



AGENDA
March 23, 2016

**SPECIAL
CITY COUNCIL
LAW & REGULATION COMMITTEE**
5:30 p.m.
City Council Chambers
311 Vernon Street

1. **CALL TO ORDER**
2. **ROLL CALL (Appointed Committee Members)**

Councilmember/Committee Member: **Bonnie Gore**
Vice Mayor/Committee Chair: **Susan Rohan**

3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
4. **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC

Persons may address the City Council on items not on this agenda. Please complete a "Speaker Information Card" and present it to the City Clerk prior to the start of the meeting. Speakers shall restrict their comments to issues that are within the subject jurisdiction of the City Council and limit their comments to three (3) minutes per person. The total time allocated for Public Comment is 25 minutes. The Brown Act, with certain exceptions, does not permit the City Council to discuss or take action on issues that are not listed on the agenda.

5. **MINUTES**
 - 5.1. Minutes of Prior Meeting
6. **REPORTS/COMMENTS/COMMITTEE/STAFF**
 - 6.1. Electric Legislative Update - State

Memo from Government Relations Analyst Chris Romero and Electric Utility Director Michelle Bertolino with an overview of the key energy bills introduced by the State legislature that are of particular significance to the Electric Department.

6.2. Priority List of Legislation - March 2016

Memo from Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski and Public Affairs & Communications Director Megan MacPherson with an initial review of bills identified as priority legislation. Following upcoming meetings with departments in the next few weeks, the full list will be finalized later this month. The list will be brought to the Committee for its review and input during the April Law & Regulation Committee meeting. All legislation included in the priority list meets the various criteria defined within the Council's adopted 2016 Legislative and Regulatory Platform for State and Federal Advocacy. Staff requests input from the Committee on any bill(s) that are of particular importance to the Committee.

6.3. Overview of the Mayor's Annual Washington D.C Advocacy Trip

Memo from Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski and Public Affairs & Communications Director Megan MacPherson with an overview of the Mayor's federal advocacy trip to Washington D.C.

7. ADJOURNMENT



CITY COUNCIL Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 7581

File #: 0103-32-02

Title: Minutes of Prior Meeting
Contact: Sonia Orozco 774-5263 sorozco@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 3/23/2016

Item #: 5.1.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

Approve the Minutes of October 28, 2016 City Council Law & Regulation Committee meeting.

BACKGROUND

N/A

FISCAL IMPACT

N/A

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

N/A

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

N/A

Respectfully Submitted,

Sonia Orozco, City Clerk



Rob Jensen, City Manager

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

October 28, 2015 Law & Reg. Minutes



MINUTES OCTOBER 28, 2015

CITY COUNCIL LAW & REGULATION COMMITTEE

5:30 p.m.

City Council Chambers
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California

1. CALL TO ORDER

The October 28, 2015 City Council Law & Regulation Committee meeting was called to order by Vice Mayor/Chair Susan Rohan at 5:30 p.m.

2. SILENT ROLL CALL (Appointed Committee Members)

Councilmember/Committee Member:	Bonnie Gore	Present
Vice Mayor/Committee Chair:	Susan Rohan	Present

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Vice Mayor/Chair Susan Rohan.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC

Persons may address the City Council on items not on this agenda. Please complete a "Speaker Information Card" and present it to the City Clerk prior to the start of the meeting. Speakers shall restrict their comments to issues that are within the subject jurisdiction of the City Council and limit their comments to three (3) minutes per person. The total time allocated for Public Comment is 25 minutes. The Brown Act, with certain exceptions, does not permit the City Council to discuss or take action on issues that are not listed on the agenda.

No public comment received.

5. MINUTES

5.1 Minutes of Prior Meetings

September 21, 2015 Law & Regulation Committee Meeting

File 0103-32-01

CONTACT: Sonia Orozco 774-5263 sorozco@roseville.ca.us

The September 21, 2015 Law & Regulation Committee meeting minutes were approved by consensus.

6. REPORTS/COMMENTS/COMMITTEE/STAFF

6.1 Electric Legislative Update

Memo from Government Relations Analyst Chris Romero, Electric Utility Director Michelle Bertolino, and Public Affairs and Communications Director Megan MacPherson with an update on key energy legislation following the conclusion of the 2015 regular legislative session. The City of Roseville took an “oppose unless amended” position on SB 350. Despite ongoing efforts over the past several months to negotiate more flexible provisions for electric utilities, as well as important provisions for the City of Roseville, SB 350 passed the legislature with increased regulatory requirements and prescriptive language for the operation of electric utilities. In addition to SB 350, other important energy legislation that passed the legislature this year included the following:

AB 802 (Williams) Energy Efficiency and Benchmarking: This bill will create an energy use “benchmarking” program for buildings greater than 50,000 sq.ft. The bill authorizes the CEC to adopt regulations requiring electric utilities to provide public disclosure of energy use for covered buildings. This creates a prescriptive process for collecting aggregate user electricity consumption data. AB 802 also creates new definitions and removes liability from POUs if the data submitted is compromised.

AB 1236 (Chiu) Electric Vehicle Charging Station Permits: This bill will require local governments to create an expedited and streamlined permitting process for electric vehicle charging stations. Cities with a population of less than 200,000 residents are required to adopt this ordinance by September 30, 2017. In addition to closely monitoring the energy bills noted above, Roseville Electric Utility also actively participated throughout the year in tracking and advocating against a number of other important bills that ultimately did not pass the legislature. Although the following bills did not move to the Governor’s desk this legislative session, they could resurface as two-year bills during the 2016 legislative session.

AB 1330 (Bloom) Energy Efficiency/Demand Response: This bill would have required the California Public Utilities Commission, in consultation with the CEC, to establish annual demand response goals for POUs. The bill also specified that the governing boards of local publicly owned electric utilities who elect to administer energy efficiency programs for its customers are responsible for achieving the annual demand response goal.

SB 180 (Jackson) GHG Performance Standards for Natural Gas Power Plants: SB 180 sought to create two new Emissions Performance Standards (EPS), one for “non-peaking generation” and another for “peaking generation” to limit the amount of carbon dioxide power plants may emit. The bill attempted to establish the lowest EPS that is technologically feasible without putting the reliability of the electrical grid and electric service at risk. It also required the EPS to be revised downward every five years based on technological advances.

SB 687 (Allen) Renewable Gas Standards: This bill would have established a “renewable gas standard” to require all gas sellers to provide specified percentages of renewable gas to retail end-use customers in California. By 2030, the bill would have required that not less than 10 percent of the gas

supplied to retail end-use customers or use in California would need to be renewable gas.

Staff remains actively involved with its coalition partners such as the Northern California Power Agency and California Municipal Utilities Association, and is committed to participating and working with its legislative representatives and regulatory agencies as these new legislative mandates are implemented. The cost of the advocacy activities are contained within the City's current budget.

Council Communication No. 7227 – File 0103-32-02

CONTACT: Chris Romero 746-1660 cromero@roseville.ca.us

Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski introduced Government Relations Analyst Chris Romero and Electric Regulatory Compliance Supervisor Shawn Matchim.

Government Relations Analyst Chris Romero made the presentation to Council.

Electric Regulatory Compliance Supervisor Shawn Matchim responded to Committee questions.

No public comment received.

For information only; no action required.

6.2 City's Priority List of Legislation 2015 – Final Actions by Governor Brown

Memo from Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski and Public Affairs & Communications Director Megan MacPherson with the final report on the City's priority list of state legislation including the list of priority bills that the state legislature passed and sent to the governor for his consideration. The final list of 59 bills includes 49 bills that were enacted and 10 bills that were vetoed. Since the last Law & Regulation Committee meeting 37 bills on the priority list failed to pass out of the legislature. The bills that did not pass out of the legislature have either failed or, in some instances, have become two-year bills. Staff requests input from the committee on any bill(s) that are of particular importance to the committee. Staff is preparing for the upcoming 2016 legislative session to ensure the legislative advocacy effort continues to provide timely and effective representation of the City's adopted legislative platform. The costs of these activities are contained within the City's current budget.

Council Communication No. 7228 – File 0103-32-02

CONTACT: Mark Wolinski 774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Government Relations Administrator Mark Wolinski made the presentation to the Committee.

No public comment received.

For information only. No action taken.

7. REPORTS/COMMENTS/COMMITTEE/STAFF

None.

8. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned by consensus at 5:50 p.m.

SUSAN ROHAN, VICE MAYOR
COMMITTEE CHAIR

SONIA OROZCO, CMC
CITY CLERK



CITY COUNCIL Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 7578

File #: 0103-32-02

Title: Electric Legislative Update - State
Contact: Chris Romero 746-1660 cromero@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 3/23/2016

Item #: 6.1.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

The following report provides the Law and Regulation (L&R) Committee with an update on newly introduced legislation that will be monitored closely by electric utility staff.

BACKGROUND

After recently completing a landmark legislative session with substantial impacts to the electric utility industry, the state legislature has introduced many new bills during the new legislative session that began January 2016. These bills are currently under review by Roseville Electric Utility (Utility). During the initial review process, a few key energy bills have been identified by the Utility. These bills include the following:

- AB 2339 (Irwin): Net Energy Metering. (Oppose) This bill would define aggregate customer peak demand for the purposes of calculating the net energy metering program limit for electric utilities that are not large electrical corporations. The effect of this bill would approximately double the amount of behind-the-meter generation that would be eligible for net metering.
- AB 2699 (Gonzales) Solar Companies: Consumer Protection. (Watch) This bill requires a solar company selling, financing, or leasing a solar energy system, as defined, to provide each customer with a specified solar energy system disclosure document. Requires the Department of Consumer Affairs to adopt a regulation.
- SB 1043 (Allen). Renewable Gas. (Watch) Among other things this bill would require the State Air Resources Board to consider and adopt policies to significantly increase the sustainable production and use of renewable gas, and in so doing would require the state board, among other things, to ensure the production and use of renewable gas provides direct environmental benefits and identify barriers to the rapid development and use of renewable gas and potential sources of funding. This bill may raise costs for operating natural gas fired electric generating facilities.

In addition to the new bills, the Utility is also closely watching some of the implementation activities pertaining to Senate Bill 350 (De Leon). As the L&R Committee is aware, the City took an "oppose

unless amended” position on this bill. The final version of SB 350, has within it four major provisions:

- 50% renewable portfolio standard by 2030
- Double energy efficiency in buildings by 2030
- Requires Publicly Owned Utilities (POU's) to submit an Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) to the California Energy Commission (CEC)
- Transformation of the California Independent System Operator into a regional organization.

As previously discussed, this landmark legislation will have significant implications on electric utilities. One of the key provisions of this bill that the Utility is closely monitoring is the expansion of the Independent System Operator. A meeting was recently held at the state Capitol to discuss the ISO expansion. This meeting was organized by Senator pro Tem De Leon's office to bring key stakeholders together to discuss their concerns on the expansion.

Senator pro Tem expressed concern that the expansion not compromise the integrity of the 50% renewable portfolio standard, while others raised concerns about the impacts to California ratepayers and increased transmission charges. There were noteworthy points from many other stakeholders as well. The Utility will continue to closely monitor these and other SB 350 implementation activities and bring back relevant information to the L&R Committee.

Conclusion

Given that we are in the initial stages of the legislative session, staff will become more actively involved with its coalition partners such as Northern California Power Agency (NCPA) and California Municipal Utilities Association (CMUA), as it determines which key energy bills to monitor as well as developing strategies to addressing potential implications.

FISCAL IMPACT

The cost of the advocacy activities are contained within the City's current budget.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

The activities detailed in this report will not result in job development or creation.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §1506(b) (3). The action of reviewing the proposed Rule Making legislation does not include the potential for a significant environmental effect, therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Chris Romero, Government Relations Analyst

Michelle Bertolino, Electric Utility Director

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Rob Jensen', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Rob Jensen, City Manager



CITY COUNCIL Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 7579

File #: 0103-32-02

Title: Priority List of Legislation - March 2016
Contact: Mark Wolinski 774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 3/23/2016

Item #: 6.2.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

The following is an initial review of bills identified as priority legislation. (Attachment A) Following upcoming meetings with departments in the next few weeks, the full list will be finalized later this month. The list will be brought to the Committee for its review and input during the April L&R Committee meeting. All legislation included in the priority list meets the various criteria defined within the Council's adopted 2016 Legislative and Regulatory Platform for State and Federal Advocacy. Staff requests input from the Committee on any bill(s) that are of particular importance to the Committee.

BACKGROUND

- Staff has completed its review of more than 1,000 bills that were introduced this year by the State Legislature and is beginning to meet with city departments to review the list and finalize the priority list of legislation. The list will continue to be refined as the legislature moves through its calendar and deadlines throughout the course of the legislative session. Legislative efforts continue to focus on bills that would impact local control, would have significant fiscal implications to the city, would require the City to provide a service or benefit without appropriate and full funding and would negatively impact City revenues including the General Fund, Enterprise Fund and sales or property taxes. The following bills represent the types of legislation contained in the City's initial priority bill list.
- **AB1707 (Linder) – Public Records: Response to Request; Oppose**
The California Public Records Act requires a denial of a request of public records, in whole or in part, to be in writing, regardless of whether the request was in writing. Requires that response additionally to include a list that contains the title or other identification of each record requested but withheld due to an exemption and the specific exemption that applies to that record. This bill instead would require that response to be in writing regardless of whether the request was in writing. The bill would require that written response additionally to include a list that contains the title or other identification of each record requested, but withheld due to an exemption and the specific exemption that applies to that record.
Comment: *The City Clerk's office opposes this bill for the significant operational challenges and increased costs it poses and the potential for increased litigation for cities already struggling to comply with the California Public Records Act.*

- **SB 20 (Pavley) – State Water Resiliency Investment Act; Watch**

This bill would create the State Water Resiliency Investment Fund and would provide that monies in the Fund be available for the purpose of providing a more dependable water supply in the State. **Comment:** *The Environmental Utilities Department has significant concerns this bill would require collection of a “goods charge” to be submitted to the state, with no assurances funds collected in Roseville would be used for projects or programs in the City.*

- **SB 876 (Liu) - Homelessness; Oppose**

This bill would afford persons experiencing homelessness the right to use public spaces without discrimination based on their housing status and describe basic human and civil rights that may be exercised without being subject to criminal or civil sanctions, including the right to use and to move freely in public spaces, the right to rest in public spaces and to protect oneself from the elements, the right to eat in any public space in which having food is not prohibited, and the right to perform religious observances in public spaces, as specified. The bill would state the intent of the Legislature that these provisions be interpreted broadly so as to prohibit policies or practices that are discriminatory in either their purpose or effect. **Comment:** *The City is opposed to this bill for its prescriptive nature and for the loss of local control over addressing the needs of the homeless in our community. The City is currently working to identify the most effective strategies and programs for addressing the needs of the homeless in South Placer and this bill could have a detrimental effect on that effort.*

- **SB 1262 (Pavley) – Water Supply Planning; Watch**

This bill would require a city or county that determines a project is subject to the California Environmental Quality Act to identify any water system whose service area includes the project site and any water system adjacent to the project site. This bill would require, if a water source for a proposed project includes water of a quality not sufficient to meet certain drinking water standards, that prescribed additional information be included in a water supply assessment. **Comment:** *The Environmental Utilities Department is concerned this bill could affect land use and have unintended consequences that would affect future projects.*

- **SB 4 a (Beal) – Transportation Funding; Support**

This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact statutory changes to establish permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to maintain and repair the state's highways, local roads, bridges, and other critical transportation infrastructure. **Comment:** *Transportation funding continues to be a crucial issue for the City, region and the state. This bill, along with SB 5 a and AB 4a are three bills introduced in the Special Session regarding transportation funding that are supported by the Public Works Department. These bills would provide benefits for addressing transportation issues not only for the City, but state wide.*

- **SB 5 a (Beal) – Transportation Funding; Support**

This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to establish permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to improve the state's key trade corridors and to support efforts by local governments to repair and improve local transportation infrastructure. **Comment:** *This is the second bill supported by the Public Works Department for transportation funding. This bill focuses on trade corridors and local transportation infrastructure, which are two areas that are critically underfunded and have significant implications for residents and businesses alike.*

- **AB 4 a (Frazier) – Transportation Funding; Support**

This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to establish

permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to improve the state's key trade corridors and support efforts by local governments to repair and improve local transportation infrastructure. **Comment:** *This is the third bill supported by the Public Works Department regarding transportation funding. The author of the bill is working closely with Senator Beal on addressing the current and future transportation funding needs of municipalities as well as the state.*

Federal Priority Issues

- **Tax-Exempt Status of Municipal Bonds**

Earlier in the month, Reps. Randy Hultgren (R-IL) and Dutch Ruppersberger (D-MD) announced the creation of the Municipal Finance Caucus to fight for state and local governments' ability to independently finance projects to keep their communities strong, including protecting the tax-exempt status of municipal bonds. The Caucus will ask that leadership reject any proposal to cap or eliminate the deduction on tax-exempt municipal bonds used to finance the vast majority of infrastructure projects in America's communities. This is a top legislative priority for the City as well as for national organizations such as the National League of Cities and the US Conference of Mayors.

- **Cybersecurity**

Cybersecurity continues to be a critical issue for the City. New regulations, policies and mandates passed at the federal level often have operational and infrastructure implications that are often very costly and compound requirements mandated by state laws. It will be critical to advocate on legislation and policy issues at the federal level to ensure that the City's ideas and concerns are supported by the City's representatives.

- **Homelessness and Affordable Housing**

The lack of affordable housing throughout the state is at crisis levels, affecting a range of housing issues from homelessness to affordable workforce housing. Affordability and homelessness will continue to be issues that have significant implications to the City.

- **Water and Power**

The utilities continue to work at meeting the challenges of providing safe, reliable and cost effective water and power, while complying with new federal policies and regulations. The City will need to remain actively engaged in the federal process on issues including water-reuse, the expansion of recycled water uses, new water storage, stormwater capture; and funding new power infrastructure such as advanced metering infrastructure.

Conclusion

Government relations staff will continue to review, track, advocate and meet with departments to revise the city's priority list of legislation throughout the state and federal legislative sessions. Staff will continue to bring the revised list back to the L&R Committee for the Committee's input and review.

FISCAL IMPACT

The costs of these activities are contained within the City's current budget.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

The activities detailed in this report will not result in job development or creation.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §1506(b) (3)). The action of reviewing proposed CEQA legislation does not include the potential for a significant environmental effect, therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mark Wolinski, Government Relations Administrator

Megan MacPherson, Public Affairs and Communications Director



Rob Jensen, City Manager

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

Priority Legislation Attachment A

State Priority Legislation – March 2016

1. CA AB 1869

Author: [Melendez \(R\)](#)

Coauthor [Bates \(R\)](#) , [Linder \(R\)](#) , [Patterson \(R\)](#) , [Waldron \(R\)](#) , [Wilk \(R\)](#) , [Baker \(R\)](#) , [Dodd \(D\)](#) , [Gallagher \(R\)](#) , [Lackey \(R\)](#) , [Mayes \(R\)](#) , [Stone \(R\)](#) , [Chavez \(R\)](#) , [Huff \(R\)](#) , [Anderson \(R\)](#) , [Block \(D\)](#) , [Nielsen \(R\)](#) , [Jones \(R\)](#) , [Olsen \(R\)](#) , [Gaines B \(R\)](#) , [Allen T \(R\)](#) , [Brown \(D\)](#) , [Gray \(D\)](#)

Title: Theft: Firearms

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: no

Introduced: 02/10/2016

Committee: Assembly Public Safety Committee

Hearing: 03/15/2016 9:00 am, Room 126

Code Section: An act to amend Sections 490.2 and 496 of the Penal Code, relating to theft, and calling an election, to take effect immediately.

Summary: Makes the buying or receiving of a stolen firearm, with knowledge that the property was stolen, or the concealing, selling, withholding, or aiding in concealing, selling, or withholding of a firearm, with knowledge that the property was stolen, a misdemeanor or a felony.

Digest: This bill would amend that initiative statute by making the theft of a firearm grand theft in all cases and punishable by imprisonment in the state prison for 16 months, or 2 or 3 years.

This bill would amend that initiative statute by making the buying or receiving of a stolen firearm, with knowledge that the property was stolen, or the concealing, selling, withholding, or aiding in concealing, selling, or withholding of a firearm, with knowledge that the property was stolen, a misdemeanor or a felony.

This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an act calling an election.

Status: 02/25/2016 To ASSEMBLY Committees on PUBLIC SAFETY and ELECTIONS AND REDISTRICTING.

Private File: CPCA

Department: CPCA

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

2. CA AB 1707

Author: [Linder \(R\)](#)
Title: Public Records: Response to Request
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Introduced: 01/25/2016
Committee: Assembly Judiciary Committee
Hearing: 03/29/2016 9:00 am, State Capitol, Room 4202
Code Section: An act to amend Section 6255 of the Government Code, relating to public records.
Summary: Requires a public records denial of the request, in whole or in part, to be in writing. Requires the response be in writing regardless of whether the request was in writing. Requires that response additionally to include a list that contains the title or other identification of each record requested but withheld due to an exemption and the specific exemption that applies to that record.
Digest: This bill instead would require that response to be in writing regardless of whether the request was in writing. The bill would require that written response additionally to include a list that contains the title or other identification of each record requested but withheld due to an exemption and the specific exemption that applies to that record. Because local agencies would be required to comply with this new requirement, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would make legislative findings to that effect.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.
Status: 02/25/2016 To ASSEMBLY Committee on JUDICIARY.
Private File: Master
Department: CityAttorney, Clerk
Position: Oppose
Priority: StatePriority

3. CA SB 20

Author: [Pavley \(D\)](#)
Title: State Water Resiliency Investment Act
Fiscal Committee: yes
Urgency Clause: no
Introduced: 12/01/2014
Last Amend: 08/26/2015
Location: Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee

Code Section: An act to ~~repeal and add Section 13752 of~~ [add Division 36 \(commencing with Section 86000\)](#) to the Water Code, relating to water.

Summary: Creates the State Water Resiliency Investment Fund. Provides that moneys in the Fund are available for the purpose of providing a more dependable water supply in the State. Creates various accounts within the Fund for prescribed purposes.

Digest: This bill would create the California Water Resiliency Investment Fund in the State Treasury and provide that moneys in the fund are available, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for the purpose of providing a more dependable water supply for California. This bill would create various accounts within the fund for prescribed purposes.

Status: 08/26/2015 From ASSEMBLY Committee on WATER, PARKS AND WILDLIFE with author's amendments.
08/26/2015 In ASSEMBLY. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on WATER, PARKS AND WILDLIFE.

Private File: Master

Commentary:

Department: EU

Position: Watch

PrimaryContact: SeanB

Priority: StatePriority

4. CA SB 876

Author: [Liu \(D\)](#)

Title: Homelessness

Fiscal Committee: yes

Urgency Clause: no

Introduced: 01/14/2016

Last Amend: 03/07/2016

Committee: Senate Transportation and Housing Committee

Hearing: 03/29/2016 1:30 pm, John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)

Code Section: An act to add Part 2.2 (commencing with Section 53.8) to Division 1 of the Civil Code, and to add Section 11139.2 to, the Government Code, relating to homelessness.

Summary: Affords persons experiencing homelessness the right to use public spaces without discrimination based on their housing status and describes basic human and civil rights free from criminal or civil sanctions. Provides civil remedies for aggrieved persons. Requires all applicants for funding from a specified federal program to provide evidence of actions their community is taking to reduce the criminalization of homelessness.

Digest: This bill would afford persons experiencing homelessness the right to use public spaces without discrimination based on their housing status and describe basic human and civil rights that may be exercised without being

subject to criminal or civil sanctions, including the right to use and to move freely in public spaces, the right to rest in public spaces and to protect oneself from the elements, the right to eat in any public space in which having food is not prohibited, and the right to perform religious observances in public spaces, as specified. The bill would state the intent of the Legislature that these provisions be interpreted broadly so as to prohibit policies or practices that are discriminatory in either their purpose or effect.

The bill would authorize a person whose rights have been violated pursuant to these provisions to enforce those rights in a civil action in which the court may award the prevailing plaintiff injunctive and declaratory relief, restitution, damages, statutory damages of \$1,000 per violation, and fees and costs.

The bill would also require all applicants for the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development's Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Program to annually provide to the Department of Housing and Community Development's Division of Housing Policy Development a copy of its application for funding from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development that includes the organization's response to the application question regarding steps that its community is taking to reduce criminalization of homelessness. Because the bill would require local agencies to perform additional duties, it would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to these statutory provisions.

Status:

03/07/2016 From SENATE Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING with author's amendments.

03/07/2016 In SENATE. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING.

Private File: CPCA

Department: Housing, PAC, PD

Position: Oppose

Priority: StatePriority

Private File: Master

Department: Housing, PAC

Position: OpposeUnlessAmended

PrimaryContact: MarkW

Priority: StatePriority

5. CA SB 1262

Author: [Pavley \(D\)](#)

Coauthor [Wieckowski \(D\)](#)

Title: Water Supply Planning

Fiscal yes

Committee:

Urgency Clause: no

Introduced: 02/18/2016

Committee: Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee

Hearing: 03/29/2016 9:00 am, Room 112

Committee: Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee

Hearing: 03/29/2016 9:30 am, Room 112

Code An act to amend Section 66473.7 of the Government Code, and to amend

Section: Sections 10910 and 10911 of the Water Code, and relating to land use.

Summary: Requires a city or county that determines a project is subject to the California Environmental Quality Act to identify any water system whose service area includes the project site and any water system adjacent to the project site. Provides that hauled water or groundwater from a probationary basin are not sources of water for the purposes of a water supply assessment. Revises the definition of sufficient water supply to include specified factors.

Digest: This bill would require a city or county that determines a project is subject to the California Environmental Quality Act to identify any water system whose service area includes the project site and any water system adjacent to the project site. This bill would require, if a water source for a proposed project includes water of a quality not sufficient to meet certain drinking water standards, that prescribed additional information be included in a water supply assessment. This bill, if no water system is identified, would require a city or county to prepare a technical report containing prescribed information. This bill would require a city or county to submit the technical report to the local agency formation commission with jurisdiction if the city or county concludes based on the technical report that it is feasible for a water system to provide water to the project. This bill, if the local agency formation commission declines to approve an annexation or extensive of service, would require the city or county to develop a water supply assessment for the project, as specified. By imposing new duties on cities and counties, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would provide that hauled water or groundwater from a probationary basin are not sources of water for the purposes of a water supply assessment. This bill would, if a water supply for a proposed project includes groundwater, require certain additional information to be included in the water supply assessment.

This bill would revise the definition of sufficient water supply to include additional factors relating to a proposed subdivision that relies in whole or in part on groundwater. This bill would provide that groundwater from a probationary basin is not a water supply for these purposes.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to these statutory provisions.

Status: 03/03/2016 To SENATE Committees on NATURAL RESOURCES AND WATER and GOVERNANCE AND FINANCE.

Private File: CPCA

Department: EU

Position: Watch

Priority: StatePriority

6. CA SB 4 a

Author: [Beall \(D\)](#)

Title: Transportation Funding

Introduced: 07/07/2015

Last Amend: 09/04/2015

File: 2

Location: In Conference

File: 1

Location: In Conference

Code Section: An act relating to transportation.

Summary: Declares the intent of the Legislature to enact statutory changes to establish permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to maintain and repair the state's highways, local roads, bridges, and other critical transportation infrastructure.

Digest: This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact statutory changes to establish permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to maintain and repair the state's highways, local roads, bridges, and other critical transportation infrastructure.

Status: 09/10/2015 In SENATE. SENATE refused to concur in ASSEMBLY amendments. *****To CONFERENCE Committee. (1-39)

Private File: Master

Department: PAC, PW, SpSessionTrans

Position: Support

Priority: StatePriority

7. CA SB 5 a

Author: [Beall \(D\)](#)

Title: Transportation Funding

Introduced: 07/07/2015

Location: ASSEMBLY

Code Section: An act relating to transportation.

Summary: Declares the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to establish permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to improve the state's

key trade corridors and support efforts by local governments to repair and improve local transportation infrastructure.

Digest: This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to establish permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to improve the state's key trade corridors and support efforts by local governments to repair and improve local transportation infrastructure.

Status: 09/01/2015 In SENATE. Read third time. Passed SENATE. *****To ASSEMBLY. (25-13)

Private File: Master

Department: PAC, PW, SpSessionTrans

Position: Support

Priority: StatePriority

8. CA AB 4 a

Author: [Frazier \(D\)](#)

Title: Transportation Funding

Introduced: 07/09/2015

Location: Senate Rules Committee

Code Section: An act relating to transportation.

Summary: Declares the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to establish permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to improve the state's key trade corridors and support efforts by local governments to repair and improve local transportation infrastructure.

Digest: This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to establish permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to improve the state's key trade corridors and support efforts by local governments to repair and improve local transportation infrastructure.

Status: 09/03/2015 To SENATE Committee on RULES.

Private File: Master

Department: PAC, PW, SpSessionTrans

Position: Support

Priority: StatePriority



CITY COUNCIL Law & Regulation Committee

CC #: 7580

File #: 0103-32-02

Title: Overview of the Mayor's Annual Washington D.C Advocacy Trip
Contact: Mark Wolinski 774-5179 mwolinski@roseville.ca.us

Meeting Date: 3/23/2016

Item #: 6.3.

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

The following is an overview of the Mayor's recent annual federal advocacy trip to Washington D.C. from February 22–25. Legislative advocacy continues to be a critical Council Goal and the annual federal advocacy trip is one part of the City's comprehensive legislative strategy and work program developed to address this goal. A few of the many topics discussed during the meetings included tax-exempt status of municipal bonds, funding opportunities for city projects, affordable housing, water and homeless programs. The overview is provided to the Committee as an informational item.

BACKGROUND

Every year the Mayor leads a federal advocacy trip to Washington D.C. with several staff members for meetings with the regional congressional delegation, federal departments and agencies on issues important to the City. In addition to the City specific meetings, the Mayor's trip includes working with a coalition that includes the City of Folsom and the San Juan Water District for the purposes of meeting with federal representatives and departments to advocate on federal policies that impact water and water infrastructure.

This year, in slightly more than two days the Mayor and staff participated in 17 meetings on issues that included tax-exempt status of municipal bonds, homelessness, housing, water supply, storm-water, as well as discussing infrastructure projects important to Roseville like the Washington Andora underpass, recycled water, Advanced Metering Infrastructure, Reason Farms floodwater retention basin, water supply intakes and groundwater banking infrastructure projects.

Working with the City's federal lobbyist (The Ferguson Group), staff articulated the issues and objectives of each meeting to ensure the appropriate members of congress and federal agencies were in attendance. The meetings held this year included meetings with the regional congressional delegation including Representatives McClintock, Bera, Garamendi and LaMalfa; with the staffs of Senator Feinstein and Boxer; and, with federal departments including U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD), U.S. Department of the Interior-Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Positive results included the strengthening of relationships with the Washington staff of the regional congressional delegation and federal agencies; developing new working relationships with HUD and the VA, which allow the City to be more effective in addressing issues and concerns of the City as well as the community.

Conclusion

Staff has many follow-up items to complete in the coming weeks to ensure that key federal policymakers are kept engaged, informed and ready to support the issues and items identified during the trip.

FISCAL IMPACT

The costs of these activities are contained within the City's current budget.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / JOBS CREATED

The activities detailed in this report will not result in job development or creation.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §1506(b) (3)). The action of reviewing proposed CEQA legislation does not include the potential for a significant environmental effect, therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mark Wolinski, Government Relations Administrator

Megan MacPherson, Public Affairs and Communications Director



Rob Jensen, City Manager