provided for the Committee's understanding of the current status of the bill and for the Committee's input.

BACKGROUND

Roseville has had a track record of success in zoning for affordable housing and in facilitating construction of affordable units. Over the past several years Roseville has issued nearly 1,000 single-family building permits per year. Over the coming fiscal year it is expected that the same level of single-family residential development will occur. In addition, construction of two new high-density apartment projects totaling 696 units will also take place.

All residential rezones in Roseville require 10 percent of new units to be affordable housing units. Since the implementation of this affordable housing policy, established in 1992, the development community has produced 2,867 affordable housing units in the Roseville Affordable Housing Program. By prioritizing affordable housing and integrating it into community development guidelines, we have gained support for projects when they come forward and have built trust within our community through the open, public process these projects are required to pass through.

As a high producer of affordable housing for a variety of income levels, the City has significant concerns with SB 35 including the following:

• The creation of additional unfunded state mandates at the same time when state and federal affordable-housing funding have slowed to a trickle. The elimination of redevelopment agencies in 2011 meant that more than $1 billion annually in affordable housing money has evaporated. In addition, funds from the 2006 state housing bond have been exhausted. Federal dollars have been declining for decades. This massive withdraw of resources has contributed to the current challenges, yet no significant source of ongoing affordable housing funding is on the horizon.
• SB 35 does not recognize market conditions such as downturns in housing markets and it will jeopardize the development community's ability to build market-rate and affordable-housing units. Since 2004, the City of Roseville has approved approximately 20,000 residential units. Approximately 14,000 units remain to be constructed. The City has no control over when the private market will choose to build the remaining units. These units are subject to market factors, financing and funding constraints by lenders that the City does not control.
• The requirement that local jurisdictions themselves, act as developers and produce actual housing units to meet the RHNA requirements is not feasible. The City of Roseville would need to build 500 units a year of low or very low income units to meet its RHNA goal. This is an unattainable goal since funding is not available to public agencies to construct affordable units. The City has no control on when the private market place will chose to construct affordable housing products on land previously entitled by the City.
• When production standards are not met, it would push Roseville into a four-year Housing Element cycle instead of an eight-year cycle, which would likely result in the City's affordable housing goal being increased. This would take City resources away from other supportive housing efforts, such as our voucher programs.
• The legislation requires these future projects use prevailing wage. This additional cost without a funding resource, such as past redevelopment funds, will make financing these projects unfeasible.
• The bill increases the City's risk of lawsuits if it is not able to comply with adopted state legislation.
• Allowing construction by right without a public design review process will invite public opposition to affordable housing and erode our community's acceptance for these projects. The City's design standards were developed in conjunction with the community and follow established administrative approval processes, which ensure the community obtains a quality product. The community has a right to voice concerns about traffic, parking and other development impacts. Not having an opportunity for input only serves to increase public distrust in government.

Roseville already uses an open, streamlined specific-plan process to allow certain parcels to have a built-in streamlined review. By way of example, the Riverside Specific Plan and the Downtown Specific Plan are designated catalyst sites. If projects come forward that meet the development standards and design guidelines defined within the plans, they are approved by right. Meaning no additional approvals are required since those types of projects were already approved in the process that was used to create the plans. The process used to develop the plans involved public participation that approved those types of projects in the process. The specific plan process also gives developers assurance regarding the ability to build their projects. This is a much better, more open, inclusive planning model than one that would eliminate community input from the process.

Bill Status
The bill recently passed out of the Assembly Committee on Housing and Community Development on a 6-2 vote with one member not voting. The bill passed out of its house of origin with similar strong support. The next action on the bill will be a floor vote by the Assembly. The date of that vote has not been set at this time. Staff recognizes the potential to stop in the bill in the legislature is remote. However, staff continues to advocate in opposition to the bill and to work with the city's state lobbyist to alter the outcome of the bill being signed into law.

Conclusion
SB 35 is devised as a solution to meet the state's needs for market rate and affordable housing, but it treats all jurisdictions the same, whether they are a high producer of housing or not. In addition, this bill disproportionately penalizes pro-growth communities such as Roseville with an unattainable affordable-housing goal.

FISCAL IMPACT

The costs of these activities are contained within the City's current budget.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

The activities detained in this report will not result in job development or creation.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §1506(b) (3). The action of reviewing proposed CEQA legislation does not include the potential for a significant environmental effect, therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mark Wolinski, Government Relations Administrator

Megan MacPherson, Public Affairs and Communications Director

____
Rob Jensen, City Manager

ATTACHMENTS:
Description
SB 35 Attachment A

Attachment A

AMENDED IN SENATE February 21, 2017

AMENDED IN SENATE March 09, 2017

AMENDED IN SENATE March 21, 2017

AMENDED IN SENATE April 04, 2017

AMENDED IN SENATE May 26, 2017

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY June 20, 2017

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY July 05, 2017

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE--2017-2018 REGULAR SESSION

Senate Bill

No. 35

Introduced by Senator Wiener

December 05, 2016

(Principal coauthor: Senator Atkins)

(Coauthors: Senators Allen and Vidak)

(Coauthor: Assembly Member Caballero)

An act to amend Sections 65400 and 65582.1 of, and to add Section 65913.4 to, the Government Code, relating to housing.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 35, as amended, Wiener. Planning and zoning: affordable housing: streamlined approval process.

(1) The Planning and Zoning Law requires a city or county to adopt a general plan for land use development within its boundaries that includes, among other things, a housing element. The Planning and Zoning Law requires a planning agency, after a legislative body has adopted all or part of a general plan, to provide an annual report to the legislative body, the Office of Planning and Research, and the Department of Housing and Community Development on the status of the general plan and progress in meeting the community's share of regional housing needs.

This bill would require the planning agency to include in its annual report specified information regarding units of net new housing, including rental housing and housing designated for home ownership, that have been issued an entitlement, building permit, or certificate of occupancy. The bill would also require the Department of Housing and Community Development to post an annual report submitted pursuant to the requirement described above on its Internet Web site, as provided.

(2) Existing law requires an attached housing development to be a permitted use, not subject to a conditional use permit, on any parcel zoned for multifamily housing if at least certain percentages of the units are available at affordable housing costs to very low income, lower income, and moderate-income households for at least 30 years and if the project meets specified conditions relating to location and being subject to a discretionary decision other than a conditional use permit. Existing law provides for various incentives intended to facilitate and expedite the construction of affordable housing.

This bill would authorize a development proponent to submit an application for a multifamily housing development that satisfies specified planning objective standards is subject to a streamlined, ministerial approval process, as provided, and not be subject to a conditional use permit. The bill would require a local government to notify the development proponent in writing if the local government determines that the development conflicts with any of those objective standards by a specified time; otherwise, the development is deemed to comply with those standards. The bill would limit the authority of a local government to impose parking standards or requirements on a streamlined development approved pursuant to these provisions, as provided. The bill would provide that if a local government approves a project pursuant to that process, that approval will not expire if that project includes investment in housing affordability, and would otherwise provide that the approval of a project expire automatically after 3 years, unless that project qualifies for a one-time, one-year extension of that approval. The bill would prohibit a local government from adopting any requirement that applies to a project solely or partially on the basis that the project receives ministerial or streamlined approval pursuant to these provisions.

(3) The bill would make findings that ensuring access to affordable housing is a matter of statewide concern and declare that its provisions would apply to all cities and counties, including a charter city, a charter county, or a charter city and county.

(4) By imposing new duties upon local agencies with respect to the streamlined approval process and reporting requirement described above, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

(5) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote Required: MAJORITY Appropriation: NO Fiscal Committee: YES Local Program: YES Immediate Effect NO Urgency: NO Tax Levy: NO Election: NO Usual Current Expenses: NO Budget Bill: NO Prop 25 Trailer Bill: NO

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 65400 of the Government Code is amended to read:

65400. (a) After the legislative body has adopted all or part of a general plan, the planning agency shall do both of the following:

(1) Investigate and make recommendations to the legislative body regarding reasonable and practical means for implementing the general plan or element of the general plan, so that it will serve as an effective guide for orderly growth and development, preservation and conservation of open-space land and natural resources, and the efficient expenditure of public funds relating to the subjects addressed in the general plan.

(2) Provide by April 1 of each year an annual report to the legislative body, the Office of Planning and Research, and the Department of Housing and Community Development that includes all of the following:

(A) The status of the plan and progress in its implementation.

(B) The progress in meeting its share of regional housing needs determined pursuant to Section 65584 and local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (c) of Section 65583.

The housing element portion of the annual report, as required by this paragraph, shall be prepared through the use of forms and definitions adopted by the Department of Housing and Community Development pursuant to the rulemaking provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2). Before and after adoption of the forms, the housing element portion of the annual report shall include a section that describes the actions taken by the local government towards completion of the programs and status of the local government's compliance with the deadlines in its housing element. That report shall be considered at an annual public meeting before the legislative body where members of the public shall be allowed to provide oral testimony and written comments.

The report may include the number of units that have been substantially rehabilitated, converted from nonaffordable to affordable by acquisition, and preserved consistent with the standards set forth in paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) of Section 65583.1. The report shall document how the units meet the standards set forth in that subdivision.

(C) The degree to which its approved general plan complies with the guidelines developed and adopted pursuant to Section 65040.2 and the date of the last revision to the general plan.

(D) The number of net new units of housing, including both rental housing and housing designated for home ownership, that have been issued an entitlement, a building permit, or a certificate of occupancy, thus far in the housing element cycle, and the income category, by area median income category, that each unit of housing, including both rental housing and housing designated for home ownership, satisfies. That report shall, for each income category described in this subparagraph, distinguish between the number of rental housing units that satisfy each income category and the number of units that are housing designated for home ownership that satisfy each income category. The report shall include, for each entitlement, building permit, or certificate of occupancy, a unique site identifier, such as street address, ZIP Code, or assessor's parcel number.

(E) The Department of Housing and Community Development shall post a report submitted pursuant to this paragraph on its Internet Web site within a reasonable time of receiving the report.

(b) If a court finds, upon a motion to that effect, that a city, county, or city and county failed to submit, within 60 days of the deadline established in this section, the housing element portion of the report required pursuant to subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) that substantially complies with the requirements of this section, the court shall issue an order or judgment compelling compliance with this section within 60 days. If the city, county, or city and county fails to comply with the court's order within 60 days, the plaintiff or petitioner may move for sanctions, and the court may, upon that motion, grant appropriate sanctions. The court shall retain jurisdiction to ensure that its order or judgment is carried out. If the court determines that its order or judgment is not carried out within 60 days, the court may issue further orders as provided by law to ensure that the purposes and policies of this section are fulfilled. This subdivision applies to proceedings initiated on or after the first day of October following the adoption of forms and definitions by the Department of Housing and Community Development pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (a), but no sooner than six months following that adoption.

SEC. 2. Section 65582.1 of the Government Code is amended to read:

65582.1. The Legislature finds and declares that it has provided reforms and incentives to facilitate and expedite the approval and construction of affordable housing. Those reforms and incentives can be found in the following provisions:

(a) Housing element law (Article 10.6 (commencing with Section 65580) of Chapter 3).

(b) Extension of statute of limitations in actions challenging the housing element and brought in support of affordable housing (subdivision (d) of Section 65009).

(c) Restrictions on disapproval of housing developments (Section 65589.5).

(d) Priority for affordable housing in the allocation of water and sewer hookups (Section 65589.7).

(e) Least cost zoning law (Section 65913.1).

(f) Density bonus law (Section 65915).

(g) Accessory dwelling units (Sections 65852.150 and 65852.2).

(h) By-right housing, in which certain multifamily housing are designated a permitted use (Section 65589.4).

(i) No-net-loss-in zoning density law limiting downzonings and density reductions (Section 65863).

(j) Requiring persons who sue to halt affordable housing to pay attorney fees (Section 65914) or post a bond (Section 529.2 of the Code of Civil Procedure).

(k) Reduced time for action on affordable housing applications under the approval of development permits process (Article 5 (commencing with Section 65950) of Chapter 4.5).

(l) Limiting moratoriums on multifamily housing (Section 65858).

(m) Prohibiting discrimination against affordable housing (Section 65008).

(n) California Fair Employment and Housing Act (Part 2.8 (commencing with Section 12900) of Division 3).

(o) Community redevelopment law (Part 1 (commencing with Section 33000) of Division 24 of the Health and Safety Code, and in particular Sections 33334.2 and 33413).

(p) Streamlining housing approvals during a housing shortage (Section 65913.4).

SEC. 3. Section 65913.4 is added to the Government Code, to read:

65913.4. (a) A development proponent may submit an application for a development that is subject to the streamlined, ministerial approval process provided by subdivision (b) and not subject to a conditional use permit if the development satisfies all of the following objective planning standards:

(1) The development is a multifamily housing development that contains two or more residential units.

(2) The development is located on a site that satisfies both of the following:

(A) Is an urban infill site as defined by Section 21061.3 of the Public Resources Code.

(B) Is a site zoned for residential use or residential mixed-use development with at least two-thirds of the square footage designated for residential use.

(3) If the development contains units that are subsidized, the development proponent already has recorded, or is required by law to record, a land use restriction for the following applicable minimum durations:

(A) Fifty-five years for units that are rented.

(B) Forty-five years for units that are owned.

(4) The development, excluding any additional density or any other concessions, incentives, or waivers of development standards granted pursuant to the Density Bonus Law in Section 65915, satisfies both of the following:

(A) Is located in a locality that the Department of Housing and Community Development has ~~determined, based on the last production report submitted by the locality to the department,~~ determined is eligible under this subparagraph on the basis that the number of units that have been issued building permits is less than the locality's share of the regional housing needs, by income category, for that reporting period. A locality shall remain eligible under this subparagraph for four years after the date that the department determined the locality was eligible, and, at that date, the department shall determine, based on the last production report submitted by the locality, whether the locality is eligible for another four-year period on the basis described above. A locality shall be deemed to be eligible under this subparagraph if it has not submitted an annual housing element report to the Department of Housing and Community Development pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 65400 for at least two consecutive years before the development submitted an application for approval under this section.

(B) The development is subject to a requirement mandating a minimum percentage of below market rate housing based on either of the following:

(i) The locality did not submit its latest production report to the Department of Housing and Community Development by the time period required by Section 65400, or that production report reflects that there were fewer units of above moderate-income housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that ~~year.~~ reporting period. In addition, if the project contains more than 10 units of housing, the project seeking approval dedicates a minimum of 10 percent of the total number of units to housing affordable to households making below 80 percent of the area median income, including at least 5 percent of the total number of units affordable to households making below 50 percent of the area median income. If the locality has adopted a local ordinance that requires that greater than 10 percent of the units be dedicated to housing affordable to households making below 80 percent of the area median income, that zoning ordinance applies.

(ii) The locality did not submit its latest production report to the Department of Housing and Community Development by the time period required by Section 65400, or that production report reflects that there were fewer units of housing affordable to households making below 80 percent of the area median income that were issued building permits than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that ~~year,~~ reporting period, and the project seeking approval dedicates 50 percent of the total number of units to housing affordable to households making below 80 percent of the area median income, unless the locality has adopted a local ordinance that requires that greater than 50 percent of the units be dedicated to housing affordable to households making below 80 percent of the area median income, in which case that ordinance applies.

(5) The development is consistent with objective zoning standards, including the Density Bonus Law in Section 65915, and objective design review standards in effect at the time that the development is submitted to the local government pursuant to this section. For purposes of this paragraph, "objective zoning standards" and "objective design review standards" mean standards that involve no personal or subjective judgment by a public official.

(6) The development is not located on a site that is any of the following:

(A) A coastal zone, as defined in Division 20 (commencing with Section 30000) of the Public Resources Code.

(B) Either prime farmland or farmland of statewide importance, as defined pursuant to United States Department of Agriculture land inventory and monitoring criteria, as modified for California, and designated on the maps prepared by the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the Department of Conservation, or land zoned or designated for agricultural protection or preservation by a local ballot measure that was approved by the voters of that jurisdiction.

(C) Wetlands, as defined in the United States Fish and Wildlife Service Manual, Part 660 FW 2 (June 21, 1993).

(D) Within a very high fire hazard severity zone, as determined by the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection pursuant to Section 51178, or within a high or very high fire hazard severity zone as indicated on maps adopted by the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection pursuant to Section 4202 of the Public Resources Code. This subparagraph does not apply to sites excluded from the specified hazard zones by a local agency, pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 51179, or sites that have adopted sufficient fire hazard mitigation measures as may be determined by their local agency with land use authority.

(E) A hazardous waste site that is listed pursuant to Section 65962.5 or a hazardous waste site designated by the Department of Toxic Substances Control pursuant to Section 25356 of the Health and Safety Code, unless the Department of Toxic Substances Control has cleared the site for residential use or residential mixed uses.

(F) Within a delineated earthquake fault zone as determined by the State Geologist in any official maps published by the State Geologist, unless the development complies with applicable seismic protection building code standards adopted by the California Building Standards Commission under the California Building Standards Law (Part 2.5 (commencing with Section 18901) of Division 13 of the Health and Safety Code), and by any local building department under Chapter 12.2 (commencing with Section 8875) of Division 1 of Title 2.

(G) Within a flood plain as determined by maps promulgated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, unless the development has been issued a flood plain development permit pursuant to Part 59 (commencing with Section 59.1) and Part 60 (commencing with Section 60.1) of Subchapter B of Chapter I of Title 44 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

(H) Within a floodway as determined by maps promulgated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, unless the development has received a no-rise certification in accordance with Section 60.3(d)(3) of Title 44 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

(I) Lands identified for conservation in an adopted natural community conservation plan pursuant to the Natural Community Conservation Planning Act (Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 2800) of Division 3 of the Fish and Game Code), habitat conservation plan pursuant to the federal Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. Sec. 1531 et seq.), or other adopted natural resource protection plan.

(J) Occupied habitat for protected species identified as candidate, sensitive, or species of special status by state or federal agencies, fully protected species, or species protected by the federal Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. Sec. 1531 et seq.), the California Endangered Species Act (Chapter 1.5 (commencing with Section 2050) of Division 3 of the Fish and Game Code), or the Native Plant Protection Act (Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 1900) of Division 2 of the Fish and Game Code).

(K) Lands under conservation easement.

(7) The development is not located on a site where any of the following apply:

(A) The development would require the demolition of housing that is subject to a recorded covenant, ordinance, or law that restricts rents to levels affordable to persons and families of moderate, low, or very low income, housing that is subject to any form of rent or price control through a public entity's valid exercise of its police power, or housing that has been occupied by tenants within the past 10 years.

(B) The site was previously used for housing that was occupied by tenants that was demolished within 10 years before the development proponent submits an application under this section.

(C) The development would require the demolition of a historic structure that was placed on a national, state, or local historic register.

(8) The development proponent has certified that one of the following is true:

(A) The project is a public work for purposes of Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 1720) of Part 7 of Division 2 of the Labor Code.

(B) If the project is not a public work, that all construction workers employed in the execution of the project will be paid at least the general prevailing rate of per diem wages for the type of work and geographic area, as determined by the Director of Industrial Relations pursuant to Sections

1773 and 1773.9 of the Labor Code. If the development is subject to this subparagraph, then all of the following shall apply:

(i) The development proponent shall ensure that the prevailing wage requirement is included in all contracts for the performance of the work.

(ii) Contractors and subcontractors shall pay to all construction workers employed in the execution of the work at least the general prevailing rate of per diem wages.

(iii) Except as provided in clause (iv), the obligation of the contractors and subcontractors to pay prevailing wages may be enforced by the Labor Commissioner through the issuance of a civil wage and penalty assessment pursuant to Section 1741 of the Labor Code, which may be reviewed pursuant to Section 1742 of the Labor Code, within 18 months after the completion of the project, or by an underpaid worker through an administrative complaint or civil action. If a civil wage and penalty assessment is issued, the contractor, subcontractor, and surety on a bond or bonds issued to secure the payment of wages covered by the assessment shall be liable for liquidated damages pursuant to Section 1742.1 of the Labor Code.

(iv) Clause (iii) shall not apply if all contractors and subcontractors performing work on the project are subject to a project labor agreement that requires the payment of prevailing wages to all construction workers employed in the execution of the project and provides for enforcement of that obligation through an arbitration procedure. For purposes of this clause, "project labor agreement" has the same meaning as set forth in paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) of Section 2500 of the Public Contract Code.

(v) Notwithstanding subdivision (c) of Section 1773.1 of the Labor Code, the requirement that employer payments not reduce the obligation to pay the hourly straight time or overtime wages found to be prevailing shall not apply if otherwise provided in a bona fide collective bargaining agreement covering the worker. The requirements of paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) of Section 1773.1 of the Labor Code do not preclude use of an alternative workweek schedule adopted pursuant to Section 511 or 514 of the Labor Code.

(C) For developments that are not 100 percent subsidized affordable housing and are larger than ____ units, that a skilled and trained workforce shall be used to complete the project. For purposes of this subparagraph, "skilled and trained workforce" has the same meaning as provided in subdivision (d) of Section 2601 of the Public Contract Code.

(9) The development shall not be upon an existing parcel of land or site that is governed under the Mobilehome Residency Law (Chapter 2.5 (commencing with Section 798) of Title 2 of Part 2 of Division 2 of the Civil Code), the Recreational Vehicle Park Occupancy Law (Chapter 2.6 (commencing with Section 799.20) of Title 2 of Part 2 of Division 2 of the Civil Code), the Mobilehome Parks Act (Part 2.1 (commencing with Section 18200) of Division 13 of the Health and Safety Code), or the Special Occupancy Parks Act (Part 2.3 (commencing with Section 18860) of Division 13 of the Health and Safety Code).

(b) (1) If a local government determines that a development submitted pursuant to this section is in conflict with any of the objective planning standards specified in subdivision (a), it shall provide the development proponent written documentation of which standard or standards the development conflicts with, and an explanation for the reason or reasons the development conflicts with that standard or standards, as follows:

(A) Within 60 days of submittal of the development to the local government pursuant to this section if the development contains 150 or fewer housing units.

(B) Within 90 days of submittal of the development to the local government pursuant to this section if the development contains more than 150 housing units.

(2) If the local government fails to provide the required documentation pursuant to paragraph (1), the development shall be deemed to satisfy the objective planning standards specified in subdivision (a).

(c) Any design review or public oversight of the development may be conducted by the local government's planning commission or any equivalent board or commission responsible for review and approval of development projects, or the city council or board of supervisors, as appropriate. That design review or public oversight shall be objective and be strictly focused on assessing compliance with criteria required for streamlined projects, as well as any reasonable objective design standards published and adopted by ordinance or resolution by a local jurisdiction before submission of a development application, and shall be broadly applicable to development within the jurisdiction. That design review or public oversight shall be completed as follows and shall not in any way inhibit, chill, or preclude the ministerial approval provided by this section or its effect, as applicable:

(1) Within 90 days of submittal of the development to the local government pursuant to this section if the development contains 150 or fewer housing units.

(2) Within 180 days of submittal of the development to the local government pursuant to this section if the development contains more than 150 housing units.

(d) (1) Notwithstanding any other law, a local government, whether or not it has adopted an ordinance governing parking requirements in multifamily developments, shall not impose parking standards for a streamlined development that was approved pursuant to this section in any of the following instances:

(A) The development is located within one-half mile of public transit.

(B) The development is located within an architecturally and historically significant historic district.

(C) When on-street parking permits are required but not offered to the occupants of the development.

(D) When there is a car share vehicle located within one block of the development.

(2) If the development does not fall within any of the categories described in paragraph (1), the local government shall not impose parking requirements for streamlined developments approved pursuant to this section that exceed one parking space per unit.

(e) (1) If a local government approves a development pursuant to this section, then, notwithstanding any other law, that approval shall not expire if the project includes public investment in housing affordability, beyond tax credits, where 50 percent of the units are affordable to households making below 80 percent of the area median income.

(2) If a local government approves a development pursuant to this section and the project does not include 50 percent of the units affordable to households making below 80 percent of the area median income, that approval shall automatically expire after three years except that a project may receive a one-time, one-year extension if the project proponent can provide documentation that there has been significant progress toward getting the development construction ready, such as filing a building permit application.

(f) A local government shall not adopt any requirement, including, but not limited to, increased fees or inclusionary housing requirements, that applies to a project solely or partially on the basis that the project is eligible to receive ministerial or streamlined approval pursuant to this section.

(g) For purposes of this section:

(1) "Development proponent" means the developer who submits an application for streamlined approval pursuant to this section.

(2) "Locality" or "local government" means a city, including a charter city, a county, including a charter county, or a city and county, including a charter city and county.

(3) "Production report" means the information reported pursuant to subparagraph (D) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 65400.

(4) "Subsidized" means units that are price or rent restricted such that the units are permanently affordable to households meeting the definitions of very low and lower income, as defined in Sections 50079.5 and 50105 of the Health and Safety Code.

(5) "Reporting period" means either of the following:

(A) The first half of the regional housing needs assessment cycle.

(B) The last half of the regional housing needs assessment cycle.

SEC. 4. The Legislature finds and declares that ensuring access to affordable housing is a matter of statewide concern, and not a municipal affair. Therefore, the changes made by this act are applicable to a charter city, a charter county, and a charter city and county.

SEC. 5. Each provision of this measure is a material and integral part of this measure, and the provisions of this measure are not severable. If any provision of this measure or its application is held invalid, this entire measure shall be null and void.

SEC. 6. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution because a local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the program or level of service mandated by this act, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code.

CITY COUNCIL
Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 8649
File #: 0103-32-02

Title:	SB 649 Wireless Communications
Contact:	Mark Wolinski 774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 7/26/2017
Item #: 6.3.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

This update is provided for the Committee's understanding of the current status of the bill and for the Committee's input.

BACKGROUND

SB 649 would prohibit local discretionary review of "small cell" wireless antennas, including equipment collocated on existing structures or located on new "poles, structures, or non-pole structures," including those within the public right-of-way and buildings. The proposal preempts adopted local land use plans by mandating that "small cells" be allowed in all zones as a use by-right, including all residential zones.

As currently structured, SB 649 provides a de facto exemption to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for the installation of such facilities and precludes consideration by the public of the aesthetic, nuisance, and environmental impacts of these facilities, all of which are of particular importance when the proposed location of facilities is within a residential zone.

SB 649 also preempts local authority by requiring local governments to make available sites they own for the installation of a "small cell." While the city may place "fair and reasonable terms and conditions" on the use of city property, the proposal does not provide the city with any discretion to deny a "small cell" to be located on city property except for fire department sites. In effect, this measure gives control of public property to private telecommunications companies, while also placing a "cap" of $250 annually on the amount a local government may charge for the leasing of the publicly owned-property. Currently, the City has leases that provide $2,500 monthly in revenue for the same purpose.

The telecommunications industry is aggressively advocating for this bill saying it will bring greater connectivity to communities, create jobs and boost economic development throughout the state. In addition, the industry claims it needs the additional small cell infrastructure in order to implement 5G technology at some, still to be determined, date in the future. They claim the only way this can be done effectively is to use the infrastructure that cities and counties have constructed in the public rights-of-way.

Roseville, as with many other cities and counties that are opposed to this bill do not disagree that increased access and the effectiveness of wireless technology is beneficial to our communities. However, there is no documented problem that requires the dramatic changes contained within this bill to the current process for the approval of the placement of wireless equipment on the public infrastructure. The current process allows for the placement of equipment once an applicant's permit has been approved. Roseville's current process includes local input into the location and design of the equipment, an analysis that the infrastructure can safely accommodate the equipment, and the negotiated market rate lease for the use of the publicly owned infrastructure. This bill drastically changes this process, prohibiting what input the local authority has over the process and approval for the placement of this equipment on city or county owned infrastructure.

Roseville currently receives more than $200,000 from negotiated cell tower leases. If SB 649 is signed into law it would negate current leases held by cities and counties on January 1, 2018 and would require the leases to be renegotiated using the new conditions and limits required by this bill.

Bill Status
The bill was most recently heard by the Assembly Communications and Conveyance Committee on July 12 and passed out of the Committee on a 10-0 vote with three committee members not voting. The bill passed out of its house of origin with similar strong support and with only a small number of legislators voting against the bill. Staff recognizes that the potential to stop in the bill in the legislature is virtually non-existent. That said, staff continues to advocate against the bill and is working with the City's state lobbyist to bring amendments to the bill author that would minimize the negative impacts the bill presents to the city.

A working group of staff recently crafted more than 14 amendments that address the most concerning elements of the bill including safety, placement of equipment, design and lease limits. The City's state lobbyist will be taking the amendments to the author for discussion and his consideration. Staff will continue to provide support and input to the lobbyist throughout the entirety of the legislative process in the effort to have the amendments taken on the bill.

Conclusion

Roseville typically encourages new technology into the community because of its potential to improve the quality of life for the residents. However, this proposal goes too far by requiring local governments to approve “small cells” in all land use zones, including residential zones, through a ministerial permit, thereby shutting the public out of decisions that could affect the aesthetics of their community and the quality of their environment. In addition, it would reduce compensation paid to the City for use of public infrastructure. Staff will continue to work with the city’s state lobbyist to minimize the negative elements of SB 649 that restricts local input and negates a fair rate for the use of the public infrastructure.

FISCAL IMPACT

The costs of these activities are contained within the City’s current budget.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

The activities detained in this report will not result in job development or creation.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §1506(b) (3). The action of reviewing proposed CEQA legislation does not include the potential for a significant environmental effect, therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mark Wolinski, Government Relations Administrator

Megan MacPherson, Public Affairs and Communications Director

____

Rob Jensen, City Manager

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

SB 649 Attachment A

Attachment A

AMENDED IN SENATE March 28, 2017

AMENDED IN SENATE May 02, 2017

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY June 20, 2017

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY July 03, 2017

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE--2017-2018 REGULAR SESSION

Senate Bill

No. 649

Introduced by Senator Hueso

February 17, 2017

(Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Quirk)

(Coauthor: Senator Dodd)

(Coauthor: Assembly Member Dababneh)

An act to ~~amend Section 65964 of, and to~~ add Sections 65964.2 and 65964.5 ~~to,~~ to the Government Code, relating to telecommunications.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 649, as amended, Hueso. Wireless telecommunications facilities.

Under existing law, a wireless telecommunications collocation facility, as specified, is subject to a city or county discretionary permit and is required to comply with specified criteria, but a collocation facility, which is the placement or installation of wireless facilities, including antennas and related equipment, on or immediately adjacent to that wireless telecommunications collocation facility, is a permitted use not subject to a city or county discretionary permit.

This bill would provide that a small cell is a permitted use, subject only to a specified permitting process adopted by a city or county, if the small cell meets specified requirements. By imposing new duties on local agencies, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would authorize a city or county to require an encroachment permit or a building permit, and any additional ministerial permits, for a small cell, as specified. The bill would authorize a city or county to charge 3 types of fees: an annual ~~administrative permit fee,~~ charge for each small cell attached to city or county vertical infrastructure, an annual attachment rate, or a ~~on-time~~ one-time reimbursement fee. The bill would require the city or county to comply with notice and hearing requirements before imposing the annual attachment rate. The bill would require an action or proceeding to challenge a fee imposed under the provisions of this bill to be commenced within 120 days of the effective date of the ordinance or resolution. The bill would define the term "small cell" for these purposes.

This bill would prohibit a city or county from adopting or enforcing any regulation on the placement or operation of a communications facility in the rights-of-way by a provider that is authorized by state law to operate in the rights-of-way or from regulating that service or imposing any tax, fee, or charge, except as provided in specified provisions of law or as specifically required by law.

~~Under existing law, a city or county, as a condition of approval of an application for a permit for construction or reconstruction of a development project for a wireless telecommunications facility, may not require an escrow deposit for removal of a wireless telecommunications facility or any component thereof, unreasonably limit the duration of any permit for a wireless telecommunications facility, or require that all wireless telecommunications facilities be limited to sites owned by particular parties within the jurisdiction of the city or county, as specified.~~

~~This bill would require permits for these facilities to be renewed for equivalent durations, as specified.~~

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote Required: MAJORITY Appropriation: NO Fiscal Committee: YES Local Program: YES Immediate Effect NO Urgency: NO Tax Levy: NO Election: NO Usual Current Expenses: NO Budget Bill: NO Prop 25 Trailer Bill: NO

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares that, to ensure that communities across the state have access to the most advanced communications technologies and the transformative solutions that robust wireless and wireline connectivity enables, such as Smart Communities and the Internet of Things, California should work in coordination with federal, state, and local officials to create a statewide framework for the deployment of advanced wireless communications infrastructure in California that does all of the following:

(a) Reaffirms local governments' historic role and authority with respect to communications infrastructure siting and construction generally.

(b) Reaffirms that deployment of telecommunications facilities in the rights-of-way is a matter of statewide concern, subject to a statewide franchise, and that expeditious deployment of telecommunications networks generally is a matter of both statewide and national concern.

(c) Recognizes that the impact on local interests from individual small wireless facilities will be sufficiently minor and that such deployments should be a permitted use statewide and should not be subject to discretionary zoning review.

(d) Requires expiring permits for these facilities to be renewed so long as the site maintains compliance with use conditions adopted at the time the site was originally approved.

(e) Requires providers to obtain all applicable building or encroachment permits and comply with all related health, safety, and objective aesthetic requirements for small wireless facility deployments on a ministerial basis.

(f) Grants providers fair, reasonable, nondiscriminatory, and nonexclusive access to locally owned utility poles, streetlights, and other suitable host infrastructure located within the public rights-of-way and in other local public places such as stadiums, parks, campuses, hospitals, transit stations, and public buildings consistent with all applicable health and safety requirements, including Public Utilities Commission General Order 95.

(g) Provides for full recovery by local governments of the costs of attaching small wireless facilities to utility poles, streetlights, and other suitable host infrastructure in a manner that is consistent with existing federal and state laws governing utility pole attachments generally.

(h) Permits local governments to charge wireless permit fees that are fair, reasonable, nondiscriminatory, and cost based.

(i) Advances technological and competitive neutrality while not adding new requirements on competing providers that do not exist today.

~~SEC. 2. Section 65964 of the Government Code is amended to read:~~

~~65964. As a condition of approval of an application for a permit for construction or reconstruction for a development project for a wireless telecommunications facility, as defined in Section 65850.6, a city or county shall not do any of the following:~~

~~(a) Require an escrow deposit for removal of a wireless telecommunications facility or any component thereof. However, a performance bond or other surety or another form of security may be required, so long as the amount of the bond security is rationally related to the cost of removal. In establishing the amount of the security, the city or county shall take into consideration information provided by the permit applicant regarding the cost of removal.~~

~~(b) Unreasonably limit the duration of any permit for a wireless telecommunications facility. Limits of less than 10 years are presumed to be unreasonable absent public safety reasons or substantial land use reasons. However, cities and counties may establish a build-out period for a~~

~~site. A permit shall be renewed for equivalent durations unless the city or county makes a finding that the wireless telecommunications facility does not comply with the codes and permit conditions applicable at the time the permit was initially approved.~~

~~(c) Require that all wireless telecommunications facilities be limited to sites owned by particular parties within the jurisdiction of the city or county.~~

~~SEC. 3.~~ SEC. 2. Section 65964.2 is added to the Government Code, to read:

65964.2. (a) A small cell shall be a permitted use subject only to a permitting process adopted by a city or county pursuant to subdivision (b) if it satisfies the following requirements:

(1) The small cell is located in the public rights-of-way in any zone or in any zone that includes a commercial or industrial use.

(2) The small cell complies with all applicable federal, state, and local health and safety regulations, including the federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12101 et seq.).

(3) The small cell is not located on a fire department facility.

(b) (1) A city or county may require that the small cell be approved pursuant to a building permit or its functional equivalent in connection with placement outside of the public rights-of-way or an encroachment permit or its functional equivalent issued consistent with Sections 7901 and 7901.1 of the Public Utilities Code for the placement in public rights-of-way, and any additional ministerial permits, provided that all permits are issued within the timeframes required by state and federal law.

(2) Permits issued pursuant to this subdivision may be subject to the following:

(A) The same permit requirements as for similar construction projects and applied in a nondiscriminatory manner.

(B) A requirement to submit additional information showing that the small cell complies with the Federal Communications Commission's regulations concerning radio frequency emissions referenced in Section 332(c)(7)(B)(iv) of Title 47 of the United States Code.

(C) A condition that the applicable permit may be rescinded if construction is not substantially commenced within one year. Absent a showing of good cause, an applicant under this section may not renew the permit or resubmit an application to develop a small cell at the same location within six months of rescission.

(D) A condition that small cells no longer used to provide service shall be removed at no cost to the city or county.

(E) Compliance with building codes, including building code structural requirements.

(F) A condition that the applicant pay all electricity costs associated with the operation of the small cell.

(G) A condition to comply with feasible design and collocation standards on a small cell to be installed on property not in the rights-of-way.

(3) Permits issued pursuant to this subdivision shall not be subject to:

(A) Requirements to provide additional services, directly or indirectly, including, but not limited to, in-kind contributions from the applicant such as reserving fiber, conduit, or pole space.

(B) The submission of any additional information other than that required of similar construction projects, except as specifically provided in this section.

(C) Limitations on routine maintenance or the replacement of small cells with small cells that are substantially similar, the same size or smaller.

(D) The regulation of any micro wireless facilities mounted on a span of wire.

(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a city or county shall not impose permitting requirements or fees on the installation, placement, maintenance, or replacement of micro wireless facilities that are suspended, whether embedded or attached, on cables or lines that are strung between existing utility poles in compliance with state safety codes.

(c) A city or county shall not preclude the leasing or licensing of its vertical infrastructure located in public rights-of-way or public utility easements under the terms set forth in this subdivision. Vertical infrastructure shall be made available for the placement of small cells under fair and reasonable fees, subject to the requirements in subdivision (d), terms, and conditions, which may include feasible design and collocation standards. A city or county may reserve capacity on vertical infrastructure if the city or county adopts a resolution finding, based on substantial evidence in the record, that the capacity is needed for projected city or county uses.

(d) (1) A city or county may charge the following fees:

(A) An annual ~~administrative permit fee~~ charge not to exceed two hundred fifty dollars ($250) for each small cell attached to city or county vertical infrastructure.

(B) An annual attachment rate that does not exceed an amount resulting from the following requirements:

(i) The city or county shall calculate the rate by multiplying the percentage of the total usable space that would be occupied by the attachment by the annual costs of ownership of the vertical infrastructure and its anchor, if any.

(ii) The city or county shall not levy a rate that exceeds the estimated amount required to provide use of the vertical infrastructure for which the annual recurring rate is levied. If the rate creates revenues in excess of actual costs, the city or county shall use those revenues to reduce the rate.

(iii) For purposes of this subparagraph:

(I) "Annual costs of ownership" means the annual capital costs and annual operating costs of the vertical infrastructure, which shall be the average costs of all similar vertical infrastructure owned or controlled by the city or county. The basis for the computation of annual capital costs shall be historical capital costs less depreciation. The accounting upon which the historical capital costs are determined shall include a credit for all reimbursed capital costs. Depreciation shall be based upon the average service life of the vertical infrastructure. Annual cost of ownership does not include costs for any property not necessary for use by the small cell.

(II) "Usable space" means the space above the minimum grade that can be used for the attachment of antennas and associated ancillary equipment.

(C) A one-time reimbursement fee for actual costs incurred by the city or county for rearrangements performed at the request of the small cell provider.

(2) A city or county shall comply with the following before adopting or increasing the rate described in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1):

(A) At least 14 days before the hearing described in subparagraph (C), the city or county shall provide notice of the time and place of the meeting, including a general explanation of the matter to be considered.

(B) At least 10 days before the hearing described in subparagraph (C), the city or county shall make available to the public data indicating the cost, or estimated cost, to make vertical structures available for use under this section if the city or county adopts or increases the proposed rate.

(C) The city or county shall, as a part of a regularly scheduled public meeting, hold at least one open and public hearing at which time the city or county shall permit the public to make oral or written presentations relating to the rate. The city or county shall include a description of the rate in the notice and agenda of the public meeting in accordance with the Ralph M. Brown Act (Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 54950.5) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5).

(D) The city or county may approve the ordinance or resolution to adopt or increase the rate at a regularly scheduled open meeting that occurs at least 30 days after the initial public meeting described in subparagraph (C).

(3) A judicial action or proceeding to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul an ordinance or resolution adopting, or increasing, a fee described in this subdivision, shall be commenced within 120 days of the effective date of the ordinance or resolution adopting or increasing the fee. A city or county or interested person shall bring an action described in this paragraph pursuant to

Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 860) of Title 10 of Part 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure in a court of competent jurisdiction.

(4) This subdivision does not prohibit a wireless service provider and a city or county from mutually agreeing to an annual ~~administrative permit fee~~ charge or attachment rate that is ~~less than~~ different from the fees or rates established above.

(e) A city or county shall not discriminate against the deployment of a small cell on property owned by the city or county and shall make space available on property not located in the public rights-of-way under terms and conditions that are no less favorable than the terms and conditions under which the space is made available for comparable commercial projects or uses. These installations shall be subject to reasonable and nondiscriminatory rates, terms, and conditions, which may include feasible design and collocation standards.

(f) This section does not alter, modify, or amend any franchise or franchise requirements under state or federal law, including Section 65964.5.

(g) For purposes of this section, the following terms have the following meanings:

(1) "Micro wireless facility" means a small cell that is no larger than 24 inches long, 15 inches in width, 12 inches in height, and that has an exterior antenna, if any, no longer than 11 inches.

(2) (A) "Small cell" means a wireless telecommunications facility, as defined in paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Section 65850.6, or a wireless facility that uses licensed or unlicensed spectrum and that meets the following qualifications:

(i) The small cell antennas on the structure, excluding the associated equipment, total no more than six cubic feet in volume, whether an array or separate.

(ii) Any individual piece of associated equipment on pole structures does not exceed nine cubic feet.

(iii) The cumulative total of associated equipment on pole structures does not exceed 21 cubic feet.

(iv) The cumulative total of any ground-mounted equipment along with the associated equipment on any pole or nonpole structure does not exceed 35 cubic feet.

(v) The following types of associated ancillary equipment are not included in the calculation of equipment volume:

(I) Electric meters and any required pedestal.

(II) Concealment elements.

(III) Any telecommunications demarcation box.

(IV) Grounding equipment.

(V) Power transfer switch.

(VI) Cutoff switch.

(VII) Vertical cable runs for the connection of power and other services.

(VIII) Equipment concealed within an existing building or structure.

(B) "Small cell" includes a micro wireless facility.

(C) "Small cell" does not include the following:

(i) Wireline backhaul facility, which is defined to mean a facility used for the transport of communications data by wire from wireless facilities to a network.

(ii) Coaxial or fiber optic cables that are not immediately adjacent to or directly associated with a particular antenna or collocation.

(iii) Wireless facilities placed in any historic district listed in the National Park Service Certified State or Local Historic Districts or in any historical district listed on the California Register of Historical Resources or placed in coastal zones subject to the jurisdiction of the California Coastal Commission.

(iv) The underlying vertical infrastructure.

(3) (A) "Vertical infrastructure" means all poles or similar facilities owned or controlled by a city or county that are in the public rights-of-way or public utility easements and meant for, or used in whole or in part for, communications service, electric service, lighting, traffic control, or similar functions.

(B) For purposes of this paragraph, the term "controlled" means having the right to allow subleases or sublicensing. A city or county may impose feasible design or collocation standards for small cells placed on vertical infrastructure, including the placement of associated equipment on the vertical infrastructure or the ground.

(h) Existing agreements ~~between a wireless service provider, or its agents and assigns, and a city, a county, or a city or county's agents and assigns,~~ regarding the leasing or licensing of vertical infrastructure entered into before the operative date of this section remain in effect, subject to applicable termination ~~or other provisions in the existing agreement, or unless otherwise modified by mutual agreement of the parties. A wireless service provider may require the rates of this section for new small cells sites that are deployed after the operative date of this section in accordance with applicable change of law provisions in the existing agreements.~~ provisions. The operator of a small cell may accept the rates of this section for small cells that are the subject of an application submitted after the agreement is terminated pursuant to the terms of the agreement.

(i) Nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize or impose an obligation to charge a use fee different than that authorized by Part 2 (commencing with Section 9510) of Division 4.8 of the Public Utilities Code on a local publicly owned electric utility.

(j) This section does not change or remove any obligation by the owner or operator of a small cell to comply with a local publicly owned electric utility's reasonable and feasible safety, reliability, and engineering policies.

(k) A city or county shall consult with the utility director of a local publicly owned electric utility when adopting an ordinance or establishing permitting processes consistent with this section that impact the local publicly owned electric utility.

(l) ~~Except as provided in subdivisions (a) and (b), nothing~~ Nothing in this section shall be construed to modify the rules and compensation structure that have been adopted for an attachment to a utility pole owned by an electrical corporation or telephone corporation, as those terms are defined in Section 216 of the Public Utilities Code pursuant to state and federal law, including, but not limited to, decisions of the Public ~~Utility~~ Utilities Commission adopting rules and a compensation structure for an attachment to a utility pole owned by an electrical corporation or telephone corporation, as those terms are defined in Section 216 of the Public Utilities Code.

(m) Nothing in this section shall be construed to modify any applicable rules adopted by the Public Utilities Commission, including General Order 95 requirements, regarding the attachment of wireless facilities to a utility pole owned by an electrical corporation or telephone corporation, as those terms are defined in Section 216 of the Public Utilities Code

(n) The Legislature finds and declares that small cells, as defined in this section, have a significant economic impact in California and are not a municipal affair as that term is used in Section 5 of Article XI of the California Constitution, but are a matter of statewide concern.

~~SEC. 4.~~ SEC. 3. Section 65964.5 is added to the Government Code, to read:

65964.5. Except as provided in Sections 65964, 65964.2, and 65850.6, or as specifically required by state law, a city or county may not adopt or enforce any regulation on the placement or operation of communications facilities in the rights-of-way by a provider authorized by state law to operate in the rights-of-way, and may not regulate any communications services or impose or collect any tax, fee, or charge not specifically authorized under state law.

~~SEC. 5.~~ SEC. 4. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution because a local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the program or level of service mandated by this act, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code.

CITY COUNCIL
Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 8705
File #: 0103-32-02

Title: AB 398 Cap-and-Trade Program
Contact: Chris Romero 916-746-1660 cromero@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 8/23/2017
Item #: 6.1.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

The following report provides the Law and Regulation (L&R) Committee with a summary of the landmark legislation recently signed by the governor that will extend the Cap-and-Trade program.

BACKGROUND

BACKGROUND
The California Air Resources Board (CARB) is designated as the air pollution control agency that regulates emission from mobile sources, while the local air quality management districts regulate emissions from stationary sources.

Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger signed Assembly Bill 32 (the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006), which authorized the state's cap-and-trade program more than a decade ago. This bill created the adoption of a statewide greenhouse gas emissions limit equivalent to the statewide levels in 1990 to be achieved by 2020. Subsequently, Senate Bill 32 was signed into law by Governor Brown in 2016. This bill further increases greenhouse gas reductions by directing CARB to ensure that statewide greenhouse gas emissions are reduced to 40% below the 1990 level by 2030.

The state’s cap-and-trade program has played a key role in meeting the state’s greenhouse gas reduction goals. However, with the cap-and-trade program initially authorized through the year 2020, the governor was seeking to extend this program for another 10 years.

During the early part of this legislative session, two assembly bills were introduced to address extending the cap-and-trade program. The two bills, AB 151 and AB 378, did not pass out of their house of origin by the June deadline and are no longer valid bills. A third bill, SB 775, was a gut-and-amend bill that supported more of a cap-and-tax plan, which created many issues for electric utilities and their customers. This bill had very little support from both Democrats and Republicans.

Less than two weeks before the start of the legislature’s summer recess, AB 398 (E. Garcia) became a gut-and-amend bill that proposed to extend the cap-and-trade program through the year 2030. This bill had strong support from the state’s leadership including the governor, Senate proTem De Leon and Speaker of the Assembly Anthony Rendon. AB 398 was an urgency bill that required a two-thirds vote for passage. Within a seven-day period, this bill was approved by both the Assembly and Senate with the required supermajority vote.

One of the key issues for publicly owned utilities was addressed in this bill regarding the continuation of allowances. Allowances are important because they help provide stability in managing potential costs to the utility.

There are both critics and supporters of this bill from various industry sectors including business, environmental, chambers of commerce, and electric utilities. Questions remain regarding the future impacts of this legislation, and details of the cap-and-trade program extension will need to be worked out by CARB over the next 12 to 18 months.

In addition to AB 398, two other legislative bills were passed by the legislature that relate to the cap-and-trade program extension:

- AB 617, (C. Garcia): This bill would require CARB to develop a uniform statewide system of annual reporting of emissions of criteria air pollutants and toxic air contaminants for use by certain categories of stationary sources. The bill would require those stationary sources to report their annual emissions of criteria air pollutants and toxic air contaminants.

- ACA 1, Assembly Constitutional Amendment, (Mayes): The proposal would place a measure on next year's state ballot to decide whether allocations of money raised by the cap-and-trade program should be decided with a two-thirds majority vote in the legislature.

Conclusion

Recently signed by the governor, AB 398 is landmark legislation that was approved by the legislature to extend the state’s cap-and-trade program from 2020 to 2030. This program is intended to play a key role in helping the state meets its greenhouse gas reduction goals of 40% below 1990 levels by 2030. Further details of the program will be completed by CARB within the next 12 to 18 months. Staff will provide the Committee with updates on the development of the program as it evolves during that time.

FISCAL IMPACT

This report is for informational purposes only with no specific recommendations that could result in additional costs to the City of Roseville.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

The activities detained in this report will not result in job development or creation.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §1506(b) (3). The action of reviewing proposed CEQA legislation does not include the potential for a significant environmental effect, therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Chris Romero - Government Relations Analyst

Michelle Bertolino, Roseville Electric Utility

Rob Jensen, City Manager

CITY COUNCIL
Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 8706
File #: 0103-32-02

Title: Electric Legislative Summary
Contact: Chris Romero 916-746-1660 cromero@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 8/23/2017
Item #: 6.2.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

The following report provides the Law and Regulation (L&R) Committee with an update on the legislation advocacy activities undertaken by electric department staff. Staff provides the update to receive the Committee’s input on bills of particular importance.

BACKGROUND

With the legislative’s summer recess ending, staff is extremely busy heading into the final stretch of this legislative year. Despite the recent passage of landmark legislation that extended the cap-and-trade program through 2030, other key energy bills remain, which staff continues to monitor. The following key bills are still active and are noteworthy due to potential impacts to the department.

Priority bills related to renewables

SB 100, De Leon, 100% Clean Energy Act: WATCH - bill would state that it is the policy of the state that eligible renewable energy resources and zero carbon resources supply 100 percent electricity procured to serve California end use customers by the year 2045. It would also accelerate the timeline to achieve a 50% renewable resources target by 2026 and to achieve a 60% target 2030.

Staff Comments: This bill would establish the most aggressive renewable energy portfolio targets within the continental United States. At this time the bill continues to evolve as it defines “zero-carbon resources.” These unanswered questions raise potential concerns with the bill. This bill could result in potential cost impacts in meeting new requirements. The City remains in a watch position as staff continues to participate in stakeholder meetings to determine concerns and priorities.

AB 1405, Mullin, Electricity: Net-Load Peak: OPPOSE - This bill would require utilities to consider the role of clean energy technology, demand response, and energy efficiency in helping to ensure that each electric utility meets net-load peak energy needs. This bill defines net-load peak as the daily period of three or more consecutive hours in which the latest of the hours is the hour of peak demand for electricity.

Staff Comments: This bill was amended to allow utilities to consider rather than mandate specified activities within the integrated resource plan (IRP). However, the department remains opposed due to the fact that it remains part of the integrated resource plan. By having these types of considerations or requirements placed within the integrated resource plan, it could potentially give the state regulatory agencies more authority over local utilities while also reducing local control.

Priority bills related to reporting

SB 356, Skinner, Energy Data Transparency: SUPPORT – An earlier version of this bill would have required utilities to monitor, gather and share electricity usage data of its customers with the state regulatory agencies.

Staff Comments: The City previously had a watch positon with SB 356, however an amendment made in the Assembly Committee on Utilities and Energy removed publicly owned utilities from being required to disclose key customer-specific data to the state.

AB 79, Levine, Electrical Generation: Hourly Greenhouse Gas Emissions: WATCH - Requires the California Air Resources Board to establish a methodology for calculating greenhouse gas emissions associated with electricity from unspecified sources of power. The bill intends to distinguish between energy sources imported into California, and energy sources originating within California.

Staff Comments: A recent amendment would authorize the state board not to require updating its methodology for the calculation of emissions of greenhouse gases associated with these unspecified sources if it determines that updating the methodology is infeasible or is not appropriate because the increased administrative burden would be too burdensome. Because the recent amendment could potentially reduce potential administrative burdens on utilities, the department is watching and not opposing this bill.

Priority bills related to utility workers

AB 1222, Quirk, Vehicles: Electronic Communication Devices: SUPPORT - Existing law makes it a crime to drive a motor vehicle while holding and operating a handheld wireless telephone or electronic wireless communications device. This bill would exempt a specialized mobile radio device and a two-way messaging device from the list of devices currently included as an electronic wireless communications device.

Staff Comments: The bill would allow our utility workers to use any mobile radio and two-way communication devices without penalty.

SB 513, Bradford, Assault and Battery of a Public Utility Worker: SUPPORT - This bill would increase the fines for assault and battery of a utility worker who is engaged in the performance of his or her duties, and the person committing the offense knows or reasonably should know that the victim is a utility worker engaged in the performance of his or her duties. The assault and battery is also punishable by imprisonment in a county jail.

Staff Comments: There has been an increase in assault and battery cases the past few years reported against public workers in the electric utility industry. The city supports its utility workers by encouraging a safe work environment and opposing any violence towards them.

FISCAL IMPACT

This report is for informational purposes only with no specific recommendations that could result in additional costs to the City of Roseville.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

The activities detained in this report will not result in job development or creation.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §1506(b) (3). The action of reviewing proposed CEQA legislation does not include the potential for a significant environmental effect, therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Chris Romero, Government Relations Analyst

Michelle Bertolino, Roseville Electric Utility

____

Rob Jensen, City Manager

CITY COUNCIL
Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 8707
File #: 0103-32-02

Title:	Priority Legislation August 2017
Contact:	Mark Wolinski 916-774-5173 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 8/23/2017
Item #: 6.3.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

The revised June list of priority legislation of 111 bills includes the following: bills being watched (Attachment A), bills that are supported (Attachment B), and bills that are opposed (Attachment C). The priority list also includes the Mayor's advocacy letters signed in May (Attachment D). As the session progresses, staff brings the revised priority list back to the L&R Committee to provide updates and to receive the Committee's input on bills of particular importance.

BACKGROUND

The August list of priority legislation reflects the bills that remain active after the July 21 legislative deadline for policy committees to hear and report bills before the beginning of the Legislature's summer recess. Staff continues to track all the bills identified as possibly affecting Roseville.

When the Legislature returns to the State Capitol from their summer recess on August 21 a significant focus is certain to be on the state's housing situation and how to effectively address the housing supply and affordability. The governor recently stated that he expects the Legislature to pass a housing package of bills that will begin to address these issues and bring relief to the statewide housing crisis.

The Department of Housing and Community Development estimates that California must build at least 180,000 units a year to keep pace with demand, not accounting for the backlog of approximately 2 million units that has accrued over the last several decades. The supply shortage has sent home prices and rents soaring, resulting in many individuals and families being priced out of the market and leading to overcrowding, homelessness, substandard housing conditions, and an exodus of Californians to other states.

It is uncertain which of the still active housing bills will comprise the housing package considered by the legislature. However, based on pending legislation it is evident that legislators are concentrating on things like local land use, funding affordable housing, or expedited permit processing.

The following are the primary bills receiving much of the attention as being part of the housing package considered by the Legislature and the City's positons on the bills:

Housing

- ***SB 35 (Wiener) - Planning and Zoning: Affordable Housing: Approval (Oppose)*** This bill would amend the Planning and Zoning Law. Requires a planning agency to include in a certain report specified information regarding units of housing that have secured approvals for a building permit. Requires the report to be posted on an Internet Web site. Requires a multifamily housing development that satisfies specified planning objective standards to be subject to a streamlined, ministerial approval process, as provided, and to not be subject to a conditional use permit.

  Staff Comments: The City opposes this bill as it would require staff to submit a new report to the state on information that is currently available. The bill would also require a streamlined, ministerial process for certain projects that would limit the City's ability to comment and/or place certain requirements on defined projects, thereby reducing local control.

- ***AB 678 (Bocanegra) - Housing Accountability Act (Watch); and, SB 167 (Skinner) – Housing Accountability Act (Watch) -*** These two identical bills would specify that a housing development project or emergency shelter is deemed consistent, compliant, and in conformity with an applicable plan, program, policy, ordinance, standard, requirement, or other similar provision for purposes of the above-described provisions if there is substantial evidence that would allow a reasonable person to conclude that the housing development project or emergency shelter is consistent, compliant, or in conformity.

  Staff Comment: The City is watching these two bills for the changes that would be enacted if either bill was signed into law. The bills would require a local agency to make relevant findings if it denies a housing development, would clarify provisions of the Housing Accountability Act (HAA), and would impose added penalties on agencies that violate the HAA by failing to make appropriate findings.

- ***AB 879 (Grayson) Planning and Zoning: Housing Element (Oppose) -*** Requires a county housing element analysis to include requests to develop housing at certain densities and define the length of time for approval. Requires this analysis to demonstrate local efforts to remove nongovernmental constraints that create a gap between the locality's planning for the development of housing for all income levels and the construction of that housing. Requires the Department of Housing and Community Development to complete a study to evaluate the local fees charged to new developments.

  Staff Comment: The City has concerns that the bill could ultimately lead to lost revenues, meaning that the City would have to subsidize development fees instead of development covering its own costs, if the state required that the City reduce development fees based on the analysis completed by the Department of Housing and Community Development.

- ***SB 540 (Roth) Workforce Housing Opportunity Zones to Streamline Housing Approvals (Watch) -*** This bill would authorize a local government, as defined, to establish a Workforce Housing Opportunity Zone by preparing an EIR pursuant to CEQA and adopting a specific plan that is required to include text and a diagram or diagrams containing specified information. The bill would require a local government that proposes to adopt a Workforce Housing Opportunity Zone to hold public hearings on the specific plan. The bill would authorize a local government, after a specific plan is adopted and the zone is formed, to impose a specific plan fee upon all persons seeking governmental approvals within the zone. The bill would require a local government to comply with certain requirements when amending the specific plan for the zone, including seeking a new EIR.

Staff Comments: The City is watching this bill for the opportunities it would provide for the development of workforce housing if the bill is signed into law. It is uncertain if the City would be able to utilize the new law, however it would be a tool the City could assess for future considerations.

Funding Affordable Housing

- **SB 2 (Atkins) Building Homes and Jobs Act (Watch)** - This bill would enact the Building Homes and Jobs Act. The bill would make legislative findings and declarations relating to the need for establishing permanent, ongoing sources of funding dedicated to affordable housing development. The bill would impose a fee, except as provided, of $75 to be paid at the time of the recording of every real estate instrument, paper, or notice required or permitted by law to be recorded, per each single transaction per single parcel of real property, not to exceed $225.

  Staff Comment: The City is watching this bill to understand the requirements that would accompany the law and how revenues would be distributed if this bill was signed into law.

- ***SB 3 (Beall) Affordable Housing Bond Act of 2018 (Watch)*** - This bill would enact the Affordable Housing Bond Act of 2018, which, if adopted, would authorize the issuance of bonds in the amount of $3 billion, pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law. Proceeds from the sale of these bonds would be used to finance various existing housing programs, as well as infill infrastructure financing and affordable housing matching grant programs, as provided.

  Staff Comment: the City is watching this bill to understand what specific types of projects the bond funding would be used for and what the process would be to access the funding if approved.

Other Areas

- ***SB 506 (Nielsen) –Department of Fish and Wildlife: Lake or Streambed – (Support)*** This bill would require the Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) to upgrade its Lake and Streambed Alteration Program website and post any documents created for informational, guidance, or regulatory purposes on the website by December 21, 2018.
  Staff Comments: The City supported this bill for the potential clarity it would have provided to staff and developers regarding the Department's positions on the Lake and Streambed Alteration Program, which can have significant time and cost implications to development projects. The Governor vetoed this bill. His veto statement indicated his rationale was due to the Department beginning a rulemaking process on the Lake and Streambed Alteration Program and, subsequently he felt the bill, as currently written, was premature. However, he did direct the Department to update its website to reflect the new guidance, once the regulations are complete.

- **SB 78 (Leyva) – After School Programs: Grant Amounts – (Support)** - This bill would appropriate a specified sum to the State Department of Education for the After School Education and Safety Program. Requires the annual adjustment of the total program funding for each minimum wage increase.
  Staff Comment: The City supports this bill as it would provide funding for certain types of after school programs that are provided by the City-run Adventure Clubs at schools throughout

Roseville.

Federal

Staff continues to work with the City's federal lobbyist to remain engaged in the federal budget discussions taking place in Washington. The proposed budget continues to focus on balancing the federal budget, paying down the national debt, reducing taxes and regulatory burdens, and reprioritizing federal spending.

As noted previously, it is understood that the budget is an initial proposal and that Congress must ratify these proposals. Therefore, staff is engaged in providing our federal representatives with information regarding the importance various programs and services provide to the city and the region.

Conclusion

Government relations staff continues to actively advocate on the City's priority legislation and to further develop the list as the Legislature moves through the final weeks of the session. Staff also is actively engaged in informing the City's state and federal representatives regarding the City's positions as the bills move through the legislative process.

FISCAL IMPACT

The costs of these activities are contained within the City's current budget.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

The activities detained in this report will not result in job development or creation.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §1506(b) (3). The action of reviewing proposed CEQA legislation does not include the potential for a significant environmental effect, therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mark Wolinski, Government Relations Administrator

Megan MacPherson, Public Affairs and Communications Director

Rob Jensen, City Manager

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

Priority Legislation Aug 2017 Attachment A

Priority Legislation Aug 2017 Attachment B

Priority Legislation Aug 2017 Attachment C

Mayor's Letters Aug 2017 Attachment D

Attachment A

List of Priority Legislation – August 2017

1. CA AB 28

Author:	Frazier (D)
Coauthor	Baker (R) , Garcia E (D) , Mathis (R) , Fong (R) , Galgiani (D) , Salas (D)
Title:	Department of Transportation: Review: Federal Program
Disposition:	Enacted
Location:	Chaptered
Code Section:	An act to add and repeal Section 820.1 of the Streets and Highways Code, relating to transportation, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately. [Approved by Governor March 29, 2017. Filed with Secretary of State March 29, 2017.]
Summary:	Reinstates the operation of existing law which provided that the state consents to the jurisdiction of the federal courts with regard to the compliance,

	discharge, or enforcement of responsibilities it assumed as a participant in an interstate surface transportation project delivery pilot program for environmental review. Makes a repeal of that provision on a specified date.
Digest:	This bill would reinstate the operation of the latter provision. The bill would repeal that provision on January 1, 2020. This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.
Introduced:	12/05/2016
Last Amend:	03/02/2017
Status:	03/29/2017 Enrolled. 03/29/2017 Signed by GOVERNOR. 03/29/2017 Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter No. 2017-4
Department:	PW
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

2. CA AB 33

Author:	Quirk (D)
Title:	Transportation Electrification
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Energy, Utilities and Communications Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Section 740.16 to the Public Utilities Code, relating to transportation electrification.
Summary:	Requires the Public Utilities Corporation to consider authorizing electrical corporations to offer programs and investments that support customers who purchase a used electric vehicle. Requires that the programs and investments be designed to accelerate widespread transportation electrification, achieve ratepayer benefits, reduce dependence on petroleum, meet air quality standards, and reduce emissions of greenhouse gases.
Digest:	This bill would require the PUC, by March 30, 2018, in consultation with the state board and the Energy Commission, to consider authorizing electrical corporations to offer programs and investments that support customers who purchase a used electric vehicle. If authorized by the PUC, the bill would require that the programs and investments be designed to accelerate widespread transportation electrification, achieve ratepayer benefits, reduce dependence on petroleum, meet air quality standards, and reduce emissions of greenhouse gases. If authorized, the bill would require the PUC to review, modify if appropriate, and decide whether to approve each proposal to offer these programs and investments that is filed by an electrical corporation within one year of the date of filing of the completed proposal. If the program is approved, the bill would provide that a participant in the program would receive electrical servicefor charging their electric vehicles at a grid-integrated rate, as defined. The bill would require that a program approved by the PUC

	include a reasonable mechanism for cost recovery by the electrical corporation. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.	
Introduced:	12/05/2016	
Last Amend:	06/22/2017	
Status:	07/03/2017	In SENATE Committee on ENERGY, UTILITIES AND COMMUNICATIONS: Not heard.
Department:	Electric	
Position:	Watch	
Priority:	StatePriority	

3. CA AB 36

Author:	Nazarian (D)	
Coauthor	Bocanegra (D) , Levine (D) , Mullin (D) , Quirk (D) , Ridley-Thomas S (D) , Low (D) , Dababneh (D)	
Title:	Eligible Fuel Cell Electrical Generating Facilities	
Disposition:	Pending	
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee	
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)	
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 2827.10 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to electricity.	
Summary:	Amends existing law related to a standard tariff for energy metering. Changes "eligible fuel cell electrical generating facility" to "eligible electrical generating facility" and would additionally make eligible a facility that electromechanically converts fuel to electricity for purposes of the energy metering program.	
Digest:	This bill would change "eligible fuel cell electrical generating facility" to "eligible electrical generating facility" and would additionally make eligible a facility that electromechanically converts fuel to electricity for purposes of the above-described energy metering program. The bill would make conforming and nonsubstantive changes. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.	
Introduced:	12/05/2016	
Last Amend:	04/04/2017	
Status:	06/06/2017	From SENATE Committee on ENERGY, UTILITIES AND COMMUNICATIONS: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (10-0)
Department:	Electric	
Position:	Watch	

Priority: StatePriority

4. CA AB 73

Author:	Chiu (D)
Coauthor	Mullin (D) , Ting (D) , Santiago (D) , Berman (D) , Gloria (D) , Caballero (D) , Bonta (D) , Kalra (D)
Title:	Planning and Zoning: Housing Sustainability Districts
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 65582.1 of, and to add Chapter 11 (commencing with Section 66200) to Division 1 of Title 7 of, the Government Code, and to add Chapter 4.3 (commencing with Section 21155.10) to Division 13 of the Public Resources Code, relating to land use.
Summary:	Authorizes a city, county, or city and county to establish a housing sustainability district and to apply for approval for a zoning incentive payment. Provides for permits for residential development, design review standards, and certain application fees. Requires that prevailing wages be paid in connection with all projects within the district. Requires a lead agency, when designating districts, to prepare an EIR for the designation.
Digest:	This bill would authorize a city, county, or city and county, including a charter city, charter county, or charter city and county, to establish by ordinance a housing sustainability district that meets specified requirements, including authorizing residential use within the district through the ministerial issuance of a permit. The bill would authorize the city, county, or city and county to apply to the Department of Housing and Community Development for approval for a zoning incentive payment and require the city, county, or city and county to provide specified information about the proposed housing sustainability district ordinance. The bill would require the department to approve a zoning incentive payment if the ordinance meets the above-described requirements and the city's housing element is in compliance with specified law. The bill would also require the department, each October 1 following the approval of the housing sustainability district, to issue a certificate of compliance if the city, county, or city and county meets specified criteria pertaining to the continued compliance with these provisions or to deny certification, as provided. The bill would provide that a city, county, or city and county with a housing sustainability district would be entitled to a zoning incentive payment, subject to appropriation of funds for that purpose, and require that 1/2 the amount be provided upon zone approval by the department and 1/2 the amount upon verification by the department of the issuance of permits for the projected units of residential construction within the zone, provided that the city, county, or city and county has received a certificate of compliance for the applicable year. The bill, if the city, county, or city and county reduces the density of sites within the district from specified levels, would require the city, county, or city and county to return the full amount of zoning incentive payments it has received to the department.The bill would also authorize a developer to develop a project in a housing sustainability district in accordance with the already existing land use approval procedures that would otherwise apply to the parcel in the absence of the

establishment of the housing sustainability district pursuant to its provisions, as provided.

The bill would authorize a city, county, or city and county to incorporate provisions in its housing sustainability district ordinance prescribing the contents of an application for a permit for residential development, to adopt design review standards, and to charge a project application fee to defray the costs of preparation, adoption, and administration of the housing sustainability district plan, as provided.The bill would require that a housing sustainability district ordinance be effective for no more than 10 years, but would authorize the city, county, or city and county to renew the ordinance for not more than 10 years. The bill would also require that prevailing wages be paid, and a skilled workforce employed, in connection with all projects within the housing sustainability district, as provided. The bill would establish procedures for review of an application by an approving authority, including requiring the approving authority to conduct a public hearing on an application and issue a written decision within 120 days of receipt of the application. The bill, if a proposed development within a housing sustainability district includes any parcels being used for affordable housing, would require that the approving authority condition approval of the application on the applicant's agreement to replace those affordable housing units. The bill would also prescribe procedures for review of a decision of the approving authority to deny or approve with conditions an application for a permit in the superior court.

The bill wouldauthorize the department to adopt, amend, and repeal standards, forms, or definitions to implement its provisions and exempt those standards, forms, or definitions from specified provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act governing rulemaking. The bill would require the department to publish a report containing specified information about the housing sustainability district program on its Internet Web site no later than November 1, 2018, and each November 1 thereafter.

This bill would require a lead agency, when designating housing sustainability districts, to prepare an EIR for the designation, as specified. The bill would exempt from CEQA housing projects undertaken in the housing sustainability districts that meet specified requirements.

Introduced:	12/16/2016
Last Amend:	07/13/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	Housing, Planning
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

5. CA AB 74

Author:	Chiu (D)
Coauthor	Mullin (D) , Berman (D) , Gloria (D) , Bonta (D) , Santiago (D)

Title:	Housing
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Part 14.2 (commencing with Section 53590) to Division 31 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to housing, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.
Summary:	Requires the Department of Housing and Community Development to establish a Housing for a Healthy California Program, and to award grants to certain grant applicants. Provides for interim and long-term rental assistance. Authorizes the department to enter into contracts on a bid or negotiated basis, exempt from specified small business procurement, personal service, and public contracting provisions.
Digest:	This bill would require HCD to, on or before October 1, 2018, establish the Housing for a Healthy California Program and on or before April 1, 2019, and every year thereafter, subject to on appropriation by the Legislature, award grants on a competitive basis to eligible grant applicants based on guidelines that HCD would draft, as prescribed, and other requirements. The bill would provide that an applicant is eligible for a grant under the program if the applicant meets specified requirements, including that the applicant identify a source of funding, as specified, agree to contribute funding for interim and long-term rental assistance, and agree to collect and report data, as specified. The bill would require an applicant awarded a grant to use the funds for specified purposes, including long-term rental assistance and interim housing. The bill would provide that a county resident is eligible to receive assistance pursuant to a grant awarded under the program if he or she meets specified requirements, including that the person is homeless, is a Medi-Cal beneficiary, is eligible for Supplemental Security Income, is eligible to receive certain services, and is likely to improve his or her health with supportive services. The bill would provide that the program shall be funded upon appropriation by the Legislature. The bill would also authorize HCD, for purposes of implementing these provisions, to enter into exclusive or nonexclusive contracts on a bid or negotiated basis, exempt from specified small business procurement, personal service, and public contracting provisions, and exempt from the review or approval of any division of the Department of General Services. The bill would exempt the program guidelines created by the department from requirements prescribed for administrative regulations. The bill would require HCD to analyze data collected pursuant to the program, as specified, and by October 1, 2020, and subsequently as the program may be funded, to report program data to certain legislative committees, as specified. The bill would appropriate an unspecified amount from the General Fund to the Department of Housing and Community Development for these purposes. This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as a bill providing for appropriations related to the Budget Bill.
Introduced:	12/16/2016
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.

Department:	Housing
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

6. CA AB 79

Author:	Levine (D)
Coauthor	Stern (D)
Title:	Electrical Generation: Hourly Greenhouse Gas Emissions
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Section 38532 to the Health and Safety Code, and to amend Section 400 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to electricity.
Summary:	Requires the State Air Resources Board to update its methodology for the calculation of emissions of greenhouse gases associated with electricity from unspecified sources, purchases within certain areas, and for electricity imported into the State from certain regions. Requires the Public Utilities Commission and the Energy Commission to incorporate the methodology into programs addressing the disclosure of the emissions of greenhouse gases and the procurement of electricity by certain entities.
Digest:	This bill would require, by January 1, 2019, the state board, in consultation with the Independent System Operator (ISO), to update its methodology for the calculation of emissions of greenhouse gases associated with electricity from unspecified sources, a term defined in existing law but revised for this purpose. The bill would require the methodology to distinguish between those emissions associated with electricity from unspecified sources that is purchased within California balancing authority areas, as defined, and those emissions associated with electricity from unspecified sources imported into California from different subregions of the Western Electricity Coordinating Council. The bill would require the state board to regularly update its methodology and authorize the state board to incorporate additional measuresand forms of differentiation that are designed to improve the accuracy of the calculations and that support the state's initiatives for reducing emissions of greenhouse gases. The bill would authorize the state board to not update its methodology for the calculation of emissions of greenhouse gases associated with electricity from unspecified sources if it determines that updating the methodology is infeasible or is not appropriate because the administrative burden is excessive and differentiating is unlikely to materially improve the accuracy of the calculations needed for the state programs designed to regulate emissions of greenhouse gases. The bill would require the state board, in consultation with the ISO and California balancing authorities, to report to the Legislature by January 1, 2019, on any barriers to developing an enhanced methodology, based on recorded generation operations data, for the calculation of hourly greenhouse gas emissions associated with electricity from unspecified sources, as specified. The bill would require the Public Utilities Commission and the Energy Commission to incorporate the methodology into programs addressing the disclosure of the emissions of greenhouse gases and the procurement of electricity by entities

under the respective jurisdiction of each. Because a local publicly owned electric utility would be required to incorporate the methodology into programs addressing the disclosure of the emissions of greenhouse gases and the procurement of electricity by the utility, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for specified reasons.

Introduced:	01/04/2017
Last Amend:	06/26/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

7. CA AB 168

Author:	Eggman (D)
Coauthor	Waldron (R) , Gonzalez (D) , Garcia E (D) , Mathis (R) , Atkins (D) , Garcia (D)
Title:	Employers: Salary Information
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to add Section 432.3 to the Labor Code, relating to employers.
Summary:	Prohibits an employer from seeking salary history information about an applicant for employment. Requires an employer, upon reasonable request, to provide the pay scale for a position to an applicant for employment. Applies to all employers, including state and local government employers and the Legislature.
Digest:	This bill would prohibit an employer from seeking salary history information about an applicant for employment and would require an employer, upon reasonable request, to provide the pay scale for a position to an applicant for employment.The bill would apply to all employers, including state and local government employers and the Legislature. The bill would specify that a violation of its provisions would not be subject to the misdemeanor provision.
Introduced:	01/17/2017
Last Amend:	06/06/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	CityAttorney, HR
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

8. CA AB 188

Author:	Salas (D)
Coauthor	Medina (D) , Aguiar-Curry (D)
Title:	Vehicle Retirement and Replacement
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 44125 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to vehicular air pollution.
Summary:	Requires the State Air Resources Board to update the guidelines for the enhanced fleet modernization program to make applicable to light-duty pickup trucks the same standard for miles per gallon that is applicable to minivans.
Digest:	This bill would require the State Air Resources Board, no later than July 1, 2019, to update the guidelines for the enhanced fleet modernization program to make applicable to light-duty pickup trucks the same standard for miles per gallon that is applicable to minivans, as specified.
Introduced:	01/19/2017
Last Amend:	05/26/2017
Status:	07/05/2017 From SENATE Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (7-0)
Department:	CentralServices, Electric
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

9. CA AB 193

Author:	Cervantes (D)
Coauthor	Calderon I (D)
Title:	Air Quality Program: Clean Reused Vehicle Rebates
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Section 44274.9 to the Health and Safety Code, relating to vehicular air pollution.
Summary:	Requires the Air Resources Board to establish the Clean Reused Vehicle Rebate Project to provide rebates for the acquisition of an eligible used vehicle, the replacement or refurbishment of an electric vehicle battery and related components, or a vehicle service contract to cover unexpected vehicle repairs not covered by a manufacturer's warranty related to unique problems in eligible used vehicles. Makes the implementation of the Clean Reused Vehicle Rebate Project contingency upon an appropriation.
Digest:	This bill would require the state board to establish the Clean Reused Vehicle Rebate Project, as a part of the Air Quality Improvement Program, to provide

	rebates for the acquisition of an eligible used vehicle, as defined; the replacement or refurbishment of an electric vehicle battery and related components for an eligible used vehicle or a vehicle service contract, as defined, for the battery or related components; or a vehicle service contract to cover unexpected vehicle repairs not covered by the manufacturer's warranty related to unique problems in eligible used vehicles, as specified.The bill would make the implementation of the Clean Reused Vehicle Rebate Project contingent upon an appropriation of moneys for those purposes in the annual Budget Act or another statute.
Introduced:	01/19/2017
Last Amend:	06/29/2017
Status:	07/05/2017 From SENATE Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (4-1)

Department:	Electric
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

10. CA AB 199

Author:	Chu (D)
Coauthor	Thurmond (D)
Title:	Public Works: Private Residential Projects
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 1720 of the Labor Code, relating to public works.
Summary:	Relates to an exemption from specified requirements for public works for private residential projects built on private property. Makes this exemption inapplicable to a project built pursuant to an agreement with a successor agency to a redevelopment agency.
Digest:	This bill would make the above-referenced exemption for private residential projects additionally inapplicable to a project built pursuant to an agreement with a successor agency to a redevelopment agency, as specified. By expanding the scope of a crime to include, among other things, additional officers, agents, or representatives of the state or a political subdivision, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	01/23/2017
Last Amend:	04/06/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.

Department:	DevelopmentSvcs, EconDevelop, Housing, PW
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

11. CA AB 210

Author:	Santiago (D)
Coauthor	Allen T (R) , Garcia (D) , Maienschein (R) , Waldron (R) , Baker (R) , O'Donnell (D) , Acosta (R) , Gloria (D)
Title:	Homeless Multidisciplinary Personnel Team
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Chapter 18 (commencing with Section 18999.8) to Part 6 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to public social services.
Summary:	Authorizes counties to establish a homeless adult, child, and family multidisciplinary personnel team to facilitate the expedited identification, assessment, and linkage of homeless individuals to housing and supportive services and to allow provider agencies to share confidential information for the purpose of coordinating such services. Requires the sharing of information to be governed by protocols developed in each county.
Digest:	This bill would authorize counties to also establish a homeless adult, child, and family multidisciplinary personnel team, as defined, with the goal of facilitating the expedited identification, assessment, and linkage of homeless individuals to housing and supportive services within that county to allow provider agencies to share confidential information, as specified, for the purpose of coordinating housing and supportive services to ensure continuity of care. The bill would require the sharing of information permitted under these provisions to be governed by protocols developed in each county, as specified, and would require each county to provide a copy of its protocols to the State Department of Social Services.
Introduced:	01/23/2017
Last Amend:	07/03/2017
Status:	07/11/2017 From SENATE Committee on JUDICIARY: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (7-0)
Department:	CityAttorney, PAC, PD, Parks
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

12. CA AB 236

Author:	Maienschein (R)

Coauthor	[Hertzberg (D) , Grayson (D) , Gloria (D) , Acosta (R) , Steinorth (R) , Voepel (R) , McCarty (D) , Mathis (R) , Vidak (R) , Waldron (R) , Chavez (R) , Santiago (D)]
Title:	CalWORKs: Housing Assistance
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 11450 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to CalWORKs.
Summary:	Provides that homeless assistance is available to homeless families that would be eligible for aid under the CalWORK's program but for the fact that the only child or children in the family are in out-of-home placement pursuant to an order of the dependency court, if the family is receiving reunification services and the county determines that homeless assistance is necessary for reunification to occur. Requires reporting on actual costs of nightly shelters and practices for transitioning families.
Digest:	This bill would also provide that homeless assistance is available to homeless families that would be eligible for aid under the CalWORKs program but for the fact that the only child or children in the family are in out-of-home placement pursuant to an order of the dependency court, if the family is receiving reunification services and the county determines that homeless assistance is necessary for reunification to occur. The bill would delete the requirement that homeless assistance be used in consecutive calendar days.This bill would also require the department to work with county human services agencies and the County Welfare Directors Association to gather information regarding actual costs of a nightly shelter and best practices for transitioning families from a temporary shelter to a permanent shelter and to provide that information to the Legislature on an annual basis. Because this bill would increase the administrative duties of counties, it would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would, instead, provide that the continuous appropriation would not be made for purposes of implementing the bill. This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.
Introduced:	01/30/2017
Last Amend:	06/20/2017
Status:	07/10/2017 In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.
Department:	Housing, PAC
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

13. CA AB 262

Author:	Bonta (D)
Coauthor	Bloom (D) , Mullin (D) , Chiu (D) , Galgiani (D) , Eggman (D) , Steinorth (R)
Title:	Public Contracts: Bid Specifications
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend and renumber the heading of Article 5 (commencing with Section 3400) of Chapter 3 of Part 1 of Division 2 of, and to add Article 5 (commencing with Section 3500) to Chapter 3 of Part 1 of Division 2 of, the Public Contract Code, relating to public contracts.
Summary:	Relates to contracting buy certain public entities. Creates the Buy Clean California Act, which would require the Department of General Services to establish, and publish in the State Contracting Manual, a maximum acceptable global warming potential for each category of eligible materials. Requires an awarding authority to require a successful bidder to submit a current Environmental Product Declaration, developed in accordance with specified standards, for certain products.
Digest:	This bill, the Buy Clean California Act, would, by January 1, 2019, require the Department of General Services to establish, and publish in the State Contracting Manual, a maximum acceptable global warming potential for each category of eligible materials, in accordance with requirements set out in the bill. The bill, by January 1, 2022, and every 3 years thereafter, would require the department to review the maximum acceptable global warming potential for each category of eligible materials established, and would authorize the department to adjust that number downward for any eligible material to reflect industry improvements, as provided. The bill, for specified types of contracts entered into on or after July 1, 2019, would require an awarding authority to require a successful bidder to submit a current Environmental Product Declaration, developed in accordance with specified standards, for that type of product. The bill would require an awarding authority to include in a specification for a bid for an eligible project, as defined, that the facility-specific global warming potential for any eligible materials does not exceed the maximum global warming potential for that material determined by the department in accordance with the process described above. The bill would also authorize an awarding authority to include in a specification for bids for an eligible project a facility-specific global warming potential for any eligible material that is lower than the maximum global warming potential for that material as determined by the department in accordance with the process described above. The bill would prohibit a successful bidder from installing any eligible materials on an eligible project until that bidder submits an Environmental Product Declaration to the awarding authority for that project. The bill would require an awarding authority, in carrying out its duties under the act, to strive to continuously reduce emissions over time. The bill would define "awarding authority" for these purposes to include state departments and entities subject to the State

	Contract Act, the Regents of the University of California, and the Trustees of the California State University. The bill, by January 1, 2022, would require the department to submit a report to the Legislature on any obstacles to the implementation of this article, and the effectiveness of this article in reducing global warming potential.
Introduced:	01/31/2017
Last Amend:	07/19/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on APPROPRIATIONS with author's amendments. 07/19/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

14. CA AB 271

Author:	Caballero (D)
Coauthor	Galgiani (D)
Title:	Property Assessed Clean Energy Program
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 53356.2 of, and to add Sections 53340.8 and 53340.9 to, the Government Code, and to amend Sections 8680 and 8833 of, and to add Section 5898.34 to, the Streets and Highways Code, relating to the Property Assessed Clean Energy program.
Summary:	Authorizes the county tax collector to direct the county's auditor to remove a delinquent installment based on a Property Assessed Clean Energy assessment from the county's secured tax roll, if it arises from a contract entered into after a specified date. Requires specified costs to be deposited in a county fund to be used for offsetting general fund property tax revenues of local taxing agencies that are lost when a property subject to a PACE assessment is sold at a tax defaulted land sale.
Digest:	This bill would authorize the county tax collector to direct the county auditor to remove a delinquent installment based on a PACE assessment from the county's tax rolls, if it arises from a contract entered into on or after January 1, 2018. The bill would require the county tax collector, immediately upon that removal and for each parcel for which the delinquent installment was removed, to provide notice on the tax rolls of the removal. This bill would require, as to PACE assessments arising from contracts entered into on or after January 1, 2018,except for PACE assessments subject to specified law which requires deposit in a tax losses reserve fund,

	that specified penalties be deposited in a restricted county fund when collected by the tax collector, or that those penalties be remitted to the tax collector for deposit in that fund when collected by any party other than the tax collector. The bill would require that moneys in the fund be transferred to the delinquent tax sale trust fund for the deficit amount, to be distributed pursuant to specified law if any property subject to a PACE assessment is sold at a tax defaulted land sale for less than a specified minimum price. The bill also would provide that those PACE assessments removed from the tax roll pursuant to paragraph (1), above, would not accrue further penalties. This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.
Introduced:	02/01/2017
Last Amend:	05/10/2017
Status:	07/05/2017 From SENATE Committee on GOVERNANCE AND FINANCE: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (6-0)
Department:	Electric
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

15. CA AB 345

Author:	Ridley-Thomas S (D)
Title:	Municipal Code Violations
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 36900 of, and to amend, add, and repeal Sections 25845, 38773.1, and 38773.5 of, the Government Code, relating to local government.
Summary:	Authorizes the legislative body of a city or county to collect fines related to nuisance abatement using a nuisance abatement lien or a special assessment. Increases the amounts of fines for violations of a city building and safety code determined to be an infraction.
Digest:	This bill would authorize, until January 1, 2023, the legislative body of a city or county to also collect fines related to the nuisance abatement using a nuisance abatement lien or a special assessment. The bill would, for violations of a city building and safety code determined to be an infraction, increase the amounts of the fines to $134 for a first violation, $668 for a 2nd violation of the same ordinance within one year, and $1,336 for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation.
Introduced:	02/08/2017

Last Amend: 07/17/2017

Status: 07/17/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. To third reading.

Department: Building, CityAttorney

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

16. CA AB 346

Author: Daly (D)

Coauthor Brough (R)

Title: Redevelopment: Housing Successor: Housing Asset Fund

Disposition: Enacted

Location: Chaptered

Code Section: An act to amend Section 34176.1 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to local government. [Approved by Governor June 28, 2017. Filed with Secretary of State June 28, 2017.]

Summary: Expands the specified types of services included within permissible homeless prevention and rapid rehousing services to include contributions toward the construction of local or regional homeless shelters. Adds a regional homeless shelter to the list of projects which local housing successors may finance by transferring funds among their respective low and moderate income housing asset funds.

Digest: This bill would expand the specified types of services included within permissible homeless prevention and rapid rehousing services to include contributions toward the construction of local or regional homeless shelters.

This bill would add a regional homeless shelter to the list of projects for which those types of housing successors may finance by transferring funds among their respective low and moderate income housing asset funds.

Introduced: 02/08/2017

Last Amend: 04/20/2017

Status: 06/28/2017 Signed by GOVERNOR.
06/28/2017 Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter No. 2017-35

Department: Housing, PAC

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

17. CA AB 390

Author: Santiago (D)

Coauthor Ting (D)

Title: Pedestrian Crossing Signals

Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 21456 of the Vehicle Code, relating to pedestrians.
Summary:	Authorizes a pedestrian facing a flashing sign displaying certain messages or images to proceed so long as he or she completes the crossing before the messages or images are displayed steadily.
Digest:	This bill would authorize a pedestrian facing a flashing "DON'T WALK" or "WAIT" or approved "Upraised hand" symbolwith a "countdown" signal to proceed so long as he or she completes the crossing before the display of the steady "DON'T WALK" or "WAIT" or approved "Upraised Hand" symbol. Because the bill would change the definition of a crime, it would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/09/2017
Last Amend:	07/13/2017
Status:	07/13/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	PD, PW
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

18. CA AB 530

Author:	Cooper (D)
Coauthor	Garcia E (D)
Title:	Public Employment: Collective Bargaining: Officers
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to repeal and add Section 3511 of the Government Code, relating to public employment.
Summary:	Expands the jurisdiction of the Public Employment Relations Board to include resolving disputes and statutory duties and rights of persons who are employed by public agencies and who are peace officers. Authorizes a peace officer to bring an action to seek injunctive relief. Excepts the employee relations commissions of the County of Los Angeles and the City of Los Angeles from the application of these provisions.
Digest:	This bill would expand the jurisdiction of PERB to include resolving disputes and statutory duties and rights of persons who are employed by public agencies, as defined, and are peace officers, as defined.The bill also would authorize a peace officer, or a recognized employee organization that represents any person who is a peace officer, as specified, to bring an action

	in superior court to seek injunctive and other relief pending a final determination by the board, as specified. The bill would except the employee relations commissions of the County of Los Angeles and the City of Los Angeles from the application of its provisions.
Introduced:	02/13/2017
Last Amend:	07/03/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.
Department:	CityAttorney, HR
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

19. CA AB 546

Author:	Chiu (D)
Title:	Land Use: Local Ordinances: Energy Systems
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Section 65850.8 to the Government Code, relating to local government.
Summary:	Relates to the Planning and Zoning Law. Requires certain cities and counties to make all documentation and forms associated with the permitting of advanced energy storage available on a publicly accessible Web site. Provides for the electronic submittal of permit applications. Authorizes the Governor's Office of Planning and Research to provide guidance on energy storage permitting, including streamlining, best practices, and certain factors for consideration by local government.
Digest:	This bill would, on or before September 30, 2018, for a city,including a charter city, county, or city and county with a population of 200,000 or more residents, or January 31, 2019, for a city,including a charter city, county, or city and county with a population of less than 200,000 residents, require the city, county, or city and county to make all documentation and forms associated with the permitting of advanced energy storage, as defined, available on a publicly accessible Internet Web site, as specified. The bill would require a city, county, or city and county to allow for the electronic submittal of a permit application and associated documentation,except as specified. The bill would authorize the Governor's Office of Planning and Research to provide guidance on energy storage permitting, including streamlining, best practices, and potential factors for consideration by local government in establishing fees for permitting and inspection, as specified.

	This bill would make findings and declarations that implementation of consistent statewide standards to achieve the timely and cost-effective installation of energy storage systems is a matter of statewide concern. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/14/2017
Last Amend:	07/12/2017
Status:	07/12/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Building, DevelopmentSvcs, Electric, Planning
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

20. CA AB 615

Author:	Cooper (D)
Title:	Air Quality Improvement Program: Clean Vehicle Rebate
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 44274.3 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to vehicular air pollution, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.
Summary:	Extends the operation of the Clean Vehicle Rebate Project until a specified date.
Digest:	This bill instead would extend the applicability of these provisions to until January 1, 2019. This bill would require the state board and the Department of Finance to submit specified reports regarding these provisions to the Legislature no later than July 1, 2018. This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.
Introduced:	02/14/2017
Last Amend:	07/10/2017
Status:	07/11/2017 From SENATE Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (12-0)
Department:	Electric
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

21. CA AB 634

Author:	Eggman (D)
Title:	Real Property: Solar Energy Systems
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Second Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 714.1 and 4600 of, and to add Section 4746 to, the Civil Code, relating to real property.
Summary:	Specifies that an association may not establish a general policy prohibiting the installation or use of a rooftop solar energy system for household purposes on the roof of the building in which the owner resides, or a garage or carport adjacent to the building that has been assigned to the owner for exclusive use. Requires an association, when reviewing a request to install a solar energy system on a multifamily common area roof shared by more than one homeowner, to require certain things from an applicant.
Digest:	This bill would specify that an association may not establish a general policy prohibiting the installation or use of a rooftop solar energy system for household purposes on the roof of the building in which the owner resides, or a garage or carport adjacent to the building that has been assigned to the owner for exclusive use. The bill also would specify that an association may not require approval by a vote of members owning separate interests in the common interest development in those circumstances. The bill additionally would specify that any action by an association that contravenes these provisions is void and unenforceable, and would make nonsubstantive and clarifying changes. This bill also would exempt from that vote requirement an action to install and use a solar energy system on the common roof of a residence that meets specified requirements. The bill would require an association, when reviewing a request to install a solar energy system on a multifamily common area roof shared by more than one homeowner, to require an applicant to notify each owner of a unit in the building on which the installation will be located of the application to install the solar energy system. The bill would permit an association, when reviewing this request to impose additional reasonable requirements, including a requirement to submit a solar site survey showing the placement of the solar energy system, in accordance with specific criteria.
Introduced:	02/14/2017
Last Amend:	07/05/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 From SENATE Committee on JUDICIARY: Do pass as amended. (6-0)
Department:	Electric
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

22. CA AB 636

Author:	Irwin (D)
Title:	Local Streets and Roads: Expenditure Reports
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Rules Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 22019 of the Public Contract Code, and to amend Section 2151 of the Streets and Highways Code, relating to transportation.
Summary:	Amends reporting requirements related to the Highway Users Tax Account. Requires a complete report of expenditures for street and road purposes to be submitted to the Controller within a certain number of months after the close of the fiscal year adopted by a county, city, or city and county. Makes conforming changes. Requires the Controller to adjust related timelines in the uniform construction cost accounting procedure to conform with the submission of certain information.
Digest:	This bill would instead require the report to be submitted to the Controller within 7 months after the close of the fiscal year adopted by a county, city, or city and county. The bill would make other conforming changes. This bill would require the Controller to adjust related timelines in the uniform procedure to conform with the submission by a governing body of a county, city, or city and county of its expenditures for street and road purposes during the preceding fiscal year.
Introduced:	02/14/2017
Last Amend:	06/27/2017
Status:	06/27/2017 From SENATE Committee on RULES with author's amendments. 06/27/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on RULES.
Department:	PW
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

23. CA AB 726

Author:	Holden (D)
Title:	Electricity: Natural Gas: Rates: Notification
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Section 745.5 to the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Requires an electrical or gas corporation to provide a residential customer with a smart meter with energy usage or energy billing notifications at appropriate times, based on the customer's usage of electricity or gas.

	Requires a corporation to offer the option to receive energy bill alert notifications. Requires a corporation to seek authority from the Public Utilities Commission to be exempted from these requirements when compliance is impractical or infeasible for a category of customers.
Digest:	This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/15/2017
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

24. CA AB 727

Author:	Nazarian (D)
Title:	Mental Health Services Act: Housing Assistance
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 5892 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to mental health.
Summary:	Clarifies that counties may spend Mental Health Services Act moneys on housing assistance for people in the target population under the Act.
Digest:	This bill would clarify that counties may spend MHSA moneys on housing assistance, as defined, for people in the target population.
Introduced:	02/15/2017
Last Amend:	07/19/2017
Status:	07/20/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	Housing, PAC
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

25. CA AB 748

Author:	Ting (D)
Title:	Peace Officers: Video and Audio Recordings: Disclosure
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Rules Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 6254 of the Government Code, and to amend Section 832.18 of the Penal Code, relating to peace officers.
Summary:	Allows a video or audio recording that relates to a matter of public concern because it depicts an incident involving a peace officer's use of force, or

	involves a violation of law or agency policy by a peace officer, to be withheld for a specified maximum calendar days if disclosure would substantially impede an active investigation. Prohibits an agency from disclosing any audio or video recording made pursuant to certain provisions available to a 3rd-party contractor, except for data storage.
Digest:	This bill would, notwithstanding the above provisions, allow a video or audio recording that relates to a matter of public concern because it depicts an incident involving a peace officer's use of force, or is reasonably believed to involve a violation of law or agency policy by a peace officer, to be withheld for a maximum of 120 calendar days if disclosure would substantially impede an active investigation. The bill would allow the recording to be withheld if the public interest in withholding video or audio recording clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure because the release of the recording would, based on the facts and circumstances depicted in the recording, violate the reasonable expectation of privacy of a subject depicted in the recording, in which case the bill would allow the recording to be redacted to protect that interest. If the agency demonstrates that the reasonable expectation of privacy of a subject depicted in the recording cannot adequately be protected through redaction, the bill would require that the recording be promptly disclosed to a subject in the recording or his or her immediate family, if deceased. This bill would prohibit an agency from disclosing any audio or video recording made pursuant to these provisions available to a 3rd-party contractor, except for the purpose of data storage. The bill would prohibit the sale of a recording for any purpose and would prohibit the use of any biometric scanning program or application in regard to a recording, unless exigent circumstances necessitate the use of biometric scanning.
Introduced:	02/15/2017
Last Amend:	07/19/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on RULES.
Department:	HR, IT, PD
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

26. CA AB 803

Author:	Quirk (D)
Title:	Energy: Low-Income Energy Efficiency Programs
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 382 and 2790 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Amends the interval of time in which the Public Utilities Commission is required to conduct a new assessment of low-income program implementation

	and the effectiveness of weatherization services and energy efficiency measures in low-income households. Requires the assessment to consider whether available technologies, in combination with existing programs, adequately address low-income electricity and gas customers. relates to requirements for low-income programs.
Digest:	This bill would require the commission to conduct a new assessment not less often than every 5th year, instead of every 3rd year. The bill would require the assessment to additionally evaluate the impacts of low-income programs on low-income households and consider whether available technologies, in combination with existing programs, adequately address those low-income electricity and gas customers' concerns. The bill would require the assessment to measure the overall participation rates of low-income electricity and gas customers in existing low-incomeenergy assistance programs for which they are eligible. This bill would require the commission to consider whether the eligibility requirements for low-income programs should be changed to better serve eligible low-income populations and to maximize the effectiveness of moneys spent through those programs. This bill would require, to the extent it reduces hardships, and is feasible and cost effective,that those measures reflect the most current and relevant available knowledge and technologies, where applicable. The bill would also require the commission to ensure that its proceeding schedule does not limit an electrical or gas corporation's ability to provide those measures, as applicable. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/15/2017
Last Amend:	06/28/2017
Status:	07/10/2017 In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

27. CA AB 804

Author:	Garcia (D)
Coauthor	Gonzalez (D)
Title:	Controller: Internal Control Guidelines
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 12422.5 of the Government Code, relating to state government.

Summary:	Authorizes the Controller to audit any local agency for purposes of determining whether the agency's internal controls are adequate to detect and prevent financial errors and fraud.
Digest:	This bill would authorize the Controller to audit any local agency for purposes of determining whether the agency's internal controls are adequate to detect and prevent financial errors and fraud. The bill would declare that these provisions are a matter of statewide concern and not a municipal affair.
Introduced:	02/15/2017
Last Amend:	06/19/2017
Status:	07/11/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	Finance
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

28. CA AB 851

Author:	Caballero (D)
Title:	Local Agency Contracts
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 20146 and 21162 of, and to add Section 22162.5 to, the Public Contract Code, relating to public contracts.
Summary:	Authorizes the Santa Clara Valley Water District to use the design-build procurement process when contracting for the construction of a building or buildings and improvements directly related to the construction of a building or buildings. Authorizes the utilization of the design-build procurement process by the Santa Clara Valley Water District for the purposes of, among other things, flood protection improvements, habitat restorations or enhancements, and enhancement of surface water facilities.
Digest:	This bill would extend that authorization described above until January 1, 2023.The bill, with certain exceptions, would prohibit a construction manager at-risk entity from being prequalified or shortlisted or awarded a contract unless that entity provides an enforceable commitment to the county that the entity and its subcontractors at every tier will use a skilled and trained workforce to perform all work on the project or contract that falls within an apprenticeable occupation in the building and construction trades, in accordance with existing skilled and trained workforce requirements. This bill would authorize the Santa Clara Valley Water District to use the design-build procurement process described above when contracting for the construction of a building or buildings and improvements directly related to the construction of a building or buildings. The bill would also authorize the district, upon approval by its governing body, to use the design-build procurement process for other specified projects. By expanding the design-build authorization to additional development projects, the bill would expand

	the scope of the crime of perjury and would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/10/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	CentralServices, CityAttorney, PW
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

29. CA AB 920

Author:	Aguiar-Curry (D)
Coauthor	Bradford (D) , Bigelow (R) , Dahle (R) , Eggman (D) , Gallagher (R) , Garcia E (D) , Wood (D) , McGuire (D)
Title:	Electricity: Procurement Plans: Integrated Resource
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections ~~454.51 and 454.52~~ 454.51, 454.52, and 9621 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Amends existing law related to the Public Utilities Commission's regulatory authority over public utilities, including electrical corporations. Specifies that a diverse and balanced portfolio of resources includes an appropriate mix of renewable capacity, including peaking, dispatchable, baseload, firm, and as-available capacity. requires the commission to assess the need for, and benefits of, existing and future renewable baseload generation. Makes certain determinations related to procurement.
Digest:	This bill would specify that a "diverse and balanced portfolio of resources" includes an appropriate mix of renewable capacity, including peaking, dispatchable, baseload, firm, and as-available capacity. The bill would additionally require the PUC to assess the need for, and benefits of, existing and future renewable baseload generation, and determine whether a procurement requirement for renewable baseload generation is necessary to meet the portfolio needs for renewable integration. This bill would require that an integrated resource plan ensure that the load-serving entity procures a balanced resource portfolio with an appropriate mix ofrenewable capacity, including peaking, dispatchable, baseload, firm, and as-available capacity. This bill would require the governing board, when reviewing the local publicly owned electric utility's integrated resource plan, to evaluate the mix of

	resources in the utility's total resource and renewable resource portfolios to ensure balanced portfolios with an appropriate mix of renewable capacity, including peaking, dispatchable, baseload, firm, and as-available capacity. The bill would require the governing board to assess the need for, and benefits of, existing and new renewable baseload generation and consider whether to procure renewable baseload generation for the utility. By placing additional requirements upon local publicly owned electric utilities, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/17/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric, PAC
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

30. CA AB 1008

Author:	McCarty (D)
Coauthor	Holden (D) , Weber (D) , Gipson (D) , Reyes (D)
Title:	Employment Discrimination: Prior Criminal History
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Section 12952 to the Government Code, and to repeal Section 432.9 of the Labor Code, relating to employment discrimination.
Summary:	Repeals the prohibition on a state or local agency from asking an applicant for employment to disclosure information regarding criminal conviction; provides that it is an unlawful employment practice under California Fair Employment and Housing for an employer to include on any application for employment any question that seeks the disclosure of an applicant's criminal history, to inquire into or consider the conviction history of an applicant until that applicant has received a conditional offer.
Digest:	This bill would repeal the prohibition on a state or local agency from asking an applicant for employment to disclose information regarding a criminal conviction, as described above. The bill would, instead, provide it is an unlawful employment practice under FEHA for an employerwith 5 or more employees to include on any application for employment any question that seeks the disclosure of an applicant's criminal history, to inquire into or consider the conviction history of an applicant until that applicant has received a conditional offer, and, when conducting a conviction history background

check, to consider, distribute, or disseminate information related tospecified prior arrests, diversions, and convictions.

This bill would also require an employer who intends to deny an applicant a position of employment solely or in part because of the applicant's prior conviction of a crime to make an individualized assessment of whether the applicant's conviction history has a direct and adverse relationship with the specific duties of the job, and to consider certain topics when making that assessment. The bill would require an employerwho makes a preliminary decision to deny employment based on that individualized assessment to provide the applicant written notification of the decision.The bill would require the notification to contain specified information. The bill would grant an applicant5 business days to respond to that notification before the employer may make a final decision. If the applicant notifies the employer in writing that he or she disputes the accuracy of the conviction history and is obtaining evidence to support that assertion, the bill would grant the applicant an additional 5 business days to respond to the notice. The bill would require an employer to consider information submitted by the applicant before making a final decision. The bill would require an employer who has made a final decision to deny employment to the applicant to notify the applicant in writing of specified topics. The bill would exempt specified positions of employment from the provisions of the bill.

Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	CityAttorney, HR
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

31. CA AB 1034

Author:	Chau (D)
Title:	Government Interruption of Communications
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Article 7 (commencing with Section 11470) to Chapter 3 of Title 1 of Part 4 of the Penal Code, and to repeal Sections 7907 and 7908 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to criminal procedure.
Summary:	Prohibits any government entity, or service provider acting at the request of a government entity, from interrupting a communication service either to prevent the communications service from being used for an illegal purpose or to protect public health, safety, or welfare. Authorizes a government entity to interrupt a communications service for either of those purposes in an extreme emergency situation or if the interruption is authorized by a court order.

Digest: This bill would repeal all of those provisions. This bill would instead prohibit any government entity, or service provider acting at the request of a government entity, from interrupting a communication service either to prevent the communications service from being used for an illegal purpose or to protect public health, safety, or welfare. The bill would authorize a government entity to interrupt a communications service for either of those purposes in an extreme emergency situation, as specified, or if the interruption is authorized by a court order. The bill would require the application for a court order under its provisions to require specified information, and would authorize the court to grant the order if specified conditions are met, including, among other things, there is probable cause that the communication is being or will be used for an unlawful purpose and that absent immediate and summary action to interrupt the communication service, serious, direct, and immediate danger to public health, safety, or welfare will result. The bill would require the order to contain specified information, including a statement of the duration of the authorized interruption.

The bill would require a government entity interrupting a communications service due to an extreme emergency situation to apply for a court order without delay, and if possible, to file the application within 6 hours after commencement of interruption. The bill would require the government entity, if it does not apply for an application within 6 hours, to apply within 24 hours after commencement of the interruption and include a declaration under penalty of perjury stating the reason for the delay. By expanding the crime of perjury, this bill would create a state-mandated local program.

The bill would provide that good faith reliance by a service provider on a court order issued pursuant to these provisions is a defense for the service provider against any action brought as a result of the interruption of a communications service authorized by that order. The bill would allow a person whose communications service has been interrupted pursuant to these provisions to petition the superior court to contest the grounds for interruption and restore the interrupted service.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/16/2017

Last Amend: 07/05/2017

Status: 07/11/2017 From SENATE Committee on JUDICIARY: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (7-0)

Department: Fire, IT, PD

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

32. CA AB 1070

Author: Gonzalez (D)

Title:	Solar Energy Systems: Contracts: Disclosures
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Sections 7169 and 7170 to the Business and Professions Code, to add Section 1882.7 to the Civil Code, and to add Section 2854.6 to the Public Utilities Code, relating to solar energy systems.
Summary:	Requires the Contractors' State License Board to develop and make available on its Web site a specified disclosure document regarding solar energy system installation, to be provided to a consumer prior to completion of a sale, financing, or lease of a solar energy system. Requires the Department of Consumer Affairs to resolve complaints and questions regarding solar energy systems companies and contractors. Requires the Department to report complaint information on its Web site.
Digest:	This bill would require the board,in collaboration with the Public Utilities Commission, on or before July 1, 2018, to develop and make available on its Internet Web site a disclosure document that provides a consumer with accurate, clear, and concise information regarding the installation of a solar energy system, as specified. The bill would require this disclosure document to be provided by the solar energy systems company to the consumer prior to completion of a sale, financing, or lease of a solar energy system, as defined, and that it, and the contract, be written in the same language as was principally used in the sales presentation and marketing material. The bill would require the department to receive and resolve complaints and consumer questions, and complaints received from state agencies, regarding solar energy systems companies and solar contractors. The bill would require the department annually to compile a report documenting complaints it received relating to solar energy systems companies and solar contractors that it shall make available publicly on the department's and the Public Utilities Commission's Internet Web sites. This bill would afford a consumer who enters into a contract for sale, financing, or lease of a solar energy system a period not exceeding 3 days, during which time he or she may cancel the contract for any reason. This bill would require the Public Utilities Commission to develop a standard methodology to be used in the calculation and presentation of electric utility bill savings to a consumer that can be expected by using a solar energy system by vendors, installers, or financing entities and to post the methodology on its Internet Web site. The bill also would require electrical corporations to post the methodology.
Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Building, Electric

Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

33. CA AB 1082

Author:	[Burke (D)]
Coauthor	[Bradford (D) , Gloria (D)]
Title:	Transportation Electrification: Vehicle Charging
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Section 740.13 to the Public Utilities Code, relating to transportation electrification.
Summary:	Authorizes a large electrical corporation to file with the Public Utilities Commission a pilot program proposal for the installation of vehicle charging stations at school facilities, giving priority to schools located in disadvantaged communities. Authorizes the use of these charging stations by faculty, students, and parents before, during, and after school hours. Includes a reasonable mechanism for cost recovery by the electrical corporation.
Digest:	This bill would authorize a large electrical corporation, defined as an electrical corporation with 100,000 or more service connections in California, to file with the PUC, by July 30, 2018, apilot program proposal for the installation of vehicle charging stations at school facilities, giving priority to schools located in disadvantaged communities, as defined. The bill would require the PUC to review, modify if appropriate, and decide whether to approve a pilot program proposal filed by a large electrical corporation by December 31, 2018. The bill would provide that a school district, county office of education, or private school choosing to participate in thepilot program would have authority to establish guidelines for use of the charging stations installed pursuant to the approvedpilot program, including use of these charging stations by faculty, students, and parents before, during, and after school hours at those times that the school facilities are operated for purposes of providing education or school-related activities, and by others present for those activities. The bill would require that construction and maintenanceof the charging equipment be managed in coordination with the school district, county office of education, or private school. The bill would require that the approvedpilot program include a reasonable mechanism for cost recovery by the large electrical corporation if the commission makes specified findings. The bill would require that schools receiving charging stations pursuant to the approvedpilot program participate in a time-variant rate approved by the commission and would authorize the school district, office of education, or private school to require users of the charging stations to pay electricity costs. The bill would authorize an electrical corporation with less than 100,000 service connections in California to file apilot program proposal for the installation of electric vehicle charging stations at school facilities. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/19/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

34. CA AB 1083

Author:	Burke (D)
Coauthor	Bradford (D) , Gloria (D)
Title:	Transportation Electrification: State Parks and Beaches
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Section 740.14 to the Public Utilities Code, relating to transportation electrification.
Summary:	Authorizes a large electrical corporation file a program proposal with the Public Utilities Commission for the installation of electric vehicle charging stations at state parks and beaches within its service territory. Requires that the approved pilot includes a reasonable mechanism for cost recovery.
Digest:	This bill would authorize a large electrical corporation, defined as an electrical corporation with 100,000 or more service connections in California, in consultation with the department, PUC, Energy Commission, and state board, to file with the PUC, by July 30, 2018, apilot program proposal for the installation of electric vehicle charging stations at state parks and beaches within its service territory. The bill would require the PUC to review, modify if appropriate, and decide whether to approve a pilot program proposal filed by a large electrical corporation by December 31, 2018. The bill would require the department to determine which state parks or beaches are suitable for charging stations. The bill would require that the approvedpilot program include a reasonable mechanism for cost recovery by the large electrical corporation if the commission makes specified findings. The bill would authorize an electrical corporation with less than 100,000 service connections in California to file apilot program proposal for the installation of electric vehicle charging stations at state parks and beaches within its service territory. The bill would require an electrical corporation to prioritize in its proposal those state parks and beaches that serve residents of disadvantaged communities, as defined. The bill would require that state parks and beaches receiving charging stations pursuant to the approvedpilot program participate in a time-variant rate approved by the commission. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/16/2017

Last Amend:	07/19/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric, Parks
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

35. CA AB 1086

Author:	Daly (D)
Coauthor	Wiener (D)
Title:	Housing: Regional Housing Needs
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Unfinished Business - Concurrence in Senate Amendments
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 65584, 65584.01, and 65584.05 of the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary:	Requires the population forecast developed by the council of governments to be the basis upon which the Department of Housing and Community Development determines the existing and projected needed for that region if the total regional population forecast for the project year is within certain percent of the total regional population forecast prepared by the Department of Finance. Requires the council of governments to additionally include data on the percentage of renter's households that are overcrowded.
Digest:	This bill would make additional findings regarding the relationship between the shortage of housing and the state's environmental policies. This bill would instead require the population forecast developed by the council of governments to be the basis upon which the department determines the existing and projected need for that region if the total regional population forecast for the project year, developed by the council of governments and used for the preparation of the regional transportation plan, is within 1.5% of the total regional population forecast prepared by the Department of Finance. This bill would require the council of governments to additionally include data on the percentage of renters' households that are overcrowded, as specified. By increasing the duties of local officials, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would require that a request for a revised share pursuant to these provisions be consistent with, and not to the detriment of, the development pattern in an applicable sustainable communities strategy. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/16/2017

Last Amend:	07/05/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE. Read third time. Passed SENATE. *****To ASSEMBLY for concurrence. (40-0)
Department:	Housing
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

36. CA AB 1088

Author:	Eggman (D)
Title:	Multifamily Residential Housing: Energy Programs
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Chapter 7.4 (commencing with Section 25640) to Division 15 of the Public Resources Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Requires the Energy Commission to establish nonbinding statewide goals for reducing energy consumption and emissions of greenhouse gases from multifamily residential properties by a specified date, taking into consideration the state's requirements for reducing emissions of greenhouse gases and the climate equity, doubling of energy efficiency, and increased use of renewable energy resources requirements.
Digest:	This bill would require the Energy Commission, by January 1, 2020, and in consultation with relevant state agencies and the public, to establish nonbinding statewide targets that are cost effective and feasible for reducing energy consumption and emissions of greenhouse gases from multifamily residential properties by January 1, 2030, taking into consideration the state's requirements for reducing emissions of greenhouse gases and the climate equity, doubling of energy efficiency, and increased use of renewable energy resources requirements set forth in the Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015. The bill would require the Energy Commission, as part of its ongoing comprehensive program to achieve greater energy savings in California's existing residential and nonresidential building stock, to consult with relevant entities, including, among others, an expert advisory committee established by the Energy Commission pursuant to the bill. The bill would, pursuant to that consultation, require the Energy Commission to do all of the following: (1) by May 1, 2019, develop statewide strategies and recommendations to better leverage existing and new programs and funding resources to accelerate integrated distributed energy resource, water, and health and safety improvement programs available to multifamily residential properties and low-income multifamily properties to achieve the state's requirements for reducing emissions of greenhouse gases and the climate equity, doubling of energy efficiency, and increased use of renewable energy resources requirements of the Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015, (2) by May 1, 2019, identify best practices from model programs, funding mechanisms, and workforce development strategies, and (3) by

	January 1, 2020, identify and implement ways to enhance the Energy Upgrade California Web site, or other appropriate statewide Web sites, to create a statewide Web site subcomponent for owners of multifamily residential properties and for residents of multifamily residential properties that identifies applicable distributed energy resource and water programs and points of contact. The bill would require the Energy Commission, in consultation with the expert advisory committee, to report to the Legislature, by January 1, 2019, on the strategies developed pursuant to this requirement along with any recommendations for legislative action that may need to be taken to implement those strategies. The bill would require the Energy Commission, the Department of Housing and Community Development, the PUC, the State Water Resources Control Board, the Department of Community Services and Development, and other relevant entities, to develop strategies by January 1, 2019, for standardized income eligibility verification processes for distributed energy resources and water programs and would require the Energy Commission to include the strategies and progress made in implementing them in its report to the Legislature.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric, Housing
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

37. CA AB 1091

Author:	Quirk (D)
Title:	Balloons : Electrically Conductive Material
Disposition:	Vetoed
Location:	Vetoed
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 653.1 of the Penal Code, relating to balloons.
Summary:	Amends an existing law which makes it a crime to release balloons made of electrically conductive material and filled with a gas lighter than air as part of a public or civic event, promotional activity, or product advertisement. Requires that the balloon be released willfully, and would deletes the requirement that the balloon be released as part of an event, activity, or advertisement.
Digest:	This bill would require that the balloon be released willfully, and would delete the requirement that the balloon be released as part of a public or civic event, promotional activity, or product advertisement in order to violate the law. By changing the definition of a crime, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/17/2017

Last Amend: 04/18/2017

Status: 07/31/2017 Vetoed by GOVERNOR.

Department: Electric

Position: Support

Priority: StatePriority

38. CA AB 1145

Author: Quirk (D)

Title: Electric and Communication Facilities: Cable Operators

Disposition: Pending

Committee: Senate Appropriations Committee

Hearing: 08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)

Code Section: An act to amend Sections 700, 5896.2, 5896.5, 5896.9, 5896.10, and 5896.14 of the Streets and Highways Code, relating to electric and communication facilities.

Summary: Amends the Improvement Act of 1911. Authorizes the Department of Transportation and any person maintaining any utility facility to enter into a contract providing for or apportioning the obligations and costs to specified removal or relocations of utility facilities. Authorizes an agreement entered into as part of those proceedings to allocate duties between a city and an electricity or communication provider regarding the planning and specification of contributions of labor, materials and money.

Digest: This bill would include with the definition of "utility facilities" for these purposes any pole, poleline, pipe, pipeline, conduit, cable, aqueduct, or other structure or appurtenance used to provide cable service or video service, as defined in the Digital Infrastructure and Video Competition Act of 2006.

This bill would additionally make these provisions applicable to cable television facilities and cable operators.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

Introduced: 02/17/2017

Last Amend: 07/17/2017

Status: 07/17/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.

Department: EU, Electric, IT, PAC, PW

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

39. CA AB 1184

Author: Ting (D)

Title: Vehicular Air Pollution: Electric Vehicles: Incentives

Disposition: Pending

Committee: Senate Appropriations Committee

Hearing: 08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)

Code Section: An act to add ~~Section 740.17 to the Public Utilities~~ Section 44274.8 to, and to add Chapter 6.5 (commencing with Section 44215) to Part 5 of Division 26 of, the Health and Safety Code, relating to ~~electric vehicles.~~ vehicular air pollution, and making an appropriation therefor.

Summary: Establishes the California Electric Vehicle Initiative to provide incentives to the market to achieve a statewide deployment of 1.5 million electric vehicles by 2025. Requires a review to adopt revisions to specified electrification programs to ensure they consider funding benefits for disadvantaged and low-income individuals for all eligible vehicle types. Appropriates funds to support low-carbon transportation projects, including car-sharing programs and electric vehicle incentives.

Digest: This bill would establish the California Electric Vehicle Initiative that would be administered by the state board, in coordination with the State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission and the Public Utilities Commission, as part of the Clean Vehicle Project to provide incentives to the market to achieve a statewide deployment of 1.5 million electric vehicles by 2025, as specified.

This bill would require the state board, no later than February 1, 2018, to begin a review to adopt revisions to all other specified vehicle electrification programs to ensure those programs consider funding benefits for disadvantaged individuals, low-income individuals, or both for all eligible vehicle types, as specified. The bill would require the state board to annually develop and approve a low-carbon transportation funding plan.

This bill would continuously appropriate $5 million each year from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to the state board to support low-carbon transportation projects, including, among others, specified car-sharing programs and additional incentives for existing electric vehicle programs, thereby making an appropriation.

This bill would authorize the state board to provide for advance payment for projects funded by the state board as part of the Air Quality Improvement Program, including from the Air Quality Improvement Fund, as specified.

Introduced: 02/17/2017

Last Amend: 06/26/2017

Status: 07/06/2017 Withdrawn from SENATE Committee on RULES.
07/06/2017 Re-referred to SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.

Department: Electric

Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

40. CA AB 1197

Author:	[Limon (D)]
Title:	Oil Spill Contingency Plans: Spill Management
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 8670.3 and 8670.29 of, and to add Section 8670.32 to, the Government Code, relating to oil spills.
Summary:	Amends Lempert-Keene-Seastrand Oil Spill Prevention and Response Act, which requires owners or operators of specified facilities and owners or operators of vessels to prepare and implement an oil spill contingency plan. Authorizes a spill management team to apply for a classification of that SMT's response capabilities. Requires the establishment of levels for classifying a SMT based on a SMT's capacity to respond to spills and manage spills effectively.
Digest:	This bill would no longer require an oil spill contingency plan to identify at least one rated OSRO for each rating level and would instead require the plan to identify at least one OSRO rated pursuant to those provisions, and would authorize an owner or operator to rely on its own response equipment and personnel, if they have been rated by the administrator, as specified. This bill would authorize a spill management team (SMT), as defined, to apply to the administrator for a certification of that SMT's response capabilities. The bill would require the administrator to establish criteria for certifying a SMT based on the SMT's capacity to respond to spills and manage spills effectively, review applications for SMT certification, and certify SMTs, as specified. The bill would authorize the administrator to charge a reasonable administrative fee to process an application for, or renewal of, a certification. The bill would require the administrator to adopt regulations to implement these provisions as appropriate. The bill would require an oil spill contingency plan to identify at least one certified SMT, certified by the administrator pursuant to the provisions described above, and would authorize an owner or operator to rely on its own spill management team that has been certified by the administrator, as specified.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	04/17/2017
Status:	07/05/2017 From SENATE Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (6-0)
Department:	Fire, Planning
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

41. CA AB 1209

Author:	Gonzalez (D)
Coauthor	Bonta (D) , Thurmond (D) , Santiago (D) , McCarty (D) , Weber (D) , Stone (D) , Jones-Sawyer (D) , Gomez (D) , Garcia (D) , Eggman (D) , Kalra (D)
Title:	Employers: Gender Pay Differentials
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Section 2810.7 to the Labor Code, relating to wages.
Summary:	Requires an employer to file a statement of information with the Secretary of State and that has specified number or more of employees to in California collect specified information on gender pay differentials. Requires the employer to submit the information annually to the Secretary of State. Requires the Secretary of State to publish the information described above on an Internet Web site available to the public upon receiving necessary funding; establishes adequate mechanisms and procedures.
Digest:	This bill would require, on and after July 1, 2019, and annually thereafter, that an employer that is required to file a statement of information with the Secretary of State and that has 500 or more employees to in California collect specified information on gender pay differentials. The bill would require the employer to submit the information annually to the Secretary of State as specified. The bill would require the Secretary of State to publish the information described above on an Internet Web site available to the public upon receiving necessary funding and establishing adequate mechanisms and procedures.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/13/2017
Status:	07/13/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	HR
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

42. CA AB 1218

Author:	Obernolte (R)
Title:	California Environmental Quality Act: Exemption
Disposition:	Enacted
Location:	Chaptered
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 21080.20 and 21080.20.5 of the Public Resources Code, relating to environmental quality. [Approved by Governor July 31, 2017. Filed with Secretary of State July 31, 2017.]

Summary:	Extends exemptions from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act for bicycle transportation plans for an urbanized area for restriping of streets and highways, bicycle parking and storage, signal timing to improve street and highway intersection operations, and related signage for bicycles, pedestrians, and vehicles under certain conditions, and for projects consisting of restriping of streets and highways for bicycle lanes in an urbanized area as part of a bicycle transportation plan.
Digest:	This bill would extend those 2 exemptions until January 1, 2021.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	04/18/2017
Status:	07/31/2017 Signed by GOVERNOR. 07/31/2017 Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter No. 149
Department:	DevelopmentSvcs, Planning
Position:	Enacted, Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

43. CA AB 1223

Author:	Caballero (D)
Title:	Construction Contract Payments: Web Site Posting
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Section 10261.7 to the Public Contract Code, relating to public contracts.
Summary:	Requires a state agency that maintains an Internet Web site to post on its Internet Web site the project for which the payment was made, the name of the construction contractor or company paid, the date the payment was made, the payment application number and certain other information. Exempts construction contracts valued below the specified amount and specified progress payments published in the California State Contracts Register under existing law.
Digest:	This bill would require, within 10 days of making a construction contract payment, a state agency that maintains an Internet Web site to post on its Internet Web site the project for which the payment was made, the name of the construction contractor or company paid, the date the payment was made, the payment application number or other identifying information, and the amount of the payment. The bill would exempt from these provisions construction contracts valued below $25,000 and specified progress payments published in the California State Contracts Register under existing law.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/05/2017

Status:	07/11/2017	From SENATE Committee on GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (13-0)

Department: CentralServices, DevelopmentSvcs, EU, Electric, Housing, IT, PW, Parks
Position: Oppose
Priority: StatePriority

44. CA AB 1239

Author:	Holden (D)
Title:	Building Standards: Electric Vehicle Charging
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 18941.10 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to building standards.
Summary:	Expresses legislative findings and declarations relating to the adoption of building standards to increase electric vehicle charging infrastructure. Requires the Department of Housing and Community Development and the Building Standards Commission to research, develop, propose, and adopt building standards regarding electric vehicle capable parking spaces for multifamily dwellings and nonresidential buildings in a triennial edition of the Building Standards Code.
Digest:	This bill would express legislative findings and declarations relating to the adoption of building standards to increase electric vehicle charging infrastructure. The bill would require the department and the commission to research, develop, propose, and adopt building standards regarding electric vehicle capable parking spaces for existingparking structures, as specified, located adjacent to, or associated with, multifamily dwellings and nonresidential buildings in a triennial edition of the California Building Standards Code adopted after January 1, 2018, as specified.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.

Department: Building, Electric
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

45. CA AB 1250

Author:	Jones-Sawyer (D)
Coauthor	Bonta (D) , Gonzalez (D)
Title:	Counties: Personal Services Contracts

Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add ~~Sections~~ Section 31000.10 ~~and 37103.1~~ to the Government Code, relating to local government.
Summary:	Establishes specific standards for the use of personal services contracts by counties. Requires the county to demonstrate that the proposed contract will result in costs savings to the county and to show that the contract does not cause displacement of county or city workers. Establishes liability provisions for employment law violations and torts committed in the course of providing services under contract. Imposes disclosure requirements on contracts.
Digest:	This bill would establish specific standards for the use of personal services contracts by counties. Beginning January 1, 2018, the bill would allow a county or county agency to contract for personal services currently or customarily performed by employees, as applicable, when specified conditions are met. Among other things, the bill would require the county to clearly demonstrate that the proposed contract will result in actual overall costs savings to the county and also to show that the contract does not cause the displacement of county workers. The bill would require a contract entered into under these provisions to specify that it may be terminated upon material breach, if notice is provided, as specified. Additionally, the bill would require the county to conduct an audit of contracts for personal services in excess of $100,000 annually to determine whether cost savings have been realized and would require the contractor to reimburse thecounty for the cost of the audit. The billalso would impose additional disclosure requirements for contracts exceeding $100,000 annually. The bill would exempt certain types of contracts from its provisions, and would exempt a cityand county from its provisions. By placing new duties on local government agencies, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill also would provide that its provisions are severable. This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	06/21/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 Withdrawn from SENATE Committee on RULES. 07/19/2017 Re-referred to SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	HR
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

46. CA AB 1315

Author: Mullin (D)

Coauthor	Arambula (D)
Title:	Mental Health: Early Psychosis and Mood Disorder
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Part 3.4 (commencing with Section 5835) to Division 5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to mental health, and making an appropriation therefor.
Summary:	Establishes an advisory committee to the Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission to create an early psychosis and mood disorder detection and intervention competitive selection process to expand the provision of high-quality, evidence-based early psychosis and mood disorder detection and intervention services in the state. Establishes the Early Psychosis Detection and Intervention Fund for the purposes of this advisory committee.
Digest:	This bill would establish an advisory committee to the commission for purposes of creating an early psychosisand mood disorder detection and intervention competitive selection process to, among other things, expand the provision of high-quality, evidence-based early psychosisand mood disorder detection and intervention services in this state by providing funding to the counties for this purpose. The bill would require a county that receives an award of funds to contribute local funds, as specified. This bill would prescribe the membership of the advisory committee, including the chair of the commission or his or her designee. The committee would, among other duties, provide advice and guidance on approaches to early psychosisand mood disorder detection and intervention programs. This bill also would establish the Early Psychosisand Mood Disorder Detection and Intervention Fund within the State Treasury and would provide that moneys in the fund are continuously appropriated to, and under the administrative control of, the commission for the purposes of the bill. The fund would consist of private donations and federal, state, and private grants. The bill would authorize the advisory committee to elect not to make awards if available funds are insufficient for that purpose.The bill would authorize the advisory committee to coordinate and provide funding for clinical research studies, as specified. The bill would require those studies to be made available annually to the public. The bill would also state that funds shall not be appropriated from the General Fund for the purposes of the bill and that implementation of the grant program shall be contingent upon the deposit into the fund of at least $500,000 in nonstate funds for the purpose of funding grants. By creating a new continuously appropriated fund, this bill would make an appropriation.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	06/19/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.

Department:	HR, Housing, PAC, PD
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

47. CA AB 1323

Author:	Weber (D)
Coauthor	Garcia E (D) , Friedman (D) , Rubio (D)
Title:	Sustainable Water Use and Demand Reduction
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add and repeal Section 10608.9 of the Water Code, relating to water.
Summary:	Requires the Department of Water Resources to convene a stakeholder workgroup. Requires the workgroup to develop, evaluate, and recommend proposals for establishing new water use targets for urban water suppliers and report to the Governor and the Legislature. Requires all expenses to be the responsibility of the nonstate agency stakeholders.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	05/30/2017
Status:	07/11/2017 From SENATE Committee on NATURAL RESOURCES AND WATER: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (9-0)
Department:	EU
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

48. CA AB 1328

Author:	Limon (D)
Title:	Oil and Gas: Water Quality
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 13268 of, and to add Section ~~3227.7 to~~ 13267.5 to, the ~~Public Resources~~ Water Code, relating to oil and gas.
Summary:	Provides that, if disposition of wastewater produced from an oil or gas field includes discharge to surface, the State Water Resources Control Board or a regional board may require certain information to be furnished relating to all chemicals in the discharged wastewater that could affect the quality of state waters. Provides for certain trade secret protections. Requires information collected to be available on the Internet Web site of the state board.
Digest:	This bill would provide that, if a person's or entity's disposition of wastewater produced from an oil or gas field includes or is suspected to include discharge

to surface or land, the state board or the appropriate regional board may also require the person or entity, or its supplier, as specified, to furnish information to the state board or regional board relating to all chemicals in the discharged wastewater that could affect the quality of state waters. The bill would provide for the trade secret protections described above to apply to information disclosed pursuant to this requirement, when requested by a person or entity, or a supplier. The bill would require the information collected pursuant to this requirement to be made available to the public on the Internet Web site of the state board and the appropriate regional board. The bill would authorize the state board or a regional board to consult with the Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources regarding information collected by the division, pursuant to other disclosure requirements, that may be useful to the investigation. Because a violation of a requirement to disclose information to the state board or the appropriate regional board under these provisions would be a crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced:	02/17/2017	
Last Amend:	07/17/2017	
Status:	07/17/2017	In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Housing, Planning	
Position:	Watch	
Priority:	StatePriority	

49. CA AB 1397

Author:	Low (D)
Coauthor	Bloom (D) , Chiu (D) , Wiener (D)
Title:	Local Planning: Housing Element
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 65580, 65583, and 65583.2 of the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary:	Requires the inventory of land to be available for residential development in addition to being suitable for residential development and to include vacant sites and sites that have realistic and demonstrated potential for redevelopment during the planning period to meet the locality's housing need for a designated income level. Requires parcels included in the inventory to have sufficient utilities supply available to support housing development.
Digest:	This bill would require the inventory of land to be available for residential development in addition to being suitable for residential development and to include vacant sites and sites that have realistic and demonstrated potential for redevelopment during the planning period to meet the locality's housing need for a designated income level. By imposing new duties upon local

agencies with respect to the housing element of the general plan, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would instead requirethe listing of properties to be by assessor parcel number and require parcels included in the inventory to have sufficient water, sewer, and dry utilities supply available and accessible to support housing development or be included in an existing general plan program or other mandatory program or plan to secure sufficient water, sewer, and dry utilities supply to support housing development. By imposing new duties upon local agencies with respect to the housing element of the general plan, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would also require the inventory to specify for each site the number of units that can realistically be accommodated on that site and whether the site is adequate to accommodate lower-income housing, moderate-income housing, or above moderate-income housing, as specified. By imposing new duties upon local agencies with respect to the housing element of the general plan, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would require the methodology to consider, among other things, the city's or county's past experience with converting existing uses to higher density residential development, the current demand for the existing use, and an analysis of existing leases or other contracts that would perpetuate the existing use or prevent redevelopment, as specified. By imposing new duties upon local agencies with respect to the housing element of the general plan, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would restrict the use by right of these sites to developments in which at least 20% of the units are affordable to lower income households during the planning period and require these sites to have sufficient water, sewer, and other dry utilities available and accessible or be included in an existing general plan program or other mandatory program or plan to secure sufficient water, sewer, dry utilities supply to support housing development. By imposing new duties upon local agencies with respect to the housing element of the general plan, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would also make legislative findings and declarations.

This bill would incorporate additional changes to Section 65583 of the Government Code proposed by AB 879 to be operative only if this bill and AB 879 are enacted and this bill is enacted last.

This bill would incorporate additional changes to Section 65583.2 of the Government Code proposed by SB 106 to be operative only if this bill and SB 106 are enacted and this bill is enacted last.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/17/2017

Last Amend:	07/14/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	EconDevelop, Housing, Planning
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

50. CA AB 1405

Author:	Mullin (D)
Title:	Electricity: Net-Load Peak
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 454.52 and 9621 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to electricity.
Summary:	Requires the Public Utilities Commission and the governing boards of local publicly owned utilities, as part of the integrated resource plan process, to consider the role of clean energy technology, demand response, and energy efficiency in helping to ensure that each load-serving entity or local publicly owned electric utility meets net-load peak energy and reliability needs while reducing the need for new electricity generation in achieving the state's energy goals at the least cost to ratepayers.
Digest:	This bill would require the commission and the governing boards of local publicly owned electric utilities, as a part of the integrated resource plan process, to consider the role of clean energy technology, demand response, and energy efficiency in helping to ensure that each load-serving entity or local publicly owned electric utility, as applicable, meets net-load peak energy needs and reliability needs while reducing the need for new electricity generationresources and new transmission resources in achieving the state's energy goals at the least cost to ratepayers. Because this bill would impose additional duties on local publicly owned electric utilities, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for specified reasons.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/17/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

51. CA AB 1406

Author:	Gloria (D)
Coauthor	Lackey (R) , Chiu (D)
Title:	Homeless Youth Housing Program
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Transportation and Housing Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 50897) to Part 2 of Division 31 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to housing.
Summary:	Requires recipients of grants from the Homeless Youth Housing Program to use funds to establish or expand programs that provide specified housing assistance and supportive services to homeless youth. Requires the Department of Housing and Community Development and the Office of Emergency Services to award grants under the program to recipients that meet specified requirements.
Digest:	This bill would establish the Homeless Youth Housing Program to, upon appropriation of funds by the Legislature, award grants to up to 10 recipients, as defined, that demonstrate the ability to contract with service providers capable of providing housing assistance and supportive services to homeless youth with the goal of transitioning youth towards self-sufficiency. The bill would require the Department of Housing and Community Development and the Office of Emergency Services to work collaboratively to carry out the duties and functions of the program and to, among other things, enter into an interagency agreement to address the respective and shared responsibilities of the Department of Housing and Community Development and the Office of Emergency Services in implementing, overseeing, and evaluating the program. The bill would also require the Department of Housing and Community Development and the Office of Emergency Services to establish standards for recipients consistent with the requirements of program and to limit administrative costs. The bill would require recipients to use funds to establish or expand programs that provide specified housing assistance and supportive services to homeless youth, as defined. The bill would require the Department of Housing and Community Development and the Office of Emergency Services to award grants under the program to recipients that meet specified requirements, including that the recipient provide at least a 25% match for grant funds received under the program and that the recipient develop and submit a local plan to reduce homelessness among youth that includes, among other specified information, a comprehensive outreach strategy to identify and assist homeless youth. The bill would require each recipient to annually report to the Department of Housing and Community Development and the Office of Emergency Services regarding the use of grant moneys, as specified. The bill would require the Department of Housing and Community Development and the Office of Emergency Services by October 1, 2019, to report to the Legislature regarding the implementation of the program, as specified.
Introduced:	02/17/2017

Last Amend:	06/19/2017	
Status:	06/19/2017	From SENATE Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING with author's amendments.
	06/19/2017	In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING.
Department:	Housing, PAC, PD	
Position:	Watch	
Priority:	StatePriority	

52. CA AB 1414

Author:	Friedman (D)
Title:	Solar Energy Systems: Permits
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 801.5 of the Civil Code, and to amend Section 66015 of, and to amend the heading of Chapter 7.5 (commencing with Section 66015) of Division 1 of Title 7 of, the Government Code, relating to solar energy.
Summary:	Revises the definition of solar energy system to specify that a design feature additional includes any photovoltaic device or technology that is integrated into a building. Revises and reduces the maximum permit fees for photovoltaic and thermal energy systems. Authorizes permit fees that exceed certain charges under certain circumstances.
Digest:	This bill would revise the definition of "solar energy system" to specify that a design feature additionally includes any photovoltaic device or technology that is integrated into a building, including, but not limited to, photovoltaic windows, siding, and roofing shingles or tiles. This bill would extend the applicability of the above-described limit on fees to all solar energy systems and would extend the repeal date to January 1, 2025. This bill would revise and reduce the maximum permit fees, as specified, for photovoltaic and thermal systems. This bill would authorize permit fees that exceed these charges if the city, county, city and county, or charter city provides substantial evidence, as part of a written finding and adopted resolution or ordinance, of the reasonable cost to issue the permit. The bill would require the written finding to include consideration of any reduction in permit or inspection costs. By requiring local agencies to perform additional duties for an extended period, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/17/2017

Last Amend:	07/20/2017
Status:	07/20/2017 From SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS with author's amendments. 07/20/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Building, Electric, Finance
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

53. CA AB 1423

Author:	Chiu (D)
Title:	Housing: Annual Reports: Charter Cities
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Rules Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 65700 of the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary:	Requires the planning agency of a city or county to investigate and make recommendations to the legislative body of the city or county regarding means for implementing the general plan or element of the general plan and to provide an annual report to the legislative body, the Office of Planning and Research, and the Department of Housing and Community Development that includes the status of the plan and progress in its implementation and in meeting its share of regional housing needs.
Digest:	This bill would apply the above report requirement to charter cities. By increasing the duties of local officials, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	03/28/2017
Status:	06/01/2017 To SENATE Committee on RULES.
Department:	Housing, PAC
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

54. CA AB 1438

Author:	Assembly Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials Committee
Title:	State Water Resource Control Board
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Appropriations Committee

Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 100825, 100829, 100837, 100840, 100847, 100850, 100851, 100852, 100862, 100865, 100870, 100872, 100875, 100880, 100885, 100890, 100895, 100907, 116271, 116425, 116540, 116625, 116700, and 116701 of, to add Section 100920.5 to, and to repeal and add Sections 100855, 100910, and 100915 of, the Health and Safety Code, and to amend Section 21080.26 of the Public Resources Code, relating to the State Water Resources Control Board.
Summary:	Amends the Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Act. Updates obsolete references. Authorizes the state board to require an owner of a laboratory under these provisions to provide certain information or records to the state board. Amends the California Safe Drinking Water Act. Authorizes the state board to suspend or revoke a permit if the state board determines that the permittee is in violation of the act.
Digest:	This bill would revise and recast those provisions. The bill would, among other things, update obsolete references under those provisions with regard to the state board and the State Department of Public Health, and would update references to national accreditation and training standards that are applicable to laboratories that are accredited or certified under these provisions. The bill would modify provisions relating to petitions for reconsideration with regard to denials of certain applications for certification or accreditation, as specified. The bill would authorize the state board to require an owner of a laboratory under these provisions to provide certain information or records to the state board, as specified. Because a violation of those provisions would be a crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would also set forth a hearing process with regard to the suspension or revocation of a certification or accreditation issued under these provisions, as specified. The bill would update provisions relating to civil penalties, as specified. This bill would revise and recast these provisions. The bill would instead allow the applicant to petition the state board for reconsideration of, instead of appealing, a decision or action of the deputy director with regard to issuance of a public water system permit. The bill would set forth a hearing process, including notice, with regard to the suspension, revocation, or temporary suspension of a public water system permit, as specified. The bill would authorize, within 30 days of issuance of specified orders, decisions, or final actions of an officer or employee of the state board, the person subject to the order, decision, or final action to petition the state board for reconsideration. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Status:	07/10/2017 In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.
Department:	EU
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

55. CA AB 1445

Author: Reyes (D)

Coauthor Quirk-Silva (D)

Title: Public Contracting: Small Business Goal

Disposition: Pending

Location: Senate Rules Committee

Code Section: A~~n act to add Section 14838.8 to the Government Code, relating to public contracts.~~ An act to amend Section 14838 of the Government Code, and to amend Section 10111 of the Public Contract Code, relating to public contracting.

Summary: States findings and declarations of the Legislature related to small business participation in state procurement and contracting. Provides for the reporting of certain goals.

Digest: This bill would state findings and declarations of the Legislature related to small business participation in state procurement and contracting.

This bill would require that the goals established by the agency director for the participation of small businesses, as described above, be reported to the director in that report.

Introduced: 02/17/2017

Last Amend: 04/18/2017

Status: 06/08/2017 To SENATE Committee on RULES.

Department: PAC, Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

56. CA AB 1452

Author: Muratsuchi (D)

Title: Parking: Exclusive Electric Charging and Parking

Disposition: Pending

Location: Senate Third Reading File

Code Section: An act to amend Section 22511 of the Vehicle Code, relating to vehicles.

Summary: Authorizes a local authority, by ordinance or resolution, to designate stalls or spaces on a public street within its jurisdiction for the exclusive purpose of charging and parking a vehicle that is connected for electric charging purposes.

Digest: This bill would authorize a local authority, by ordinance or resolution, to designate stalls or spaces on a public street within its jurisdiction for the exclusive purpose of charging and parking a vehicle that is connected for electric charging purposes. The bill would also authorize the removal of a vehicle from a designated stall or space on a public street if the vehicle is not

	connected for electric charging purposes, under specified conditions. By expanding the scope of a crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/17/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	CityAttorney, Electric, Planning
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

57. CA AB 1505

Author:	Bloom (D)
Coauthor	Mullin (D) , Ting (D) , Gonzalez (D) , Allen (D) , Bradford (D) , Chiu (D) , Gloria (D) , Wiener (D)
Title:	Land Use: Zoning Regulations
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 65850 of the Government Code, relating to land use.
Summary:	Amends the Planning and Zoning Law to authorize the legislative body of a city or county to adopt ordinances to require, as a condition of development of residential rental units, that a development include a certain percentage of residential rental units affordable to, and occupied by, moderate-income, lower income, very low income, or extremely low income households.
Digest:	This bill would additionally authorize the legislative body of any city, county, or city and county to adopt ordinances to require, as a condition of development of residential rental units, that the development include a certain percentage of residential rental units affordable to, and occupied by, moderate-income, lower income, very low income, or extremely low income households, as specified, and would declare the intent of the Legislature in adding this provision. The bill would also make nonsubstantive changes.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/10/2017
Status:	07/11/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	Housing, IT, Planning
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

58. CA AB 1515

Author:	Daly (D)
Title:	Planning and Zoning: Housing
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 65589.5 of the Government Code, relating to land use.
Summary:	Relates to the Housing Accountability Act. Specifies that a housing development project or emergency shelter is deemed consistent, compliant, and in conformity with an applicable plan, program, policy, ordinance, standard, requirement, or other similar provision. Makes additional findings related to the Housing Accountability Act.
Digest:	This bill would specify that a housing development project or emergency shelter is deemed consistent, compliant, and in conformity with an applicable plan, program, policy, ordinance, standard, requirement, or other similar provision if there is substantial evidence that would allow a reasonable person to conclude that the housing development project or emergency shelter is consistent, compliant, or in conformity. The bill would make additional findings related to the Housing Accountability Act in this regard. This bill would incorporate additional changes to Section 65589.5 of the Government Code proposed by AB 678 and SB 167 to be operative only if this bill and either or both AB 678 and SB 167 are enacted and this bill is enacted last.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/13/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	Housing, Planning
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

59. CA AB 1520

Author:	Burke (D)
Coauthor	Anderson (R) , Limon (D) , Gloria (D) , Thurmond (D) , Steinorth (R) , Mayes (R) , Cooper (D) , Chiu (D) , Baker (R) , Garcia (D) , Bradford (D) , Rubio (D)
Title:	Lifting Children and Families Out of Poverty Task Force
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Division 11 (commencing with Section 20050) to the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to poverty.

Summary: Establishes the Lifting Children and Families Out of Poverty Task Force, consisting of specified stakeholders, for the purpose of developing, recommending, and annually updating a plan aimed at addressing deep child poverty and providing recommendations on how to reduce child poverty. Requires the task force to prepare a report that includes specified information about projections of poverty rates.

Digest: This bill would establish the Lifting Children and Families Out of Poverty Task Force, consisting of specified stakeholders, for the purpose of developing, recommending, and annually updating a plan aimed at addressing deep child poverty and providing recommendations on how to reduce child poverty in California. The bill would require the task force, in conjunction with the California Health and Human Services Agency, to prepare and submit a report to the Legislature no earlier than March 1, 2018, and no later than March 1, 2020, that includes, among other things, a projection of the child poverty rate for each fiscal year through 2039-40 and benchmarks that can be used to measure the state's progress towards these goals, as specified. The bill would require the task force's benchmarks to include those that peer-reviewed studies have shown to be predictive of adult poverty rates and child poverty rates in subsequent generations, as specified. The bill would require the task force to base any estimate made in its report on current evidence-based, high-quality modeling techniques and data networks, as specified. The bill would require the task force to discuss the degree of uncertainty and reasonable range related to each key estimate made in its report and to disclose, to the extent possible, statistical margins of error and confidence intervals for each estimate. Following the submission of the initial report, the bill would require the agency and task force to continuously review its benchmarks, update its models and projections, and annually submit a report to the Legislature regarding the state's progress towards its poverty reduction goals and any significant modifications to the findings and recommendations made in the initial report, as specified. The bill would require the agency and task force to consider certain programs, services, and expenditures in preparing its analyses and recommendations, including child care and early childhood education for children living below the federal poverty level, job training and placement programs, and General Fund expenditures related to health care services for children and low-come childless adults.

Introduced: 02/17/2017

Last Amend: 07/17/2017

Status: 07/17/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.

Department: Housing, PAC, PD

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

60. CA AB 1654

Author: Rubio (D)

Title: Water Conservation

Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Rules Committee
Code Section:	~~An act to amend Sections 10621, 10631, 10632, and 10635 of, to add Sections 10613.5 and 10658 to, to add Part 2.56 (commencing with Section 10609) to Division 6 of, and to repeal Section 10631.7 of, the Water Code, relating to water.~~ An act relating to water.
Summary:	States the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation necessary to help make water conservation a California way of life.
Digest:	This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation necessary to help make water conservation a California way of life.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/12/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 Re-referred to SENATE Committee on RULES.
Department:	EU
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

61. CA AB 1667

Author:	Friedman (D)
Title:	Water Management Planning
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee
Code Section:	~~An act to amend Sections 531.10, 10608.48, 10814, 10820, 10826, 10843, and 10845 of, and to add Section 10826.2 to, the Water Code, relating to water.~~ An act to amend Sections 350, 377, 531.10, 1058.5, 1120, 1831, 10608.20, 10608.48, 10610.2, 10610.4, 10620, 10621, 10630, 10631, 10631.2, 10635, 10640, 10641, 10642, 10644, 10645, 10650, 10651, 10653, 10654, 10656, 10814, 10820, 10826, 10843, and 10845 of, to amend, renumber, and add Sections 10612 and 10617 of, to add Sections 10617.5, 10632.1, 10632.2, 10632.3, and 10826.2 to, to add Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 10609) and Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 10609.7) to Part 2.55 of Division 6 of, to repeal Section 10631.7 of, and to repeal and add Section 10632 of, the Water Code, relating to water.
Summary:	Requires the State Water Resources Control Board to adopt long-term standards for urban water conservation and water use on or before the specified date. Requires the board to adopt performance measures for commercial, industrial, and institutional water use on or before that date. Require an urban water supplier to calculate a water use target beginning the calendar year after the board adopts long-term standards for urban water conservation and water use. Relates to submission of specified information.
Digest:	This bill would require the State Water Resources Control Board, in consultation with the Department of Water Resources, to adopt long-term standards for urban water conservation and water use on or before May 20, 2021. The bill would also require the board, in consultation with the

department, to adopt performance measures for commercial, industrial, and institutional water use on or before that date. The bill would authorize a court or public entity to hold a person civilly liable in an amount not to exceed $10,000 for a violation of a regulation adopted under these provisions, unless the regulation provides otherwise.

The bill would require an urban water supplier to calculate a water use target, as provided, no later than July 1 of each calendar year, beginning the calendar year after the board adopts long-term standards for urban water conservation and water use. The bill would require an urban water supplier to submit an annual report to the department for these purposes by July 1 of each year. The bill would authorize the board to issue information orders, written notices, and conservation orders to an urban water supplier that does not meet its water use target, as specified.

The bill would also authorize the board to issue a regulation or informational order requiring a distributor of a public water supply to submit information relating to water production, water use, or water conservation.

This bill would require the annual report for the prior year to be submitted to the department by April 1 of each year, as provided, and to be organized by basin within the service area of the agricultural water supplier.

This bill would apply these procedures to decisions and orders of the board issued pursuant to the provisions described in paragraph (1), including existing provisions and those added by this bill.

This bill would authorize the board to issue a cease and desist order in response to a violation or threatened violation of any regulation adopted by the board, except as provided.

This bill would require an urban water management plan to be updated on or before July 1, in years ending in 6 and one, incorporating updated and new information from the 5 years preceding the plan update. The bill would require the department to propose to the Governor and the Legislature, on or before August 1, 2020, recommendations and guidance relating to the development and use of countywide drought contingency plans to address drought planning for small water suppliers and rural communities, as provided.

This bill would require an urban water management plan to contain a drought risk assessment, as defined, that examines water shortage risks for a drought lasting the next 5 or more consecutive years.

This bill would require an urban water supplier to prepare, adopt, and periodically review a water shortage contingency plan, as prescribed, and as part of its urban water management plan. The bill would require a water shortage contingency plan to consist of certain elements that are within the authority of the urban water supplier, including, among other things, annual water budget forecast procedures, standard water shortage levels, shortage response actions, and communication protocols and procedures. The bill would require an urban water supplier to make the water shortage contingency plan available to its customers and any city or county within which it provides water supplies no later than 30 days after adoption. The bill would require an urban water supplier to conduct an annual water budget forecast and submit an annual water shortage assessment report to the department with information for anticipated shortage, triggered shortage response actions, compliance and enforcement actions, and communication actions consistent with the supplier's water shortage contingency plan by June 1 of each year. The bill would require an urban water supplier to adhere to the procedures and implement determined shortage response actions in its water shortage contingency plan in drought and water shortage conditions. The bill would authorize the department to update a certain guidebook, as specified.

This bill would extend these provisions to apply to a water shortage contingency plan. The bill would require an urban water supplier regulated by the Public Utilities Commission to include its most recent urban water management plan and water shortage contingency plan as part of its general rate case filings.

The bill would instead require the department to prepare and submit the report about plans adopted pursuant to the act to the Legislature on or before July 1, in the years ending in 7 and 2. The bill would require the department to prepare and submit to the State Water Resources Control Board, on or before June 1 of each year, a report summarizing the submitted water budget forecast results along with appropriate reported water shortage conditions developed by the department and information regarding various shortage response actions implemented as a result of water budget forecast assessments, as prescribed, for the board to determine if noncompliance enforcement is necessary.

This bill would instead require the governing body of a distributor of a public water supply to declare a water shortage emergency condition whenever it finds and determines the above-described circumstances or upon determining a water shortage of 40% or greater exists. The bill would require an urban water supplier to declare a water shortage emergency if either a water shortage of 40% or greater is determined to exist or in the event that a severe catastrophic interruption of the urban water supplier's water supply has occurred. The bill would require an urban water supplier to coordinate with any city or county within which it provides water supply services for a possible proclamation of a local emergency.

This bill would revise the components of the plan and additionally require a plan to include an annual water budget based on the quantification of all inflow and outflow components for the service area of the agricultural water supplier and a drought plan describing the actions of the agricultural water supplier for drought preparedness and management of water supplies and allocations during drought conditions.

The bill would require an agricultural water supplier to update its agricultural water management plan on or before April 1, 2021, and thereafter on or before April 1 in years ending in 6 and in years ending in one. The bill would require an agricultural water supplier to submit its plan to the department no later than 30 days after the adoption of the plan. The bill would require the department to review an agricultural water management plan and notify an agricultural water supplier if the department determines that it is noncompliant, as provided. The bill would authorize the department, if it has not received a plan or determined that the plan submitted is noncompliant, to contract with certain entities to prepare or complete a plan on behalf of the agricultural water supplier.

The bill would require an agricultural water supplier to submit copies of its plan to specified entities no later than 30 days after the department's review of the plan. The bill would require the department to submit its report summarizing the status of the plans to the Legislature on or before April 30 in years ending in 7 and in years ending in 2.

Introduced: 02/17/2017

Last Amend: 07/03/2017

Status: 07/11/2017 In SENATE Committee on NATURAL RESOURCES AND WATER: Heard, remains in Committee.

Department: EU

Position: Oppose

Priority: StatePriority

62. CA AB 1668

Author: Friedman (D)

Coauthor Allen (D) , Wiener (D)

Title: Water Conservation

Disposition: Pending

Location: Senate Rules Committee

Code Section: ~~A~~n act ~~to amend Sections 350, 10610.2, 10610.4, 10620, 10621, 10630, 10631, 10631.2, 10635, 10640, 10641, 10642, 10644, 10645, 10650, 10651, 10653, 10654, 10656, and 10814 of, to amend and renumber Sections 10612 and 10617 of, to add Sections 10612, 10617, 10617.5, 10632.1, 10632.2, 10632.3, 10643.5, and 10657 to, to add Part 2.65 (commencing with Section 10670) to Division 6 of, to repeal Section 10631.7 of, and to repeal and add Section 10632 of, the Water Code,~~ relating to water.

Summary: States the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation necessary to help make water conservation a California way of life.

Digest: This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation necessary to help make water conservation a California way of life.

Introduced: 02/17/2017

Last Amend: 07/12/2017

Status: 07/19/2017 Re-referred to SENATE Committee on RULES.

Department: EU
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

63. CA AB 1686

Author:	Gloria (D)
Title:	Medical Cannabis Licenses: Labor Peace Agreements
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Section ~~19322~~ 26051.5 of the Business and Professions Code, relating to marijuana.
Summary:	Requires an applicant for a Medicinal and Adult-Use Cannabis Regulation and Safety Act license with 20 or more employees to provide the licensing authority with a statement that the applicant will enter into, or demonstrate that it has already entered into, and abide by the terms of a labor peace agreement. Authorizes an applicant to submit a physical copy of the labor peace agreement in the event that the licensing authority does not have the ability to receive electronic copies.
Digest:	This bill would require that the statement relating to the labor peace agreement be signed, notarized, and submitted electronically.The bill would authorize an applicant to submit a physical copy of the labor peace agreement in the event that the licensing authority does not have the ability to receive electronic copies of labor peace agreements. This bill would declare that its provisions implement specified substantive provisions of AUMA. The bill would also declare that its provisions further specified purposes and intent of that act.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/19/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on APPROPRIATIONS with author's amendments. 07/19/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.

Department: Planning
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

64. CA SB 2

Author:	Atkins (D)
Coauthor	Hertzberg (D) , Dodd (D) , Gloria (D) , Thurmond (D) , Roth (D) , Bonta (D) , Wieckowski (D) , Mitchell (D) , Hueso (D) , Bradford (D) , Skinner (D) , Hill (D) , Mendoza (D) , Galgiani (D) , Beall (D) , Jackson (D) , Wiener (D)

Title:	Building Homes and Jobs Act
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Second Reading File
Code Section:	An act to add Section 27388.1 to the Government Code, and to amend Section 50408 of, and to add Chapter 2.5 (commencing with Section 50470) to Part 2 of Division 31 of, the Health and Safety Code, relating to housing, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.
Summary:	Enacts the Building Homes and Jobs Act. Imposes a fee to be paid at the time of the recording of every real estate instrument, paper, or notice. Provides for expenditures for affordable owner-occupied workforce housing, housing for purposes related to agricultural workers and their families, affordable housing, and other housing-related programs.
Digest:	This bill would enact the Building Homes and Jobs Act. The bill would make legislative findings and declarations relating to the need for establishing permanent, ongoing sources of funding dedicated to affordable housing development. The bill would impose a fee, except as provided, of $75 to be paid at the time of the recording of every real estate instrument, paper, or notice required or permitted by law to be recorded, per each single transaction per single parcel of real property, not to exceed $225. By imposing new duties on counties with respect to the imposition of the recording fee, the bill would create a state-mandated local program. The bill would require that a county recorder quarterly send revenues from this fee, after deduction of any actual and necessary administrative costs incurred by the county recorder, to the Controller for deposit in the Building Homes and Jobs Fund, which the bill would create within the State Treasury. The bill would, upon appropriation by the Legislature, require that 20% of the moneys in the fund be expended for affordable owner-occupied workforce housing and 10% of the moneys for housing purposes related to agricultural workers and their families, and would authorize the remainder of the moneys in the fund to be expended to support affordable housing, home ownership opportunities, and other housing-related programs, as specified. The bill would impose certain auditing and reporting requirements and would establish the Building Homes and Jobs Trust Fund Governing Board that would, among other things, review and approve recommendations made by the Department of Housing and Community Development for the distribution of moneys from the fund. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason. This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.
Introduced:	12/05/2016
Last Amend:	05/26/2017
Status:	07/12/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (5-2)
Department:	EconDevelop, Housing

Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

65. CA SB 3

Author:	Beall (D)
Coauthor	Mendoza (D) , Dodd (D) , Kalra (D) , Glazer (D) , McGuire (D) , Leyva (D) , Allen (D) , Roth (D) , Cannella (R) , Atkins (D) , Skinner (D) , Monning (D) , Hill (D) , Wiener (D)
Title:	Affordable Housing Bond Act of 2018
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Rules Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Part 16 (commencing with Section 54000) to Division 31 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to housing, by providing the funds necessary therefor through an election for the issuance and sale of bonds of the State of California and for the handling and disposition of those funds, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.
Summary:	Enacts the Affordable Housing Bond Act of 2018 which would authorize the issuance of bonds to be used to finance various existing housing programs, as well as infill infrastructure financing and affordable housing matching grant programs.
Digest:	This bill would enact the Affordable Housing Bond Act of 2018, which, if adopted, would authorize the issuance of bonds in the amount of $3,000,000,000 pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law. Proceeds from the sale of these bonds would be used to finance various existing housing programs, as well as infill infrastructure financing and affordable housing matching grant programs, as provided. This bill would provide for submission of the bond act to the voters at the November 6, 2018, statewide general election in accordance with specified law. This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.
Introduced:	12/05/2016
Last Amend:	07/03/2017
Status:	07/12/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Do pass to Committee on RULES. (5-1)
Department:	Housing
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

66. CA SB 5

Author:	de Leon (D)

Coauthor	[Skinner (D) , Atkins (D) , Hueso (D) , Dodd (D)]
Title:	California Drought, Water, Parks, Climate
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Division 45 (commencing with Section 80000) to the Public Resources Code, relating to a drought, water, parks, climate, coastal protection, and outdoor access for all program, by providing the funds necessary therefor through an election for the issuance and sale of bonds of the State of California and for the handling and disposition of those funds, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.
Summary:	Enacts the California Drought, Water, Parks, Climate, Coastal Protection, and Outdoor Access For All Act of 2018; authorizes the issuance of bonds in an amount of a specified sum pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law to finance a drought, water, parks, climate, coastal protection, and outdoor access for all program. Provides for appropriate sum for the purpose of paying costs associated with operating and maintaining certain parks projects funded by the program.
Digest:	This bill would enact the California Drought, Water, Parks, Climate, Coastal Protection, and Outdoor Access For All Act of 2018, which, if approved by the voters, would authorize the issuance of bonds in an amount of $3,832,000,000 pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law to finance a drought, water, parks, climate, coastal protection, and outdoor access for all program.The bill would, each fiscal year that principal or interest on bonds issued and sold for the program are due and payable, appropriate $10,000,000 from the General Fund to the Department of Parks and Recreation for the purpose of paying costs associated with operating and maintaining certain parks projects funded by the program. The bill would provide for the submission of these provisions to the voters at the June 5, 2018, statewide primary direct election. This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.
Introduced:	12/05/2016
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on WATER, PARKS AND WILDLIFE with author's amendments. 07/18/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on WATER, PARKS AND WILDLIFE.
Department:	EU, Parks
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

67. CA SB 10

Author: [Hertzberg (D)]

Coauthor	Anderson (R) , Allen (D) , Chiu (D) , Stone (D) , Quirk (D) , Jones-Sawyer (D) , Bonta (D) , Bloom (D) , Wieckowski (D) , Mitchell (D) , Lara (D) , Atkins (D) , Bradford (D) , Monning (D) , Beall (D) , Wiener (D)
Title:	Bail: Pretrial Release
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 821, 825, 1269, 1269a, 1269c, 1275.1, 1277, 1278, 1284, 1295, and 1318 of, to add Sections 1275a, 1275b, 1318.2, and 1318.3 to, to repeal Sections 815a, 1270, 1270.1, 1270.2, 1288, 1319, and 1319.5 of, and to repeal and add Sections 1269b, 1275, 1289, and 1318.1 of, the Penal Code, relating to bail.
Summary:	Relates to bail and pretrial release. Implements a revised pretrial release procedure. Requires, with exceptions, that a pretrial services agency conduct a pretrial risk assessment on an arrested person and prepare a pretrial services report. Provides for arraignment procedure, certain motions by a prosecuting attorney, establishment of pretrial services agencies, and related matters.
Digest:	This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would safely reduce the number of people detained pretrial, while addressing racial and economic disparities in the pretrial system, and to ensure that people are not held in pretrial detention simply because of their inability to afford money bail. This bill would implement a revised pretrial release procedure. The bill, among other things, would require, with exceptions, that a pretrial services agency conduct a pretrial risk assessment on an arrested person and prepare a pretrial services report that includes the results of the pretrial risk assessment and recommendations on conditions of release for the person immediately upon booking. The bill would require the pretrial services agency to transmit the report to a magistrate, judge, or court commissioner and the magistrate, judge, or court commissioner, within 6 hours, to issue an oral or written order to release the person, with or without release conditions, subject to the person signing a specified release agreement. The bill would require, if a person is in custody at the time of his or her arraignment, the judge or magistrate to consider the pretrial services report and any relevant information provided by the prosecuting attorney or the defendant and to order the pretrial release of the person, with or without conditions, subject to the person signing a specified release agreement. If the judge or magistrate determines that pretrial release, with or without conditions, will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the person in court as required, the bill would require the judge or magistrate to set monetary bail at the least restrictive level necessary to ensure the appearance of the defendant in court as required. The bill would authorize, if the judge or magistrate has set monetary bail, the person to execute an unsecured appearance bond, execute a secured appearance bond, or deposit a percentage of the sum mentioned in the order setting monetary bail.

The bill would authorize a prosecuting attorney to file a motion seeking the pretrial detention of a person in certain circumstances, including when the person has been charged with a capital crime and the prosecuting attorney alleges that the facts are evident or the presumption great. The bill would require, if this motion has been filed, a hearing to be held to determine whether to release the person pending trial, unless the person waives the hearing. The bill would authorize the person to be detained pretrial only if the court makes one of several specified findings.

The bill would require each county to establish a pretrial services agency that would be responsible for gathering information about newly arrested persons, conducting pretrial risk assessments, preparing individually tailored recommendations to the court regarding release options and conditions, and providing pretrial services and supervision to persons on pretrial release. The bill would require an unspecified agency to take certain actions relating to the implementation of the revised pretrial release procedure, including, among others, selecting a pretrial risk assessment tool to be used in conducting pretrial risk assessments that meets specified requirements and reviewing collected data to monitor compliance with state law and guidelines relating to pretrial release. The bill would also authorize that agency to take certain actions relating to the implementation of the revised pretrial release procedure, including, among other things, providing training and assistance to judges, prosecutors, defense attorneys, pretrial services agencies, jail staff, and law enforcement agencies. The bill would require the Board of State and Community Corrections, in consultation with that unspecified agency, to develop a plan that establishes statewide requirements for counties relating to annual reporting of pretrial release and detention information.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

Introduced:	12/05/2016	
Last Amend:	07/05/2017	
Status:	07/11/2017	From ASSEMBLY Committee on PUBLIC SAFETY: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (4-2)
Department:	PD	
Position:	Watch	
Priority:	StatePriority	

68. CA SB 21

Author:	Hill (D)
Coauthor	Bradford (D)
Title:	Law Enforcement Agencies: Surveillance: Policies
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee

Code Section:	An act to add Chapter 15 (commencing with Section 54999.8) to Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code, relating to law enforcement agencies.
Summary:	Requires each law enforcement agency to submit to its governing body at a regularly scheduled hearing, open to the public, a proposed Surveillance Use Policy for the use of each type of surveillance technology and the information collected. Sets forth other requirements concerning those technologies and information. Provides that any person could bring any action for injunctive relief to prevent a violation of these provisions and recover reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Relates to use of technology.
Digest:	This bill would, beginning July 1, 2018, require each law enforcement agency, as defined, to submit to its governing body at a regularly scheduled hearing, open to the public, a proposed Surveillance Use Policy for the use of each type of surveillance technology and the information collected, as specified. The bill would require the law enforcement agency to cease using the surveillance technology within 30 days if the proposed plan is not adopted. The bill would require the law enforcement agency to submit an amendment to the surveillance plan, pursuant to the same open meeting requirements, for each new type of surveillance technology sought to be used. The bill would require the policy and any amendments to be posted on the agency's Internet Web site. The bill would also require the agency to make specified reports, at approved intervals, concerning the use of surveillance technology, and to make those reports available on the agency's Internet Web site. The bill would prohibit a law enforcement agency from selling, sharing, or transferring information gathered by surveillance technology, except to another law enforcement agency, as permitted by law and the terms of the Surveillance Use Policy. The bill would provide that any person could bring an action for injunctive relief to prevent a violation of these provisions and, if successful, could recover reasonable attorney's fees and costs. The bill would require an agency to discipline an employee who knowingly or intentionally uses surveillance technology in violation of these provisions, as specified. The bill would authorize an agency to temporarily use surveillance technology during exigent circumstances, as specified, without meeting the requirements of these provisions, provided that, among other things, the agency submits a specified report to its governing body within 45 days of the end of the exigent circumstances. The bill would establish separate procedures fora sheriff's department, a district attorney, the Department of the California Highway Patrol, and the Department of Justice to establish their own Surveillance Use Policies, instead of submitting them through their governing body. The procedures would include holding a noticed public hearing on the proposed policy, posting the policy on the department's Internet Web site, amending the policy to include new types of surveillance technology, and publishing a biennial report regarding the department's use of surveillance technology, as specified. The bill would make legislative findings in support of these provisions. This bill would make legislative findings to that effect.

	This bill would provide that, with regard to certain mandates, no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	12/05/2016
Last Amend:	07/13/2017
Status:	07/13/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	IT, PD
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

69. CA SB 35

Author:	Wiener (D)
Coauthor	Caballero (D) , Gloria (D) , Arambula (D) , Allen (D) , Santiago (D) , Gipson (D) , Vidak (R) , Dahle (R) , Bonta (D) , Grayson (D) , Atkins (D)
Title:	Planning and Zoning: Affordable Housing: Approval
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Rules Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 65400 and 65582.1 of, and to add and repeal Section 65913.4 to, the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary:	Amends the Planning and Zoning Law. Requires a planning agency to include in a certain report specified information regarding units of housing that have been issued an entitlement, building permit or certificate of occupancy. Authorizes a development proponent to submit an application for a multifamily housing development that satisfies specified planning objective standards to be subject to a streamlined, ministerial approval process, as provided, and to not be subject to a conditional use permit.
Digest:	This bill wouldrequire the housing element portion of the annual report to be prepared through the use of standards, forms, and definitions adopted by the department. The bill would eliminate the requirement that the forms and definitions be adopted by the department pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act and would instead authorize the department to review, adopt, amend, and repeal the standards, forms, or definitions, as provided. The bill would also require the planning agency to include in its annual report specified information regarding units of net new housing, including rental housing and for-sale housing that have been issued a completed entitlement, building permit, or certificate of occupancy. The bill would also require the Department of Housing and Community Development to post an annual report submitted pursuant to the requirement described above on its Internet Web site, as provided. This bill would authorize a development proponent to submit an application for a multifamily housing development which satisfies specified planning objective standards, is subject to a streamlined, ministerial approval process, as provided, and not subject to a conditional use permit. The bill would require a local government to notify the development proponent in writing if the local

government determines that the development conflicts with any of those objective standards by a specified time; otherwise, the development is deemed to comply with those standards. The bill would limit the authority of a local government to impose parking standards or requirements on a streamlined development approved pursuant to these provisions, as provided. The bill would provide that if a local government approves a project pursuant to that process, that approval will not expire if that project includes investment in housing affordability, and would otherwise provide that the approval of a project expire automatically after 3 years, unless that project qualifies for a one-time, one-year extension of that approval.The bill would provide that approval pursuant to its provisions would remain valid for three years and remain valid thereafter so long as vertical construction of the development has begun and is in progress, and would authorize a discretionary one-year extension, as provided. The bill would prohibit a local government from adopting any requirement that applies to a project solely or partially on the basis that the project receives ministerial or streamlined approval pursuant to these provisions.The bill would repeal these provisions as of January 1, 2026.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

This bill would incorporate additional changes to Section 65582.1 of the Government Code proposed by AB 73 to be operative only if this bill and AB 73 are enacted and this bill is enacted last.

Introduced:	12/05/2016	
Last Amend:	07/14/2017	
Status:	07/14/2017	From ASSEMBLY Committee on RULES with author's amendments.
	07/14/2017	In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on RULES.
Department:	DevelopmentSvcs, EconDevelop, Housing, Planning	
Position:	Oppose	
Priority:	StatePriority	

70. CA SB 62

Author:	Jackson (D)
Coauthor	Galgiani (D) , Kalra (D)
Title:	Affordable Senior Housing Act
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	A~~n act to add Article 8 (commencing with Section 12100.50) to Chapter 1.6 of Part 2 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, relating to state government.~~ An act to add Part 4.6 (commencing with Section 19910) to Division 13 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to housing.

Summary:	Establishes the Affordable Senior Housing Program for the purpose of guiding and serving as a catalyst for the development of affordable senior housing and supportive care campuses. Requires the director of GO-Biz to undertake various actions in implementing the program, including establishing and implementing a process for identifying and convening public and private stakeholders and assisting participants in identifying locations and funding sources, obtaining permits, and other matters.
Digest:	This bill would enact the Affordable Senior Housing Act of 2017, which would establish the Affordable Senior Housing Program within the jurisdiction of the department. The bill would declare that the purpose of this program is to guide and serve as a catalyst for the development of affordable senior housing and supportive care campuses within this state and would require the director of the department to undertake various actions in implementing this program, including establishing and implementing a process for identifying and convening public and private stakeholders, assisting program participants in identifying suitable locations and potential sources of public and private funding for the development of affordable senior housing, obtaining state and local permits, providing guidance on regulatory compliance, and providing information on tax credits and other incentives. The bill would require the director to annually report to the Legislature specified information about the program. The bill would require the department to convene public and private stakeholders that are interested in developing and financing mixed use affordable senior housing and supportive care campuses in order to discuss and identify specified issues. In this regard, the bill would require the director to report to the Legislature by January 1, 2019, on the information learned from the stakeholders. The bill would make the program operative upon the completion of that stakeholder report. The bill would also make various findings and declarations with regard to its provisions.
Introduced:	12/22/2016
Last Amend:	07/19/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	CityAttorney, HR
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

71. CA SB 64

Author:	Wieckowski (D)
Title:	Independent System Operator: Integration of Generation
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Utilities and Energy Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Article 3.6 (commencing with Section 354) to Chapter 2.3 of Part 1 of Division 1 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Requires the Independent System Operator to review and revise its operations to minimize any logistical impediments, including export limits, to

	day-ahead scheduling of intermittent generation from renewable energy resources between the ISO and other balancing area authorities, in order to address any over-supply of generation from renewable energy resources at times when it is inexpensive and abundant.
Digest:	This bill would require the ISO by January 1, 2019, in consultation with the PUC, Energy Commission, state board, and the public, to review and revise its operations to minimize any logistical impediments, including export limits, to day-ahead scheduling of intermittent generation from renewable energy resources between the ISO and other balancing area authorities, in order to address any over-supply of generation from renewable energy resources at times when it is inexpensive and abundant. The bill would provide that it does not authorize the ISO to change its governance, transform into a regional organization, or avoid compliance with any requirement of federal law.
Introduced:	12/22/2016
Last Amend:	04/06/2017
Status:	05/22/2017 To ASSEMBLY Committees on UTILITIES AND ENERGY and NATURAL RESOURCES.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

72. CA SB 71

Author:	Wiener (D)
Coauthor	Allen (D)
Title:	Electricity: Solar Energy Systems
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Utilities and Energy Committee
Code Section:	An act to add the heading of Article 1 (commencing with Section 25406) to, and to add Article 2 (commencing with Section 25408) to, Chapter 5.1 of Division 15 of the Public Resources Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Requires the Energy Commission to consider requiring, and authorizes the Commission to update building efficiency standards to require, a rooftop solar energy generation system, appropriately sized to be cost effective, to be installed in the solar zone of certain buildings during construction.
Digest:	This bill would require the Energy Commission to consider requiring, and would authorize the Energy Commission to update the building efficiency standards to require, a rooftop solar energy generation system, appropriately sized to be cost effective, to be installed in the solar zone of those buildings, during the construction of those buildings, by January 1, 2020, for residential buildings and by January 1, 2023, for nonresidential buildings. The bill would require the Commission, prior to adopting rooftop solar energy generation system requirements, to issue findings by climate zone jointly with the Department of Housing and Community Development as to whether adoption of the requirements will or will not unreasonably or unnecessarily impact the affordability of housing for Californians.

Introduced:	01/09/2017
Last Amend:	05/26/2017
Status:	07/05/2017 In ASSEMBLY Committee on UTILITIES AND ENERGY: Not heard.
Department:	Building, Electric
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

73. CA SB 78

Author:	Leyva (D)
Coauthor	Allen (D) , Mendoza (D)
Title:	After School Programs: Grant Amounts
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Education Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Section 8483.73 to the Education Code, relating to after school ~~programs, and making an appropriation therefor.~~ programs.
Summary:	Appropriates a specified sum to the State Department of Education for the After School Education and Safety Program. Requires the annual adjustment of the total program funding for each minimum wage increase.
Digest:	This bill, commencing with the increases to the minimum wage implemented during the 2018-19 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, would, when calculating the total amount to be appropriated for a fiscal year, require the Department of Finance to annually adjust the total program funding amount for each minimum wage increase using a specified calculation. The bill would require the State Department of Education to adjust the maximum grant amounts and related amounts in accordance with the amount provided for the program for the 2017-18 fiscal year. The bill, commencing with the 2018-19 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, would require the State Department of Education to adjust those amounts by the amounts necessary to properly allocate funding increases made to the total program funding amount by the bill.
Introduced:	01/11/2017
Last Amend:	05/26/2017
Status:	06/12/2017 To ASSEMBLY Committee on EDUCATION.
Department:	Parks
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

74. CA SB 80

Author:	Wieckowski (D)
Title:	California Environmental Quality Act: Notices

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Third Reading File

Code Section: An act to amend Sections 21092.2, 21092.3, 21108, and 21152 of the Public Resources Code, relating to environmental quality.

Summary: Amends the California Environmental Quality Act. Requires a lead agency to post certain notices on the agency's Internet Web site and to offer to provide those notices by e-mail. Requires a county clerk to post notices regarding an environmental impact report or a negative declaration on the county's Internet Web site. Requires the filing of a notice in certain cases.

Digest: This bill would require the lead agency to post those notices on the agency's Internet Web site. The bill would require the agency to offer to provide those notices by email. Because this bill would increase the level of service provided by a local agency, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would require the county clerk to post the notices regarding an environmental impact report or a negative declaration on the county's Internet Web site. Because the bill would require a county clerk to post those notices on the county's Internet Web site, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would require the filing of the notice if the lead agency determines that a project falls within a class of projects that is exempted from the requirements of CEQA by the guidelines. Because the bill would increase the duties of a local agency, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 01/11/2017

Last Amend: 06/21/2017

Status: 07/20/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To third reading.

Department: Building, DevelopmentSvcs, IT, Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

75. CA SB 100

Author: de Leon (D)

Title: Renewables Portfolio Standards: Biomethane: Emissions

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Appropriations Committee

Code Section: An act to amend Sections 399.11, 399.15, and 399.30 of, and to add Section 454.53 to, the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.

Summary: Relates to the Renewables Portfolio Standard Program. Requires the Pubic Utilities Commission to establish a renewables portfolio standard requiring

	retail sellers to procure a minimum quantity of electricity products from eligible renewable energy resources. Establishes procurement requirements. Relates to the use of electric power and natural gas to low-emission vehicles.
Digest:	This bill would revise the above-described legislative findings and declarations to state that the goal of the program is to achieve that 50% renewable resources target by December 31, 2026,and to achieve a 60% target by December 31, 2030. The bill would require that retail sellers and local publicly owned electric utilities procure a minimum quantity of electricity products from eligible renewable energy resources so that the total kilowatthours of those products sold to their retail end-use customers achieve 44% of retail sales by December 31, 2024, 52% by December 31, 2027, and 60% by December 31, 2030. This bill would state that it is the policy of the state that eligible renewable energy resources and zero-carbon resources supply all electricity procured to serve California end-use customersand the State Water Project no later than December 31, 2045.The bill would require that the transition to a zero-carbon electric system for California not increase carbon emissions elsewhere in the western grid and that the transition not allow resource shuffling. The bill would require the PUC, Energy Commission,Department of Water Resources, and state board to incorporate that policy into all relevant planning. The bill would require those entities to utilize programs authorized under existing statutes to achieve that policy and to provide a joint report to the Legislature no later than February 1, 2019, and every 2 years thereafter, that identifies progress and describes remaining transmission, reliability, and other barriers to the full realization of that policy. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for specified reasons.
Introduced:	01/11/2017
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Budget, Electric, PAC
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

76. CA SB 166

Author:	Skinner (D)
Coauthor	Gloria (D)
Title:	Residential Density and Affordability
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Rules Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 65863 of the Government Code, relating to land use.

Summary:	Amends the Planning and Zoning Law. Prohibits a city, county, or city and county from permitting or causing an inventory of sites identified in a housing element to be insufficient to meet its remaining unmet share of the regional housing need for lower and moderate-income households.
Digest:	This bill, among other things, would prohibit a city, county, or city and county from permitting or causing its inventory of sites identified in the housing element to be insufficient to meet its remaining unmet share of the regional housing need for lower and moderate-income households. The bill also would expand the definition of "lower residential density" if the local jurisdiction has not adopted a housing element for the current planning period or the adopted housing element is not in substantial compliance, as specified. The bill would additionally require a city, county, or city and county to makespecified written findings if the city, county, or city and county allows development of any parcel with fewer units by income category than identified in the housing element for that parcel. Where the approval of a development project results in fewer units by income category than identified in the housing element for that parcel and the remaining sites in the housing element are not adequate to accommodate the jurisdiction's share of the regional housing need by income level, the bill would require the jurisdiction within 180 days to identify and make available additional adequate sites. The bill would provide that an action that creates an obligation to identify or make available additional adequate sites and the action to identify or make available those sites would not create an obligation under the California Environmental Quality Act to identify, analyze, or mitigate the environmental impacts of that subsequent action, as specified. By increasing the duties of local agencies, this bill would create a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	01/23/2017
Last Amend:	07/03/2017
Status:	07/12/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Do pass to Committee on RULES. (5-2)
Department:	Housing, PAC, Planning
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

77. CA SB 229

Author:	Wieckowski (D)
Title:	Accessory Dwelling Units
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 65852.2 of the Government Code, relating to land use.

Summary:	Authorizes an ordinance creating accessory dwelling units in single-family and multi-family residential zones to prohibit the sale or other conveyance of the unit separate from the primary residence. Extends the use of the maximum standards to a proposed accessory dwelling unit on a lot zoned for residential use, provision concerning the location of certain required replacement parking spaces, and the applicability of certain provisions concerning utility charges to special districts and water corporations.
Digest:	This bill would authorize the ordinance to prohibit the sale or other conveyance of the unit separate from the primary residence.The bill would extend the use of the maximum standards to a proposed accessory dwelling unit on a lot zoned for residential use that includes a proposed single-family dwelling. This bill would extend this authorization to when the garage, carport, or covered parking structure is converted to an accessory dwelling unit. The bill would also define tandem parking for these purposes. This bill would extend the applicability of both of the above prohibitions to special districts and water corporations. This bill would authorize the department to review and comment on an ordinance submitted to the department pursuant to these provisions. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/02/2017
Last Amend:	07/03/2017
Status:	07/12/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (9-0)
Department:	Building, CityAttorney, DevelopmentSvcs, Planning
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

78. CA SB 231

Author:	Hertzberg (D)
Title:	Local Government: Fees and Charges
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 53750 of, and to add Section 53751 to, the Government Code, relating to local government finance.
Summary:	Relates to a provision of the California Constitution that requires that assessments, fees, and charges be submitted to property owners for approval or rejection after the provision of written notice and the holding of a public hearing. Defines the term sewer for these purposes. Makes findings and declarations relating to the definition of the term sewer for these purposes.

Digest:	This bill would define the term "sewer" for these purposes. The bill would also make findings and declarations relating to the definition of the term "sewer" for these purposes.
Introduced:	02/02/2017
Last Amend:	04/19/2017
Status:	06/15/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	CityAttorney, EU, Finance
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

79. CA SB 242

Author:	Skinner (D)
Title:	Property Assessed Clean Energy Program
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to add Chapter 29.1 (commencing with Section 5900) to Part 3 of Division 7 of the Streets and Highways Code, relating to the Property Assessed Clean Energy program.
Summary:	Requires a program administrator that administers a PACE program on behalf of a public agency to comply with certain requirements when approving an assessment contract for the installation of an eligible measure. Prohibits the approval of an assessment contract unless the program administrator make a good faith determination that the property owner has a reasonable ability to meet annual payment obligations for the contract.
Digest:	This bill would prohibit a program administrator from waiving or deferring the first payment on an assessment contract, and would require that a property owner's first assessment payment be due no later than the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which the installation of the efficiency improvement is completed. The bill would prohibit a contractor or other 3rd party from advertising the availability of an assessment contract that is administered by a program administrator, or from soliciting property owners on behalf of the program administrator, unless specified requirements are met. The bill would prohibit a program administrator from providing direct or indirect cash payments or anything of a material value to a contractor or 3rd party that is in excess of the actual price charged to the property owner for the sale or installation of efficiency improvements financed by an assessment contract, except for reimbursement of expenses, as provided. The bill would also prohibit a program administrator from providing direct or indirect cash payments or anything of a material value to a property owner that is explicitly conditioned upon the property owner entering into the assessment contract. The bill would prohibit a program administrator, contractor, or other 3rd party from making any representation as to the tax deductibility of an assessment contract, unless that representation is consistent with applicable state and federal law.

	The bill would prohibit a program administrator from providing information that discloses specified information relating to the property owner or the property. This bill would include findings that the changes proposed by this bill address a matter of statewide concern, and therefore shall apply to all cities and counties, including charter cities.
Introduced:	02/06/2017
Last Amend:	07/13/2017
Status:	07/20/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

80. CA SB 277

Author:	Bradford (D)
Coauthor	Beall (D)
Title:	Land Use: Zoning Regulations
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 65850 of the Government Code, relating to land use.
Summary:	Authorizes the legislative body of any city or county to adopt ordinances to require, as a condition of development of residential rental units, that the development include a certain percentage of residential rental units affordable to, and occupied by, moderate-income, lower income, very low income, or extremely low income households. Requires the ordinance to provide alternative means of compliance.
Digest:	This bill would additionally authorize the legislative body of any city or county to adopt ordinances to require, as a condition of development of residential rental units, that the development include a certain percentage of residential rental units affordable to, and occupied by, moderate-income, lower income, very low income, or extremely low income households, as specified. The bill would require the ordinance to provide alternative means of compliance. The bill would also make a nonsubstantive change and legislative findings and declarations.
Introduced:	02/09/2017
Last Amend:	07/13/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	Housing, Planning
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

81. CA SB 330

Author:	Berryhill (R)
Title:	Building Permit Fees: Waiver
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Consent Calendar
Code Section:	An act to ~~amend Section 18931.6 of~~ add Section 17951.5 to the Health and Safety Code, relating to housing.
Summary:	Authorizes cities and counties to waive or reduce all building permit fees for improvements to the home of a veteran with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability.
Digest:	This bill would authorize these entities to waiveor reduce all building permit fees for improvements to the home of a veteran with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability.
Introduced:	02/13/2017
Last Amend:	07/03/2017
Status:	07/20/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To Consent Calendar.
Department:	Building, CityAttorney, Finance, Housing
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

82. CA SB 338

Author:	Skinner (D)
Coauthor	Mullin (D)
Title:	Net-Load Peak Energy
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 454.52 and 9621 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Requires the Public Utilities Commission and the governing boards of local publicly owned electric utilities to consider, as a part of the integrated resource plan process, the role of clean energy technology and energy efficiency to helping to ensure that each load-serving entity or local publicly owned electric utility meets net-load peak energy needs and reliability needs while reducing the need for new generation and transmission in achieving energy goals at the least cost to ratepayers.
Digest:	This bill would require the commission and the governing boards of local publicly owned electric utilities to consider, as a part of the integrated resource plan process, the role of distributed energy resources in helping to ensure that each load-serving entity or local publicly owned electric utility, as applicable, meets net-load peak energy needs and reliability needs while reducing the need for new electricity generation and new transmission in achieving the state's energy goals at the least cost to ratepayers. Because

	this bill would impose additional duties on local publicly owned electric utility, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for specified reasons.
Introduced:	02/14/2017
Last Amend:	07/10/2017
Status:	07/20/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

83. CA SB 345

Author:	Bradford (D)
Title:	Law Enforcement Agencies: Public Records
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Title 4.7 (commencing with Section 13650) to Part 4 of the Penal Code, relating to law enforcement.
Summary:	Requires specified departments and agencies, and each local law enforcement agency, to conspicuously post on their Internet Web sites current standards, policies, practices, operating procedures, and education and training materials, to the extent not prohibited by the California Public Records Act.
Digest:	This bill would, commencing January 1, 2019, require the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control, the Department of the California Highway Patrol, the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Department of Fish and Wildlife, the Department of Justice, the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training, and each local law enforcement agency to conspicuously post on their Internet Web sites all current standards, policies, practices, operating procedures, and education and training materials, to the extent not prohibited by the California Public Records Act. By imposing this requirement on local law enforcement agencies, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.
Introduced:	02/14/2017
Last Amend:	05/26/2017
Status:	07/11/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on PUBLIC SAFETY: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (5-1)
Department:	CityAttorney, HR, IT, PD

Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

84. CA SB 356

Author:	Skinner (D)
Title:	Energy Data Transparency
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 25402.10 of, and to add Section 25402.14 to, the Public Resources Code, and to amend Section 8380 of, and to add Section ~~323.7~~ 769.6 to, the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Requires that certain information be available electronically to the public, including pricing data for electricity, on an Internet Web page. Requires electrical corporations and local publicly owned electric utilities to provide certain pricing data. Requires information concerning operation of the transmission grid to be made available to the public and requires publication of certain electric and gas usage data. Revise covered building to include to be a building with certain number and utility accounts.
Digest:	This bill would require the PUC, by January 15, 2019, to direct each electrical corporation to make available electronically to the public certain information, including, among other things, pricing data for electricity, on the electrical corporation's Internet Web site. The bill would require electrical corporations to provide pricing data electronically to the PUC, as provided, within 3 months after a change in its rates. The bill would require the Independent System Operator to make available electronically to the public certain information regarding the operation of the transmission grid. The bill would require theState EnergyResources Conservation and Development Commission, by January 1, 2019, to develop a system for assigning a global unique identifier for buildings within the state. The bill would require electrical corporations and local publicly owned electric utilities to track the aggregated electricity usage data of all customers in the same building for those buildings within their service territories by the global unique identifier. Because this bill would impose additional duties on local publicly owned electric utilities, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would authorize the verification of customer consent through an electronic signature authorization process and would specify the types of data eligible for release. This bill would revise "covered building" to include a property with those same numbers and types of accounts. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for specified reasons.
Introduced:	02/14/2017
Last Amend:	07/10/2017

Status: 07/10/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.

Department: Electric

Position: Oppose

Priority: StatePriority

85. CA SB 384

Author:	Wiener (D)
Coauthor	Daly (D) , Jones-Sawyer (D) , Obernolte (R) , Allen (D) , Anderson (R) , Dababneh (D) , Santiago (D)
Title:	Alcoholic Beverages: Hours of Sale
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 25631 of, and to add and repeal Section 25634 ~~to,~~ of, the Business and Professions Code, relating to alcoholic beverages.
Summary:	Amends the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act to authorize the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control to issue an additional hours permit to an on-sale licensee which would authorize, with or without conditions, the selling, giving, or purchasing of alcoholic beverages at the licensed premises between specified hours upon completion of specified requirements by the local jurisdiction in which the licensee is located and upon payment a certain fee.
Digest:	This bill would impose a state-mandated local program by creating new crimes. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/14/2017
Last Amend:	07/17/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	CityAttorney, PD
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

86. CA SB 385

Author:	Hueso (D)
Title:	Public Utilities Commission: Reports: Program: Ex Parte
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 421, 776, 883, 913.9, 1103, 1202, 1701.1, 1701.3, 1711, and 7931 of, to add Sections 381.4, 911.2, and 916.5 to, and to repeal

	Sections 765.7 and 7937 of, the Public Utilities Code, relating to the Public Utilities Commission.
Summary:	Moves certain of the Public Utilities Commission's reporting requirements within the Public Utilities Act to an article pertaining to reports to the Legislature. Repeals certain provisions referencing specified dates.
Digest:	This bill would move certain of the commission's reporting requirements within the Public Utilities Act to an article pertaining to reports by the commission to the Legislature and make other conforming changes. This bill would move that provision to the article within the Public Utilities Act pertaining to reports by the commission to the Legislature. This bill would instead require the commission to, on or before December 31, 2018, and biennially thereafter, as part of a specified report, identify and report to the Legislature on electrical and gas corporation ratepayer-funded energy efficiency programs that are similar to programs administered by those state agencies. This bill would revise various laws relating to ex parte communications in regard to commission proceedings. This bill would require the coordinator and commission staff to notify representatives of local jurisdictions and the public in affected areas, and instead of requiring them would authorize them, at the discretion of the commission, to conduct one or more meetings both for representatives of local jurisdictions and for members of the public in affected geographic areas for those same purposes. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/14/2017
Last Amend:	07/19/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric, Fire
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

87. CA SB 418

Author:	Hernandez (D)
Title:	Public Contracts: Skilled and Trained Workforce
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 2601 of the Public Contract Code, relating to public contracts.

Summary: Relates to existing law which requires that the general prevailing rate of per diem wages be paid to workers employed on public works projects. Revises the definition of a skilled and trained workforce to exclude from the conditions work performed after a specified date in certain occupations.

Digest: This bill would revise the definition of a "skilled and trained workforce" to exclude from the conditions work performed on or after specified dates, in certain occupations.

Introduced: 02/15/2017

Last Amend: 06/28/2017

Status: 07/20/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To third reading.

Department: CityAttorney, PAC, PW

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

88. CA SB 469

Author: Skinner (D)

Title: Child Support Guidelines: Low-Income Adjustments

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Consent Calendar

Code Section: An act to amend and repeal Section 4055 of the Family Code, relating to child support.

Summary: Amends the existing law which imposes a general obligation on both parents of a minor child to support their child in the manner suitable to the child's circumstances. Establishes the statewide uniform guidelines for calculating court-ordered child support, based on the income of both parents and the time each parent spends with the child. Extends the date of repeal for the version of existing that is currently operative.

Digest: This bill would extend the January 1, 2018, date of repeal to January 1, 2021, for the version of existing law that is currently operative, thereby maintaining the net disposable income threshold at $1,500 per month, adjusted annually for cost-of-living increases, until January 1, 2021, and would repeal the successor version of that law that becomes operative on January 1, 2018.

Introduced: 02/16/2017

Last Amend: 06/05/2017

Status: 07/20/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To Consent Calendar.

Department: Housing, Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

89. CA SB 491

Author: Bradford (D)

Coauthor	Beall (D)
Title:	Civil Rights: Discrimination: Enforcement
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 12993 of the Government Code, relating to civil rights.
Summary:	Provides that a local government entity is permitted to refer a person alleging discrimination to the Department of Fair Employment and Housing and provide the person with relevant information and resource. Requires the department to establish on advisory group to determine the feasibility of authorizing local government entities to also enforce antidiscrimination statutes. Requires the advisory group to develop an implementation plan and draft proposed legislation for presentation to the Legislature.
Digest:	This bill would provide that a local government entity is permitted under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act to refer a person alleging discrimination to the department and to provide the person with relevant information and resources, as appropriate. The bill would require the department, by April 1, 2018, to establish an advisory group, as specified, to determine the feasibility of authorizing local government entities to also enforce antidiscrimination statutes. The bill would require the advisory group, if it determines that such enforcement is feasible, to develop an implementation plan and draft proposed legislation for presentation to the Legislature by December 31, 2018. The bill would provide legislative findings and declarations in support of these provisions.
Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/12/2017
Status:	07/12/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Housing, PAC
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

90. CA SB 498

Author:	Skinner (D)
Title:	Vehicle Fleets: Zero-Emission Vehicles
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Section 43018.8 to the Health and Safety Code, and to add Section 25724 to the Public Resources Code, relating to vehicular air pollution.

Summary:	Requires the State Air Resources Board to review all programs affecting the adoption of light-duty and medium-duty zero-emission vehicles in the state and report to the Legislature no later than the specified date, recommendations for increasing the use of those vehicles for vehicle fleet use and on a general-use basis in the state. Requires the Department of General Services to ensure at least 50% of light-duty vehicles purchased for the state fleet each year are zero-emission vehicles.
Digest:	This bill would require the state board, in consultation with stakeholders, to review all programs affecting the adoption of light-duty and medium-duty zero-emission vehicles in the state and report to the Legislature no later than July 1, 2019, recommendations for increasing the use of those vehicles for vehicle fleet use and on a general-use basis in the state, as specified. This bill would require the Department of General Services, beginning no later than the 2024-25 fiscal year, to ensure at least 50% of the light-duty vehicles purchased for the state vehicle fleet each fiscal year are zero-emission vehicles, except as specified.
Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/06/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 In ASSEMBLY Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

91. CA SB 506

Author:	Nielsen (R)
Coauthor	Gallagher (R)
Title:	Department of Fish and Wildlife: Lake or Streambed
Disposition:	Vetoed
Location:	Vetoed
Code Section:	An act to add Section 1618 to the Fish and Game Code, relating to fish and wildlife.
Summary:	Requires the Department of Fish and Wildlife to periodically upgrade the information on its Internet Web site regarding lake or streambed alteration agreements, to update its "Frequently Asked Questions" document and other appropriate sources of information regarding the lake and streambed alteration program, and to provide guidance on its Internet Web site to facilitate members of the public in obtaining individualized guidance regarding the lake and streambed alteration program.
Digest:	This bill would require the department, on or before December 31, 2018, and periodically thereafter, to upgrade the information on its Internet Web site regarding lake or streambed alteration agreements, to update its "Frequently Asked Questions" document and other appropriate sources of information regarding the lake and streambed alteration program, and to provide guidance

on its Internet Web site to facilitate members of the public in obtaining individualized guidance regarding the lake and streambed alteration program, as specified.

Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	06/05/2017
Status:	07/21/2017 Vetoed by GOVERNOR.
Department:	DevelopmentSvcs, Planning
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

92. CA SB 513

Author:	Bradford (D)
Title:	Assault and Battery of a Public Utility Worker
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Consent Calendar
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 241 and 243 of the Penal Code, relating to assault and battery.
Summary:	Makes assault or battery of a utility worker engaged in the performance of his or her duties, and the person committing the offense knows or reasonably should know that the victim is a utility worker engaged in the performance of the service, punishable by specified penalties.
Digest:	This bill would make assault of a utility worker, as defined, engaged in the performance of his or her duties, and the person committing the offense knows or reasonably should know that the victim is a utility worker engaged in the performance of his or her duties, punishable by a fine not exceeding $2,000, or by imprisonment in the county jail not exceeding 6 months, or by both that fine and imprisonment. This bill would make battery of a utility worker, as defined, engaged in the performance of his or her duties, when the person committing the battery knows or reasonably should know that the victim is a utility worker engaged in the performance of his or her duties, and an injury is inflicted on the utility worker, punishable by a fine of not more than $3,000, by imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding 6 months, or by both that fine and imprisonment. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/10/2017
Status:	07/20/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To Consent Calendar.
Department:	CityAttorney, EU, Electric, PD
Position:	Support

Priority:	StatePriority

93. CA SB 520

Author:	Mitchell (D)
Title:	Electricity: Intervenor Funding
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Part 1.5 (commencing with Section 2600) to Division 1 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to electricity.
Summary:	Amends an existing law which requires the Public Utilities Commission to award certain fees for participation in a hearing or proceeding of the Commission involving an electrical, gas, water, or telephone corporation to customers. Establishes a mechanism to provide compensation for advocate's fees, expert witness fees, and other costs of participation in processes of the Independent System Operator related to proceedings concerning transmission planning, access charge, energy markets, and regionalization.
Digest:	This bill would establish a mechanism to provide compensation for reasonable advocate's fees, reasonable expert witness fees, and other reasonable costs of participation in processes of the Independent System Operator (ISO) related to proceedings concerning transmission planning, the transmission access charge, energy markets, and regionalization. The bill would require an organization intending to seek compensation to submit an annual notice of intent and eligibility to the PUC containing specified information. The PUC would be required to timely issue a finding as to whether the organization is an eligible group, as defined, that may file for compensation. The bill would authorize an eligible group, by March 31 of each year, to file for compensation from an electrical corporation that is a transmission-owning utility, as defined, for participation in eligible proceedings for the prior calendar year. The bill would require the PUC, within 90 days after the filing of the request for compensation or within 60 days after the submission of additional supporting documentation, whichever occurs later, to determine whether the eligible group has productively participated in an eligible proceeding, and if so, to direct that the reasonable costs of participation, including advocate's fees, expert witness fees, and associated costs, as respectively defined, be paid by the electrical corporation from moneys held in trust by the electrical corporation for that purpose. The bill would require that payment be denied to any eligible group that engages in vexatious behavior or attempts to unreasonably obstruct the orderly and timely fulfillment of the responsibilities of the ISO. The bill would require the commission to adopt rules governing the intervenor funding program at a publicly noticed meeting offering all interested parties an opportunity to comment. The bill would require PUC, in consultation with the ISO, to report to all relevant policy and fiscal committees of the Legislature 3 years after the program is implemented with sufficient information for the Legislature to determine the effectiveness of the program in achieving greater access and transparency, increasing productive participation, and minimizing negative impacts on ratepayers and the environment.The PUC's authority to award intervenor compensation pursuant

	to the program would become inoperative 4 years after the program is implemented. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/19/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	EU, Electric
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

94. CA SB 525

Author:	Pan (D)
Title:	Public Employees Retirement
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Consent Calendar
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 20026, 20042, 20138, 20636, 20636.1, 21261, 21337, 21409, 21424, 21454, 21459, 21462, 21473, 21475.5, 21476.5, 21477, 21481, 75071, 75071.5, 75571, and 75571.5 of, to add Section 20309.7 to, and to repeal Section 21228 of, the Government Code, relating to public employees' retirement.
Summary:	Relates to the Public Employees Retirement Law and members who are incapacitated. Redefines the terms disability and incapacity for performance of duty. Revises and recasts the definition of final compensation for local members. Provides for industrial disability retirement benefits for state miscellaneous and industrial members of PERS, school employees and the Teachers Retirement Law, certain reports by employers, and other matters.
Digest:	This bill would redefine those terms to specify that the duration of the disability or incapacity must be expected to last at least 12 consecutive months or result in death. The bill also would revise and recast the definition of final compensation for local members. This bill would delete that superseded provision of PERL. This bill would make these provisions applicable to state miscellaneous or state industrial members who became members on or after January 1, 2013, and who have elected a specified service retirement allowance. The bill would also revise the nonindustrial disability retirement formula. This bill would expand that provision to authorize the board to provide education, including structured preretirement information seminars, for the benefit of all members.

This bill would authorize a person who was employed by a school employer before January 1, 2018, in a position that includes activities meeting the definition of creditable service under the Teachers' Retirement Law, and whose service was reported to PERS, to continue to retain membership in PERS and have past and future service credited to PERS if that person was not enrolled in the State Teachers' Retirement Plan for that same service and is not otherwise excluded from PERS membership. The bill also would authorize a school member who performs creditable service under the Teachers' Retirement Law and has not yet retired, to elect to have all of that service and subsequent service subject to coverage by STRS and excluded from PERS coverage, if the member is not excluded from coverage by STRS, in accordance with certain requirements.

This bill would require the employer, when reporting this information to the board, to identify each item of special compensation and the category under which that item is listed, as described in regulations promulgated by the board, and to report each item of special compensation separately from payrate.

This bill would revise the second part of the above provision to delete the reference to net earnings.

This bill would specify that a spouse's signature is not required on a designation of the member's current spouse as the member's sole primary beneficiary on any lump-sum beneficiary designation, or, under other specified criteria, on the member's election of an optional settlement designating the member's spouse as the sole primary beneficiary.

This bill would extend those optional settlements for members due to dissolution of marriage or legal separation in which the judgment dividing the community property awards total interest in PERS to the member, or in an annulment of the marriage in which a court confirms the annulment, or to a waiver of entitlement to the allowance by the nonspouse beneficiary.

This bill would authorize a court, upon receipt of documentation by the PERS board, to order the member to select this option to provide the nonmember spouse with a lifetime monthly allowance equal to the nonmember spouse's interest in PERS, as defined by court order and in compliance with specified family law provisions. The bill also would make related clarifying changes to other provisions related to optional settlements for PERS members.

This bill would revise those provisions to clarify that the legal separation must be filed and the annulment must be confirmed by the court.

Introduced: 02/16/2017

Status: 07/20/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To Consent Calendar.

Department: CityAttorney, HR

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

95. CA SB 598

Author:	Hueso (D)
Title:	Public Utilities: Gas and Electric Service
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Sections 718, 779.3, and 910.5 to the Public Utilities Code, relating to public utilities.
Summary:	Requires the Public Utilities Commission to develop policies, rules, or regulations with a goal of reducing gas and electric service disconnections for nonpayment by residential customers by a certain percent by a specified year. Requires the Commission in each gas and electrical corporation general rate case to things, conduct an assessment of and properly identify the impact of any proposed increase in rates on disconnections for nonpayment.
Digest:	This bill would require the commission to develop policies, rules, or regulations with a goal of reducing, by January 1, 2024, the levels of gas and electric service disconnections for nonpayment by residential customers to the levels reported by each gas and electrical corporation in 2010, as specified. The bill would require the commission in each gas and electrical corporation general rate case to, among other things, conduct an assessment of and properly identify the impact of any proposed increase in rates on disconnections for nonpayment. The bill would require the commission to include in a report to the Legislature information on residential and household gas and electric service disconnections, disaggregated by certain customer categories. This bill would require the commission to adopt residential utility disconnections for nonpayment as a metric and incorporate the metric into each gas and electrical corporation general rate case. The bill would require a gas or electrical corporation to incorporate the metric adopted by the commission in all of its reports to the commission that measure or address service reliability, public safety, and affordability. The bill would prohibit a gas or electrical corporation from disconnecting service for nonpayment by a residential customer dependent on life-support equipment whois unable to pay for service, who is willing to enter into an amortization agreement, and who satisfies certainother conditions. The bill would authorize the commission to identify strategies for reasonable cost recovery by a gas or electrical corporation for costs incurred in providing gas or electric service to customers whom the gas or electrical corporation was unable to disconnect due to compliance with that prohibition. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/19/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.

Department: Electric
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

96. CA SB 618

Author:	Bradford (D)
Title:	Load-Serving Entities: Resource Plan
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 454.52 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to electricity.
Summary:	Requires that the integrated resource plan filed by load-serving entity contribute to a diverse and balanced portfolio of resources to ensure reliable electricity supply that provides optimal integration of renewable energy resources in a cost-effective manner, meets specified emissions reduction targets for greenhouse gases, and prevents cost shifting among load-serving entities.
Digest:	This bill would require that the integrated resource plan filed by a load-serving entity contribute to a diverse and balanced portfolio of resources needed to ensure a reliable electricity supply that provides optimal integration of renewable energyresources in a cost-effective manner, meets specified emissions reduction targets for greenhouse gases, and prevents cost shifting among load-serving entities. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.

Department: Electric
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

97. CA SB 623

Author:	Monning (D)
Coauthor	Hernandez (D) , Stone (R) , Hertzberg (D) , de Leon (D)
Title:	Water Quality: Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 116395 of, and to add Chapter 4.6 (commencing with Section 116765) to Part 12 of Division 104 of, the Health and Safety

	Code, and to amend Section 13050 of, and to add ~~and repeal~~ Article 4.5 (commencing with Section 13278) of Chapter 4 of Division 7 of, the Water Code, relating to water, and making an appropriation therefor.
Summary:	Establishes the Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund in the State Treasury. Provides that moneys in the fund are available to the State Water Resources Control Board. Requires the Board to expend moneys in the fund for grants, loans, contracts, or services to assist those without access to safe and affordable drinking water.
Digest:	This bill would establish the Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund in the State Treasury and would provide that moneys in the fund are continuously appropriated to the office. The bill would require the board to administer the fund to assist communities and individual domestic well users to address contaminants in drinking water that exceed safe drinking water standards, as specified. The bill would authorize the board to provide for the deposit of federal contributions and voluntary contributions, gifts, grants, or bequests. The bill would require the board to expend moneys in the fund for grants, loans, contracts, or services to assist those communities and individual domestic well owners that rely on contaminated drinking water to have access to safe and affordable drinking water consistent with a fund implementation plan adopted annually by the board, as prescribed. The bill would require the board annually to prepare and make available a report of expenditures of the fund and to adopt annually, after a public hearing, an assessment of funding needed to ensure all Californians have access to safe drinking water. By creating a new continuously appropriated fund, this bill would make an appropriation. The bill would state the intent of the Legislature to subsequently amend the bill to seek specific funding from agricultural operations to assist in providing emergency, interim, and long-term assistance to community water systems and individual domestic well users whose wells are located in agricultural areas. This bill would require the state board, by January 1, 2019, to promulgate regulations to require state small water systems and individual domestic wells to test their water supply wells for contamination. The bill would require testing to be prioritized based on local water quality conditions and would require the state board to review these regulations at least every 5 years. The bill would exempt these provisions from the above-described inoperative provision. This bill would prohibit the state board or a regional board, until January 1, 2028, from subjecting an agricultural operation, as defined, to specified enforcement for causing or contributing to an exceedance of a water quality objective for nitrate in groundwater or for causing or contributing to a condition of pollution or nuisancefor nitrates in groundwater if that agricultural operation demonstrates that it has satisfied certain mitigation requirements, including, among other requirements, the timely payment of any applicable fee, assessment, or charge into the fund.The bill would prohibit the state board or a regional board, beginning January 1, 2028, until January 1, 2033, from subjecting an agricultural operation to specified enforcement for creating or threatening to create a condition of pollution or nuisance for nitrate in

	groundwater if that agricultural operation demonstrates that it has satisfied the prescribed mitigation requirements. The bill would require the state board, by January 1, 2027, to conduct a public review of regulatory and basin plan amendment implementation programs to evaluate progress toward achieving water quality objectives with respect to nitrates in groundwater and assess compliance with adopted timelines, monitoring requirements, and implementation of best practicable treatment or control.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/03/2017
Status:	07/11/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY AND TOXIC MATERIALS: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (5-1)
Department:	EU
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

98. CA SB 649

Author:	Hueso (D)
Coauthor	Dababneh (D) , Dodd (D) , Quirk (D)
Title:	Wireless Telecommunications Facilities
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Sections 65964.2 and 65964.5 to the Government Code, relating to telecommunications.
Summary:	Amends an existing law which provides that a wireless telecommunications collocation facility is subject to a city or county discretionary permit and is required to comply with specified criteria. Provides that a small cell is a permitted use subject only to a specified permitting process adopted by a city or county if the small cell meets specified requirements. Prohibits a city or county from requiring a provider of video services or cable services to obtain any authorization or permit.
Digest:	This bill would provide that a small cell is a permitted use, subject only to a specified permitting process adopted by a city or county, if the small cell meets specified requirements. By imposing new duties on local agencies, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would authorize a city or county to require an encroachment permit or a building permit, and any additional ministerial permits, for a small cell, as specified. The bill would authorize a city or county to charge 3 types of fees: an annual charge for each small cell attached to city or county vertical infrastructure, an annual attachment rate, or a one-time reimbursement fee. The bill would require the city or county to comply with notice and hearing requirements before imposing the annual attachment rate. The bill would require an action or proceeding to challenge a fee imposed under the provisions of this bill to be commenced

within 120 days of the effective date of the ordinance or resolution. The bill would define the term "small cell" for these purposes.

This bill would prohibit a city or county from requiring a provider of video service or cable service to obtain any authorization or permit not described above to provide any communications service that is provided by a holder of a state franchise pursuant to the act. The bill would prohibit a city or county from requiring the holder of a state franchise to pay any tax, fee, assessment, or other charge not authorized by the act, this bill, or other state laws.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced:	02/17/2017	
Last Amend:	07/18/2017	
Status:	07/18/2017	In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Building, IT, PAC, Planning	
Position:	Oppose	
Priority:	StatePriority	

99. CA SB 700

Author:	Wiener (D)
Title:	Energy Storage Initiative
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Utilities and Energy Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 379.6 and 2835 of, to add the heading of Article 1 (commencing with Section 2835) to, and to add Article 2 (commencing with Section 2839.50) to, Chapter 7.7 of Part 2 of Division 1 of, the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Requires the Public Utilities Commission and the governing boards of local publicly owned electric utilities to establish an Energy Storage Initiative to provide rebates to customers of electrical corporations for the installation of energy storage systems consistent with certain requirements. Requires the PUC to ensure an orderly transition of the funding for energy storage systems from the self-generation incentive program to the Energy Storage Initiative to minimize disruption.
Digest:	This bill would require the PUC to establish the Energy Storage Initiative to provide rebates to customers of electrical corporations for the installation of energy storage systems consistent with certain requirements. The bill would require the PUC to conduct a proceeding to determine an annual amount of moneys, within specified bounds, from calendar year 2018 through December 31, 2027, to be collected by electrical corporations to fund the Energy Storage Initiative. The bill would authorize the PUC to modify incentive levels and to limit eligibility based on income levels for residential applicants to ensure market transformation and the achievement of other goals of the Energy

	Storage Initiative. The bill would require each local publicly owned electric utility with a specified number of service connections and each electrical cooperative with a specified electrical demand, on or before December 1, 2018, to establish an Energy Storage Initiative and to submit the budget for the initiative to the State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission. Because this bill would increase the duties of local publicly owned electric utilities, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would require the PUC to ensure an orderly transition of the funding for energy storage systems from the self-generation incentive program to the Energy Storage Initiative to minimize disruption or delay for program participation and administration. The bill would remove the eligibility under the self-generation incentive program of energy storage systems that are qualified to receive rebates under the Energy Storage Initiative when rebate applications for energy storage systems under the Energy Storage Initiative become available to customers. The bill would reduce the annual amount collected for the self-generation incentive program by the annual amount to be collected by the electrical corporations for the Energy Storage Initiative, as provided. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for specified reasons.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/05/2017
Status:	07/05/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on UTILITIES AND ENERGY with author's amendments. 07/05/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on UTILITIES AND ENERGY.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

100. CA SB 711

Author:	Hill (D)
Title:	Electrical Corporations and Gas Corporations: Rates
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 739 of, and to add Section 739.11 to, the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Amends an existing law which requires the Public Utilities Commission to designate a baseline quantity of electricity and gas necessary for a significant portion of the reasonable energy needs of the average residential customer and to establish a higher energy allowance above the baseline for residential customers dependent on life-support equipment.

Digest:	This bill would require the commission to make efforts to minimize bill volatility for residential customers, including by modifying the length of baseline seasons or defining additional baseline seasons. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/10/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 In ASSEMBLY Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.
Department:	EconDevelop, Housing, PAC
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

101. CA SB 732

Author:	Stern (D)
Title:	General Plan: Agricultural Land
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 669.5 of the Evidence Code, to amend Sections 65560 and 65570 of, and to add Sections 65565 and 65565.1 to, the Government Code, to amend Section 5950.1 of the Harbors and Navigation Code, to amend Section 612 of the Public Resources Code, and to amend Section 79033.6 of the Water Code, relating to land use.
Summary:	Authorizes a city and county to develop an agricultural land component of the open-space element. Establishes requirements for development of this component. Authorizes the Department of Conservation to award grants to a city or county to implement this component. Requires drafts to be submitted before adopting or amending the open-space element.
Digest:	This bill would authorize a city and county to develop an agricultural land component of the city or county' s open-space element, or a separate agricultural land element. The bill would require a city or county to comply with specified requirements when preparing that component or element, including identifying and mapping, where applicable, using specified data, agricultural lands that are within the city' s or county' s jurisdiction; establishing a comprehensive set of goals, policies, and objectives to support the long-term protection of agricultural land; identifying and designating priority land for conservation; and identifying and establishing a set of feasible implementation measures designed to promote those goals, policies, and objectives. The bill would authorize the Department of Conservation, to the extent funds are available, to award grants to a city or county to implement these provisions. The bill would, at least 45 days before adopting or amending the open-space element or the agricultural land element, require a city or county to submit to the department a draft of the agricultural land component or amendment, or agricultural land element or amendment, prepared pursuant to these

provisions, and any maps used in creating that component or amendment. The bill would authorize the department to review any drafts submitted, and to provide recommendations to the city or county, as provided. The bill would require the department to give priority consideration for grants, bond proceeds, and other local assistance provided by the department to a city or county that complies with specified requirements.The bill would authorize a city or county with an existing agricultural land component of their open-space element or an existing separate agricultural land element that substantially complies with the requirements set out in this bill, and complies with certain procedures, to receive priority consideration as described in the previous sentence.

This bill would require the department to also collect or acquire information on the amount of land converted between agricultural categories. This bill would require the department to submit the report described above to the Legislature by December 31, 2018, and biennially thereafter. The bill would require the department to update and send counties copies of the updated current Important Farmland Series maps by December 31, 2018, and biennially thereafter. This bill wouldalso make nonsubstantive changes to those provisions.

Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/17/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	PAC, PW
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

102. CA SB 742

Author:	Moorlach (R)
Coauthor	Wilk (R)
Title:	City Treasurers
Disposition:	Enacted
Location:	Chaptered
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 41001, 41002, 41003, 41004, and 41006 of the Government Code, relating to local government. [Approved by Governor July 17, 2017. Filed with Secretary of State July 17, 2017.]
Summary:	Revises the powers and duties of the city treasurer by deleting gender-specific personal pronouns. Makes other conforming changes. Requires a city treasurer, if the city has issued bonds, to use a specified system of accounting and adhere to specified accounting principles.
Digest:	This bill would revise those powers and duties by deleting gender-specific personal pronouns and by making other conforming changes.

	This bill would require the city treasurer, if the city has issued bonds, to use a system of accounting and auditing that adheres to generally accepted accounting principles.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	06/19/2017
Status:	07/07/2017 *****To GOVERNOR. 07/17/2017 Signed by GOVERNOR. 07/17/2017 Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter No. 2017-77
Department:	Finance, PAC
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

103. CA SB 778

Author:	Hertzberg (D)
Title:	Safe Drinking Water Fund
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 116682 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to drinking water.
Summary:	Requires the State Water Resources Control Board to track and publish on its Internet Web site an analysis of all voluntary and ordered consolidations of water systems that have occurred on or after a certain date. Requires the published information to include the resulting outcomes of the consolidations and whether the consolidations have succeeded or failed in providing an adequate supply of safe drinking water to the communities served by the consolidated water systems.
Digest:	This bill would require, on or before March 1, 2018,and regularly thereafter, as specified, the state board to track and publish on its Internet Web site an analysis of all voluntary and ordered consolidations of water systems that have occurred on or after July 1, 2014. The bill would require the published information to include the resulting outcomes of the consolidations and whether the consolidations have succeeded or failed in providing an adequate supply of safe drinking water to the communities served by the consolidated water systems.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/13/2017
Status:	07/13/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	EU, Finance
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

104. CA SB 182

Author:	Bradford (D)
Title:	Transportation Network Company: Participating Drivers
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Second Reading File
Code Section:	An act to add Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 16550) to Part 1 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code, relating to business.
Summary:	Prohibits any local jurisdiction, as defined, that requires a driver, as defined, to obtain a business license to operate as a driver for a transportation network company, from requiring that driver to obtain more than a single business license. Requires that personal information submitted to a local jurisdiction for purposes of complying with or enforcing these licensing provisions not be disclosed publicly.
Digest:	This bill would prohibit any local jurisdiction, as defined, that requires a driver, as defined, to obtain a business license, as defined, to operate as a driver for a transportation network company, from requiring that driver to obtain more than a single business license, as specified, regardless of the number of local jurisdictions in which the driver operates. The bill would require the driver to obtain a business license in the local jurisdiction in which the driver is domiciled, except as specified. The bill would require each transportation network company to notify its drivers of the obligations set forth in these provisions. The bill would require that personal information submitted to a local jurisdiction for purposes of complying with or enforcing these licensing provisions not be disclosed on a publicly accessible Internet Web site. The bill would declare that its provisions do not preclude the sharing of business license data among local jurisdictions and would make a finding that allowing the free operation of drivers for transportation network companies across local jurisdictions is a matter of statewide concern.
Introduced:	01/24/2017
Last Amend:	06/29/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on PRIVACY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION: Do pass as amended. (10-0)
Department:	Budget, CityAttorney, Finance
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

105. CA AB 72

Author:	Santiago (D)
Coauthor	Mullin (D) , Chiu (D)
Title:	Housing
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File

Code Section:	An act to amend Section 65585 of the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary:	Requires the Department of Housing and Community Development to review any action or failure to act by a municipality that is inconsistent with an adopted housing element or specified provision. Authorizes the Department, after allowing for a local agency response, to revoke findings until it determines that the municipality has come into compliance with the housing element. Requires the Department to notify the municipality and the Office of the Attorney General that it is in violation of state law.
Digest:	This bill would require the department to also review any action or failure to act by the city, county, or city and county that it determines is inconsistent with an adopted housing element or a specified provision and to issue written findings, as specified, whether the action or failure to act substantially complies with the housing element. If the department finds that the action or failure to act by the city, county, or city and county does not substantially comply with the housing element, and if it has issued findings as described above that an amendment to the housing element substantially complies with the housing element, the bill would authorize the department, after allowing no more than 30 days for a local agency response, to revoke its findings until it determines that the city, county, or city and county has come into compliance with the housing element. The bill would also require the department to notify the city, county, or city and county andauthorize the department to notify the Office of the Attorney General that the city, county, or city and county is in violation of state law if the department makes certain findings of noncompliance or a violation.
Introduced:	12/16/2016
Last Amend:	07/12/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	CityAttorney, Housing
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

106. CA AB 678

Author:	Bocanegra (D)
Coauthor	Beall (D) , Wiener (D) , Skinner (D)
Title:	Housing Accountability Act
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 65589.5 of the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary:	Extends the provisions of the Housing Accountability Act to apply to housing development projects for above moderate-income households. Requires the findings of certain local agencies to be based on certain evidence. Makes changes concerning changes to zoning ordinances or general plan land use designations, approval of housing projects or emergency shelters, the

infeasibility of projects, certain actions by housing organizations, and related matters.

Digest: This bill would require the findings of the local agency to instead be based on a preponderance of the evidence in the record.

This bill would specify that a change to the zoning ordinance or general plan land use designation subsequent to the date the application was deemed complete does not constitute a valid basis to disapprove or condition approval of the housing development project or emergency shelter.

This bill would instead require, with respect to mixed-use developments, that 2/3 of the square footage be designated for residential use.

This bill would specify that a housing development project or emergency shelter is deemed consistent, compliant, and in conformity with an applicable plan, program, policy, ordinance, standard, requirement, or other similar provision for purposes of the above-described provisions if there is substantial evidence that would allow a reasonable person to conclude that the housing development project or emergency shelter is consistent, compliant, or in conformity. The bill, if the local agency considers the housing development project to be inconsistent, not in compliance, or not in conformity, would require the local agency to provide the applicant with written documentation identifying the provision or provisions, and an explanation of the reason or reasons it considers the housing development to be inconsistent, not in compliance, or not in conformity within specified time periods. If the local agency fails to provide this documentation, the bill would provide that the housing development project would be deemed consistent, compliant, and in conformity with the applicable plan, program, policy, ordinance, standard, requirement, or other similar provision. By requiring local agencies to provide documentation related to disapprovals of housing development projects, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would entitle a housing organization to reasonable attorney's fees and costs if it is the prevailing party in an action to enforce the act.

This bill would additionally require the court to issue an order compelling compliance with the act, as described above, if it finds that either the local agency, in violation of a specified provision of the act, disapproved or conditioned approval of a housing development project in a manner rendering it infeasible for the development of an emergency shelter or certain housing without making the required findings or without making findings supported by a preponderance of the evidence, or, the local agency, in violation of another specified provision of the act, disapproved a housing development project complying with specified standards and criteria or imposed a condition that the project be developed at a lower density, without making the required findings or without making findings supported by a preponderance of the evidence. The bill would authorize the court to issue an order or judgment directing the local agency to approve the housing development project or emergency shelter if the court finds that the local agency acted in bad faith when it disapproved or conditionally approved the housing development project or emergency shelter in violation of the act.

This bill, upon a determination that the local agency has failed to comply with the order or judgment compelling compliance with these provisions within 60 days, would instead require the court to impose fines, as described above, in every instance in which the court determines that the local agency disapproved, or conditioned approval in a manner that renders infeasible, the housing development project or emergency shelter without making the required findings or without making sufficient findings. The bill would require that the fine be in a minimum amount of $10,000 per housing unit in the housing development project on the date the application was deemed complete. In determining the amount of fine to impose, the bill would require the court to consider the local agency's progress in attaining its target allocation of the regional housing need and any prior violations of the act. The bill would authorize the local agency to instead deposit the fine into a specified state fund, and would also provide that any funds in a local housing trust fund not expended after 5 years would revert to the state and be deposited in that fund, to be used, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for financing newly constructed housing units affordable to extremely low, very low, or low-income households. If the local agency has acted in bad faith and failed to carry out the court's order, as described above, the bill would require the court to multiply the fine by a factor of 5.

This bill would also require that a petition to enforce the act be filed and served no later than 90 days from the later of (a) the effective date of a decision of the local agency imposing conditions on, disapproving, or taking any other final action on a housing development project or (b) the expiration of certain time periods specified in the Permit Streamlining Act.

This bill would allow a party to instead appeal a trial court's order or judgment to the court of appeal pursuant to specified law.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/15/2017

Last Amend: 07/13/2017

Status: 07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.

Department: Housing

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

107. CA AB 879

Author: Grayson (D)

Title: Planning and Zoning: Housing Element

Disposition: Pending

Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 65400, 65583, and 65700 of the Government Code, and to amend Section 50456 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to land use.
Summary:	Requires a county housing element analysis to include requests to develop housing at certain densities and the length of time for approval. Requires this analysis to demonstrate local efforts to remove nongovernmental constraints that create a gap between the locality's planning for the development of housing for all income levels and the construction of that housing. Requires the Department of Housing and Community Development to complete a study to evaluate the local fees charged to new developments.
Digest:	This bill would require that this report additionally include the number of housing development applications received in the prior year, units included in all development applications in the prior year, units approved and disapproved in the prior year, and a listing of sites rezoned to accommodate that portion of the city's or county's share of the regional housing need for each income level that could not be accommodated on specified sites. The bill would additionally require the housing element portion of the annual report to be prepared through the use of standards adopted by the department. The bill would eliminate the requirement that the forms and definitions be adopted by the department pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act and would instead authorize the department to review, adopt, amend, and repeal the standards, forms, or definitions, as provided. The bill would apply the above report requirement to a charter city. This bill would require the analysis of governmental constraints to also include any locally adopted ordinances that directly impact the cost and supply of residential development. The bill would require the analysis of nongovernmental constraints to also include the requests to develop housing at densities below those anticipated in a specified analysis, and the length of time between receiving approval for a housing development and submittal of an application for building permits for that housing development that hinder the construction of a locality's share of the regional housing need. The bill would require the analysisof nongovernmental constraints to demonstrate local efforts to remove nongovernmental constraints that create a gap between the locality's planning for the development of housing for all income levels and the construction of that housing. This bill would require the program to also address and remove nongovernmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing. This bill would additionally require the department, by June 30, 2019, to complete a study to evaluate the reasonableness of local fees charged to new developments, as defined. The bill would require the study to include findings and recommendations regarding potential amendments to the Mitigation Fee Act to substantially reduce fees for residential development.

This bill would incorporate additional changes to Section 65400 of the Government Code proposed by SB 35 to be operative only if this bill and SB 35 are enacted and this bill is enacted last.

This bill would incorporate additional changes to Section 65583 of the Government Code proposed by AB 1397 to be operative only if this bill and AB 1397 are enacted and this bill is enacted last.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/13/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	Housing, Planning
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

. 108 CA AB 1521

Author:	Bloom (D)
Coauthor	Chiu (D) , Wiener (D)
Title:	Land Use: Notice of Proposed Change: Assisted Housing
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 65863.10 and 65863.11 of the Government Code, relating to land use.
Summary:	Requires the owner of an assisted housing development to provide notice of a scheduled expiration of rental restrictions to any prospective tenant. Specifies what injunctive relief may include. Limits the opportunity to purchase certain assisted housing developments to specified agencies and organizations that own and operate comparable rent- and income-restricted affordable rental properties governed by certain regulations.
Digest:	This bill would require the owner of an assisted housing development that is within 3 years of a scheduled expiration of rental restrictions to also provide notice of the scheduled expiration of rental restrictions to any prospective tenant at the time he or she is interviewed for eligibility, and to existing tenants by posting the notice, as specified. The bill would additionally specify that injunctive relief may include, but is not limited to, the reimposition of prior restrictions, as specified, and restitution of rent increases that were collected improperly. The bill would additionally authorize the court to award attorney's fees and costs to a prevailing plaintiff bringing an action for injunctive relief pursuant to these provisions.

This bill would limit the opportunity to purchase the development to those agencies and organizations described above that own and operate at least 3 comparable rent- and income-restricted affordable rental properties governed under a regulatory agreement with a department or agency of the State of California or the United States, either directly or by serving as the managing general partner of limited partnerships or managing member of limited liability corporations.

This bill would revise that requirement by instead requiring specified entities to be certified by the Department of Housing and Community Development, based on demonstrated relevant prior experience in California and current capacity, as capable of operating the housing and related facilities, as specified. The bill would require the department to establish a process for certifying these entities and to maintain a list of entities that are certified, as specified.

This bill would revise the offer an acceptance process described above by requiring the bona fide offer to purchase made by the qualified entity to be at the market value determined by negotiation and agreement between the parties, and if the parties fail to reach an agreement regarding market value, by an appraisal process initiated by the owner's receipt of the bona fide offer, as specified. The bill would prohibit the owner, if the owner has received a bona fide offer to purchase made within 180 days of the owner's notice of the opportunity to submit an offer, from accepting offers from any other entity, and would require the owner to either accept this bona fide offer to purchase or declare to the Department of Housing and Community Development under penalty of perjury that the owner will not sell the property for at least 5 years from the date of the declaration. By expanding the definition of the crime of perjury, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would require an owner who wishes to sell, and who has received a bonafide offer that meets these requirements, to accept the offer and execute a purchase agreement within 90 days of receipt of the offer. The bill would require the owner to take all steps reasonably required to renew any expiring housing assistance contract, or extend any available subsidies or use restrictions, as provided, once a bona fide offer is made.

This bill would additionally require appraisers to be certified by the Department of Housing and Community Development as having sufficient experience in appraising comparable rental properties in California.

This bill would additionally require the department to refer violations of these provisions to the Attorney General, as specified, and monitor compliance with specified provisions by owners of assisted housing developments and to provide a report to the Legislature, as provided.

This bill would additionally authorize a tenant association at the property or any affected public entity to enforce these requirements either in law or in equity. The bill would authorize the court to waive any bond requirement and award attorney's fees and costs to a prevailing plaintiff under these provisions.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/17/2017

Last Amend: 07/13/2017

Status: 07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.

Department: Housing, Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

109. CA AB 1568

Author: [Bloom (D)]

Title: Enhanced Infrastructure Financing Districts

Disposition: Pending

Location: Senate Third Reading File

Code Section: An act to amend Section 53398.59 of, and to add Section 53398.75.5 to, the Government Code, relating to local government.

Summary: Enacts the Neighborhood Infill Finance and Transit Improvements Act, which authorizes a city, county, or city and county to adopt a resolution, at any time before or after the adoption of the infrastructure refinancing plan, to allocate specified tax revenues to the district under specified circumstances. Requires the legislative body of a municipality establishing an enhanced infrastructure financing district that will allocate those revenues.

Digest: This bill would enact the Neighborhood Infill Finance and Transit Improvements Act, which would authorize a city, county, or city and county to adopt a resolution, at any time before or after the adoption of the infrastructure refinancing plan, to allocate specified tax revenues to the district under specified circumstances. This bill would require the legislative body of a city or county establishing an enhanced infrastructure financing district that will allocate those revenues, as described, to adopt an ordinance to establish the procedure by which the city or county will calculate the amountof revenues that will be dedicated to the proposed district.

Introduced: 02/17/2017

Last Amend: 07/19/2017

Status: 07/19/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. To third reading.

Department: Housing, IT, Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

110. CA SB 167

Author: [Skinner (D)]

Coauthor [Beall (D) , Wiener (D) , Bocanegra (D)]

Title: Housing Accountability Act

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Rules Committee

Code Section:	An act to amend Section 65589.5 of the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary:	Requires certain findings of a local agency in relation to approval or disapproval of certain housing projects to be based on a preponderance of the evidence. Requires the court to issue an order compelling compliance with the Housing Accountability Act. Provides for changes to zoning ordinances and general plan land use designations, the consideration of economic, environmental, social and technological factors, certain actions, and related matters.
Digest:	This bill would require the findings of the local agency to instead be based on a preponderance of the evidence in the record. This bill would specify that a change to the zoning ordinance or general plan land use designation subsequent to the date the application was deemed complete does not constitute a valid basis to disapprove or condition approval of the housing development project or emergency shelter. This bill would instead require, with respect to mixed-use developments, that 2/3 of the square footage be designated for residential use. This bill would specify that a housing development project or emergency shelter is deemed consistent, compliant, and in conformity with an applicable plan, program, policy, ordinance, standard, requirement, or other similar provision for purposes of the above-described provisions if there is substantial evidence that would allow a reasonable person to conclude that the housing development project or emergency shelter is consistent, compliant, or in conformity. The bill, if the local agency considers the housing development project to be inconsistent, not in compliance, or not in conformity, would require the local agency to provide the applicant with written documentation identifying the provision or provisions, and an explanation of the reason or reasons it considers the housing development to be inconsistent, not in compliance, or not in conformity within specified time periods. If the local agency fails to provide this documentation, the bill would provide that the housing development project would be deemed consistent, compliant, and in conformity with the applicable plan, program, policy, ordinance, standard, requirement, or other similar provision. By requiring local agencies to provide documentation related to disapprovals of housing development projects, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would entitle a housing organization to reasonable attorney's fees and costs if it is the prevailing party in an action to enforce the act. This bill would additionally require the court to issue an order compelling compliance with the act, as described above, if it finds that either the local agency, in violation of a specified provision of the act, disapproved or conditioned approval of a housing development project in a manner rendering it infeasible for the development of an emergency shelter or certain housing without making the required findings or without making findings supported by a preponderance of the evidence, or, the local agency, in violation of another

specified provision of the act, disapproved a housing development project complying with specified standards and criteria or imposed a condition that the project be developed at a lower density, without making the required findings or without making findings supported by a preponderance of the evidence. The bill would authorize the court to issue an order or judgment directing the local agency to approve the housing developmentproject or emergency shelter if the court finds that the local agency acted in bad faith when it disapproved or conditionally approved the housing developmentproject or emergency shelter in violation of the act.

This bill wouldalso require that a petition to enforce the act be filed and served no later than 90 days from the later of (a) the effective date of a decision of the local agency imposing conditions on, disapproving, or taking any other final action on a housing development project or (b) the expiration of certain time periods specified in the Permit Streamlining Act.

This bill would allow a party to instead appeal a trial court's order or judgment to the court of appeal pursuant to specified law.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced:	01/23/2017	
Last Amend:	07/13/2017	
Status:	07/13/2017	From SENATE Committee on RULES with author's amendments.
	07/13/2017	In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on RULES.
Department:	Housing	
Position:	Watch	
Priority:	StatePriority	

111. CA SB 540

Author:	Roth (D)
Coauthor	Atkins (D)
Title:	Workforce Housing Opportunity Zone
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Rules Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Article 10.10 (commencing with Section 65620) to Chapter 3 of Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code, relating to land use.
Summary:	Authorizes a local government to establish a Workforce Housing Opportunity Zone by preparing an Environmental Impact Report pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act and adopting a specific plan required to include text and a diagram or diagrams containing specified information. Requires certain public hearings. Provides for certain loans.

Digest:	This bill would authorize a local government, as defined, to establish a Workforce Housing Opportunity Zone by preparing an EIR pursuant to CEQA and adopting a specific plan that is required to include text and a diagram or diagrams containing specified information. The bill would require a local government that proposes to adopt a Workforce Housing Opportunity Zone to hold public hearings on the specific plan. The bill would authorize a local government, after a specific plan is adopted and the zone is formed, to impose a specific plan fee upon all persons seeking governmental approvals within the zone. The bill would require a local government to comply with certain requirements when amending the specific plan for the zone, including seeking a new EIR. The bill would authorize a local government to apply for agrant or no-interest loan, or both, from the Department of Housing and Community Development to support its efforts to develop a specific plan and accompanying EIR within the zone. The bill, upon appropriation by the Legislature, would authorize a transfer from the Treasurer to the Department of Housing and Community Development for purposes of issuing grants or loans, or both, pursuant to these provisions. The bill would require a local government, for a period of 5 years after the plan is adopted, to approve a development that satisfies certain criteria, unless the local government makes certain findings regarding the site. The bill would provide that, after the zone is adopted, a lead agency is not required to prepare an EIR or negative declaration for a housing development that occurs within the zone if specified criteria are met. The bill would require a local government to approve a housing development located within the zone that is consistent with the plan and meets specific criteria within 60 days after the application for that development is deemed complete. This bill would require a local government that has formed a Workforce Housing Opportunity Zone to include within this report the number of housing units approved within a zone that complies with specified criteria. The bill would declare that ensuring access to affordable housing is a matter of statewide concern and not a municipal affair.
Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/14/2017
Status:	07/14/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on RULES with author's amendments. 07/14/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on RULES.
Department:	Housing, Planning
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

Attachment B

Priority Legislation – Support – August 2017

1. **CA AB 28**

Author:	Frazier (D)
Coauthor	Baker (R) , Garcia E (D) , Mathis (R) , Fong (R) , Galgiani (D) , Salas (D)
Title:	Department of Transportation: Review: Federal Program
Disposition:	Enacted
Location:	Chaptered
Code Section:	An act to add and repeal Section 820.1 of the Streets and Highways Code, relating to transportation, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately. [Approved by Governor March 29, 2017. Filed with Secretary of State March 29, 2017.]
Summary:	Reinstates the operation of existing law which provided that the state consents to the jurisdiction of the federal courts with regard to the compliance, discharge, or enforcement of responsibilities it assumed as a participant in an interstate surface transportation project delivery pilot program for environmental review. Makes a repeal of that provision on a specified date.
Digest:	This bill would reinstate the operation of the latter provision. The bill would repeal that provision on January 1, 2020. This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.
Introduced:	12/05/2016
Last Amend:	03/02/2017
Status:	03/29/2017 Enrolled. 03/29/2017 Signed by GOVERNOR. 03/29/2017 Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter No. 2017-4
Department:	PW
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

2. **CA AB 74**

Author:	Chiu (D)
Coauthor	Mullin (D) , Berman (D) , Gloria (D) , Bonta (D) , Santiago (D)
Title:	Housing
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Part 14.2 (commencing with Section 53590) to Division 31 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to housing, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.
Summary:	Requires the Department of Housing and Community Development to establish a Housing for a Healthy California Program, and to award grants to certain grant applicants. Provides for interim and long-term rental assistance. Authorizes the department to enter into contracts on a bid or negotiated basis,

	exempt from specified small business procurement, personal service, and public contracting provisions.
Digest:	This bill would require HCD to, on or before October 1, 2018, establish the Housing for a Healthy California Program and on or before April 1, 2019, and every year thereafter, subject to on appropriation by the Legislature, award grants on a competitive basis to eligible grant applicants based on guidelines that HCD would draft, as prescribed, and other requirements. The bill would provide that an applicant is eligible for a grant under the program if the applicant meets specified requirements, including that the applicant identify a source of funding, as specified, agree to contribute funding for interim and long-term rental assistance, and agree to collect and report data, as specified. The bill would require an applicant awarded a grant to use the funds for specified purposes, including long-term rental assistance and interim housing. The bill would provide that a county resident is eligible to receive assistance pursuant to a grant awarded under the program if he or she meets specified requirements, including that the person is homeless, is a Medi-Cal beneficiary, is eligible for Supplemental Security Income, is eligible to receive certain services, and is likely to improve his or her health with supportive services. The bill would provide that the program shall be funded upon appropriation by the Legislature. The bill would also authorize HCD, for purposes of implementing these provisions, to enter into exclusive or nonexclusive contracts on a bid or negotiated basis, exempt from specified small business procurement, personal service, and public contracting provisions, and exempt from the review or approval of any division of the Department of General Services. The bill would exempt the program guidelines created by the department from requirements prescribed for administrative regulations. The bill would require HCD to analyze data collected pursuant to the program, as specified, and by October 1, 2020, and subsequently as the program may be funded, to report program data to certain legislative committees, as specified. The bill would appropriate an unspecified amount from the General Fund to the Department of Housing and Community Development for these purposes. This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as a bill providing for appropriations related to the Budget Bill.
Introduced:	12/16/2016
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.
Department:	Housing
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

3. **CA AB 236**

Author:	Maienschein (R)
Coauthor	Hertzberg (D) , Grayson (D) , Gloria (D) , Acosta (R) , Steinorth (R) , Voepel (R) , McCarty (D) , Mathis (R) , Vidak (R) , Waldron (R) , Chavez (R) , Santiago (D)
Title:	CalWORKs: Housing Assistance

Disposition: Pending
Location: Senate Appropriations Committee
Code Section: An act to amend Section 11450 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to CalWORKs.
Summary: Provides that homeless assistance is available to homeless families that would be eligible for aid under the CalWORK's program but for the fact that the only child or children in the family are in out-of-home placement pursuant to an order of the dependency court, if the family is receiving reunification services and the county determines that homeless assistance is necessary for reunification to occur. Requires reporting on actual costs of nightly shelters and practices for transitioning families.
Digest: This bill would also provide that homeless assistance is available to homeless families that would be eligible for aid under the CalWORKs program but for the fact that the only child or children in the family are in out-of-home placement pursuant to an order of the dependency court, if the family is receiving reunification services and the county determines that homeless assistance is necessary for reunification to occur. The bill would delete the requirement that homeless assistance be used in consecutive calendar days.This bill would also require the department to work with county human services agencies and the County Welfare Directors Association to gather information regarding actual costs of a nightly shelter and best practices for transitioning families from a temporary shelter to a permanent shelter and to provide that information to the Legislature on an annual basis. Because this bill would increase the administrative duties of counties, it would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would, instead, provide that the continuous appropriation would not be made for purposes of implementing the bill.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.
Introduced: 01/30/2017
Last Amend: 06/20/2017
Status: 07/10/2017 In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.
Department: Housing, PAC
Position: Support
Priority: StatePriority

4. **CA AB 390**

Author: Santiago (D)
Coauthor Ting (D)
Title: Pedestrian Crossing Signals
Disposition: Pending
Committee: Senate Appropriations Committee

Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 21456 of the Vehicle Code, relating to pedestrians.
Summary:	Authorizes a pedestrian facing a flashing sign displaying certain messages or images to proceed so long as he or she completes the crossing before the messages or images are displayed steadily.
Digest:	This bill would authorize a pedestrian facing a flashing "DON'T WALK" or "WAIT" or approved "Upraised hand" symbolwith a "countdown" signal to proceed so long as he or she completes the crossing before the display of the steady "DON'T WALK" or "WAIT" or approved "Upraised Hand" symbol. Because the bill would change the definition of a crime, it would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/09/2017
Last Amend:	07/13/2017
Status:	07/13/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	PD, PW
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

5. **CA AB 851**

Author:	Caballero (D)
Title:	Local Agency Contracts
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 20146 and 21162 of, and to add Section 22162.5 to, the Public Contract Code, relating to public contracts.
Summary:	Authorizes the Santa Clara Valley Water District to use the design-build procurement process when contracting for the construction of a building or buildings and improvements directly related to the construction of a building or buildings. Authorizes the utilization of the design-build procurement process by the Santa Clara Valley Water District for the purposes of, among other things, flood protection improvements, habitat restorations or enhancements, and enhancement of surface water facilities.
Digest:	This bill would extend that authorization described above until January 1, 2023.The bill, with certain exceptions, would prohibit a construction manager at-risk entity from being prequalified or shortlisted or awarded a contract unless that entity provides an enforceable commitment to the county that the entity and its subcontractors at every tier will use a skilled and trained workforce to perform all work on the project or contract that falls within an apprenticeable occupation in the building and construction trades, in accordance with existing skilled and trained workforce requirements.

This bill would authorize the Santa Clara Valley Water District to use the design-build procurement process described above when contracting for the construction of a building or buildings and improvements directly related to the construction of a building or buildings. The bill would also authorize the district, upon approval by its governing body, to use the design-build procurement process for other specified projects. By expanding the design-build authorization to additional development projects, the bill would expand the scope of the crime of perjury and would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/10/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	CentralServices, CityAttorney, PW
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

6. **CA AB 1070**

Author:	Gonzalez (D)
Title:	Solar Energy Systems: Contracts: Disclosures
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Sections 7169 and 7170 to the Business and Professions Code, to add Section 1882.7 to the Civil Code, and to add Section 2854.6 to the Public Utilities Code, relating to solar energy systems.
Summary:	Requires the Contractors' State License Board to develop and make available on its Web site a specified disclosure document regarding solar energy system installation, to be provided to a consumer prior to completion of a sale, financing, or lease of a solar energy system. Requires the Department of Consumer Affairs to resolve complaints and questions regarding solar energy systems companies and contractors. Requires the Department to report complaint information on its Web site.
Digest:	This bill would require the board,in collaboration with the Public Utilities Commission, on or before July 1, 2018, to develop and make available on its Internet Web site a disclosure document that provides a consumer with accurate, clear, and concise information regarding the installation of a solar energy system, as specified. The bill would require this disclosure document to be provided by the solar energy systems company to the consumer prior to completion of a sale, financing, or lease of a solar energy system, as defined, and that it, and the contract, be written in the same language as was principally used in the sales presentation and marketing material. The bill would require the department to receive and resolve complaints and consumer questions, and complaints received from state agencies, regarding solar energy systems companies and solar contractors. The bill would require

	the department annually to compile a report documenting complaints it received relating to solar energy systems companies and solar contractors that it shall make available publicly on the department's and the Public Utilities Commission's Internet Web sites. This bill would afford a consumer who enters into a contract for sale, financing, or lease of a solar energy system a period not exceeding 3 days, during which time he or she may cancel the contract for any reason. This bill would require the Public Utilities Commission to develop a standard methodology to be used in the calculation and presentation of electric utility bill savings to a consumer that can be expected by using a solar energy system by vendors, installers, or financing entities and to post the methodology on its Internet Web site. The bill also would require electrical corporations to post the methodology.
Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Building, Electric
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

7. **CA AB 1086**

Author:	Daly (D)
Coauthor	Wiener (D)
Title:	Housing: Regional Housing Needs
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Unfinished Business - Concurrence in Senate Amendments
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 65584, 65584.01, and 65584.05 of the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary:	Requires the population forecast developed by the council of governments to be the basis upon which the Department of Housing and Community Development determines the existing and projected needed for that region if the total regional population forecast for the project year is within certain percent of the total regional population forecast prepared by the Department of Finance. Requires the council of governments to additionally include data on the percentage of renter's households that are overcrowded.
Digest:	This bill would make additional findings regarding the relationship between the shortage of housing and the state's environmental policies. This bill would instead require the population forecast developed by the council of governments to be the basis upon which the department determines the existing and projected need for that region if the total regional population forecast for the project year, developed by the council of governments and

used for the preparation of the regional transportation plan, is within 1.5% of the total regional population forecast prepared by the Department of Finance.

This bill would require the council of governments to additionally include data on the percentage of renters' households that are overcrowded, as specified. By increasing the duties of local officials, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would require that a request for a revised share pursuant to these provisions be consistent with, and not to the detriment of, the development pattern in an applicable sustainable communities strategy.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/16/2017

Last Amend: 07/05/2017

Status: 07/17/2017 In SENATE. Read third time. Passed SENATE. *****To ASSEMBLY for concurrence. (40-0)

Department: Housing

Position: Support

Priority: StatePriority

8. **CA AB 1088**

Author: Eggman (D)

Title: Multifamily Residential Housing: Energy Programs

Disposition: Pending

Committee: Senate Appropriations Committee

Hearing: 08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)

Code Section: An act to add Chapter 7.4 (commencing with Section 25640) to Division 15 of the Public Resources Code, relating to energy.

Summary: Requires the Energy Commission to establish nonbinding statewide goals for reducing energy consumption and emissions of greenhouse gases from multifamily residential properties by a specified date, taking into consideration the state's requirements for reducing emissions of greenhouse gases and the climate equity, doubling of energy efficiency, and increased use of renewable energy resources requirements.

Digest: This bill would require the Energy Commission, by January 1, 2020, and in consultation with relevant state agencies and the public, to establish nonbinding statewide targets that are cost effective and feasible for reducing energy consumption and emissions of greenhouse gases from multifamily residential properties by January 1, 2030, taking into consideration the state's requirements for reducing emissions of greenhouse gases and the climate equity, doubling of energy efficiency, and increased use of renewable energy resources requirements set forth in the Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015. The bill would require the Energy Commission, as part of its ongoing comprehensive program to achieve greater energy savings in

California's existing residential and nonresidential building stock, to consult with relevant entities, including, among others, an expert advisory committee established by the Energy Commission pursuant to the bill. The bill would, pursuant to that consultation, require the Energy Commission to do all of the following: (1) by May 1, 2019, develop statewide strategies and recommendations to better leverage existing and new programs and funding resources to accelerate integrated distributed energy resource, water, and health and safety improvement programs available to multifamily residential properties and low-income multifamily properties to achieve the state's requirements for reducing emissions of greenhouse gases and the climate equity, doubling of energy efficiency, and increased use of renewable energy resources requirements of the Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015, (2) by May 1, 2019, identify best practices from model programs, funding mechanisms, and workforce development strategies, and (3) by January 1, 2020, identify and implement ways to enhance the Energy Upgrade California Web site, or other appropriate statewide Web sites, to create a statewide Web site subcomponent for owners of multifamily residential properties and for residents of multifamily residential properties that identifies applicable distributed energy resource and water programs and points of contact. The bill would require the Energy Commission, in consultation with the expert advisory committee, to report to the Legislature, by January 1, 2019, on the strategies developed pursuant to this requirement along with any recommendations for legislative action that may need to be taken to implement those strategies. The bill would require the Energy Commission, the Department of Housing and Community Development, the PUC, the State Water Resources Control Board, the Department of Community Services and Development, and other relevant entities, to develop strategies by January 1, 2019, for standardized income eligibility verification processes for distributed energy resources and water programs and would require the Energy Commission to include the strategies and progress made in implementing them in its report to the Legislature.

Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric, Housing
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

9. **CA AB 1091**

Author:	Quirk (D)
Title:	Balloons : Electrically Conductive Material
Disposition:	Vetoed
Location:	Vetoed
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 653.1 of the Penal Code, relating to balloons.

Summary:	Amends an existing law which makes it a crime to release balloons made of electrically conductive material and filled with a gas lighter than air as part of a public or civic event, promotional activity, or product advertisement. Requires that the balloon be released willfully, and would deletes the requirement that the balloon be released as part of an event, activity, or advertisement.
Digest:	This bill would require that the balloon be released willfully, and would delete the requirement that the balloon be released as part of a public or civic event, promotional activity, or product advertisement in order to violate the law. By changing the definition of a crime, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	04/18/2017
Status:	07/31/2017 Vetoed by GOVERNOR.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

10. **CA AB 1505**

Author:	Bloom (D)
Coauthor	Mullin (D) , Ting (D) , Gonzalez (D) , Allen (D) , Bradford (D) , Chiu (D) , Gloria (D) , Wiener (D)
Title:	Land Use: Zoning Regulations
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 65850 of the Government Code, relating to land use.
Summary:	Amends the Planning and Zoning Law to authorize the legislative body of a city or county to adopt ordinances to require, as a condition of development of residential rental units, that a development include a certain percentage of residential rental units affordable to, and occupied by, moderate-income, lower income, very low income, or extremely low income households.
Digest:	This bill would additionally authorize the legislative body of any city, county, or city and county to adopt ordinances to require, as a condition of development of residential rental units, that the development include a certain percentage of residential rental units affordable to, and occupied by, moderate-income, lower income, very low income, or extremely low income households, as specified, and would declare the intent of the Legislature in adding this provision. The bill would also make nonsubstantive changes.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/10/2017
Status:	07/11/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.

Department:	Housing, IT, Planning
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

11. **CA SB 78**

Author:	Leyva (D)
Coauthor	Allen (D) , Mendoza (D)
Title:	After School Programs: Grant Amounts
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Education Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Section 8483.73 to the Education Code, relating to after school ~~programs, and making an appropriation therefor.~~ programs.
Summary:	Appropriates a specified sum to the State Department of Education for the After School Education and Safety Program. Requires the annual adjustment of the total program funding for each minimum wage increase.
Digest:	This bill, commencing with the increases to the minimum wage implemented during the 2018-19 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, would, when calculating the total amount to be appropriated for a fiscal year, require the Department of Finance to annually adjust the total program funding amount for each minimum wage increase using a specified calculation. The bill would require the State Department of Education to adjust the maximum grant amounts and related amounts in accordance with the amount provided for the program for the 2017-18 fiscal year. The bill, commencing with the 2018-19 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, would require the State Department of Education to adjust those amounts by the amounts necessary to properly allocate funding increases made to the total program funding amount by the bill.
Introduced:	01/11/2017
Last Amend:	05/26/2017
Status:	06/12/2017 To ASSEMBLY Committee on EDUCATION.
Department:	Parks
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

12. **CA SB 242**

Author:	Skinner (D)
Title:	Property Assessed Clean Energy Program
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to add Chapter 29.1 (commencing with Section 5900) to Part 3 of Division 7 of the Streets and Highways Code, relating to the Property Assessed Clean Energy program.
Summary:	Requires a program administrator that administers a PACE program on behalf of a public agency to comply with certain requirements when approving an assessment contract for the installation of an eligible measure. Prohibits the approval of an assessment contract unless the program administrator make a

good faith determination that the property owner has a reasonable ability to meet annual payment obligations for the contract.

Digest: This bill would prohibit a program administrator from waiving or deferring the first payment on an assessment contract, and would require that a property owner's first assessment payment be due no later than the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which the installation of the efficiency improvement is completed.

The bill would prohibit a contractor or other 3rd party from advertising the availability of an assessment contract that is administered by a program administrator, or from soliciting property owners on behalf of the program administrator, unless specified requirements are met. The bill would prohibit a program administrator from providing direct or indirect cash payments or anything of a material value to a contractor or 3rd party that is in excess of the actual price charged to the property owner for the sale or installation of efficiency improvements financed by an assessment contract, except for reimbursement of expenses, as provided. The bill would also prohibit a program administrator from providing direct or indirect cash payments or anything of a material value to a property owner that is explicitly conditioned upon the property owner entering into the assessment contract. The bill would prohibit a program administrator, contractor, or other 3rd party from making any representation as to the tax deductibility of an assessment contract, unless that representation is consistent with applicable state and federal law. The bill would prohibit a program administrator from providing information that discloses specified information relating to the property owner or the property.

This bill would include findings that the changes proposed by this bill address a matter of statewide concern, and therefore shall apply to all cities and counties, including charter cities.

Introduced: 02/06/2017

Last Amend: 07/13/2017

Status: 07/20/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To third reading.

Department: Electric

Position: Support

Priority: StatePriority

13. **CA SB 277**

Author: Bradford (D)

Coauthor Beall (D)

Title: Land Use: Zoning Regulations

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Third Reading File

Code Section: An act to amend Section 65850 of the Government Code, relating to land use.

Summary: Authorizes the legislative body of any city or county to adopt ordinances to require, as a condition of development of residential rental units, that the development include a certain percentage of residential rental units affordable to, and occupied by, moderate-income, lower income, very low income, or extremely low income households. Requires the ordinance to provide alternative means of compliance.

Digest: This bill would additionally authorize the legislative body of any city or county to adopt ordinances to require, as a condition of development of residential rental units, that the development include a certain percentage of residential rental units affordable to, and occupied by, moderate-income, lower income, very low income, or extremely low income households, as specified. The bill would require the ordinance to provide alternative means of compliance. The bill would also make a nonsubstantive change and legislative findings and declarations.

Introduced: 02/09/2017

Last Amend: 07/13/2017

Status: 07/17/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To third reading.

Department: Housing, Planning

Position: Support

Priority: StatePriority

14. **CA SB 513**

Author: Bradford (D)

Title: Assault and Battery of a Public Utility Worker

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Consent Calendar

Code Section: An act to amend Sections 241 and 243 of the Penal Code, relating to assault and battery.

Summary: Makes assault or battery of a utility worker engaged in the performance of his or her duties, and the person committing the offense knows or reasonably should know that the victim is a utility worker engaged in the performance of the service, punishable by specified penalties.

Digest: This bill would make assault of a utility worker, as defined, engaged in the performance of his or her duties, and the person committing the offense knows or reasonably should know that the victim is a utility worker engaged in the performance of his or her duties, punishable by a fine not exceeding $2,000, or by imprisonment in the county jail not exceeding 6 months, or by both that fine and imprisonment.

This bill would make battery of a utility worker, as defined, engaged in the performance of his or her duties, when the person committing the battery knows or reasonably should know that the victim is a utility worker engaged in the performance of his or her duties, and an injury is inflicted on the utility worker, punishable by a fine of not more than $3,000, by imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding 6 months, or by both that fine and imprisonment.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/10/2017
Status:	07/20/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To Consent Calendar.
Department:	CityAttorney, EU, Electric, PD
Position:	Support
Priority:	StatePriority

Attachment C

Priority Legislation – Oppose – August 2017

1. **CA AB 72**

Author:	Santiago (D)
Coauthor	Mullin (D) , Chiu (D)
Title:	Housing
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 65585 of the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary:	Requires the Department of Housing and Community Development to review any action or failure to act by a municipality that is inconsistent with an adopted housing element or specified provision. Authorizes the Department, after allowing for a local agency response, to revoke findings until it determines that the municipality has come into compliance with the housing element. Requires the Department to notify the municipality and the Office of the Attorney General that it is in violation of state law.
Digest:	This bill would require the department to also review any action or failure to act by the city, county, or city and county that it determines is inconsistent with an adopted housing element or a specified provision and to issue written findings, as specified, whether the action or failure to act substantially complies with the housing element. If the department finds that the action or failure to act by the city, county, or city and county does not substantially comply with the housing element, and if it has issued findings as described above that an amendment to the housing element substantially complies with the housing element, the bill would authorize the department, after allowing no more than 30 days for a local agency response, to revoke its findings until it determines that the city, county, or city and county has come into compliance with the housing element. The bill would also require the department to notify the city, county, or city and county andauthorize the department to notify the Office of the Attorney General that the city, county, or city and county is in violation of state law if the department makes certain findings of noncompliance or a violation.
Introduced:	12/16/2016
Last Amend:	07/12/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	CityAttorney, Housing
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

2. **CA AB 168**

Author:	Eggman (D)
Coauthor	Waldron (R) , Gonzalez (D) , Garcia E (D) , Mathis (R) , Atkins (D) , Garcia (D)
Title:	Employers: Salary Information
Disposition:	Pending

Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to add Section 432.3 to the Labor Code, relating to employers.
Summary:	Prohibits an employer from seeking salary history information about an applicant for employment. Requires an employer, upon reasonable request, to provide the pay scale for a position to an applicant for employment. Applies to all employers, including state and local government employers and the Legislature.
Digest:	This bill would prohibit an employer from seeking salary history information about an applicant for employment and would require an employer, upon reasonable request, to provide the pay scale for a position to an applicant for employment.The bill would apply to all employers, including state and local government employers and the Legislature. The bill would specify that a violation of its provisions would not be subject to the misdemeanor provision.
Introduced:	01/17/2017
Last Amend:	06/06/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	CityAttorney, HR
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

3. **CA AB 199**

Author:	Chu (D)
Coauthor	Thurmond (D)
Title:	Public Works: Private Residential Projects
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 1720 of the Labor Code, relating to public works.
Summary:	Relates to an exemption from specified requirements for public works for private residential projects built on private property. Makes this exemption inapplicable to a project built pursuant to an agreement with a successor agency to a redevelopment agency.
Digest:	This bill would make the above-referenced exemption for private residential projects additionally inapplicable to a project built pursuant to an agreement with a successor agency to a redevelopment agency, as specified. By expanding the scope of a crime to include, among other things, additional officers, agents, or representatives of the state or a political subdivision, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	01/23/2017
Last Amend:	04/06/2017

Status:	07/17/2017	In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.

Department:	DevelopmentSvcs, EconDevelop, Housing, PW
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

4. **CA AB 262**

Author:	Bonta (D)
Coauthor	Bloom (D) , Mullin (D) , Chiu (D) , Galgiani (D) , Eggman (D) , Steinorth (R)
Title:	Public Contracts: Bid Specifications
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend and renumber the heading of Article 5 (commencing with Section 3400) of Chapter 3 of Part 1 of Division 2 of, and to add Article 5 (commencing with Section 3500) to Chapter 3 of Part 1 of Division 2 of, the Public Contract Code, relating to public contracts.
Summary:	Relates to contracting buy certain public entities. Creates the Buy Clean California Act, which would require the Department of General Services to establish, and publish in the State Contracting Manual, a maximum acceptable global warming potential for each category of eligible materials. Requires an awarding authority to require a successful bidder to submit a current Environmental Product Declaration, developed in accordance with specified standards, for certain products.
Digest:	This bill, the Buy Clean California Act, would, by January 1, 2019, require the Department of General Services to establish, and publish in the State Contracting Manual, a maximum acceptable global warming potential for each category of eligible materials, in accordance with requirements set out in the bill. The bill, by January 1, 2022, and every 3 years thereafter, would require the department to review the maximum acceptable global warming potential for each category of eligible materials established, and would authorize the department to adjust that number downward for any eligible material to reflect industry improvements, as provided. The bill, for specified types of contracts entered into on or after July 1, 2019, would require an awarding authority to require a successful bidder to submit a current Environmental Product Declaration, developed in accordance with specified standards, for that type of product. The bill would require an awarding authority to include in a specification for a bid for an eligible project, as defined, that the facility-specific global warming potential for any eligible materials does not exceed the maximum global warming potential for that material determined by the department in accordance with the process described above. The bill would also authorize an awarding authority to include in a specification for bids for an eligible project a facility-specific global warming potential for any eligible material that is lower than the maximum global warming potential for that material as determined by the department in accordance with the process described above. The bill would prohibit a successful bidder from installing any eligible materials on an eligible project

	until that bidder submits an Environmental Product Declaration to the awarding authority for that project. The bill would require an awarding authority, in carrying out its duties under the act, to strive to continuously reduce emissions over time. The bill would define "awarding authority" for these purposes to include state departments and entities subject to the State Contract Act, the Regents of the University of California, and the Trustees of the California State University. The bill, by January 1, 2022, would require the department to submit a report to the Legislature on any obstacles to the implementation of this article, and the effectiveness of this article in reducing global warming potential.
Introduced:	01/31/2017
Last Amend:	07/19/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on APPROPRIATIONS with author's amendments. 07/19/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

5. **CA AB 546**

Author:	Chiu (D)
Title:	Land Use: Local Ordinances: Energy Systems
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Section 65850.8 to the Government Code, relating to local government.
Summary:	Relates to the Planning and Zoning Law. Requires certain cities and counties to make all documentation and forms associated with the permitting of advanced energy storage available on a publicly accessible Web site. Provides for the electronic submittal of permit applications. Authorizes the Governor's Office of Planning and Research to provide guidance on energy storage permitting, including streamlining, best practices, and certain factors for consideration by local government.
Digest:	This bill would, on or before September 30, 2018, for a city,including a charter city, county, or city and county with a population of 200,000 or more residents, or January 31, 2019, for a city,including a charter city, county, or city and county with a population of less than 200,000 residents, require the city, county, or city and county to make all documentation and forms associated with the permitting of advanced energy storage, as defined, available on a publicly accessible Internet Web site, as specified. The bill would require a city, county, or city and county to allow for the electronic submittal of a permit application and associated documentation,except as specified.

The bill would authorize the Governor's Office of Planning and Research to provide guidance on energy storage permitting, including streamlining, best practices, and potential factors for consideration by local government in establishing fees for permitting and inspection, as specified.

This bill would make findings and declarations that implementation of consistent statewide standards to achieve the timely and cost-effective installation of energy storage systems is a matter of statewide concern.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/14/2017

Last Amend: 07/12/2017

Status: 07/12/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.

Department: Building, DevelopmentSvcs, Electric, Planning

Position: Oppose

Priority: StatePriority

6. **CA AB 726**

Author: Holden (D)

Title: Electricity: Natural Gas: Rates: Notification

Disposition: Pending

Committee: Senate Appropriations Committee

Hearing: 08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)

Code Section: An act to add Section 745.5 to the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.

Summary: Requires an electrical or gas corporation to provide a residential customer with a smart meter with energy usage or energy billing notifications at appropriate times, based on the customer's usage of electricity or gas. Requires a corporation to offer the option to receive energy bill alert notifications. Requires a corporation to seek authority from the Public Utilities Commission to be exempted from these requirements when compliance is impractical or infeasible for a category of customers.

Digest: This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/15/2017

Last Amend: 07/18/2017

Status: 07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.

Department: Electric

Position: Oppose

Priority: StatePriority

7. **CA AB 879**

Author:	Grayson (D)
Title:	Planning and Zoning: Housing Element
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Third Reading File
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 65400, 65583, and 65700 of the Government Code, and to amend Section 50456 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to land use.
Summary:	Requires a county housing element analysis to include requests to develop housing at certain densities and the length of time for approval. Requires this analysis to demonstrate local efforts to remove nongovernmental constraints that create a gap between the locality's planning for the development of housing for all income levels and the construction of that housing. Requires the Department of Housing and Community Development to complete a study to evaluate the local fees charged to new developments.
Digest:	This bill would require that this report additionally include the number of housing development applications received in the prior year, units included in all development applications in the prior year, units approved and disapproved in the prior year, and a listing of sites rezoned to accommodate that portion of the city's or county's share of the regional housing need for each income level that could not be accommodated on specified sites. The bill would additionally require the housing element portion of the annual report to be prepared through the use of standards adopted by the department. The bill would eliminate the requirement that the forms and definitions be adopted by the department pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act and would instead authorize the department to review, adopt, amend, and repeal the standards, forms, or definitions, as provided. The bill would apply the above report requirement to a charter city. This bill would require the analysis of governmental constraints to also include any locally adopted ordinances that directly impact the cost and supply of residential development. The bill would require the analysis of nongovernmental constraints to also include the requests to develop housing at densities below those anticipated in a specified analysis, and the length of time between receiving approval for a housing development and submittal of an application for building permits for that housing development that hinder the construction of a locality's share of the regional housing need. The bill would require the analysisof nongovernmental constraints to demonstrate local efforts to remove nongovernmental constraints that create a gap between the locality's planning for the development of housing for all income levels and the construction of that housing. This bill would require the program to also address and remove nongovernmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing. This bill would additionally require the department, by June 30, 2019, to complete a study to evaluate the reasonableness of local fees charged to new developments, as defined. The bill would require the study to include findings

and recommendations regarding potential amendments to the Mitigation Fee Act to substantially reduce fees for residential development.

This bill would incorporate additional changes to Section 65400 of the Government Code proposed by SB 35 to be operative only if this bill and SB 35 are enacted and this bill is enacted last.

This bill would incorporate additional changes to Section 65583 of the Government Code proposed by AB 1397 to be operative only if this bill and AB 1397 are enacted and this bill is enacted last.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/13/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	Housing, Planning
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

8. **CA AB 920**

Author:	Aguiar-Curry (D)
Coauthor	Bradford (D) , Bigelow (R) , Dahle (R) , Eggman (D) , Gallagher (R) , Garcia E (D) , Wood (D) , McGuire (D)
Title:	Electricity: Procurement Plans: Integrated Resource
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections ~~454.51 and 454.52~~ 454.51, 454.52, and 9621 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Amends existing law related to the Public Utilities Commission's regulatory authority over public utilities, including electrical corporations. Specifies that a diverse and balanced portfolio of resources includes an appropriate mix of renewable capacity, including peaking, dispatchable, baseload, firm, and as-available capacity. requires the commission to assess the need for, and benefits of, existing and future renewable baseload generation. Makes certain determinations related to procurement.
Digest:	This bill would specify that a "diverse and balanced portfolio of resources" includes an appropriate mix of renewable capacity, including peaking, dispatchable, baseload, firm, and as-available capacity. The bill would additionally require the PUC to assess the need for, and benefits of, existing and future renewable baseload generation, and determine whether a procurement requirement for renewable baseload generation is necessary to meet the portfolio needs for renewable integration.

This bill would require that an integrated resource plan ensure that the load-serving entity procures a balanced resource portfolio with an appropriate mix ofrenewable capacity, including peaking, dispatchable, baseload, firm, and as-available capacity.

This bill would require the governing board, when reviewing the local publicly owned electric utility's integrated resource plan, to evaluate the mix of resources in the utility's total resource and renewable resource portfolios to ensure balanced portfolios with an appropriate mix of renewable capacity, including peaking, dispatchable, baseload, firm, and as-available capacity. The bill would require the governing board to assess the need for, and benefits of, existing and new renewable baseload generation and consider whether to procure renewable baseload generation for the utility. By placing additional requirements upon local publicly owned electric utilities, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/17/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric, PAC
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

9. **CA AB 1008**

Author:	McCarty (D)
Coauthor	Holden (D) , Weber (D) , Gipson (D) , Reyes (D)
Title:	Employment Discrimination: Prior Criminal History
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Section 12952 to the Government Code, and to repeal Section 432.9 of the Labor Code, relating to employment discrimination.
Summary:	Repeals the prohibition on a state or local agency from asking an applicant for employment to disclosure information regarding criminal conviction; provides that it is an unlawful employment practice under California Fair Employment and Housing for an employer to include on any application for employment any question that seeks the disclosure of an applicant's criminal history, to inquire into or consider the conviction history of an applicant until that applicant has received a conditional offer.
Digest:	This bill would repeal the prohibition on a state or local agency from asking an applicant for employment to disclose information regarding a criminal conviction, as described above. The bill would, instead, provide it is an unlawful employment practice under FEHA for an employerwith 5 or more

employees to include on any application for employment any question that seeks the disclosure of an applicant's criminal history, to inquire into or consider the conviction history of an applicant until that applicant has received a conditional offer, and, when conducting a conviction history background check, to consider, distribute, or disseminate information related tospecified prior arrests, diversions, and convictions.

This bill would also require an employer who intends to deny an applicant a position of employment solely or in part because of the applicant's prior conviction of a crime to make an individualized assessment of whether the applicant's conviction history has a direct and adverse relationship with the specific duties of the job, and to consider certain topics when making that assessment. The bill would require an employerwho makes a preliminary decision to deny employment based on that individualized assessment to provide the applicant written notification of the decision.The bill would require the notification to contain specified information. The bill would grant an applicant5 business days to respond to that notification before the employer may make a final decision. If the applicant notifies the employer in writing that he or she disputes the accuracy of the conviction history and is obtaining evidence to support that assertion, the bill would grant the applicant an additional 5 business days to respond to the notice. The bill would require an employer to consider information submitted by the applicant before making a final decision. The bill would require an employer who has made a final decision to deny employment to the applicant to notify the applicant in writing of specified topics. The bill would exempt specified positions of employment from the provisions of the bill.

Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	CityAttorney, HR
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

10. **CA AB 1223**

Author:	Caballero (D)
Title:	Construction Contract Payments: Web Site Posting
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add Section 10261.7 to the Public Contract Code, relating to public contracts.
Summary:	Requires a state agency that maintains an Internet Web site to post on its Internet Web site the project for which the payment was made, the name of the construction contractor or company paid, the date the payment was made, the payment application number and certain other information. Exempts construction contracts valued below the specified amount and specified

	progress payments published in the California State Contracts Register under existing law.
Digest:	This bill would require, within 10 days of making a construction contract payment, a state agency that maintains an Internet Web site to post on its Internet Web site the project for which the payment was made, the name of the construction contractor or company paid, the date the payment was made, the payment application number or other identifying information, and the amount of the payment. The bill would exempt from these provisions construction contracts valued below $25,000 and specified progress payments published in the California State Contracts Register under existing law.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/05/2017
Status:	07/11/2017 From SENATE Committee on GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (13-0)
Department:	CentralServices, DevelopmentSvcs, EU, Electric, Housing, IT, PW, Parks
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

11. **CA AB 1250**

Author:	Jones-Sawyer (D)
Coauthor	Bonta (D) , Gonzalez (D)
Title:	Counties: Personal Services Contracts
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to add ~~Sections~~ Section 31000.10 ~~and 37103.1~~ to the Government Code, relating to local government.
Summary:	Establishes specific standards for the use of personal services contracts by counties. Requires the county to demonstrate that the proposed contract will result in costs savings to the county and to show that the contract does not cause displacement of county or city workers. Establishes liability provisions for employment law violations and torts committed in the course of providing services under contract. Imposes disclosure requirements on contracts.
Digest:	This bill would establish specific standards for the use of personal services contracts by counties. Beginning January 1, 2018, the bill would allow a county or county agency to contract for personal services currently or customarily performed by employees, as applicable, when specified conditions are met. Among other things, the bill would require the county to clearly demonstrate that the proposed contract will result in actual overall costs savings to the county and also to show that the contract does not cause the displacement of county workers. The bill would require a contract entered into under these provisions to specify that it may be terminated upon material breach, if notice is provided, as specified. Additionally, the bill would require the county to conduct an audit of contracts for personal services in excess of

$100,000 annually to determine whether cost savings have been realized and would require the contractor to reimburse thecounty for the cost of the audit. The billalso would impose additional disclosure requirements for contracts exceeding $100,000 annually. The bill would exempt certain types of contracts from its provisions, and would exempt a cityand county from its provisions. By placing new duties on local government agencies, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The bill also would provide that its provisions are severable.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

Introduced: 02/17/2017

Last Amend: 06/21/2017

Status: 07/19/2017 Withdrawn from SENATE Committee on RULES.
07/19/2017 Re-referred to SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.

Department: HR

Position: Oppose

Priority: StatePriority

12. **CA AB 1405**

Author: Mullin (D)

Title: Electricity: Net-Load Peak

Disposition: Pending

Committee: Senate Appropriations Committee

Hearing: 08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)

Code Section: An act to amend Sections 454.52 and 9621 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to electricity.

Summary: Requires the Public Utilities Commission and the governing boards of local publicly owned utilities, as part of the integrated resource plan process, to consider the role of clean energy technology, demand response, and energy efficiency in helping to ensure that each load-serving entity or local publicly owned electric utility meets net-load peak energy and reliability needs while reducing the need for new electricity generation in achieving the state's energy goals at the least cost to ratepayers.

Digest: This bill would require the commission and the governing boards of local publicly owned electric utilities, as a part of the integrated resource plan process, to consider the role of clean energy technology, demand response, and energy efficiency in helping to ensure that each load-serving entity or local publicly owned electric utility, as applicable, meets net-load peak energy needs and reliability needs while reducing the need for new electricity generationresources and new transmission resources in achieving the state's energy goals at the least cost to ratepayers. Because this bill would impose

	additional duties on local publicly owned electric utilities, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for specified reasons.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/17/2017
Status:	07/17/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

13. **CA AB 1414**

Author:	Friedman (D)
Title:	Solar Energy Systems: Permits
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Hearing:	08/21/2017 10:00 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 801.5 of the Civil Code, and to amend Section 66015 of, and to amend the heading of Chapter 7.5 (commencing with Section 66015) of Division 1 of Title 7 of, the Government Code, relating to solar energy.
Summary:	Revises the definition of solar energy system to specify that a design feature additional includes any photovoltaic device or technology that is integrated into a building. Revises and reduces the maximum permit fees for photovoltaic and thermal energy systems. Authorizes permit fees that exceed certain charges under certain circumstances.
Digest:	This bill would revise the definition of "solar energy system" to specify that a design feature additionally includes any photovoltaic device or technology that is integrated into a building, including, but not limited to, photovoltaic windows, siding, and roofing shingles or tiles. This bill would extend the applicability of the above-described limit on fees to all solar energy systems and would extend the repeal date to January 1, 2025. This bill would revise and reduce the maximum permit fees, as specified, for photovoltaic and thermal systems. This bill would authorize permit fees that exceed these charges if the city, county, city and county, or charter city provides substantial evidence, as part of a written finding and adopted resolution or ordinance, of the reasonable cost to issue the permit. The bill would require the written finding to include consideration of any reduction in permit or inspection costs. By requiring local agencies to perform additional duties for an extended period, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

	This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/20/2017
Status:	07/20/2017 From SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS with author's amendments. 07/20/2017 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Building, Electric, Finance
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

14. **CA AB 1438**

Author:	Assembly Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials Committee
Title:	State Water Resource Control Board
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 100825, 100829, 100837, 100840, 100847, 100850, 100851, 100852, 100862, 100865, 100870, 100872, 100875, 100880, 100885, 100890, 100895, 100907, 116271, 116425, 116540, 116625, 116700, and 116701 of, to add Section 100920.5 to, and to repeal and add Sections 100855, 100910, and 100915 of, the Health and Safety Code, and to amend Section 21080.26 of the Public Resources Code, relating to the State Water Resources Control Board.
Summary:	Amends the Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Act. Updates obsolete references. Authorizes the state board to require an owner of a laboratory under these provisions to provide certain information or records to the state board. Amends the California Safe Drinking Water Act. Authorizes the state board to suspend or revoke a permit if the state board determines that the permittee is in violation of the act.
Digest:	This bill would revise and recast those provisions. The bill would, among other things, update obsolete references under those provisions with regard to the state board and the State Department of Public Health, and would update references to national accreditation and training standards that are applicable to laboratories that are accredited or certified under these provisions. The bill would modify provisions relating to petitions for reconsideration with regard to denials of certain applications for certification or accreditation, as specified. The bill would authorize the state board to require an owner of a laboratory under these provisions to provide certain information or records to the state board, as specified. Because a violation of those provisions would be a crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would also set forth a hearing process with regard to the suspension or revocation of a certification or accreditation issued under these provisions, as specified. The bill would update provisions relating to civil penalties, as specified. This bill would revise and recast these provisions. The bill would instead allow the applicant to petition the state board for reconsideration of, instead of

appealing, a decision or action of the deputy director with regard to issuance of a public water system permit. The bill would set forth a hearing process, including notice, with regard to the suspension, revocation, or temporary suspension of a public water system permit, as specified. The bill would authorize, within 30 days of issuance of specified orders, decisions, or final actions of an officer or employee of the state board, the person subject to the order, decision, or final action to petition the state board for reconsideration.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced:	02/17/2017
Status:	07/10/2017 In SENATE Committee on APPROPRIATIONS: To Suspense File.
Department:	EU
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

15. **CA AB 1667**

Author:	Friedman (D)
Title:	Water Management Planning
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee
Code Section:	~~An act to amend Sections 531.10, 10608.48, 10814, 10820, 10826, 10843, and 10845 of, and to add Section 10826.2 to, the Water Code, relating to water.~~ An act to amend Sections 350, 377, 531.10, 1058.5, 1120, 1831, 10608.20, 10608.48, 10610.2, 10610.4, 10620, 10621, 10630, 10631, 10631.2, 10635, 10640, 10641, 10642, 10644, 10645, 10650, 10651, 10653, 10654, 10656, 10814, 10820, 10826, 10843, and 10845 of, to amend, renumber, and add Sections 10612 and 10617 of, to add Sections 10617.5, 10632.1, 10632.2, 10632.3, and 10826.2 to, to add Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 10609) and Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 10609.7) to Part 2.55 of Division 6 of, to repeal Section 10631.7 of, and to repeal and add Section 10632 of, the Water Code, relating to water.
Summary:	Requires the State Water Resources Control Board to adopt long-term standards for urban water conservation and water use on or before the specified date. Requires the board to adopt performance measures for commercial, industrial, and institutional water use on or before that date. Require an urban water supplier to calculate a water use target beginning the calendar year after the board adopts long-term standards for urban water conservation and water use. Relates to submission of specified information.
Digest:	This bill would require the State Water Resources Control Board, in consultation with the Department of Water Resources, to adopt long-term standards for urban water conservation and water use on or before May 20, 2021. The bill would also require the board, in consultation with the department, to adopt performance measures for commercial, industrial, and institutional water use on or before that date. The bill would authorize a court or public entity to hold a person civilly liable in an amount not to exceed

$10,000 for a violation of a regulation adopted under these provisions, unless the regulation provides otherwise.

The bill would require an urban water supplier to calculate a water use target, as provided, no later than July 1 of each calendar year, beginning the calendar year after the board adopts long-term standards for urban water conservation and water use. The bill would require an urban water supplier to submit an annual report to the department for these purposes by July 1 of each year. The bill would authorize the board to issue information orders, written notices, and conservation orders to an urban water supplier that does not meet its water use target, as specified.

The bill would also authorize the board to issue a regulation or informational order requiring a distributor of a public water supply to submit information relating to water production, water use, or water conservation.

This bill would require the annual report for the prior year to be submitted to the department by April 1 of each year, as provided, and to be organized by basin within the service area of the agricultural water supplier.

This bill would apply these procedures to decisions and orders of the board issued pursuant to the provisions described in paragraph (1), including existing provisions and those added by this bill.

This bill would authorize the board to issue a cease and desist order in response to a violation or threatened violation of any regulation adopted by the board, except as provided.

This bill would require an urban water management plan to be updated on or before July 1, in years ending in 6 and one, incorporating updated and new information from the 5 years preceding the plan update. The bill would require the department to propose to the Governor and the Legislature, on or before August 1, 2020, recommendations and guidance relating to the development and use of countywide drought contingency plans to address drought planning for small water suppliers and rural communities, as provided.

This bill would require an urban water management plan to contain a drought risk assessment, as defined, that examines water shortage risks for a drought lasting the next 5 or more consecutive years.

This bill would require an urban water supplier to prepare, adopt, and periodically review a water shortage contingency plan, as prescribed, and as part of its urban water management plan. The bill would require a water shortage contingency plan to consist of certain elements that are within the authority of the urban water supplier, including, among other things, annual water budget forecast procedures, standard water shortage levels, shortage response actions, and communication protocols and procedures. The bill would require an urban water supplier to make the water shortage contingency plan available to its customers and any city or county within which it provides water supplies no later than 30 days after adoption. The bill would require an urban water supplier to conduct an annual water budget forecast and submit an annual water shortage assessment report to the department with information for anticipated shortage, triggered shortage response actions, compliance and enforcement actions, and communication actions consistent with the supplier's water shortage contingency plan by June 1 of each year. The bill would require an urban water supplier to adhere to the procedures and implement determined shortage response actions in its water shortage contingency plan in drought and water shortage conditions. The bill would authorize the department to update a certain guidebook, as specified.

This bill would extend these provisions to apply to a water shortage contingency plan. The bill would require an urban water supplier regulated by the Public Utilities Commission to include its most recent urban water management plan and water shortage contingency plan as part of its general rate case filings.

The bill would instead require the department to prepare and submit the report about plans adopted pursuant to the act to the Legislature on or before July 1, in the years ending in 7 and 2. The bill would require the department to prepare and submit to the State Water Resources Control Board, on or before June 1 of each year, a report summarizing the submitted water budget forecast results along with appropriate reported water shortage conditions developed by the department and information regarding various shortage response actions implemented as a result of water budget forecast assessments, as prescribed, for the board to determine if noncompliance enforcement is necessary.

This bill would instead require the governing body of a distributor of a public water supply to declare a water shortage emergency condition whenever it finds and determines the above-described circumstances or upon determining a water shortage of 40% or greater exists. The bill would require an urban water supplier to declare a water shortage emergency if either a water shortage of 40% or greater is determined to exist or in the event that a severe catastrophic interruption of the urban water supplier's water supply has occurred. The bill would require an urban water supplier to coordinate with any city or county within which it provides water supply services for a possible proclamation of a local emergency.

This bill would revise the components of the plan and additionally require a plan to include an annual water budget based on the quantification of all inflow and outflow components for the service area of the agricultural water supplier and a drought plan describing the actions of the agricultural water supplier for drought preparedness and management of water supplies and allocations during drought conditions.

The bill would require an agricultural water supplier to update its agricultural water management plan on or before April 1, 2021, and thereafter on or before April 1 in years ending in 6 and in years ending in one. The bill would require an agricultural water supplier to submit its plan to the department no later than 30 days after the adoption of the plan. The bill would require the

	department to review an agricultural water management plan and notify an agricultural water supplier if the department determines that it is noncompliant, as provided. The bill would authorize the department, if it has not received a plan or determined that the plan submitted is noncompliant, to contract with certain entities to prepare or complete a plan on behalf of the agricultural water supplier. The bill would require an agricultural water supplier to submit copies of its plan to specified entities no later than 30 days after the department's review of the plan. The bill would require the department to submit its report summarizing the status of the plans to the Legislature on or before April 30 in years ending in 7 and in years ending in 2.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/03/2017
Status:	07/11/2017 In SENATE Committee on NATURAL RESOURCES AND WATER: Heard, remains in Committee.
Department:	EU
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

16. **CA SB 35**

Author:	Wiener (D)
Coauthor	Caballero (D) , Gloria (D) , Arambula (D) , Allen (D) , Santiago (D) , Gipson (D) , Vidak (R) , Dahle (R) , Bonta (D) , Grayson (D) , Atkins (D)
Title:	Planning and Zoning: Affordable Housing: Approval
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Rules Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 65400 and 65582.1 of, and to add and repeal Section 65913.4 to, the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary:	Amends the Planning and Zoning Law. Requires a planning agency to include in a certain report specified information regarding units of housing that have been issued an entitlement, building permit or certificate of occupancy. Authorizes a development proponent to submit an application for a multifamily housing development that satisfies specified planning objective standards to be subject to a streamlined, ministerial approval process, as provided, and to not be subject to a conditional use permit.
Digest:	This bill wouldrequire the housing element portion of the annual report to be prepared through the use of standards, forms, and definitions adopted by the department. The bill would eliminate the requirement that the forms and definitions be adopted by the department pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act and would instead authorize the department to review, adopt, amend, and repeal the standards, forms, or definitions, as provided. The bill would also require the planning agency to include in its annual report specified information regarding units of net new housing, including rental housing and for-sale housing that have been issued a completed entitlement, building permit, or certificate of occupancy. The bill would also require the Department of Housing and Community Development to post an annual report

submitted pursuant to the requirement described above on its Internet Web site, as provided.

This bill would authorize a development proponent to submit an application for a multifamily housing development which satisfies specified planning objective standards, is subject to a streamlined, ministerial approval process, as provided, and not subject to a conditional use permit. The bill would require a local government to notify the development proponent in writing if the local government determines that the development conflicts with any of those objective standards by a specified time; otherwise, the development is deemed to comply with those standards. The bill would limit the authority of a local government to impose parking standards or requirements on a streamlined development approved pursuant to these provisions, as provided. The bill would provide that if a local government approves a project pursuant to that process, that approval will not expire if that project includes investment in housing affordability, and would otherwise provide that the approval of a project expire automatically after 3 years, unless that project qualifies for a one-time, one-year extension of that approval.The bill would provide that approval pursuant to its provisions would remain valid for three years and remain valid thereafter so long as vertical construction of the development has begun and is in progress, and would authorize a discretionary one-year extension, as provided. The bill would prohibit a local government from adopting any requirement that applies to a project solely or partially on the basis that the project receives ministerial or streamlined approval pursuant to these provisions.The bill would repeal these provisions as of January 1, 2026.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

This bill would incorporate additional changes to Section 65582.1 of the Government Code proposed by AB 73 to be operative only if this bill and AB 73 are enacted and this bill is enacted last.

Introduced: 12/05/2016

Last Amend: 07/14/2017

Status:

07/14/2017	From ASSEMBLY Committee on RULES with author's amendments.
07/14/2017	In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on RULES.

Department: DevelopmentSvcs, EconDevelop, Housing, Planning

Position: Oppose

Priority: StatePriority

17. **CA SB 100**

Author: de Leon (D)

Title: Renewables Portfolio Standards: Biomethane: Emissions

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Appropriations Committee

Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 399.11, 399.15, and 399.30 of, and to add Section 454.53 to, the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Relates to the Renewables Portfolio Standard Program. Requires the Pubic Utilities Commission to establish a renewables portfolio standard requiring retail sellers to procure a minimum quantity of electricity products from eligible renewable energy resources. Establishes procurement requirements. Relates to the use of electric power and natural gas to low-emission vehicles.
Digest:	This bill would revise the above-described legislative findings and declarations to state that the goal of the program is to achieve that 50% renewable resources target by December 31, 2026,and to achieve a 60% target by December 31, 2030. The bill would require that retail sellers and local publicly owned electric utilities procure a minimum quantity of electricity products from eligible renewable energy resources so that the total kilowatthours of those products sold to their retail end-use customers achieve 44% of retail sales by December 31, 2024, 52% by December 31, 2027, and 60% by December 31, 2030. This bill would state that it is the policy of the state that eligible renewable energy resources and zero-carbon resources supply all electricity procured to serve California end-use customersand the State Water Project no later than December 31, 2045.The bill would require that the transition to a zero-carbon electric system for California not increase carbon emissions elsewhere in the western grid and that the transition not allow resource shuffling. The bill would require the PUC, Energy Commission,Department of Water Resources, and state board to incorporate that policy into all relevant planning. The bill would require those entities to utilize programs authorized under existing statutes to achieve that policy and to provide a joint report to the Legislature no later than February 1, 2019, and every 2 years thereafter, that identifies progress and describes remaining transmission, reliability, and other barriers to the full realization of that policy. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for specified reasons.
Introduced:	01/11/2017
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Budget, Electric, PAC
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

18. **CA SB 182**

Author:	Bradford (D)
Title:	Transportation Network Company: Participating Drivers
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Second Reading File

Code Section: An act to add Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 16550) to Part 1 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code, relating to business.

Summary: Prohibits any local jurisdiction, as defined, that requires a driver, as defined, to obtain a business license to operate as a driver for a transportation network company, from requiring that driver to obtain more than a single business license. Requires that personal information submitted to a local jurisdiction for purposes of complying with or enforcing these licensing provisions not be disclosed publicly.

Digest: This bill would prohibit any local jurisdiction, as defined, that requires a driver, as defined, to obtain a business license, as defined, to operate as a driver for a transportation network company, from requiring that driver to obtain more than a single business license, as specified, regardless of the number of local jurisdictions in which the driver operates. The bill would require the driver to obtain a business license in the local jurisdiction in which the driver is domiciled, except as specified. The bill would require each transportation network company to notify its drivers of the obligations set forth in these provisions. The bill would require that personal information submitted to a local jurisdiction for purposes of complying with or enforcing these licensing provisions not be disclosed on a publicly accessible Internet Web site. The bill would declare that its provisions do not preclude the sharing of business license data among local jurisdictions and would make a finding that allowing the free operation of drivers for transportation network companies across local jurisdictions is a matter of statewide concern.

Introduced: 01/24/2017

Last Amend: 06/29/2017

Status: 07/18/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on PRIVACY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION: Do pass as amended. (10-0)

Department: Budget, CityAttorney, Finance

Position: Oppose

Priority: StatePriority

19. **CA SB 338**

Author: Skinner (D)

Coauthor Mullin (D)

Title: Net-Load Peak Energy

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Third Reading File

Code Section: An act to amend Sections 454.52 and 9621 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.

Summary: Requires the Public Utilities Commission and the governing boards of local publicly owned electric utilities to consider, as a part of the integrated resource plan process, the role of clean energy technology and energy efficiency to helping to ensure that each load-serving entity or local publicly owned electric utility meets net-load peak energy needs and reliability needs while reducing the need for new generation and transmission in achieving energy goals at the least cost to ratepayers.

Digest:	This bill would require the commission and the governing boards of local publicly owned electric utilities to consider, as a part of the integrated resource plan process, the role of distributed energy resources in helping to ensure that each load-serving entity or local publicly owned electric utility, as applicable, meets net-load peak energy needs and reliability needs while reducing the need for new electricity generation and new transmission in achieving the state's energy goals at the least cost to ratepayers. Because this bill would impose additional duties on local publicly owned electric utility, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for specified reasons.
Introduced:	02/14/2017
Last Amend:	07/10/2017
Status:	07/20/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time. To third reading.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

20. **CA SB 345**

Author:	Bradford (D)
Title:	Law Enforcement Agencies: Public Records
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Title 4.7 (commencing with Section 13650) to Part 4 of the Penal Code, relating to law enforcement.
Summary:	Requires specified departments and agencies, and each local law enforcement agency, to conspicuously post on their Internet Web sites current standards, policies, practices, operating procedures, and education and training materials, to the extent not prohibited by the California Public Records Act.
Digest:	This bill would, commencing January 1, 2019, require the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control, the Department of the California Highway Patrol, the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Department of Fish and Wildlife, the Department of Justice, the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training, and each local law enforcement agency to conspicuously post on their Internet Web sites all current standards, policies, practices, operating procedures, and education and training materials, to the extent not prohibited by the California Public Records Act. By imposing this requirement on local law enforcement agencies, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.
Introduced:	02/14/2017

Last Amend:	05/26/2017
Status:	07/11/2017 From ASSEMBLY Committee on PUBLIC SAFETY: Do pass to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS. (5-1)
Department:	CityAttorney, HR, IT, PD
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

21. **CA SB 356**

Author:	Skinner (D)
Title:	Energy Data Transparency
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 25402.10 of, and to add Section 25402.14 to, the Public Resources Code, and to amend Section 8380 of, and to add Section ~~323.7~~ 769.6 to, the Public Utilities Code, relating to energy.
Summary:	Requires that certain information be available electronically to the public, including pricing data for electricity, on an Internet Web page. Requires electrical corporations and local publicly owned electric utilities to provide certain pricing data. Requires information concerning operation of the transmission grid to be made available to the public and requires publication of certain electric and gas usage data. Revise covered building to include to be a building with certain number and utility accounts.
Digest:	This bill would require the PUC, by January 15, 2019, to direct each electrical corporation to make available electronically to the public certain information, including, among other things, pricing data for electricity, on the electrical corporation's Internet Web site. The bill would require electrical corporations to provide pricing data electronically to the PUC, as provided, within 3 months after a change in its rates. The bill would require the Independent System Operator to make available electronically to the public certain information regarding the operation of the transmission grid. The bill would require theState EnergyResources Conservation and Development Commission, by January 1, 2019, to develop a system for assigning a global unique identifier for buildings within the state. The bill would require electrical corporations and local publicly owned electric utilities to track the aggregated electricity usage data of all customers in the same building for those buildings within their service territories by the global unique identifier. Because this bill would impose additional duties on local publicly owned electric utilities, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill would authorize the verification of customer consent through an electronic signature authorization process and would specify the types of data eligible for release. This bill would revise "covered building" to include a property with those same numbers and types of accounts.

	This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for specified reasons.
Introduced:	02/14/2017
Last Amend:	07/10/2017
Status:	07/10/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Electric
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

22. **CA SB 520**

Author:	Mitchell (D)
Title:	Electricity: Intervenor Funding
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Part 1.5 (commencing with Section 2600) to Division 1 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to electricity.
Summary:	Amends an existing law which requires the Public Utilities Commission to award certain fees for participation in a hearing or proceeding of the Commission involving an electrical, gas, water, or telephone corporation to customers. Establishes a mechanism to provide compensation for advocate's fees, expert witness fees, and other costs of participation in processes of the Independent System Operator related to proceedings concerning transmission planning, access charge, energy markets, and regionalization.
Digest:	This bill would establish a mechanism to provide compensation for reasonable advocate's fees, reasonable expert witness fees, and other reasonable costs of participation in processes of the Independent System Operator (ISO) related to proceedings concerning transmission planning, the transmission access charge, energy markets, and regionalization. The bill would require an organization intending to seek compensation to submit an annual notice of intent and eligibility to the PUC containing specified information. The PUC would be required to timely issue a finding as to whether the organization is an eligible group, as defined, that may file for compensation. The bill would authorize an eligible group, by March 31 of each year, to file for compensation from an electrical corporation that is a transmission-owning utility, as defined, for participation in eligible proceedings for the prior calendar year. The bill would require the PUC, within 90 days after the filing of the request for compensation or within 60 days after the submission of additional supporting documentation, whichever occurs later, to determine whether the eligible group has productively participated in an eligible proceeding, and if so, to direct that the reasonable costs of participation, including advocate's fees, expert witness fees, and associated costs, as respectively defined, be paid by the electrical corporation from moneys held in trust by the electrical corporation for that purpose. The bill would require that payment be denied to any eligible group that engages in vexatious behavior or attempts to unreasonably obstruct the orderly and timely fulfillment of the responsibilities of the ISO. The bill would require the commission to adopt rules governing the

	intervenor funding program at a publicly noticed meeting offering all interested parties an opportunity to comment. The bill would require PUC, in consultation with the ISO, to report to all relevant policy and fiscal committees of the Legislature 3 years after the program is implemented with sufficient information for the Legislature to determine the effectiveness of the program in achieving greater access and transparency, increasing productive participation, and minimizing negative impacts on ratepayers and the environment.The PUC's authority to award intervenor compensation pursuant to the program would become inoperative 4 years after the program is implemented. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	07/19/2017
Status:	07/19/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	EU, Electric
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

23. **CA SB 649**

Author:	Hueso (D)
Coauthor	Dababneh (D) , Dodd (D) , Quirk (D)
Title:	Wireless Telecommunications Facilities
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to add Sections 65964.2 and 65964.5 to the Government Code, relating to telecommunications.
Summary:	Amends an existing law which provides that a wireless telecommunications collocation facility is subject to a city or county discretionary permit and is required to comply with specified criteria. Provides that a small cell is a permitted use subject only to a specified permitting process adopted by a city or county if the small cell meets specified requirements. Prohibits a city or county from requiring a provider of video services or cable services to obtain any authorization or permit.
Digest:	This bill would provide that a small cell is a permitted use, subject only to a specified permitting process adopted by a city or county, if the small cell meets specified requirements. By imposing new duties on local agencies, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would authorize a city or county to require an encroachment permit or a building permit, and any additional ministerial permits, for a small cell, as specified. The bill would authorize a city or county to charge 3 types of fees: an annual charge for each small cell attached to city or county vertical infrastructure, an annual attachment rate, or a one-time reimbursement fee. The bill would require the city or county to comply with notice and hearing requirements before imposing the annual attachment rate. The bill would require an action or proceeding to

	challenge a fee imposed under the provisions of this bill to be commenced within 120 days of the effective date of the ordinance or resolution. The bill would define the term "small cell" for these purposes. This bill would prohibit a city or county from requiring a provider of video service or cable service to obtain any authorization or permit not described above to provide any communications service that is provided by a holder of a state franchise pursuant to the act. The bill would prohibit a city or county from requiring the holder of a state franchise to pay any tax, fee, assessment, or other charge not authorized by the act, this bill, or other state laws. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/18/2017
Status:	07/18/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	Building, IT, PAC, Planning
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

24. **CA SB 742**

Author:	Moorlach (R)
Coauthor	Wilk (R)
Title:	City Treasurers
Disposition:	Enacted
Location:	Chaptered
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 41001, 41002, 41003, 41004, and 41006 of the Government Code, relating to local government. [Approved by Governor July 17, 2017. Filed with Secretary of State July 17, 2017.]
Summary:	Revises the powers and duties of the city treasurer by deleting gender-specific personal pronouns. Makes other conforming changes. Requires a city treasurer, if the city has issued bonds, to use a specified system of accounting and adhere to specified accounting principles.
Digest:	This bill would revise those powers and duties by deleting gender-specific personal pronouns and by making other conforming changes. This bill would require the city treasurer, if the city has issued bonds, to use a system of accounting and auditing that adheres to generally accepted accounting principles.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	06/19/2017
Status:	07/07/2017 *****To GOVERNOR. 07/17/2017 Signed by GOVERNOR.

07/17/2017 Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter No. 2017-77

Department:	Finance, PAC
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

25. **CA SB 778**

Author:	Hertzberg (D)
Title:	Safe Drinking Water Fund
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Appropriations Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 116682 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to drinking water.
Summary:	Requires the State Water Resources Control Board to track and publish on its Internet Web site an analysis of all voluntary and ordered consolidations of water systems that have occurred on or after a certain date. Requires the published information to include the resulting outcomes of the consolidations and whether the consolidations have succeeded or failed in providing an adequate supply of safe drinking water to the communities served by the consolidated water systems.
Digest:	This bill would require, on or before March 1, 2018,and regularly thereafter, as specified, the state board to track and publish on its Internet Web site an analysis of all voluntary and ordered consolidations of water systems that have occurred on or after July 1, 2014. The bill would require the published information to include the resulting outcomes of the consolidations and whether the consolidations have succeeded or failed in providing an adequate supply of safe drinking water to the communities served by the consolidated water systems.
Introduced:	02/17/2017
Last Amend:	07/13/2017
Status:	07/13/2017 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS.
Department:	EU, Finance
Position:	Oppose
Priority:	StatePriority

Attachment D

Mayor's Advocacy Letters

CITY OF ROSEVILLE CALIFORNIA

City Council
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

June 5, 2017

Assembly Member Bill Quirk, Chair
Assembly Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials Committee
1020 N Street, Room 171
Sacramento, California 95814

Via Fax: (916) 319-2120

Re: SB 623 (Monning): Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund
Position: OPPOSE IN CONCEPT – POTENTIAL STATE WATER TAX (WATER PUBLIC GOODS CHARGE)

Dear Assembly Member Quirk:

As the Mayor of Roseville, I am writing on behalf of the citizens to express our community's opposition in concept to a state water tax (water public goods charge) being levied upon local water utility bills, through a potential future amendment to Senate Bill (SB) 623 (Monning).

According to the Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA), Senator Monning recently stated that he plans to insert language into SB 623 that would establish a "ratepayer assessment" (i.e. a tax or fee) on water as one of two funding sources for the measure. We understand that SB 623 is likely to be referred to Assembly Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials Committee in the coming days.

SB 623 seeks to solve longstanding water quality issues in California to ensure that everyone has safe drinking water in California which we believe is a critically important issue that does need to be addressed and we appreciate Senator Monning's work to help seek and fund solutions in this regard.

To be clear, the City of Roseville's concern is not on the intent of the proposed Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund, but concern on how the proposed Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund could be funded.

Any ratepayer assessment (tax or public goods charge) language would make SB 623 completely unacceptable and would unfortunately move the City of Roseville to oppose SB 623, if such a future amendment is accepted.

Instead of a broadly applied tax or public goods charge on water utility ratepayers, we believe that there are other more appropriate funding alternatives that should be explored to help solve safe drinking water issues in California such as voter-approved water bonds, private donations and gifts (as per current language in SB 623) as well as re-prioritizing existing state funding to develop solutions for longstanding safe drinking water issues in California.

Again, we are deeply concerned about Senator Monning's recent statements to ACWA staff, and are opposed in concept to any state "ratepayer assessment" (i.e. a tax or fee) on local water utility bills.

Please contact Public Affairs Administrator, Sean Bigley at (916)-706-9580 or at sbigley@roseville.ca.us. if you or your staff have any questions.

Sincerely,

Susan Rohan

Susan Rohan,
Mayor

cc:
Assemblymember Brian Dahle, Vice Chair, Assembly Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials Committee
Honorable Members of the Assembly Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials Committee
The Honorable Bill Monning, California State Senate
The Honorable Jim Nielsen, California State Senate
The Honorable Kevin Kiley, California State Assembly
Roseville City Council
Rob Jensen, Roseville City Manager
Chief Consultant Josh Tooker, Assembly Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials Committee
John Woodling, Executive Director, Regional Water Authority
Jason Gonsalves, Joe A. Gonsalves and Son

CITY OF ROSEVILLE CALIFORNIA

City Council
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

June 12, 2017

The Honorable Senator Robert M. Hertzberg
California State Capitol, Room 4038
Sacramento, CA 95814

Via FAX: (916) 651-4918

RE: SB 231 (Hertzberg): Local Agency Funding for Stormwater Programs and Projects - Support

Dear Senator Hertzberg,

As the Mayor of Roseville, I am writing on behalf of our community to express our support of SB 231 (Hertzberg) which advances the development of tools that cities, counties, and other local agencies have to finance projects to locally manage stormwater and fund local water quality programs, as required by state and federal law.

Many local governments in California, such as Roseville, are legally required to proactively manage stormwater systems within our jurisdictions. This is done through both programs that promote best management practices and the development of infrastructure that helps manage both flood risks as well as keep our local waterways clean.

Yet, local agencies are limited in the funding sources available to manage storm and flood waters, with the most common source being local general funds. These are the same general funds that provide police, fire, parks and other public works services that are critical to a safe and well-maintained city, and are expected by our citizens.

As a result, local governments are often underfunded and face financial constraints on stormwater programs and projects needed to manage storm and flood waters in our communities.

SB 231 is an important step toward smarter management of stormwater as an integral part of both sewer and water systems by clarifying the statutory authority of cities, counties, and local water agencies to finance stormwater programs and projects.

SB 231 defines "sewer" to include storm drainage, conforming to an existing 25-year-old definition in the California Public Utilities Code and encourages the courts to adopt this definition. This clarification, if adopted by the courts, may help in the development additional funding tools that are more financially sustainable, which local governments may choose to implement, in-lieu of reliance upon local general funds.

The City of Roseville thanks you for maintaining the strict transparency and accountability requirements in Proposition 218, which ensure that local fees be used only for the purposes for which they were collected and only in amounts necessary to meet local needs.

For these reasons, the City of Roseville supports SB 231. Please contact Public Affairs Administrator Sean Bigley at (916) 774-5513 or at sbigley@roseville.ca.us. if you have questions or would like to discuss the City's position further.

Sincerely,

Susan Rohan

Susan Rohan,
Mayor

cc:
The Honorable Jim Nielsen, California State Senate
The Honorable Kevin Kiley, California State Assembly
Roseville City Council
Rob Jensen, Roseville City Manager
John Woodling, Executive Director, Regional Water Authority
Jason Gonsalves, Joe A. Gonsalves and Son

CITY OF ROSEVILLE
CALIFORNIA

City Council
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

June 20, 2017

The Honorable Jim Nielsen
State Capitol, Room 4062
Sacramento, CA 95814

Via FAX: (916) 651-4904

RE: SB 506 (Nielsen) Department of Fish and Wildlife: Lake or Streambed - Notice of Support

Dear Senator Nielsen,

As the Mayor of Roseville, I am writing to express our support of your SB 506, which would require the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) to upgrade the information on its Internet Web site regarding lake or streambed alteration agreements, to update its "Frequently Asked Questions" document and other appropriate sources of information regarding the lake and streambed alteration program, and to provide guidance on its Internet Web site to facilitate members of the public in obtaining individualized guidance regarding the lake and streambed alteration program.

Roseville, along with others in the region have a growing concern regarding recent interpretations of the administration of the Lake and Streambed Alteration Program governed by Fish and Game Code sections 1600-16171 by CDFW staff. The interpretations by CDFW staff are negatively impacting current development projects and we are concerned that they will have similar implications to development projects in the future. SB 506 would help developers and cities understand the lake and streambed alteration program in greater detail thereby hopefully removing some of the uncertainty that currently exists.

Roseville is also concerned that Department staff are not implementing clear and consistent direction for mitigation of project impacts to jurisdictional streams and we are optimistic that your bill would help to bring clarity to this increasingly troubling concern.

It is for these reasons that the City of Roseville supports SB 506. Please contact Mark Wolinski, Government Relations Administrator at (916) 774-5179 or mwolinski@roseville.ca.us if you have questions regarding the City's position.

Sincerely,

Susan Rohan

Susan Rohan,
Mayor

cc: Senator Jim Nielsen
Assembly Member Kevin Kiley
Charles Anderson, Regional Public Affairs Manager (canderson@cacities.org)
Jason Gonsalves, Joe A. Gonsalves and Son

CITY OF ROSEVILLE CALIFORNIA

City Council
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

June 21, 2017

The Honorable Jerry Hill
California State Senate
Committee on Business, Professions &
Economic Development
State Capitol, Room 2053
Sacramento, CA 95814

Via FAX: (916) 266-9343

RE: AB 1070 (Gonzalez Fletcher) - Solar Energy Systems: Contracts: Disclosures - Notice of SUPPORT

Dear Senator Hill:
As the Mayor of Roseville, I am writing to express our support of AB 1070. This bill will assist in protecting Roseville residents and businesses allowing them to make informed decisions prior to investing in a solar energy system.

Roseville has a long history of supporting programs that promote renewable energy, and has some of the highest penetration of rooftop solar within the United States. In fact, the Smart Electric Power Alliance has consistently ranked Roseville Electric Utility in the top 10 for rooftop solar.

This bill creates the Solar Energy System Disclosure Document, a document that would be developed by the Contractors' State License Board, and made available on its internet website. This bill requires that this disclosure document be made available to a consumer prior to completion of a sale, financing, or lease of a solar energy system. In addition, it provides the customer with a 3-day right to cancel after signing a contract.

The provisions in this bill ensure customers of solar energy systems receive the "full picture" of their potential investment. Ensuring that solar customers are well informed prior to making this type of investment is good public policy. It is also consistent with existing laws and programs for other industries, (i.e. federal law requires the "Monroney sticker" a label required to be displayed in all new automobiles listing specific information about the vehicle).

We appreciate the author's resolve to help inform customers as they seek investment in a solar energy system. Roseville will continue to support the best interests of our constituents and therefore we support AB 1070.

If you have questions or would like to discuss further please contact Chris Romero, Roseville Electric Utility, at (916) 746-1660 or cromero@roseville.ca.us.

Sincerely,

Susan Rohan

Susan Rohan,
Mayor

916.774.5362 • *Fax* • 916.774.5485 *TDD* 916.774.5220 • citycouncil@roseville.ca.us • www.roseville.ca.us

cc:

Honorable Members of the Senate Business, Professions & Economic Development Committee
The Honorable Lorena Gonzalez Fletcher, California State Assembly
The Honorable Jim Nielsen, California State Senate
The Honorable Kevin Kiley, California State Assembly
Jason Gonsalves, Joe A. Gonsalves and Son

CITY OF ROSEVILLE CALIFORNIA

City Council
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

June 27, 2017

The Honorable Scott Wiener
California State Senate
State Capitol Building, Room 4066
Sacramento, CA 95814

Via FAX: (916)-651-4911

RE: SB 35 (Wiener) Affordable Housing: Streamlined Approval Process.
Notice of Opposition *(as amended 6/20/17)*

Dear Senator Wiener:
As the Mayor of Roseville, I am writing to express our community's opposition to your Senate Bill 35, which would pre-empt local discretionary land use authority by making approvals of multifamily developments and accessory dwelling units (ADUs) that meet inadequate criteria, "ministerial" actions. In addition, the bill would require production standards associated with the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA), and would penalize jurisdictions that do not meet those production standards regardless of whether they are a high producer of housing.

Specific concerns regarding the bill include:

- The creation of additional unfunded state mandates.
- The requirement that local jurisdictions produce actual housing units to meet the RHNA. The City of Roseville would need to build 500 units a year of low or very low income units to meet its RHNA goal. This is an unattainable goal since funding is not available to public agencies to construct affordable units. The City has no control on when the private market place will chose to construct affordable housing products on land previously entitled by the City.
- When production standards are not met, it would push Roseville into a 4-year Housing Element cycle instead of an 8-year cycle. This would require City resources that could be used on other supportive housing efforts, such as our voucher programs.
- If approved, future projects would require prevailing wage. This additional cost without a funding resource, such as past redevelopment funds, will make financing these projects infeasible.
- The bill increases the City's risk to lawsuits if it is not able to comply with adopted state legislation, and will jeopardize the development community's ability to build market rate and affordable housing units.

SB 35 is devised as a solution to meet the state's needs for market rate and affordable housing, but it treats all jurisdictions the same, whether they are a high producer of housing or not. In addition, this bill disproportionately penalizes pro-growth communities such as Roseville with an unattainable goal.

The City of Roseville is a ***high producer of affordable housing*** for a variety of income levels. Over the past several years we have issued between 900 to 1,000 single family building permits per year. Over the coming fiscal year we anticipate the same level of single family residential development and the construction of two new high density apartment projects totaling 696 units. We have had success in zoning for affordable housing and in construction of affordable units. All residential rezones require ten percent of new units to be affordable housing units. Since the implementation of this affordable housing policy, established in 1992, the City has produced

2,867 affordable housing units in the Roseville Affordable Housing Program. By thoughtfully integrating affordable housing into the community, we have gained support for projects when they come forward and have built trust within our community through the very public process these projects are required to pass through.

In addition, Roseville has used the specific plan process to allow certain parcels to have a built-in streamlined review. By way of example, The Riverside Specific Plan and the Downtown Specific Plan are designated catalyst sites. If projects come forward that meet the development standards and design guidelines defined within the plans; they are approved by right. The process that was used to create both plans involved public participation in the process, but at the same time it gave developers assurance that their projects could be built. This is a much better model than one that would eliminate the community from the process.

Allowing construction by right without design review will only increase public opposition and erode our community's acceptance for these projects. The City has developed design standards that were developed in conjunction with the community and established administrative approval processes that insure the community obtains a quality product by meeting these standards. In several cases, these approvals have taken 45 days or less for approval of the requested entitlements. In the City of Roseville's experience, meeting the design expectations of the community provides for better project acceptance.

Eliminating opportunities for public review of these types of major development projects goes against the principles of local democracy and public engagement. Two principles our City holds in extremely high regard. While it may be frustrating for some developers to hear concerns about traffic, parking and other development impacts, those affected by such projects have a right to be heard. Not having such outlets will only increase public distrust in government.

Since 2004, the City of Roseville has approved approximately 20,000 residential units. Approximately 14,000 units remain to be constructed. The City has no control over when the private market will choose to build the remaining units. These units are subject to market factors, financing and funding constraints by lenders that the City does not control. Furthermore, SB 35 does not recognize market conditions such as downturns in housing markets and the reality that state and federal affordable housing funding have slowed to a trickle. More than $1 billion annually in affordable housing money has evaporated with the elimination of redevelopment agencies in 2011. Funds from the 2006 state housing bond have been exhausted and federal dollars have been declining for decades. This massive withdraw of resources has contributed to the current challenges, yet no significant source of ongoing affordable housing funding is on the horizon.

At a minimum, we ask that the bill be revised to exempt pro-growth communities such as ours, which are providing our fair share of a variety of housing products.

For these reasons, the City of Roseville opposes SB 35. Please contact Kathy Pease, Planning Manager at (916) 774-5276 or kpease@roseville.ca.us

Sincerely,

Susan Rohan

Susan Rohan,
Mayor

cc: Senator Jim Nielson
Assemblyman Kevin Kiley
Dan Carrigg, League of California Cities
Jason Gonsalves, Joe A. Gonsalves and Son

CITY OF ROSEVILLE CALIFORNIA

City Council
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

July 7, 2017

The Honorable Robert Hertzberg, Chair
Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee, Chair
State Capitol, Room 5046
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Opposition Unless Amended on AB 1667 (Friedman),
(As Amended on 7/03/17)

Dear Senator Hertzberg:

As the Mayor of Roseville, I am writing on behalf of our community to express our opposition to AB 1667 (Friedman), as amended on July 3, 2017. The City of Roseville is a full service city that delivers potable water to over 40,000 residential and commercial customers Roseville also annually serves in excess of 1 billion gallons of recycled water (over 3,000 acre-feet) to irrigate 25 percent of Roseville's parks and over 115 sites in the city including street medians and golf courses.

Roseville has taken great pride in years of water resources management planning that prepared us well to weather the past drought, with a combination of planned and sustained water efficiency improvements coupled with strategic investments in a drought resilient, diverse, water supply.

While we support "Making Water Use Efficiency a California Way of Life," we believe continuing the command and control approach, by state regulatory agencies, is not in the best interest of making California more resilient and better prepared for future droughts. A balanced approach is needed that builds on the success of SB 7x7 (Steinberg) and accounts for lessons learned during this past drought.

As amended, AB 1667, would grant the State Water Board (Water Board) with unprecedented permanent authority to limit water use into the future, regardless of local water supply conditions and investments in drought preparedness. We believe that the target setting authority should remain with the legislature, as in SB 7x7. And any future recommendations for refinement/revisions should be adopted through the deliberative legislative process.

While we would like to see multiple compliance options, as was provided in SB 7x7, we are supportive of the agency-level water budget approach as defined in the State Agencies' framework, so long as water agencies are provided validated parcel level data and there are variances that truly address the "unique conditions" of different regions throughout the state, as called for in the Governor's Executive Order.

AB 1667 additionally includes provisions that are excessively punitive, such as giving the Water Board cease and desist authority. Enforcement must be focused on assisting water agencies comply with the proposed statute and regulations, and should not be enforceable until after the compliance date.

With respect to recycled water, we appreciated the credit provided in the proposed Water Code section 10609.1(f)(1) in AB 1667; however, we believe that that proposed 10 percent credit cap for potable water reuse in Water Code section 10609.1(f)(3) is arbitrary and will serve as a disincentive to local agencies to further invest in the advanced use of ready available recycled water. Consistent with the California Water Action Plan, we believe that credit cap for potable water reuse needs to be removed from AB 1667.

Lastly, AB 1667 makes vulnerable current water rights. Language needs to be included that protects the full exercise of water rights.

For these reasons, the City of Roseville has taken an Oppose Unless Amended position on AB 1667, and respectfully requests your "NO" vote when the bills are heard in the Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee on July 11, 2017. We are ready to continue working with the Legislature to develop a proposal that will result in a California that is more resilient and better prepared to meet any future drought.

Please contact Sean Bigley at 916-774-5513 or sbigley@roseville.ca.us. if you or your staff have any questions.

Sincerely,

Susan Rohan

Susan Rohan,
Mayor

cc: The Honorable Laura Friedman
Senator Jim Nielsen
Assembly Member Kevin Kiley
Jason Gonsalves, Joe A. Gonsalves and Son

CITY OF ROSEVILLE CALIFORNIA

City Council
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

July 7, 2017

Honorable Ben Hueso, Chair
Senate Energy Committee
State Capitol, Room 4035
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: AB 1405 – (Mullin) Net-Load Peak: Integrated Resource Plan - OPPOSE

Dear Chairman Hueso:

As the Mayor of Roseville, I am writing to express our opposition to AB 1405 (Mullin), Although local publicly owned electric utilities (POUs) are already mandated to adopt an integrated resource plan, this bill would mandate additional policies and procedures, including new targets for clean energy technology, energy efficiency and demand response, into our integrated resource plan procedures.

As part of compliance with Senate Bill 350 (de Leon, 2015), Roseville Electric Utility and many other publicly owned utilities are already required to submit an integrated resource plan by the year 2019 that must be updated every five years. The plan requires POUs to explore the best approaches to implement the state's greenhouse gas emission reduction goals. Our utilities must also meet a 50% renewable resource portfolio by 2030, with proposed legislation that would further accelerating these goals.

In addition to AB 1405, a similar net-peak load bill is being proposed in SB 338 (Skinner). SB 338 states a POU's governing board shall "consider establishing" new policies and procedures into the integrated resource plan. This bill does not require the same mandates for POUs as stated in AB 1405, and therefore allows POUs more flexibility and local control over their integrated resource plans.

AB 1405 does not allow the same flexibility for POUs to consider with regards to their integrated resource plan process and it is for this reason we are opposed to AB 1405.

If you have questions or would like to discuss further please contact Chris Romero, Roseville Electric Utility, at (916) 746-1660 or cromero@roseville.ca.us.

Sincerely,

Susan Rohan

Susan Rohan,
Mayor

cc:

Assembly Member Kevin Mullin
Honorable Members of the Senate Energy Committee
Senator Jim Nielsen, California State Senate
Assembly Member Kevin Kiley, California State Assembly
Senate Republican Caucus
Jason Gonsalves, Joe A. Gonsalves and Son

CITY OF ROSEVILLE CALIFORNIA

City Council
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

July 17, 2017

Assemblyman James Gallagher
P.O. Box 942849
Sacramento, CA 94249-0003

Via FAX: (916) 319-2103

RE: SUPPORT FOR AB 947 (GALLAGHER)

Dear Assemblyman Gallagher:

I am writing as the Mayor of Roseville to express our community's support of **Assembly Bill 947**, which helps ensure consistency in the enforcement of the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) Lake and Streambed Alteration (LSA) Program.

The Department has recently started to stray from the established process for determining what constitutes a "bed, channel, or bank" for the purposes of determining scope under §1602 of the Fish and Game Code. CDFW has used "Mapping Episodic Stream Activity" (MESA), a report prepared for the California Energy Commission, as well an internal technical report titled "A Review of Stream Processes and Forms in Dryland Watersheds" when determining its jurisdiction under §1602. These reports were not widely published or discussed in the scientific community, nor were they subject to public comment or review, yet they drastically expanded the Department's authority to desert environments where there is some evidence of "flow" as well as any other area that could have had flow at some period in the last couple of centuries.

The Department has notified its regions that using the MESA report as basis for enforcement is not required, but left the door open for regulators to use the report if they choose. In fact, regulators have already prosecuted individuals for altering lands that were never considered part of a bed, bank, or channel under the established definition. This is problematic because to ensure the LSA Program functions as intended and continues to effectively protect our fishery resources, it is important that people know what the law requires.

Roseville is concerned that CDFW staffs reliance on non-regulatory, technical sources, without any reference to the statutory and regulatory provisions that govern the Streambed Alteration Program, leads to ad hoc, inconsistent and unpredictable jurisdictional determinations that impact development and the economic health of our city.

AB 947 codifies established standards by defining "river" and "stream" and reinforces legislative intent regarding the program's scope by ensuring the terms "bed", "bank", and "channel" are consistent with existing case law. This will ensure consistent enforcement while giving more clarification on if a LSA agreement is required for planned activities.

For these reasons the City of Roseville enthusiastically supports AB 947. Should you have any questions regarding our position, please do not hesitate to contact Mark Wolinski, Government Relations Administrator at (916 774-5179 or mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Sincerely,

Susan Rohan

Susan Rohan,
Mayor

Cc: Senator Jim Nielsen
Assembly Member Kevin Kiley
Jason Gonsalves, Joe A. Gonsalves and Son

CITY OF ROSEVILLE CALIFORNIA

ROCKLIN CALIFORNIA

City of Lincoln
Live. Life. Lincoln

July 17, 2017

The Honorable Scott Wiener
California State Senate
State Capitol Building, Room 4066
Sacramento, CA 95814

VIA FAX: (916)-651-4911

**RE: SB 35 (Wiener) Affordable Housing: Streamlined Approval Process.
Notice of Opposition *(as amended 7/14/2017)***

Dear Senator Wiener:

The Cities of Roseville, Lincoln and Rocklin are opposed to Senate Bill 35 (Wiener), which would pre-empt local discretionary land use authority by making approvals of multifamily developments and accessory dwelling units (ADUs) that meet inadequate criteria, "ministerial" actions. In addition, the bill would require production standards associated with the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA), and would penalize jurisdictions that do not meet those production standards regardless of whether they are a high producer of housing or not.

Specific concerns regarding the bill include:

- This creates additional unfunded state mandates, including increased reporting requirements.
- This bill would require local jurisdictions to produce actual housing units to meet the RHNA. This is an unattainable goal since funding is not available to public agencies to construct affordable units. Our cities have no control on when the private market place will chose to construct affordable housing products on land that is entitled.
- When production standards are not met, it would push jurisdictions into a 4-year Housing Element cycle, instead of an 8-year cycle. This would require additional resources our cities could use on other supportive housing efforts, such as voucher programs.
- If approved, future projects would require prevailing wage. This approach seems counter to the goal of creating affordable housing by directly increasing construction costs, not reducing them. This additional cost without a funding resource, such as past redevelopment funds, will make financing these projects infeasible.

- The bill increases local jurisdictions risks to lawsuits by not being able to comply with adopted state legislation, and jeopardize the development community's ability to build market rate and affordable housing units.

SB 35 is devised as a solution to meet the state's needs for market rate and affordable housing, but it treats all jurisdictions the same, whether they are a high producer of housing or not. In addition, this bill disproportionately penalizes inland communities such as Roseville, Lincoln and Rocklin with an unattainable goal.

Our jurisdictions are ***high producers of affordable housing*** for a variety of income levels. We have had success in approving zoning and entitlements for affordable housing and in construction of affordable units. By thoughtfully integrating affordable housing into our communities, we have created very desirable affordable locations for our residents to live. As a result, we have gained support for projects when they come forward and have built trust within our communities through the very public process these projects are required to pass through.

Eliminating opportunities for public review of these types of major development projects goes against the principles of local democracy and public engagement. While it may be frustrating for some developers to hear concerns about traffic, parking and other development impacts, those affected by such projects have a right to be heard. Eliminating these opportunities will only increase public distrust in government.

This bill does not recognize market conditions such as downturns in housing markets and the reality that state and federal affordable housing funding have slowed to a trickle. More than $1 billion annually in affordable housing money has evaporated with the elimination of redevelopment agencies in 2011. Funds from the 2006 state housing bond are exhausted and federal dollars have been declining for decades. This massive withdraw of resources has contributed to the current challenges, yet no significant source of ongoing affordable housing funding is on the horizon.

At a minimum, we ask that the bill be revised to exempt pro-growth communities such as ours, which are providing our fair share of a variety of housing products.

For these reasons, the Cities of Roseville, Rocklin and Lincoln oppose SB 35.

Sincerely,

Rob Jensen
City of Roseville

Matthew Brower, City Manager
City of Lincoln

cc: Senator Jim Nielson
Assemblyman Kevin Kiley
Dan Carrigg, League of California Cities