



AGENDA

March 28, 2018

LAW & REGULATION COMMITTEE MEETING

5:30 p.m.

City Council Chambers
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California

- 1. CALL TO ORDER**
- 2. ROLL CALL**
- 3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
- 4. PUBLIC COMMENTS**

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC

Persons may address the City Council on items not on this agenda. Please complete a "Speaker Information Card" and present it to the City Clerk prior to the start of the meeting. Speakers shall restrict their comments to issues that are within the subject jurisdiction of the City Council and limit their comments to three (3) minutes per person. The total time allocated for Public Comment is 25 minutes. The Brown Act, with certain exceptions, does not permit the City Council to discuss or take action on issues that are not listed on the agenda.

5. MINUTES

5.1. Minutes of Prior Meetings

Memo from City Clerk Sonia Orozco recommending the Committee approve the minutes of the February 28, 2018 City Council Law & Regulation Committee meeting.

CC #: 9145

File #: 0103-32-01

CONTACT: Sonia Orozco 916-774-5263 sorozco@roseville.ca.us

6. REPORTS/COMMENTS/COMMITTEE/STAFF

6.1. Electric Legislative Update - March 2018

Memo from Government Relations Analyst Chris Romero and Electric Utility Director Michelle Bertolino with a priority list of legislation for Roseville

Electric.

CC #: 9146

File #: 0103-32-01

CONTACT: Chris Romero 916-746-1660 cromero@roseville.ca.us

6.2. List of Priority Legislation – March 2018

Memo from Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski and Public Affairs & Communications Director Megan MacPherson with a list of priority legislation of 2018 which includes 46 bills. As the legislative session progresses, staff will continue to bring the revised priority list back to the Law & Regulation Committee to provide updates and to receive the Committee's input on bills of particular importance.

CC #: 9144

File #: 0103-32-01

CONTACT: Mark Wolinski 916-774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

6.3. Senate Bill 1 Repeal Initiative, and Proposition 69 Ballot Measure

The repeal of Senate Bill 1, which was signed into law last year, and Proposition 69, which is on the June ballot, would have significant current and future implications concerning transportation funding. Staff requests the Committee's input and direction regarding bringing the item to the full City Council for their consideration.

CC #: 9143

File #: 0103-32-01

CONTACT: Mark Wolinski 916-774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

7. ADJOURNMENT



CITY COUNCIL Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 9145
File #: 0103-32-01

Title: Minutes of Prior Meetings
Contact: Sonia Orozco 916-774-5263 sorozco@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 3/28/2018
Item #: 5.1.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

Approve the minutes of the February 28, 2018 City Council Law & Regulation Committee meeting.

BACKGROUND

Not applicable.

FISCAL IMPACT

Not applicable.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

Not applicable.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Not applicable.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sonia Orozco, City Clerk

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Sonia Orozco", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Rob Jensen, City Manager

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

February 28, 2018 Minutes



MINUTES
February 28, 2018

LAW & REGULATION COMMITTEE MEETING

5:30 p.m.

City Council Chambers
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson Bonnie Gore called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Present: Gore, Allard

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chairperson Bonnie Gore.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC

Persons may address the City Council on items not on this agenda. Please complete a "Speaker Information Card" and present it to the City Clerk prior to the start of the meeting. Speakers shall restrict their comments to issues that are within the subject jurisdiction of the City Council and limit their comments to three (3) minutes per person. The total time allocated for Public Comment is 25 minutes. The Brown Act, with certain exceptions, does not permit the City Council to discuss or take action on issues that are not listed on the agenda.

No public comment received.

5. MINUTES

5.1. Minutes of Prior Meetings

Memo from City Clerk Sonia Orozco recommending the Committee approve the minutes of the October 11, 2017 City Council Law & Regulation Committee meeting, and October 25, 2017 City Council Law & Regulation Committee meeting.

CC #: 9078

File #: 0103-32-01

CONTACT: Sonia Orozco 916-774-5263 sorozco@roseville.ca.us

The October 11, 2017 and the October 25, 2017 minutes were approved by consensus.

6. REPORTS/COMMENTS/COMMITTEE/STAFF

6.1. Electric Legislation Update

Memo from Government Relations Analyst Chris Romero and Electric Utility Director Michelle Bertolino with an informational report on legislative priority issues for Roseville Electric.

CC #: 9077

File #: 0103-32-01

CONTACT: Chris Romero 916-746-1660 cromero@roseville.ca.us

Government Relations Analyst Chris Romero made the presentation to the Committee.

Charles Harverson - spoke to electric vehicle requirements.

No action taken. Presentation for information only.

6.2. Federal Issues 2018

Memo from Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski and Public Affairs & Communications Director Megan MacPherson with an overview of the Administration's Infrastructure Plan and possible mortgage reform being discussed in Congress.

CC #: 9076

File #: 0103-32-02

CONTACT: Mark Wolinski 916-774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski made the presentation to the Committee.

No public comment received.

No action taken. Presentation for information only.

7. ADJOURNMENT

Motion by John Allard, seconded by Bonnie Gore, to adjourn the meeting at 6:07 p.m. The Motion Passed.

Roll call vote: Ayes: Allard, Gore

Bonnie Gore, Chair

Sonia Orozco, Secretary



CITY COUNCIL Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 9146

File #: 0103-32-01

Title: Electric Legislative Update - March 2018
Contact: Chris Romero 916-746-1660 cromero@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 3/28/2018

Item #: 6.1.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

The following report provides the Law and Regulation (L&R) Committee with an update on priority state energy legislation and key federal energy related issues.

BACKGROUND

Staff has been reviewing many proposed legislative bills to determine potential impacts to the department. Key energy related issues carried over from last legislative session remain big topics from various stakeholder groups. In addition, the new session brings potential significant energy legislation that the department is monitoring:

Regionalization

- AB 813 (Holden) Multistate Regional Transmission System. (Watch)

Prohibits an electrical transmission facility owner, a retail seller of electricity, or a local publicly owned electric utility from participating in a multistate regional transmission system organization unless the bylaws meet certain requirements. If approved, this proposal would lay the groundwork for potential expansion of the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) into a larger regional entity.

The City is watching this bill to determine any potential costs or opportunities that could impact the City and its customers.

Protecting Public Investments

- SB 1110 (Bradford) Natural Gas-Fired Power Plants. (Support) State renewable portfolio standard mandates may have long term impacts to the operations of natural gas generation facilities throughout California. This bill would allow local publicly owned electric utility, upon submitting specified findings to the California Energy Commission, to additionally adopt conditions that mitigate against the loss of public revenues from decreased generation from a power plant. The City supports this bill because it addresses potential future challenges for utilities that made prudent investments in high-efficiency gas-fired power plants. This bill may provide some cost

relief if generation operations are reduced.

- AB 2693 (Quirk) Natural Gas-Fired Power Plants. (Watch) The bill would require the Public Utilities Commission to analyze and determine which natural gas-fired electric generation power plants in existence at the time of the analysis are needed to ensure long-term electric reliability in the state. Requires the commission to direct electrical corporations to contract for those electrical services with those power plants determined to be necessary and to authorize the electrical corporations to recover the costs.
The City is watching this bill to determine any potential impacts to natural gas-fired power plants throughout the state.

Wildfires

- SB 901 (Dodd) Local publicly owned electric utilities: Wildfire Mitigation Plans. (Watch)
This bill may require a local publicly owned electric utility to review and determine if they need to implement any policies or procedures to de-energize its electrical lines as a form of fire mitigation. The City is watching this bill to understand if there could be any potential impacts to the operations of our electric distribution system.

Hydropower RPS Eligible

- AB 2809 (Patterson) Large Hydropower Renewable. (Support)
 - AB 2814 (Gray) Large Hydropower Renewable. (Support)
- These two bills would revise the definition of an eligible renewable energy resource for the purposes of the California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program to include hydroelectric generation facilities greater than 30 megawatts.
The City supports these bills because it encourages the use of low cost, carbon-free, reliable power for our customers and these resources help meet the state's greenhouse gas emission reduction goals.

Federal Issues

- Privatization of the Power Marketing Administration Assets. The federal administration's budget proposes to divest the transmission assets. The president's "Infrastructure Plan" also requests the authority to privatize these transmission assets. There are potential cost impacts to the department.

- Hydropower Licensing Reforms. These legislative proposals would increase the capacity and generation of clean, renewable hydropower that would improve environmental quality in the United States.

- o US HR 3043 (Rodgers)
- o US S 1460 (Murkowski)

- Wireless Communication Deployment on Public Infrastructure. There are plans at the federal level to preempt municipal pole attachment regulations with the goal to deploy small cell equipment on public infrastructure without local participation. The department is concerned about maintaining local control over this issue.

Conclusion

Staff continues to be very active in working with its coalition partners to address key issues at both the State and Federal level. Staff will continue to bring forth key energy related issues to the committee as they develop.

FISCAL IMPACT

The costs of these activities are contained within the City's current budget.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

The activities detailed in this report will not result in job development or creation.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §1506(b) (3)). The action of reviewing proposed CEQA legislation does not include the potential for a significant environmental effect, therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Chris Romero - Government Relations Analyst

Michelle Bertolino, Roseville Electric Utility



Rob Jensen, City Manager



CITY COUNCIL Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 9144
File #: 0103-32-01

Title: List of Priority Legislation – March 2018
Contact: Mark Wolinski 916-774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 3/28/2018
Item #: 6.2.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

The initial list of priority legislation of 2018 includes 46 bills (Attachment A). Meetings with city departments are currently underway to review the more than 1,800 bills currently being tracked for the city. As the legislative session progresses, staff will continue to bring the revised priority list back to the L&R Committee to provide updates and to receive the Committee's input on bills of particular importance.

BACKGROUND

This year, the legislature introduced more than 2,400 bills that staff has reviewed and determined would be tracked for the various City departments. As in years past, the bills spanned the gamut of topics including public safety, the utilities, housing, homelessness, cybersecurity, economic development, land use public finance, and human resources/public pensions. Meetings are currently underway with all city departments to review their lists of legislation for the purposes of refining the lists, designating priority legislation, and developing advocacy positions and strategies on bills of critical importance to each department.

The following bills are a representative sample of the bills included in the initial list of priority legislation being tracked for the City:

Housing

- **SB 827 (Wiener) - Planning and Zoning: Affordable Housing: Approval (Oppose).**

This bill would require a local government to, if requested, grant a development proponent of a transit-rich housing project a transit-rich housing bonus if that development meets specified planning standards, including complying with demolition permit requirements, local inclusionary housing ordinance requirements, preparing a relocation benefits and assistance plan, any locally adopted objective zoning standards, and any locally adopted minimum unit mix requirements.

Staff Comments: The City opposes this bill as it would exempt certain housing projects from locally developed and adopted height limitations, densities, parking requirements,

and design review standards.

- **SB 828 (Wiener) – Land Use: Housing Element (Watch)** - States the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would require the Department of Housing and Community Development to take certain actions relating to unmet housing needs. This bill would increase the percentage of the need for housing for very low and low-income households that the program is required to accommodate to 200%.

Staff Comment: The City is watching this bill because it is an intent bill. As an intent bill, the bill does not currently include all the bill language, which makes it impossible to understand the implications of the bill. Staff has concerns regarding what the final bill language will include and what the impacts they might present for the City.

Homelessness

- **AB 2287 (Kiley) Mental Services Health Act (Support)** - This bill would establish the Office of Mental Health Services within the California Health and Human Services Agency, as specified. The bill would transfer various functions of the State Department of Health Care Services under the act to the office. Under this bill, the office would succeed to, and be vested with, all the duties, powers, responsibilities, and jurisdiction, vested in the department, regarding oversight of the Mental Health Services Fund, as specified.

Staff Comment: The City is supporting this bill for the potential efficiencies it would create for the effective use of mental health funds that provide various mental health programs and services. The effective and efficient use of these funds have important implications to our community including the ability to address and reverse homelessness and chronic homelessness. .

- **SB 1045 (Wiener) Conservatorship: Chronic Homelessness: Mental Health (Watch)** - This bill would establish a procedure for the appointment of a conservator for a person who is chronically homeless and incapable of caring for the person's own health and well-being due to acute and severe mental illness or a severe substance abuse disorder, as evidenced by certain records. Imposes requirements on conservatorship investigation and appointed conservators.

Staff Comments: The City is watching this bill for the opportunities it would provide for to move an individual out of the cycle of chronic homelessness. Chronic homelessness is the most difficult segment of homeless community to help and this bill may provide a new resource in helping individuals who are chronically homeless. .

Other Areas

- **AB 3037 (Chiu) Redevelopment (Watch)** - This bill states the intent of the Legislature to enact subsequent legislation that would authorize a city or county, subject to the approval of the Department of Finance, to form a redevelopment housing and infrastructure agency, and would authorize that agency to exercise powers that are similar to powers previously granted to redevelopment agencies in order to finance housing and infrastructure projects.

Staff Comment: The City is watching this intent bill to understand the possible positive

outcomes it could provide to overcome the loss of Redevelopment Agencies (RDA). The loss of RDAs significantly impacted the ability for cities and counties to fund redevelopment and infrastructure projects. Staff is interested to understand how this bill would replace those lost funding opportunities and what process would need to be implemented to establish a redevelopment housing and infrastructure agency.

- **AB 1792 (Frazier) – Local Government: Municipal Improvements Projects – (Watch)**
 - This bill states the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would provide local governments with resources and streamlining for municipal improvements and restoration projects.

Staff Comment: The City is watching this intent bill for the possible resources and opportunities it may provide to local governments to undertake municipal improvements and restoration projects.

Conclusion

Government relations staff will actively advocate on the City's priority legislation as advocacy positions are developed during departmental meetings and will continue to develop the priority list during the coming weeks of the legislative session. Staff will remain actively engaged in informing the City's state and federal representatives regarding the City's positions as the bills move through the legislative process.

FISCAL IMPACT

The costs of these activities are contained within the City's current budget.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

The activities detailed in this report will not result in job development or creation.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §1506(b) (3)). The action of reviewing proposed CEQA legislation does not include the potential for a significant environmental effect, therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mark Wolinski, Government Relations Administrator

Megan MacPherson, Public Affairs & Communications Director



Rob Jensen, City Manager

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

Priority List of Tracked Legislation

Priority List of Tracked Legislation – March 2018

1. CA AB 865	3
VETERANS: RESENTENCING: MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES	3
2. CA AB 1756	4
TRANSPORTATION FUNDING	4
3. CA AB 1759	5
GENERAL PLANS: HOUSING ELEMENT: PRODUCTION REPORT	5
4. CA AB 1771	6
REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT	6
5. CA AB 1792	7
LOCAL GOVERNMENT: MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	7
6. CA AB 1866	7
TRANSPORTATION FUNDING	8
7. CA AB 2085	10
RETIREMENT SYSTEMS: SURVIVING SPOUSE.....	10
8. CA AB 2162	11
PLANNING AND ZONING: HOUSING DEVELOPMENT.....	11
9. CA AB 2182	12
PRIVACY	12
10. CA AB 2225	13
PUBLIC AGENCIES: DATA PROTECTION: STANDARDS.....	13
11. CA AB 2238	13
CHANGE OF ORGANIZATION OR REORGANIZATION: LOCAL AGENCY.....	13
12. CA AB 2249	14
PUBLIC CONTRACTS: LOCAL AGENCIES: ALTERNATIVE PROCEDURE.....	14
13. CA AB 2287	15
MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ACT	15
14. CA AB 2372	16
PLANNING AND ZONING: DENSITY BONUS.....	16
15. CA AB 2420	16
WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT: SOFT-SKILLS TRAINING	16
16. CA AB 2596	17

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC PLAN	17
17. CA AB 2631	18
PLANNING AND ZONING: AFFORDABLE HOUSING	18
18. CA AB 2647	18
EVIDENCE: CRIMINAL HISTORY INFORMATION.....	18
19. CA AB 2753	19
DENSITY BONUSES: DENSITY BONUS APPLICATION	19
20. CA AB 2765	20
PORTABLE EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	20
21. CA AB 2939	21
ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS.....	21
22. CA AB 3000	21
LAND USE: NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENT: PARKING REQUIREMENTS.....	21
23. CA AB 3037	22
REDEVELOPMENT	22
24. CA AB 3158	23
DISABILITY ACCESS: CONSTRUCTION RELATED ACCESS BARRIER.....	23
25. CA AB 3171	24
HOMELESS PERSONS SERVICES BLOCK GRANT.....	24
26. CA AB 3174	25
CITIES: FIRE DEPARTMENTS.....	25
27. CA AB 3194	25
HOUSING ACCOUNTABILITY ACT: PROJECT APPROVAL	25
28. CA ACA 21	26
STATE INFRASTRUCTURE: FUNDING: INVESTMENT FUND	26
29. CA ACA 23	27
LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEES: PROHIBITION ON HOLDING BILLS.....	27
30. CA ACA 29	28
TAXATION: VOTER APPROVAL.....	28
31. CA SB 532	28
EMERGENCY SERVICES: STATE OF EMERGENCY: CYBERTERRORISM.....	28
32. CA SB 726.....	29
TAXATION SALES AND USE TAX: EXEMPTION: INFORMATION	29
33. CA SB 827	30

PLANNING AND ZONING: TRANSIT-RICH HOUSING BONUS	30
34. CA SB 828	31
LAND USE: HOUSING ELEMENT	31
35. CA SB 831	32
LAND USE: ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS	32
36. CA SB 833	34
EMERGENCY ALERTS: EVACUATION ORDERS: OPERATORS	34
37. CA SB 893	35
PLANNING AND ZONING: DENSITY BONUS.....	35
38. CA SB 916	36
BURGLARY OF A VEHICLE	36
39. CA SB 918	37
HOMELESS YOUTH ACT OF 2018	37
40. CA SB 993	38
SALES TAX: SERVICES	38
41. CA SB 1022	39
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM: ADMINISTRATION.....	39
42. CA SB 1028	40
PUBLIC UTILITIES: RATES: FEDERAL TAX LAW CHANGES	40
43. CA SB 1045	41
CONSERVATORSHIP: CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: MENTAL HEALTH.....	41
44. CA SB 1153	43
LOCAL INITIATIVES: REVIEW.....	43
45. CA SB 1302	44
CANNABIS: LOCAL JURISDICTION: PROHIBITIONS ON DELIVERY	44
46. CA SB 1436	44
HOMELESSNESS	44

1. CA AB 865

Author: [Levine \(D\)](#)
Title: Veterans: Resentencing: Mitigating Circumstances
Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause:	no
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	SENATE
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 19431 of the Business and Professions Code, relating to horse racing. <u>amend Section 1170.91 of the Penal Code, relating to sentencing.</u>
Summary:	Authorizes any person who was sentenced for a felony conviction prior a specified date, and who is, or was, a member of the US military, and who may be suffering from conditions as a result of his or her military service to petition for a recall of sentence, under specified conditions. Requires the court to determine, at a public hearing, held a certain number of days after receipt of the petition, notice to all parties involved if there will be a resentencing hearing. Requires credit for time served.
Digest:	This bill would authorize any person who was sentenced for a felony conviction prior to January 1, 2016, and who is, or was, a member of the United States military and who may be suffering from any of the above-described conditions as a result of his or her military service to petition for a recall of sentence under specified conditions. The bill would require the court, upon receiving a petition, to determine, at a public hearing held after not less than 15 days' notice to the prosecution, the defense, and any victim of the offense, as specified, whether the person satisfies the specified criteria and, if so, would authorize the court, in its discretion, to resentence the person following a resentencing hearing. This bill would prohibit resentencing under these provisions from resulting in the imposition of a term longer than the original sentence. The bill would also require a person who is resented pursuant to these provisions to be given credit for time served.
Introduced:	02/16/2017
Last Amend:	01/03/2018
Status:	01/29/2018 In ASSEMBLY. Read third time. Passed ASSEMBLY. *****To SENATE. (76-0)
Department:	Homelessness, Housing, PD
Position:	Watch
Priority:	StatePriority

2. CA AB 1756

Author:	Brough (R)
Coauthor	Harper (R)
Title:	Transportation Funding
Fiscal Committee:	yes
Urgency Clause:	yes

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Transportation Committee

Code Section: An act to amend Section 14526.5 of, to add Sections 63048.66, 63048.67, 63048.7, 63048.75, 63048.8, and 63048.85 to, to repeal Sections 14033, 14110, 14526.7, 14556.41, and 16321 of, to repeal Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 14460) of Part 5 of Division 3 of Title 2 of, and to repeal and add Section 63048.65 of, the Government Code, to repeal Section 43021 of the Health and Safety Code, to amend Section 99312.1 of, and to repeal Sections 99312.3, 99312.4, and 99314.9 of, the Public Utilities Code, to amend Sections 6051.8, 6201.8, 7360, 8352.4, 8352.5, 8352.6, and 60050 of, to repeal Sections 7361.2, 7653.2, 60050.2, and 60201.4 of, and to repeal Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 11050) of Part 5 of Division 2 of, the Revenue and Taxation Code, to amend Sections 2104, 2105, 2106, and 2107 of, to repeal Sections 2103.1 and 2192.4 of, to repeal Article 2.5 (commencing with Section 800) of Chapter 4 of Division 1 of, and to repeal Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 2030) and Chapter 8.5 (commencing with Section 2390) of Division 3 of, the Streets and Highways Code, to amend Section 4156 of, and to repeal Sections 4000.15 and 9250.6 of, the Vehicle Code, and to repeal Sections 1, 2, 43, 48, and 49 of Chapter 5 of the Statutes of 2017, relating to transportation, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

Summary: Repeals the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 which establishes, among other things, a comprehensive transportation funding program by increasing the motor vehicle fuel (gasoline) tax.

Digest: This bill would repeal the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017.

This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

Introduced: 01/04/2018

Status: 01/16/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on TRANSPORTATION.

Department: PW

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

3. CA AB 1759

Author: [McCarty \(D\)](#)

Title: General Plans: Housing Element: Production Report

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Transportation Committee

Code Section: An act to add Section 65400.5 to the Government Code, and to amend Section 2034 of, and to add Section 2036.5 to, the Streets and Highways Code, relating to state government finance.

Summary: Requires the Department of Housing and Community Development to review each production report submitted by a city or county in accordance with specified provisions to determine whether that city or county has met the applicable minimum production goal for that reporting period.

Digest: This bill would require the department, on or before June 30, 2022, and on or before June 30 every year thereafter and until June 30, 2051, to review each production report submitted by a city or county in accordance with the provisions described above to determine whether that city or county has met the applicable minimum production goal for that reporting period. The bill would provide that, if the department determines that a city or county has met its applicable minimum production goal for that reporting period, the department shall, no later than June 30 of that year, submit a certification of that result to the Controller.

This bill would, commencing with the 2022-23 fiscal year and through and including the 2051-52 fiscal year, also require cities and counties to be certified in the prior fiscal year by the Department of Housing and Community Development, as described above, in order to remain eligible for an apportionment of these funds. For each city and county that is not in compliance with this requirement, the bill would require the Controller withhold the apportionment of funds that would otherwise be apportioned and distributed to the city or county for the fiscal year and deposit those funds in a separate escrow account for each city or county that is not in compliance. The bill would require the Controller to distribute the funds in the escrow account to the applicable city or county after the city or county is certified to be in compliance and meets other specified requirements. The bill would make other technical and conforming changes.

Introduced: 01/04/2018

Status: 02/12/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committees on TRANSPORTATION and HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.

Department: PAC, Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

4. CA AB 1771

Author: [Bloom \(D\)](#)

Title: Regional Housing Needs Assessment

Fiscal Committee: no

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: ASSEMBLY

Code Section: An act relating to housing.

Summary: Expresses the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would make changes to the process for distributing regional housing needs to various jurisdictions to ensure fairness and the achievement of stated goals regarding housing.

Digest: This bill would express the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would make changes to the process for distributing regional housing needs to various jurisdictions to ensure fairness and the achievement of stated goals regarding housing.

Introduced: 01/04/2018

Status: 01/04/2018 INTRODUCED.

Department: Housing, Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

5. CA AB 1792

Author: [Frazier \(D\)](#)

Title: Local Government: Municipal Improvement Projects

Fiscal Committee: no

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: ASSEMBLY

Code Section: An act relating to local government.

Summary: States the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would provide local governments with resources and streamlining for municipal improvements and restoration projects.

Digest: This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would provide local governments with resources and streamlining for municipal improvements and restoration projects.

Introduced: 01/09/2018

Status: 01/09/2018 INTRODUCED.

Department: DevelopmentSvcs, EU, Electric, Housing, PW, Parks, Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

6. CA AB 1866

Author: [Fong \(R\)](#)

Coauthor: [Lackey \(R\)](#)

Title:	Transportation funding
Fiscal Committee:	yes
Urgency Clause:	yes
Disposition:	Pending
Location:	Assembly Transportation Committee
Code Section:	An act to amend Sections 13975, 14460, 14461, 14500, 16773, and 16965.1 of, to amend the heading of Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 14460) of Part 5 of Division 3 of Title 2 of, to add Section 14462 to, and to repeal Sections 14033, 14534.1, and 16965 of, the Government Code, to amend Sections 39719 and 44060.5 of the Health and Safety Code, to amend Section 21099 of, and to add Section 21080.36 to, the Public Resources Code, to amend Sections 6051.8, 6201.8, 8352.4, 8352.5, 8352.6, and 13152 of, and to add Sections 6051.9 and 6201.9 to, the Revenue and Taxation Code, to amend Sections 143, 183.1, and 2103 of, and to add Chapter 2.5 (commencing with Section 2040) to Division 3 of, the Streets and Highways Code, and to amend Sections 9250.1, 9400.1, and 42205 of, and to repeal Section 9400.4 of, the Vehicle Code, relating to transportation, making an appropriation therefor, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.
Summary:	Creates the Traffic Relief and Road Improvement Program to address traffic congestion and deferred maintenance on the state highway system and the local street and road system. Provides for the deposit of various existing sources of revenue in the Traffic Relief and Road Improvement Account as created by this bill in the State Transportation Fund, including specified revenues attributable to sales and use tax on vehicles, from motor vehicle insurance policies, diesel fuel and registration fees.
Digest:	This bill would create the Traffic Relief and Road Improvement Program to address traffic congestion and deferred maintenance on the state highway system and the local street and road system. The bill would provide for the deposit of various existing sources of revenue in the Traffic Relief and Road Improvement Account, which the bill would create in the State Transportation Fund, including revenues attributable to the sales and use tax on motor vehicles, revenues attributable to automobile and motor vehicle insurance policies from the insurer gross premiums tax, revenues from certain diesel fuel sales and use taxes, revenues from certain vehicle registration fees, and certain miscellaneous State Highway Account revenues. This bill would continuously appropriate the revenues in the account, after deductions for administration, with 40% of the revenues to be allocated to the Department of Transportation for maintenance of the state highway system or for purposes of the State Highway Operation and Protection Program, 40% of the revenues to be apportioned by the Controller to cities and counties for road purposes pursuant to a specified formula, and 20% to fund projects in the State Transportation Improvement Program that create measurable reductions in traffic congestion. The bill would require the California Transportation Commission to adopt performance criteria and metrics for expenditure of certain of these revenues, and would impose

various requirements on cities and counties in order to receive apportionments.

The bill would also require the department to implement efficiency measures with the goal of generating \$100,000,000 annually in savings at the department and to propose, from the identified savings, an appropriation to be included in the annual Budget Act of up to \$100,000,000 from the State Highway Account for expenditure on the Active Transportation Program.

This bill would instead require these loans to be repaid by December 31, 2018.

This bill would require the Department of Transportation to increase its annual use of contract staff resources so that 20% of its capital outlay staff consists of contract resources by the 2020-21 fiscal year.

This bill, commencing January 1, 2019, would instead transfer to the Highway Users Tax Account for allocation to state and local transportation purposes under a specified formula most of the gasoline excise tax revenues currently being deposited in the General Fund that are attributable to boats, agricultural vehicles, and off-highway vehicles. Because that account is continuously appropriated, the bill would make an appropriation. The bill would also delete a monthly transfer to the General Fund of \$833,000 in gasoline excise taxes attributable to off-highway vehicles, thereby retaining those revenues for off-highway vehicle programs.

This bill would repeal these provisions, thereby eliminating the use of the weight fee revenues for transportation general obligation bond debt service and retaining those revenues in the State Highway Account. The bill would make other conforming changes in that regard.

This bill would delete the transfer of these miscellaneous revenues to the Transportation Debt Service Fund, and would instead require the miscellaneous revenues to be transferred to the Traffic Relief and Road Improvement Account for expenditure pursuant to (1) above.

This bill would require certain amounts of revenue to be made available for specified purposes from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to the extent revenues are available after funding existing programs, and would require specified diesel sales and use tax revenues and certain vehicle registration fee revenues to be transferred to the Traffic Relief and Road Improvement Account for expenditure pursuant to (1) above. The bill, beyond the backfill required for the Public Transportation Account, would additionally provide for an additional transfer from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to the Public Transportation Account, as specified. Because certain revenues in the Public Transportation Account are continuously appropriated, this bill would make an appropriation in that regard. The bill would exempt funds transferred from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to the Public Transportation Account from statutory restrictions and limitations otherwise applicable to expenditures from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund, as

specified. The bill would also require backfill of vehicle registration fee revenues otherwise to be allocated to the Air Quality Improvement Fund, the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Fund, and the Enhanced Fleet Modernization Subaccount, as specified.

This bill would exclude the California Transportation Commission from the Transportation Agency, establish it as an independent entity in state government, and require it to act in an independent oversight role. The bill would also make conforming changes.

This bill would rename that entity the Independent Office of Audits and Investigations for Transportation and would make it an independent office that would not be a subdivision of any other government entity to ensure that state and local agencies expending state transportation funds are operating efficiently, effectively, and in compliance with applicable federal and state laws. The bill would require the Inspector General to annually conduct an audit of all state transportation megaprojects.

This bill would authorize lease agreements under these provisions to be entered into until January 1, 2030. The bill would include the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority within the definition of regional transportation agency for these purposes. The bill would make other revisions to these provisions.

This bill would provide that CEQA does not apply to a project, or the issuance of a permit for a project, that consists of the inspection, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, replacement, or removal of existing transportation infrastructure, as specified, or to the addition of an auxiliary lane or bikeway to existing transportation infrastructure under certain conditions.

This bill would eliminate the authority of the office to adopt guidelines establishing alternative metrics for transportation impacts outside transit priority areas.

Introduced: 01/12/2018
Status: 01/29/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on TRANSPORTATION.
Department: PW
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

7. CA AB 2085

Author: [Cooley \(D\)](#)
Title: Retirement Systems: Surviving Spouse
Fiscal Committee: no
Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Public Employees, Retirement and Social Security Committee

Code Section: An act to add Section 31480.1 of the Government Code, relating to retirement.

Summary: Defines surviving spouse, for purposes of the County Employees Retirement Law of 1937 (CERL), as a person legally married to the member, who is neither divorced nor legally separated at the time of the member's death and who meets other relevant requirements, as specified.

Digest: This bill would define surviving spouse, for purposes of CERL, as a person legally married to the member, who is neither divorced nor legally separated at the time of the member's death and who meets other relevant requirements, as specified.

Introduced: 02/07/2018

Status: 02/16/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on PUBLIC EMPLOYEES, RETIREMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY.

Department: Finance, HR

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

8. CA AB 2162

Author: [Chiu \(D\)](#)

Coauthor: [Caballero \(D\)](#) , [Bloom \(D\)](#) , [Bonta \(D\)](#) , [Friedman \(D\)](#) , [Gloria \(D\)](#) , [Daly \(D\)](#)

Title: Planning and Zoning: Housing Development

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Committee: Assembly Housing and Community Development Committee

Hearing: 03/21/2018 9:00 am, State Capitol, Room 126

Code Section: An act to add Article 11 (commencing with Section 65650) to Chapter 3 of Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code, relating to land use.

Summary: Requires that supportive housing be a use by right in zones where multiple dwelling uses are permitted, including commercial zones, if the proposed housing development meets specified criteria and would require a local government to approve, within specified periods, a supportive housing development that complies with these requirements.

Digest: This bill would require that supportive housing be a use by right in zones where multiple dwelling uses are permitted, including commercial zones, if the proposed housing development meets specified criteria and would require a local government to approve, within specified periods, a supportive housing development that complies with these requirements. The bill would require that a developer of supportive housing provide the planning agency with a plan for providing supportive services, with documentation

demonstrating that supportive services will be provided onsite to residents in the project and describing those services, as provided. The bill would prohibit the local government from imposing any minimum parking requirement, other than to require that the development include employee parking, if the development is located within 1/2 mile of a public transit stop. The bill would specify that its provisions do not (1) preclude or limit the ability of a developer to seek a density bonus from the local government or (2) expand or contract the authority of a local government to adopt or amend an ordinance, charter, general plan, specific plan, resolution, or other land use policy or regulation that promotes the development of supportive housing.

The bill would include findings that the changes proposed by this bill address a matter of statewide concern rather than a municipal affair and, therefore, apply to all cities, including charter cities.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/12/2018

Status: 03/01/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committees on HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT and LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

Department: Housing, Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

9. CA AB 2182

Author: [Levine \(D\)](#)

Title: Privacy

Fiscal Committee: no

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Privacy and Consumer Protection Committee

Code Section: An act relating to privacy.

Summary: States the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would create a new state agency or officer that would be charged with, among other things, protecting the personal information of California residents.

Digest: This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would create a new state agency or officer that would be charged with, among other things, protecting the personal information of California residents.

Introduced: 02/12/2018

Status: 03/15/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on PRIVACY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION.

Department: Clerk, IT, PAC

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

10. CA AB 2225

Author: [Limon \(D\)](#)

Title: Public Agencies: Data Protection: Standards

Fiscal Committee: no

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: ASSEMBLY

Code Section: An act relating to public agencies.

Summary: States the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would require public agencies to meet increased data protection standards by enhancing password protection requirements and annually assessing cybersecurity responses.

Digest: This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would require public agencies to meet increased data protection standards by enhancing password protection requirements and annually assessing cybersecurity responses.

Introduced: 02/13/2018

Status: 02/13/2018 INTRODUCED.

Department: IT

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

11. CA AB 2238

Author: [Aguiar-Curry \(D\)](#)

Title: Change of Organization or Reorganization: Local Agency

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Local Government Committee

Code Section: An act to amend Section 56668 of the Government Code, relating to local agency formation.

Summary: Requires the local agency formation commission to consider any relevant hazard mitigation plan or safety element of a general plan, and the extent to which the proposal will affect any land identified as a very high fire hazard severity zone or land determined to be in a state responsibility area.

Digest: This bill would additionally require the commission to consider any relevant hazard mitigation plan or safety element of a general plan, and the extent to which the proposal will affect any land identified as a very high fire hazard severity zone or land determined to be in a state responsibility area, as provided. By adding to the duties of local agency formation commissions in reviewing a change of organization or reorganization, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/13/2018

Status: 03/01/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

Department: CityAttorney, PAC, Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

12. CA AB 2249

Author: [Cooley \(D\)](#)

Title: Public Contracts: Local Agencies: Alternative Procedure

Fiscal Committee: no

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Local Government Committee

Code Section: An act to amend Section 22032 of the Public Contract Code, relating to public contracts.

Summary: Authorizes public projects of a specified amount or less to be performed by the employees of a public agency, authorize public projects of a specified amount or less to be let to contract by informal procedures, and require public projects of more than a specified amount to be let to contract by formal bidding procedures.

Digest: This bill would instead authorize public projects of \$60,000 or less to be performed by the employees of a public agency, authorize public projects of \$200,000 or less to be let to contract by informal procedures, and require public projects of more than \$200,000 to be let to contract by formal bidding procedures.

Introduced: 02/13/2018

Status: 03/01/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT.
Department: CentralServices, CityAttorney, PW, Parks
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

13. CA AB 2287

Author: [Kiley \(R\)](#)
Title: Mental Health Services Act
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: Assembly Health Committee
Code Section: An act to amend Sections 5813.5, 5821, 5840, 5840.2, 5845, 5846, 5847, 5848, 5878.3, 5890, 5891, 5892, 5897, 5898, and 5899 of, to amend the heading of Part 3.7 (commencing with Section 5845) of Division 5 of, and to add Section 5841 to, the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to mental health services.

Summary: Relates to mental health services.

Digest: This bill would establish the Office of Mental Health Services within the California Health and Human Services Agency, as specified. The bill would transfer various functions of the State Department of Health Care Services under the act to the office. Under this bill, the office would succeed to, and be vested with, all the duties, powers, responsibilities, and jurisdiction, vested in the department, regarding oversight of the Mental Health Services Fund, as specified. The bill would also require the office to assume certain duties, including, among others, initiating investigations, advising counties, conducting research, and reporting to the Legislature, by December 31, 2020, of any additional authority it deems necessary to complete its duties and to ensure county compliance with the act, as specified. The bill would make conforming changes to other provisions to reflect the transfer of those mental health responsibilities.

This bill would make legislative findings and declarations relating to mental health services in California and stating that the provisions of this bill are consistent with, and further the intent of, the act. By amending the provisions of the act, this bill would require a 2/3 vote of the Legislature.

Introduced: 02/13/2018

Status: 03/01/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on HEALTH.

Department: Homelessness, Housing, PAC, PD
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

14. CA AB 2372

Author: [Gloria \(D\)](#)
Coauthor [Gonzalez \(D\)](#) , [Low \(D\)](#) , [Santiago \(D\)](#)
Title: Planning and Zoning: Density Bonus
Fiscal Committee: no
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: Assembly Housing and Community Development Committee
Code Section: An act to add Section 65917.2 to the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary: Authorizes a city council or county board of supervisors to establish a procedure by ordinance to grant a developer of an eligible housing development a floor area ratio bonus in lieu of a density bonus awarded on the basis of dwelling units per acre. Defines eligible housing development as a development that meets specified criteria related to residential use, location, zoning, and affordability.
Digest: This bill would authorize a city council or county board of supervisors to establish a procedure by ordinance to grant a developer of an eligible housing development a floor area ratio bonus in lieu of a density bonus awarded on the basis of dwelling units per acre. The bill would define "eligible housing development" as a development that meets specified criteria related to residential use, location, zoning, and affordability. The bill would prohibit the city council or county board of supervisors from imposing any parking requirement on an eligible housing development in excess of specified ratios.
Introduced: 02/14/2018
Status: 03/01/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committees on HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT and LOCAL GOVERNMENT.
Department: PAC, Planning
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

15. CA AB 2420

Author: [Quirk-Silva \(D\)](#)
Title: Workforce Development: Soft-skills Training
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: Assembly Labor and Employment Committee

Code Section: An act to amend Sections 10200, 10201, 10209, and 10214.5 of the Unemployment Insurance Code, relating to workforce development.

Summary: Authorizes contracts for the purpose of providing employment training to include ancillary training for job related soft-skills training. Defines soft-skills as behaviors and competencies to allow people to navigate professional environments, work well with colleagues, and perform up to standards for professional success.

Digest: This bill would instead authorize those contracts to include ancillary training for job related soft-skills training and would define "soft-skills" as behaviors and competencies to allow people to navigate professional environments, work well with colleagues, and perform up to standards for professional success. The bill would also make conforming changes in this regard.

Introduced: 02/14/2018

Status: **03/15/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT.**

Department: EconDevelop, IT

Position: Support

Priority: StatePriority

16. CA AB 2596

Author: [Cooley \(D\)](#)

Coauthor: [Cooper \(D\)](#) , [Kiley \(R\)](#)

Title: Economic Development Strategic Plan

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Committee: Assembly Jobs, Economic Development, and The Economy Committee

Hearing: 04/17/2018 9:00 am, State Capitol, Room 127

Code Section: An act to add Section 12096.35 to the Government Code, relating to economic development.

Summary: Requires the Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development to lead the preparation of a California Economic Development Strategic Plan.

Digest: This bill would require the office to lead the preparation of a California Economic Development Strategic Plan, as specified.

Introduced: 02/15/2018

Status: 03/05/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on JOBS, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE ECONOMY.

Department: EconDevelop

Position: Support

Priority: StatePriority

17. CA AB 2631

Author: [Allen T \(R\)](#)

Title: Planning and Zoning: Affordable Housing

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Local Government Committee

Code Section: An act to add Section 65913.5 to the Government Code, relating to land use.

Summary: Authorizes a development property to submit an application for a development to be subject to a streamlined, ministerial approval process provided that development meet specified objective planning standards, such as that the development contains fewer than 25 residential units and provides housing for persons and families of low or moderate income.

Digest: This bill would authorize a development property to submit an application for a development to be subject to a streamlined, ministerial approval process provided that development meet specified objective planning standards, such as that the development contains fewer than 25 residential units and provides housing for persons and families of low or moderate income. The bill would require a local government to notify the development proponent in writing if the local government determines that the development conflicts with any of those objective standards within 30 days of the application being submitted; otherwise, the development is deemed to comply with those standards. The bill would provide that if a local government approves a project pursuant to this process, then that approval will not expire for 5 years. By imposing new duties upon local agencies with respect to the streamlined approval process described above, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/15/2018

Status: 03/12/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committees on LOCAL GOVERNMENT and HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.

Department: Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

18. CA AB 2647

Author: [Rubio \(D\)](#)

Title: Evidence: Criminal History Information

Fiscal Committee: no
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: Assembly Judiciary Committee
Code Section: An act to add Section 1162 to the Evidence Code, relating to evidence.
Summary: Prohibits evidence of the criminal history of an employer or former employee from being admitted, under specified circumstances, in a civil action that is based on the conduct of the employee or former employee against an employer, an employer's agents, or an employer's employees.
Digest: This bill would prohibit evidence of the criminal history of an employee or former employee from being admitted, under specified circumstances, in a civil action that is based on the conduct of the employee or former employee against an employer, an employer's agents, or an employer's employees.
Introduced: 02/15/2018
Status: 03/08/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on JUDICIARY.
Department: CityAttorney, HR
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

19. CA AB 2753

Author: [Friedman \(D\)](#)
Title: Density Bonuses: Density Bonus Application
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: Assembly Housing and Community Development Committee
Code Section: An act to amend Section 65915 of, and to add Section 65915.10 to, the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary: Requires that if a city or county does not determine whether a density bonus application is complete within a certain number of calendar days after it was submitted, then that application is deemed approved. Requires an applicant who resubmits a density bonus application to make whatever changes are necessary to make the application complete. Authorizes an applicant to appeal that decision first to the planning department of the city or county.
Digest: This bill would recast those requirements, and would require that if a city or county does not determine whether a density bonus application is complete within 30 calendar days after it was submitted, or within 10 days in the case of a resubmitted application, then that application is deemed approved. The bill would require an applicant who resubmits a density bonus application to

make whatever changes are necessary to make the application complete. The bill would authorize an applicant to appeal that decision first to the planning department of the city or county, and then to the legislative body of that city or county. The bill would require that a city or county, within 60 calendar days after determining an application is complete, act to approve or disapprove the density bonus, and would provide that if the city or county fails to do so within that time period the application is deemed complete and the requested bonus is granted.

The bill would include findings that the changes proposed by the bill address a matter of statewide concern rather than a municipal affair and, therefore, apply to all cities, including charter cities.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/16/2018

Status: 03/08/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committees on HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT and LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

Department: Housing, Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

20. CA AB 2765

Author: [Low \(D\)](#)

Title: Portable Employee Benefits

Fiscal Committee: no

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: ASSEMBLY

Code Section: An act relating to employee benefits.

Summary: States the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation relating to portable employee benefits in California.

Digest: This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation relating to portable employee benefits in California.

Introduced: 02/16/2018

Status: 02/16/2018 INTRODUCED.

Department: HR

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

21. CA AB 2939

Author: [Ting \(D\)](#)
Title: Accessory Dwelling Units
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: Assembly Housing and Community Development Committee
Code Section: An act to amend Section 65852.2 of the Government Code, relating to land use.
Summary: Requires the local agency to ministerially approve an application for a building permit to create within a multifamily zone at least one accessory dwelling unit within an existing multifamily structure with at least 5 residential units if specified conditions are met. Prohibits an application ministerially approved pursuant to this provision from having a limit on the number of accessory dwelling units created within the existing residential units or accessory structures or both.
Digest: This bill would require the local agency to ministerially approve an application for a building permit to create within a multifamily zone at least one accessory dwelling unit within an existing multifamily structure with at least 5 residential units if specified conditions are met. The bill would prohibit an application ministerially approved pursuant to this provision from having a limit on the number of accessory dwelling units created within the existing residential units or accessory structures or both. By increasing the duties of local officials, this bill would create a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Introduced: 02/16/2018
Status: 03/08/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committees on HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT and LOCAL GOVERNMENT.
Department: CityAttorney, Planning
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

22. CA AB 3000

Author: [Friedman \(D\)](#)
Title: Land Use: New Housing Development: Parking Requirements
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending

Location: ASSEMBLY
Code Section: An act to add Section 65914.1 to the Government Code, relating to land use.
Summary: Prohibits a city, county, or city and county from imposing minimum parking requirements for new housing developments where offstreet parking is permitted.
Digest: This bill would prohibit a city, county, or city and county from imposing minimum parking requirements for new housing developments where offstreet parking is permitted. By imposing new requirements on local agencies, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.
Introduced: 02/16/2018
Status: 02/16/2018 INTRODUCED.
Department: Planning
Position: Oppose
Priority: StatePriority

23. CA AB 3037

Author: [Chiu \(D\)](#)
Coauthor [Daly \(D\)](#) , [Bloom \(D\)](#) , [Holden \(D\)](#) , [Mullin \(D\)](#) , [Irwin \(D\)](#) , [Santiago \(D\)](#)
Title: Redevelopment
Fiscal Committee: no
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: ASSEMBLY
Code Section: An act relating to redevelopment.
Summary: States the intent of the Legislature to enact subsequent legislation that would authorize a city or county, subject to the approval of the Department of Finance, to form a redevelopment housing and infrastructure agency, and would authorize that agency to exercise powers that are similar to powers previously granted to redevelopment agencies in order to finance housing and infrastructure projects.
Digest: This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact subsequent legislation that would authorize a city or county, subject to the approval of the Department of Finance, to form a redevelopment housing and infrastructure agency, and would authorize that agency to exercise powers that are similar to powers previously granted to redevelopment agencies in order to finance housing and infrastructure projects.

Introduced: 02/16/2018
Status: 02/16/2018 INTRODUCED.
Department: CityAttorney, Finance, PAC, Redevelopment
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

24. CA AB 3158

Author: [Mathis \(R\)](#)
Coauthor [Baker \(R\)](#) , [Harper \(R\)](#)
Title: Disability Access: Construction Related Access Barrier
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: Assembly Judiciary Committee
Code Section: An act to add Section 425.49 to the Code of Civil Procedure, and to add Section 19025 to the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to disability access.
Summary: Prohibits a cause of action on the basis of a construction related access barrier in an existing public accommodation by an individual who alleges to have been aggrieved by the existence of the access barrier from accruing unless specified conditions are met. Provides for a written notice sent to the owner and operator. Creates a certain period during which the owner or operator of the public accommodation shall be permitted to remove the barrier.
Digest: This bill would prohibit a cause of action on the basis of a construction-related access barrier in an existing public accommodation by an individual who alleges to have been aggrieved by the existence of the access barrier from accruing unless specified conditions are met, including that a written notice has been sent to the owner and operator, as specified. The bill would create a specified 90-day period and an additional 30-day period during which the owner or operator of the public accommodation shall be permitted to remove the barrier or to make substantial progress toward removing the barrier, or to made a good faith effort to remove the barrier, as defined, before a cause of action accrues. The bill would also specify that a cause of action against an owner or operator of a public accommodation on the basis of a construction-related access barrier by an individual who alleges to have been aggrieved by the existence of the access barrier shall not accrue under the Unruh Civil Rights Act, provisions that impose civil liability upon those who deny or interfere with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities or otherwise interfere with the rights of an individual with a disability, or the Construction-Related Accessibility Standards Compliance Act during a 90-day period, and, if applicable, the additional 30-day period, if a civil action relating to the same construction-related access barrier is pending. The bill would also require the Judicial Council to submit a report to the Legislature

on or before January 1, 2021, that analyzes the impact of these provisions, as specified.

This bill would require the department, on or before January 1, 2020, to develop a program to educate cities, counties, cities and counties, local government agencies, and private property owners on effective and efficient strategies for promoting access to public accommodations for individuals with disabilities by engaging certified access specialists to provide guidance for remediation of potential violations of the federal Americans with Disabilities Act.

Introduced: 02/16/2018

Status: **03/15/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on JUDICIARY.**

Department: Building, CityAttorney

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

25. CA AB 3171

Author: [Ting \(D\)](#)

Coauthor [Skinner \(D\)](#) , [Chiu \(D\)](#) , [Chu \(D\)](#) , [Low \(D\)](#) , [McCarty \(D\)](#) , [Santiago \(D\)](#) , [Thurmond \(D\)](#) , [Gloria \(D\)](#) , [Kalra \(D\)](#) , [Wiener \(D\)](#) , [Gonzalez \(D\)](#) , [Weber \(D\)](#) , [Stone \(D\)](#) , [Pan \(D\)](#) , [Bloom \(D\)](#) , [Bonta \(D\)](#) , [Jones-Sawyer \(D\)](#) , [Levine \(D\)](#) , [Maienschein \(R\)](#) , [Nazarian \(D\)](#) , [Quirk-Silva \(D\)](#) , [Carrillo \(D\)](#) , [Lara \(D\)](#)

Title: Homeless Persons Services Block Grant

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Housing and Community Development Committee

Code Section: An act to add Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 8260) to Division 8 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to homelessness, and making an appropriation therefor.

Summary: Establishes the Local Homelessness Solutions Program and creates the Local Homelessness Solutions Account for the purpose of providing funding to cities. Creates innovative and immediate solutions to the problems caused by homelessness. Appropriates an unspecified sum from the General Fund to the Local Homelessness Solutions Account and directs the Controller to appropriate those funds to cities in proportion to each city's most recent homeless population.

Digest: This bill would establish the Local Homelessness Solutions Program and create the Local Homelessness Solutions Account for the purpose of providing funding to cities, as defined, to create innovative and immediate solutions to the problems caused by homelessness, as specified. The bill would appropriate an unspecified sum from the General Fund to the Local Homelessness Solutions Account and direct the Controller to apportion those funds to cities in proportion to each city's most recent homeless

population, as specified. The bill would require cities to match any funds received from the program. The bill would authorize these funds to be expended for, among other things, shelter diversion, rapid rehousing, and permanent supportive housing.

Introduced: 02/16/2018

Status: 03/12/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.

Department: Homelessness, Housing, PD

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

26. CA AB 3174

Author: [Eggman \(D\)](#)

Title: Cities: Fire Departments

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Assembly Local Government Committee

Code Section: An act to amend Section 38611 of the Government Code, relating to local government.

Summary: Applies provisions requiring establishment of a fire department to charter cities.

Digest: This bill would additionally apply these provisions to charter cities by increasing the duties of cities, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 02/16/2018

Status: 03/12/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

Department: CityAttorney, Finance, Fire, HR

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

27. CA AB 3194

Author: [Daly \(D\)](#)

Title: Housing Accountability Act: Project Approval

Fiscal Committee: no

Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: Assembly Housing and Community Development Committee
Code Section: An act to amend Section 65589.5 of the Government Code, relating to housing.
Summary: Prohibits a housing development project from being found inconsistent, not in compliance, or not in conformity, with the applicable zoning ordinance, and would prohibit a local government from requiring a rezoning of the project site, if the existing zoning ordinance does not allow the maximum residential use, density, and intensity allocable on the site by the land use or housing element of the general plan.
Digest: This bill would prohibit a housing development project from being found inconsistent, not in compliance, or not in conformity, with the applicable zoning ordinance, and would prohibit a local government from requiring a rezoning of the project site, if the existing zoning ordinance does not allow the maximum residential use, density, and intensity allocable on the site by the land use or housing element of the general plan.

This bill would revise that definition to require that the impact also be imminent.

The bill would additionally state the Legislature's intent in enacting the bill's provisions to supersede the California Court of Appeal decision in *Mira Development Corp. v. City of San Diego* (1988) 205 Cal.App.3d 1201, as specified.
Introduced: 02/16/2018
Status: 03/15/2018 To ASSEMBLY Committees on HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT and LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

Department: Housing, Planning
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

28. CA ACA 21

Author: [Mayes \(R\)](#)
Coauthor [Bigelow \(R\)](#) , [Chavez \(R\)](#) , [Brough \(R\)](#) , [Steinorth \(R\)](#) , [Acosta \(R\)](#) , [Cunningham \(R\)](#) , [Flora \(R\)](#) , [Fong \(R\)](#) , [Oberholte \(R\)](#)
Title: State Infrastructure: Funding: Investment Fund
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: ASSEMBLY

Code Section: A resolution to propose to the people of the State of California an amendment to the Constitution of the State, by adding Section 25 to Article XVI thereof, relating to state infrastructure.

Summary: Amends the California Constitution to create the California Infrastructure Investment Fund in the State Treasury. Requires the Controller to transfer from the General Fund to the California Infrastructure Investment Fund in each fiscal year an amount equal to up to 2.5% of the estimated General Fund revenues for that fiscal year. Requires the amounts in the fund to be allocated for specified infrastructure investments.

Digest: This measure would amend the California Constitution to create the California Infrastructure Investment Fund in the State Treasury. The measure would require the Controller, beginning in the 2019-20 fiscal year, to transfer from the General Fund to the California Infrastructure Investment Fund in each fiscal year an amount equal to up to 2.5% of the estimated General Fund revenues for that fiscal year, as provided. The measure would require, for the 2019-20 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter, the amounts in the fund to be allocated, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for specified infrastructure investments, including the funding of deferred maintenance projects.

Introduced: 01/03/2018

Status: 01/03/2018 INTRODUCED.

Department: DevelopmentSvcs, PAC, PW

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

29. CA ACA 23

Author: [Melendez \(R\)](#)

Title: Legislative Committees: Prohibition on Holding Bills

Fiscal Committee: no

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: ASSEMBLY

Code Section: A resolution to propose to the people of the State of California an amendment to the Constitution of the State, by amending Section 11 of Article IV thereof, relating to the Legislature.

Summary: Enacts the Legislative Transparency Act, which would require a standing committee to vote by roll call on each bill the committee hears regarding recommendation to the house. Prohibits a standing committee from holding a bill in lieu of voting on recommendation to the house.

Digest: This measure would enact the Legislative Transparency Act, which would require a standing committee to vote by roll call on each bill the committee hears regarding recommendation to the house, and would prohibit a

standing committee from holding a bill in lieu of voting on recommendation to the house.

Introduced: 02/12/2018
Status: 02/12/2018 INTRODUCED.
Department: PAC
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

30. CA ACA 29

Author: [Melendez \(R\)](#)
Title: Taxation: Voter Approval
Fiscal Committee: no
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: ASSEMBLY
Code Section: A resolution to propose to the people of the State of California an amendment to the Constitution of the State, by amending Section 3 of Article XIII A of the California Constitution thereof, relating to taxation.
Summary: Requires any change in state statute that increases the tax liability of any taxpayer to be submitted to the electors and, if they approved by a majority of votes on the issue, to take effect the day after the election unless the act provides otherwise.
Digest: This measure would additionally require any change in state statute that increases the tax liability of any taxpayer to be submitted to the electors and, if approved by a majority of votes on the issue, to take effect the day after the election unless the act provides otherwise.
Introduced: 02/27/2018
Status: 02/27/2018 INTRODUCED.
Department: Budget, CityAttorney, Clerk, Finance, PAC
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

31. CA SB 532

Author: [Dodd \(D\)](#)
Title: Emergency Services: State Of Emergency: Cyberterrorism
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending

Location: ASSEMBLY

Code Section: An act to ~~repeal and add Section 2089.26 of the Fish and Game Code, relating to fish and wildlife.~~ amend Section 8558 of the Government Code, relating to emergency services.

Summary: Relates to the California Emergency Services Act. Provides for adding cyberterrorism within those conditions constituting a state of emergency and a local emergency.

Digest: This bill would additionally include cyberterrorism within those conditions constituting a state of emergency and a local emergency.

Introduced: 02/16/2017

Last Amend: 01/03/2018

Status: 01/29/2018 In SENATE. Read third time. Passed SENATE. *****To ASSEMBLY. (39-0)

Department: CityAttorney, Fire, IT, PAC, PD

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

32. CA SB 726

Author: [Wiener \(D\)](#)

Title: Taxation Sales and Use Tax: Exemption: Information

Fiscal Committee: no

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: ASSEMBLY

Code Section: An act to ~~repeal and add Part 8 (commencing with Section 13301) of Division 2 of~~ add Section 41.5 to the Revenue and Taxation Code, relating to taxation, and calling an election, to take effect immediately. taxation.

Summary: Requires any bill that would authorize an exemption from the taxes imposed by the Sales and Use Tax Law to contain specified goals, purposes, and objectives that the exemption will achieve, and detailed performance indicators, including data collection requirements, to measure whether the exemption is meeting those goals, purposes, and objectives.

Digest: This bill would require any bill, introduced on or after January 1, 2019, that would authorize an exemption from the taxes imposed by the Sales and Use Tax Law to contain, among other provisions, specified goals, purposes, and objectives that the exemption will achieve, and detailed performance indicators, including data collection requirements, to measure whether the exemption is meeting those goals, purposes, and objectives.

Introduced: 02/17/2017

Last Amend: 01/03/2018

Status: 01/22/2018 In SENATE. Read third time. Passed SENATE. *****To ASSEMBLY. (38-0)

Department: Finance, PAC
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

33. CA SB 827

Author: [Wiener \(D\)](#)
Coauthor [Hueso \(D\)](#) , [Skinner \(D\)](#) , [Ting \(D\)](#)
Title: Planning and zoning: transit-rich housing bonus
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: Senate Transportation and Housing Committee
Code Section: An act to add ~~Section 65917.7 to~~ [Chapter 4.35 \(commencing with Section 65918.5\) to Division 1 of Title 7 of](#) the Government Code, relating to land use.
Summary: Requires a local government to grant a development proponent of a transit-rich housing project a transit-rich housing bonus if that development meets specified planning standards. Defines transit-rich housing. Requires an applicant who receives a transit-rich housing bonus to provide benefits to eligible displaced persons who are displaced persons for moving and related expenses as well as for relocation benefits.
Digest: This bill would require a local government to, if requested, grant a development proponent of a transit-rich housing project a transit-rich housing bonus if that development meets specified planning standards, including complying with demolition permit requirements, local inclusionary housing ordinance requirements, preparing a relocation benefits and assistance plan, any locally adopted objective zoning standards, and any locally adopted minimum unit mix requirements. The bill would define a transit-rich housing project as a residential development project the parcels of which are all within a 1/2 mile radius of a major transit stop or a 1/4 mile radius of a stop on a high-quality transit corridor. The bill would exempt an eligible applicant who receives a transit-rich housing bonus from various requirements, including maximum controls on residential density, maximum controls on floor area ratio that are lower than a specified amount, minimum automobile parking requirements, maximum height limitations, and zoning or design controls that have the effect of limiting additions onto existing structures or lots that comply with those maximum floor area ratios and height limitations. The bill would require an eligible applicant who receives a transit-rich housing bonus to provide benefits to eligible displaced persons who are displaced by the development, including requiring the applicant to offer a right to remain guarantee to those tenants, and to make payments to eligible displaced persons for moving and related expenses as well as for relocation benefits. The bill would also require an eligible applicant to submit a relocation benefit and assistance plan for approval to the applicable local

government to that effect, and to provide specified information and assistance to eligible displaced persons.

The bill would declare that its provisions address a matter of statewide concern and apply equally to all cities and counties in this state, including a charter city.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 01/03/2018

Last Amend: 03/01/2018

Status: 03/01/2018 From SENATE Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING with author's amendments.
03/01/2018 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING.

Department: Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

34. CA SB 828

Author: [Wiener \(D\)](#)

Title: Land use: housing element

Fiscal Committee: no

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Senate Rules Committee

Code Section: An act [to amend Sections 65583.2, 65584, and 65584.01 of, and to add Section 65584.01.1 to, the Government Code](#), relating to land use.

Summary: States the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would require the Department of Housing and Community Development to take certain actions relating to unmet housing needs.

Digest: This bill would increase the percentage of the need for housing for very low and low-income households that the program is required to accommodate to 200%.

This bill would require the final regional housing need plan to reflect equitable allocations for housing of all income levels, and not demonstrate disparities that promote racial or wealth disparities throughout a region. The bill would also require the plan, in particular communities, to demonstrate a high rate of new housing production for households of all income levels and

that median rent or home prices available for rent or sale that exceed levels affordable to median income households shall be alleviated, as specified.

This bill would require that data, if available, to include median rent or home prices that exceed median income and the rate of median income growth or decline. The bill would also prohibit the council of governments from considering prior underproduction of housing, as specified, in order to inform housing allocations or to justify a lower allocation for a local jurisdiction.

This bill would also require the department, before the next regional housing needs assessment for each region, to address the historic underproduction of housing in California, particularly in coastal and metropolitan communities, by completing a comprehensive audit of unmet housing needs for each region and to add the results of this audit to the next regional housing allocations after January 1, 2019.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 01/03/2018

Last Amend: 03/14/2018

Status: 03/14/2018 From SENATE Committee on RULES with author's amendments.
03/14/2018 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on RULES.

Department: Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

35. CA SB 831

Author: [Wieckowski \(D\)](#)

Coauthor [Atkins \(D\)](#) , [Wiener \(D\)](#)

Title: Land use: accessory dwelling units

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Senate Transportation and Housing Committee

Code Section: An act to amend Sections ~~65852.2 and 65852.22~~ [65585 and 65852.2](#) of, [to add Section 65852.21 to](#), and to add and repeal Section 65852.23 of, the Government Code, relating to land use.

Summary: Relates to accessory dwelling units in single-family and multi-family residential zones. Deletes the requirement that the area be zoned to allow single-family and multi-family use. Revises standards for local ordinances to include a prohibition on considering the square footage of a proposed

accessory dwelling unit when calculating an allowable floor-to-area ratio for the lot.

Digest:

This bill would delete the requirement that the area be zoned to allow single-family or multifamily use. The bill would revise the standards for the local ordinance to, among other things, include a prohibition on considering the square footage of a proposed accessory dwelling unit when calculating an allowable floor-to-area ratio for the lot. The bill would specify that if a local agency does not act on an application for a accessory dwelling unit within 120 days, then the application shall be deemed approved. The bill would prohibit a local agency from requiring offstreet parking spaces be replaced when a garage, carport, or covered parking structure is demolished or converted in conjunction with the construction of an accessory dwelling unit. The bill would prohibit another local ordinance, policy, or regulation from being the basis for the delay of the issuance of a building permit or use permit for an accessory dwelling unit. The bill would delete provisions authorizing a local agency to require owner occupancy by the permit applicant and authorizing a local agency, special district, or water corporation to require an applicant to install a separate utility connection for the accessory dwelling unit and would state that an accessory dwelling unit shall not be considered a new residential use for purposes of calculating fees and shall not be subject to impact fees, connection fees, capacity charges, or any other fees levied by those entities. The bill would authorize the department, upon submission of an adopted ordinance for the creation of accessory dwelling units, to submit written findings to the local agency regarding whether the ordinance complies with statutory provisions. The bill would authorize the department to adopt guidelines to implement uniform standards or criteria to supplement or clarify the terms, references, or standards set forth in statute and would exempt the adoption of those guidelines from the Administrative Procedure Act. The bill would also specify the applicable building code standards for accessory dwelling units constructed before January 1, 2018. By increasing the duties of local agencies with respect to land use regulations, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would require, if, in any action brought to enforce the above described provisions regarding accessory dwelling units brought by the applicant to construct an accessory dwelling unit or a housing organization, a court finds that the local agency, in violation of those provisions, disapproved a permit to construct an accessory dwelling unit or conditioned its approval in a manner rendering it infeasible for the construction of an accessory dwelling unit, the court to issue an order or judgment compelling compliance with those provisions within 60 days, including, but not limited to, an order that the local agency take action on the accessory dwelling unit. The bill would require, upon a determination that the local agency failed to comply with this order or judgment within 60 days, the court to impose fines on a local agency that has violated those provisions and require the local agency to deposit the fine into a local housing trust fund or in the Building Homes and Jobs Trust Fund and would make fines deposited in that state fund available upon appropriation by the Legislature, as specified.

This bill would also require the department to notify the city, county, or city and county and authorize notice to the Attorney General when the city, county, or city and county is not substantially complying with those provisions regarding accessory dwelling units.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

Introduced: 01/04/2018
Last Amend: 03/13/2018
Status: 03/13/2018 From SENATE Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING with author's amendments.
03/13/2018 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING.
Department: Planning
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

36. CA SB 833

Author: [McGuire \(D\)](#)
Coauthor: [Hill \(D\)](#) , [Levine \(D\)](#) , [Wood \(D\)](#) , [Aguiar-Curry \(D\)](#) , [Dodd \(D\)](#)
Title: Emergency Alerts: Evacuation Orders: Operators
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Committee: Senate Governmental Organization Committee
Hearing: 04/10/2018 9:30 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
Code Section: An act to add Sections 8588.4 and 8594.6 to the Government Code, relating to emergency services.
Summary: Provides for a red alert system designed to issue and coordinate alerts following an evacuation order. Requires the red alert system to incorporate a variety of notification resources and developing technologies that may be tailored to the circumstances and geography of the underlying evacuation, as appropriate.
Digest: This bill would provide for a red alert system designed to issue and coordinate alerts following an evacuation order, as specified. The bill would require the red alert system to incorporate a variety of notification resources and developing technologies that may be tailored to the circumstances and geography of the underlying evacuation, as appropriate. The bill would require a local government agency or state agency that uses the federal Wireless Emergency Alert (WEA) system to alert a specified area of an

evacuation order to use the term "red alert" in the alert and notify OES of the alert.

The bill would further require, on or before January 1, 2019, OES to both include a red alert link on its Internet Web site and establish standards, guidelines, and procedures for the red alert system. On or before July 1, 2019, the bill would require OES to both ensure that each emergency management office within a county or city is a registered WEA operator and has up-to-date WEA software and equipment. The bill also would require OES to ensure that emergency management personnel trained on the WEA system receive yearly training in WEA software and equipment operation.

The bill, upon appropriation by the Legislature, would require an emergency management office within a county or city and county to be provided moneys for the purposes of implementing this provision. The bill also would authorize the state and local government agencies to receive in-kind contributions or donations from the private sector, or grant funds from the federal government for this provision. By increasing the duties of local governments, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

Introduced: 01/04/2018
Status: 01/16/2018 To SENATE Committee on GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION.
Department: Fire, IT, PAC, PD
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

37. CA SB 893

Author: [Nguyen \(R\)](#)
Title: Planning and Zoning: Density Bonus
Fiscal Committee: no
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: Senate Transportation and Housing Committee
Code Section: An act to amend Section 65915 of the Government Code, relating to land use.
Summary: Deletes existing law that prohibits, at the request of a developer, a county or county from imposing a vehicular parking ratio in excess of specified ratios on a development that meets specified criteria.

Digest: This bill would delete these additional vehicular parking ratio provisions.

This bill would delete this specification.

Introduced: 01/11/2018

Status: 01/24/2018 To SENATE Committees on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING and GOVERNANCE AND FINANCE.

Department: Planning

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

38. CA SB 916

Author: [Wiener \(D\)](#)

Coauthor [Ting \(D\)](#) , [Rodriguez \(D\)](#) , [Chiu \(D\)](#) , [Cervantes \(D\)](#) , [Arambula \(D\)](#)

Title: Burglary of a Vehicle

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Committee: Senate Public Safety Committee

Hearing: 03/20/2018 9:30 am, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)

Code Section: An act to ~~amend Section 459 of~~ [add Section 465 to](#) the Penal Code, relating to crime.

Summary: Includes in the definition of burglary entering a vehicle by forced entry with the intent to commit grand or petit larceny or a felony.

Digest: This bill would make entering a vehicle with the intent to commit a theft therein a crime punishable by imprisonment in the county jail for a period not to exceed one year or imprisonment in the county jail for 2, 3, or 4 years. By creating a new crime, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Introduced: 01/22/2018

Last Amend: 03/14/2018

Status: 03/14/2018 From SENATE Committee on PUBLIC SAFETY with author's amendments.

03/14/2018 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on PUBLIC SAFETY.

Department: PD

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

39. CA SB 918

Author:	Wiener (D)
Coauthor	Beall (D) , Reyes (D) , Glazer (D) , Leyva (D) , Thurmond (D) , Steinorth (R) , Mayes (R) , Lackey (R) , Chiu (D) , Stone (D) , Maienschein (R) , Cooley (D) , Hill (D) , Portantino (D) , Rubio (D)
Title:	Homeless Youth Act of 2018
Fiscal Committee:	yes
Urgency Clause:	no
Disposition:	Pending
Committee:	Senate Human Services Committee
Hearing:	04/10/2018 1:30 pm, Room 3191
Code Section:	An act to amend Section 8257 of, and to add Chapter 6.1 (commencing with Section 13725) to Part 3 of Division 9 of, the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to homeless youth, and making an appropriation therefor.
Summary:	Establishes the Office of Homeless Youth in the Department of Housing and Community Development. Sets forth duties of the office including measurable goals aimed at preventing and ending homelessness among youth in the state. Requires the office to identify funding, policy, and practice gaps across state systems that serve or hold the potential to serve young people experiencing homelessness, develop specific recommendations and timelines for addressing these gaps and report to Legislature.
Digest:	This bill would establish the Office of Homeless Youth in the Department of Housing and Community Development. The bill would set forth the duties of the office, including, but not limited to, setting specific, measurable goals aimed at preventing and ending homelessness among youth in the state. The bill would require the office to identify funding, policy, and practice gaps across state systems that serve, or hold the potential to serve, young people experiencing homelessness, develop specific recommendations and timelines for addressing these gaps, and report to the Legislature, as specified. The bill would require the office to oversee and administer specified grant programs for young people experiencing homelessness and their families, which would be funded by funds provided to the State Department of Health Care Services from the Youth Education, Prevention, Early Intervention, and Treatment Account. The bill would make an appropriation to the office from the General Fund in the amount of the difference between funding received from the State Department of Social Services for purposes of the grant program and \$60,000,000. The bill would specify that the grant funds would be used to supplement existing levels of service and not to supplant any existing funding. The bill would allow no more than 40% of the total funds granted in a given year to be used to establish, expand, or operate shelter programs.

This bill would impose criteria and requirements for agencies eligible for grant funding to operate a homeless youth program or shelter program, and would require preference to be given to agencies with certain characteristics,

including those that propose to provide services in geographic areas where no similar services are provided and there is a demonstrated need for those services. The bill would require a grant proposal to identify how it intends to ensure that participating youth receive services, including, but not limited to, drug abuse education and prevention services, mental and physical health care, and aftercare and follow-up services. The bill would require a grantee to submit annual progress reports to the office and agree to meet quality improvement goals, accept technical assistance, and submit to annual site monitoring visits by the office, as specified.

This bill would require the council membership to also include a representative of the Office of Homeless Youth.

Introduced: 01/22/2018

Last Amend: 03/06/2018

Status: 03/06/2018 From SENATE Committee on HUMAN SERVICES with author's amendments.

03/06/2018 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on HUMAN SERVICES.

Department: Homelessness, Housing, PAC, PD

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

40. CA SB 993

Author: [Hertzberg \(D\)](#)

Title: Sales Tax: Services

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Senate Governance and Finance Committee

Code Section: An act to add Chapter 3.8 (commencing with Section 6305) to Part 1 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, relating to taxation, to take effect immediately, tax levy.

Summary: Expands, as specified, the Sales and Use Tax Law to impose a tax on the purchase of services by businesses in California at a specified percentage of the sales price of the service. Requires the tax be collected and remitted by the seller of the services. Exempts certain types of services, including health care services, from the tax and exempts from the tax a business with gross receipts of less than a specified amount in the previous 4 quarters.

Digest: This bill would, on and after January 1, 2019, expand the Sales and Use Tax Law to impose a tax on the purchase of services by businesses in California at a specified percentage of the sales price of the service. The bill would require the tax to be collected and remitted by the seller of the purchased services. The bill would exempt certain types of services, including health

care services, from the tax and would exempt from the tax a business with gross receipts of less than \$100,000 in the previous 4 quarters. The bill would require the tax to be paid to the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration and would require the department to transmit the payments, less refunds and cost of administration, to the Treasurer to be deposited into the Retail Sales Tax on Services Fund, which this bill would create in the State Treasury. The bill would state that the moneys in that fund are to be appropriated to provide tax relief to middle-income and low-income Californians and to assist in securing greater stability for California's infrastructure, its workforce, and its education services, including higher education. The bill would also state various related findings and declarations.

This bill would include a change in state statute that would result in a taxpayer paying a higher tax within the meaning of Section 3 of Article XIII A of the California Constitution, and thus would require for passage the approval of 2/3 of the membership of each house of the Legislature.

This bill would take effect immediately as a tax levy.

Introduced: 02/05/2018
Status: 02/14/2018 To SENATE Committee on GOVERNANCE AND FINANCE.
Department: Finance, PAC
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

41. CA SB 1022

Author: [Pan \(D\)](#)
Title: Public Employees Retirement System: Administration
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Committee: Senate Public Employment and Retirement Committee
Hearing: 04/09/2018 2:00 pm, Rose Ann Vuich Hearing Room (2040)
Code Section: An act to amend ~~Section~~ [Sections](#) 20230, 20570, and 20571 ~~of, and to repeal Section 20577.5 of, of~~ the Government Code, relating to public employees' retirement.
Summary: Specifies that confidentiality provisions apply to the Public Employees Medical and Hospital Care Act, which the Board of Administration of the Public Employees' Retirement System also administers, and makes conforming changes to account for this and to account for school district and university employer categories currently in effect. Authorizes the confidentiality of provisions of records connected to the beneficiary of a member or retired member who is or was employed by the entity.

Digest: This bill would specify that the confidentiality provisions, described above, apply to the Public Employees Medical and Hospital Care Act, which the board also administers, and would make conforming changes to account for this and to account for school district and university employer categories currently in effect. The bill would authorize the confidentiality of provisions of records connected to the beneficiary of a member or retired member who is or was employed by the entity. The bill also would authorize data to be used in connection with related reporting and notice obligations.

This bill would require terminating entities, as described above, to notify past and present employees who are members, former members, or retired members of the system, within 7 days of the adoption of the resolution giving notice of intention to terminate and, with regard to contracts that were approved by the electorate, to make notification of a pending vote to terminate at least 90 days before the date of vote. The bill would require that the ordinance or resolution terminating the contract be adopted not less than 90 days and not more than one year after the system's receipt of the resolution giving notice of intention to terminate. The bill would prohibit the termination effective date from being earlier than the date of adoption of the ordinance or resolution terminating the contract.

Introduced: 02/07/2018

Last Amend: 03/14/2018

Status: 03/14/2018 From SENATE Committee on PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT AND RETIREMENT with author's amendments.
03/14/2018 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT AND RETIREMENT.

Department: Finance, HR

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

42. CA SB 1028

Author: [Hill \(D\)](#)

Title: Public Utilities: Rates: Federal Tax Law Changes

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: yes

Disposition: Pending

Location: Senate Energy, Utilities and Communications Committee

Code Section: An act to add Section 751 to the Public Utilities Code, relating to public utility rates, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

Summary: Requires the commission to evaluate the effect of the enactment of federal House Resolution 1 (Public Law 115-97) upon the expenses incurred by public utilities for payment of federal taxes and, if the commission determines that the projected expenses for federal taxes that the commission has authorized to be recovered in the rates for a public utility are materially

affected by the enactment, would require the commission to adjust the rates of the utility to reflect projected expenses.

Digest: This bill would require the commission to evaluate the effect of the enactment of federal House Resolution 1 (Public Law 115-97) upon the expenses incurred by public utilities for payment of federal taxes and, if the commission determines that the projected expenses for federal taxes that the commission has authorized to be recovered in the rates for a public utility are materially affected by the enactment, would require the commission to adjust the rates of the utility to reflect projected expenses in light of the changes in federal law. The bill would require that a utility's rates be adjusted within 90 days after any probable savings can be determined, or reasonably estimated, for that utility.

This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

Introduced: 02/08/2018

Status: 02/22/2018 To SENATE Committee on ENERGY, UTILITIES AND COMMUNICATIONS.

Department: Electric, Finance

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

43. CA SB 1045

Author: [Wiener \(D\)](#)

Coauthor [Bradford \(D\)](#) , [Allen \(D\)](#) , [Chen \(R\)](#) , [Stern \(D\)](#)

Title: Conservatorship: Chronic Homelessness: Mental Health

Fiscal Committee: no

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Senate Rules Committee

Code Section: An act [to add Chapter 5 \(commencing with Section 5450\) to, and to add Article 7 to Chapter 6.2 \(commencing with Section 5555\) of, Part 1 of Division 5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code](#), relating to conservatorship.

Summary: Establishes a procedure for the appointment of a conservator for a person who is chronically homeless and incapable of caring for the person's own health and well-being due to acute and severe mental illness or a severe substance abuse disorder, as evidenced by certain records. Imposes requirements on conservatorship investigation and appointed conservators.

Digest: This bill would establish a procedure for the appointment of a conservator for a person who is chronically homeless and incapable of caring for the person's own health and well-being due to acute and severe mental illness

or a severe substance abuse disorder, as evidenced by high-frequency emergency department use, high-frequency jail detention due to behavior resulting from the person's severe mental illness or substance abuse disorder, or frequent placement under a 72-hour involuntary hold because, based on probable cause, the person, as a result of a mental health disorder, is a danger to others, or to himself or herself, or is gravely disabled, for the purpose of providing appropriate placement in supportive housing that provides wraparound services.

This bill would require an officer providing conservatorship investigation in the county to conduct a conservatorship investigation upon recommendation of conservatorship by specified individuals and would require the officer, if he or she concurs in the recommendation of conservatorship, to petition the superior court in the person's county of residence for a conservatorship and to provide a written report to the court of his or her investigation prior to the hearing. The bill would authorize the court to appoint the public conservator or the director of a local agency who is tasked with addressing the homeless population in the county of residence of the person to serve as conservator if it is in the best interests of the proposed conservatee. The bill would require the conservator to place his or her conservatee in supportive housing that provides wraparound services and would grant the conservator the right, if specified in the court order, to require his or her conservatee to be at the conservatee's housing location each night.

This bill would require a conservatorship initiated under these provisions to automatically terminate one year after the appointment of the conservator by the superior court, but would authorize the conservator, if upon the termination of an initial or a succeeding period of conservatorship the conservator determines that conservatorship is still required, to petition the superior court for his or her reappointment as conservator for a succeeding one-year period.

This bill would authorize the Judicial Council to adopt rules, forms, and standards necessary to implement these provisions.

This bill would require each county to establish a working group, comprised of representatives of local agencies and disability rights groups, to conduct an evaluation of the effectiveness of the implementation of the conservatorship provisions described above in addressing the needs of chronically homeless persons with mental illness or substance abuse disorders. The bill would require each working group to prepare and submit a report to the Legislature on its findings and recommendations no later than January 1, 2020.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

Introduced: 02/08/2018

Last Amend: 03/13/2018
Status: 03/13/2018 From SENATE Committee on RULES with author's amendments.
03/13/2018 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on RULES.
Department: CityAttorney, Homelessness, Housing, PD
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

44. CA SB 1153

Author: [Stern \(D\)](#)
Title: Local Initiatives: Review
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Committee: Senate Elections and Constitutional Amendments Committee
Hearing: 04/03/2018 1:30 pm, Room 3191
Code Section: An act to add Sections 9108.5 and 9201.5 to the Elections Code, relating to initiatives.
Summary: Requires the county counsel for county initiative measures and the city attorney for municipal initiative measures to initiate a public review process posting the text of the proposed measure on its web site and inviting and providing for the submission of written public comments on the initiative.
Digest: This bill would require the county counsel for county initiative measures and the city attorney for municipal initiative measures to initiate a public review process for a period of 30 days by (1) posting the text of the proposed initiative measure on its Internet Web site and (2) inviting, and providing for the submission of, written public comments on the proposed initiative measure. By imposing new duties on local county counsels and city attorneys, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would authorize during the public review period the proponents of the proposed initiative measure to submit amendments to the measure that are reasonably germane to the theme, purpose, or subject of the initiative measure as originally proposed.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.
Introduced: 02/14/2018
Status: 02/22/2018 To SENATE Committee on ELECTIONS AND CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS.

Department: CityAttorney, Finance, IT, PAC
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

45. CA SB 1302

Author: [Lara \(D\)](#)
Title: Cannabis: Local Jurisdiction: Prohibitions on Delivery
Fiscal Committee: no
Urgency Clause: no
Disposition: Pending
Location: Senate Governance and Finance Committee
Code Section: An act to amend Section 26090 of the Business and Professions Code, relating to cannabis.
Summary: Prohibits a local jurisdiction from preventing the delivery of cannabis or cannabis products on public roads, or to an address that is located within that jurisdictional boundaries, by a licensee who is acting in compliance with the Medicinal and Adult Use Cannabis Regulation and Safety Act, and who is acting in compliance with any license, permit, or other authorization obtained from another local jurisdiction.
Digest: This bill would, instead, prohibit a local jurisdiction from preventing delivery of cannabis or cannabis products on public roads, or to an address that is located within the jurisdictional boundaries of that local jurisdiction, by a licensee who is acting in compliance with MAUCRSA and who is acting in compliance with any license, permit, or other authorization obtained from another local jurisdiction.

The bill would include findings that the changes proposed by this bill address a matter of statewide concern, rather than a municipal affair and, therefore, apply to all cities, including charter cities.

This bill would declare that its provisions further specified purposes and intent of the Control, Regulate and Tax Adult Use of Marijuana Act.
Introduced: 02/16/2018
Status: 03/01/2018 To SENATE Committee on GOVERNANCE AND FINANCE.
Department: CityAttorney, PD
Position: Watch
Priority: StatePriority

46. CA SB 1436

Author: [Portantino \(D\)](#)
Title: Homelessness

Fiscal Committee: no

Urgency Clause: no

Disposition: Pending

Location: Senate Rules Committee

Code Section: An act relating to homelessness.

Summary: Expresses the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation relating to homeless encampments in California.

Digest: This bill would express the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation relating to homeless encampments in California.

Introduced: 02/16/2018

Status: 03/08/2018 To SENATE Committee on RULES.

Department: Homelessness, Housing, PAC, PD

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority



CITY COUNCIL Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 9143

File #: 0103-32-01

Title: Senate Bill 1 Repeal Initiative, and Proposition 69 Ballot Measure

Contact: Mark Wolinski 916-774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 3/28/2018

Item #: 6.3.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

The staff report provides information to the Committee regarding two actions that have significant current and future implications concerning transportation funding. The repeal of Senate Bill 1 (SB 1), which was signed into law last year, and Proposition 69, which is on the June ballot, would have their own unique impact on transportation funding. Staff requests the Committee's input and direction regarding bringing the item to the full City Council for their consideration.

BACKGROUND

Proposition 69 is a measure on the June statewide ballot to provide additional protections for transportation revenues. It is a constitutional amendment to prohibit the Legislature from diverting or borrowing any new transportation revenues for non-transportation improvement purposes to ensure that revenues passed by the Legislature to pay for road and highway maintenance will be spent exclusively on transportation. It was placed on the ballot by Assembly Constitutional Amendment 5 (Frazier), which passed the Legislature last year as part of the transportation package. Additionally, efforts are currently underway to place a proposition on the November 2018, statewide ballot to repeal SB 1 (Beall).

Proposition 69 extends constitutional protections to all new vehicle fee and gasoline and diesel tax revenues generated by SB 1 that are not currently protected. It prohibits the Legislature from borrowing the new revenues or using them for any reason not currently outlined, including prohibiting loaning the funds to the State General Fund or another special fund.

Proposition 69 was part of a legislative package that included SB 1. Without SB 1, Proposition 69 would not affect anything. SB 1, which was also known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, enacted an estimated \$5.2 billion-a-year increase in transportation-related taxes and fees, including a \$0.12 cents per gallon increase of the gasoline excise tax, a \$0.20 cents per gallon increase of the diesel excise tax, a 4 percentage points increase of the diesel sales tax, an annual \$25 to \$100 Transportation Improvement Fee, and an annual \$100 zero-emission vehicles fee.¹

Proposition 69 would require that revenue from the diesel sales tax and Transportation Improvement Fee (TIF) be dedicated for transportation-related purposes. As of 2018, the state

constitution prohibited the legislature from using gasoline excise tax revenue or diesel excise tax revenue for general non-transportation purposes. The amendment would require the diesel sales tax revenue to be deposited into the Public Transportation Account, which was designed to distribute funds for mass transportation and rail systems. Proposition 69 would require the TIF revenue be spent on public streets and highways and public transportation systems. Although SB 1 requires revenue from the zero-emission vehicles fee to be placed in the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account, Proposition 69 does not contain a provision creating a constitutional mandate for zero-emission vehicles fee revenue.

SB 1

SB 1 itself could potentially be in jeopardy this fall due to efforts to repeal the measure through a November 2018, statewide ballot proposition. If approved, the initiative would eliminate the gas tax in its entirety. The governor signed SB 1 into law last year as a way to address a \$59 million deferred maintenance on state highways and \$78 billion needed for local streets and roads. The City's public works department receives more than \$3 million from SB 1 for roadway maintenance and other transportation-related services. If SB 1 is repealed, it would eliminate guaranteed funding for cities and counties for road maintenance and limit future state funding for transportation projects.

The Fix Our Roads Coalition, which the City of Roseville is a member, is requesting coalition members support Proposition 69 and oppose the repeal of SB 1. The California Association of Councils of Governments (CALCOG), California State Association of Counties (CSAC), League of California Cities and many other members of the coalition have taken this position to support Proposition 69 and oppose the repeal of SB

Conclusion

The effects of each of these measures have implications for the City and its ability to maintain and undertake transportation-related activities and projects now and into the future. Staff requests the Committee's input and direction on bringing the item to the full City Council for their consideration.

FISCAL IMPACT

The costs of these activities are contained within the City's current budget.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

The activities detailed in this report will not result in job development or creation.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §1506(b) (3)). The action of reviewing proposed CEQA legislation does not include the potential for a significant environmental effect, therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mark Wolinski, Government Relations Administrator

Megan MacPherson, Public Affairs and Communications Director

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'M. Jensen', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Rob Jensen, City Manager