



City Council Chambers, 311 Vernon Street

roseville.ca.us

The City of Roseville welcomes your participation.

Meeting Schedule: Regular meetings of the Board of Appeals are held on the second Tuesday of the month at 9:00 a.m. and the fourth Thursday of the month at 3:00 p.m.

Public Comment: Speakers have three (3) minutes under Public Comment to address the Chair of the meeting on issues that are not listed on the agenda and are within the City's jurisdiction. Please submit a yellow speaker card to the Secretary before the item is heard if you wish to make a comment.

Brown Act: According to the Brown Act, the Board of Appeals is prohibited from taking any action, debating, or discussing items not listed on the agenda.

Agenda Items: Speakers have five (5) minutes to address items that are listed on the agenda.

Levine Act Provisions: If you've made a campaign contribution totaling more than \$500 (\$250 prior to January 1, 2025) to City Council Members in the last twelve (12) months, you must disclose it before addressing an item on the agenda. Please visit [Levine Act – City of Roseville](#) for updated forms and information.

Audio/Visual Presentations: If making a presentation regarding an agenda item, audio/visual materials must be submitted to the secretary for consideration at least 72 hours in advance.

Americans with Disabilities Act: If special assistance is required to participate in a meeting, including the need of auxiliary aids or services, please notify the City Clerk at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting.

City Clerk 311 Vernon Street cityclerkroseville@roseville.ca.us 916-774-5263 TDD: 916-774-5220

Security Measures: All meeting attendees must successfully pass through a security metal detector. Any person with a prohibited item will not be allowed entry. Prohibited items include but are not limited to firearms (even with valid CCW), knives, pepper spray/mace, explosives of any kind/any weapons and/or dangerous devices of any kind, illegal drugs, and alcohol.

Viewing Options: The City of Roseville provides three options for viewing meetings:



Joseph Bales, Board Member
Sharon Lawin, Board Member
Connor Milligan, Board Member
Arthur Pauly, Jr., Board Member
Howard Rudd, Board Member
Tom Rutherford, Board Member
Charles Sandoval, Board Member
Louise Santiago, Board Member
Robert Schmitt, Board Member
Andrea Seminer, Board Member
Sandeep Upamaka, Board Member
Lynda Risucci, Secretary
Travis Cochran, Liaison

AGENDA

Board of Appeals Hearing Meeting

October 14, 2025

9:00 AM

City Council Chambers, 311 Vernon Street

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. ROLL CALL (SILENT)

III. IDENTIFICATION OF CHAIR

IV. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

V. PUBLIC COMMENTS

VI. SWEAR IN

VII. MATTERS TO BE HEARD

1. Appeal of Citation No.: 22378 - Jessica Jasso

Appellant: Jessica Jasso, 1209 Hemingway Drive, Roseville, CA 95747
Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.14.010, Removal of Dog Defecation (\$100.00)

CONTACT: Lynda Risucci 916-774-5363 lmrisucci@roseville.ca.us

2. Appeal of Citation No.: 22351 - Michael Ojeda

Appellant: Michael Anthony Ojeda, 412 Cherry Street, Roseville, CA 95678
Roseville Municipal Code Section: 7.16.010, Failure to License (\$50.00)

CONTACT: Lynda Risucci 916-774-5363 lmrisucci@roseville.ca.us

VIII. STAFF/BOARD REPORTS

IX. ADJOURNMENT



Board of Appeals Communication

Meeting Date: 10/14/2025

Item #: VII.1

Item ID: 2025-742

Title: Appeal of Citation No.: 22378 - Jessica Jasso

Contact: Lynda Risucci 916-774-5363 Imrisucci@roseville.ca.us

SUMMARY

Appellant: Jessica Jasso, 1209 Hemingway Drive, Roseville, CA 95747
Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.14.010, Removal of Dog Defecation (\$100.00)

RECOMMENDATION

The Board of Appeals will consider the appeal of Administrative Citation No.: 22378, submitted by Jessica Jasso for the the following violation:

Violation: RMC 7.14.010 Removal of dog defecation (1 count):

A.

It is unlawful for the owner or person having the custody of any dog to fail to immediately remove and dispose of in a sanitary manner, by placing in a closed or sealed container and depositing in a trash receptacle, any feces deposited by such dog upon public property or upon private property not exclusively owned or controlled by the owner or person having the custody of such dog.

B.

Any person who has the charge or control of any dog in a location other than on private property exclusively owned or controlled by such person, or on the property of the owner of the dog, shall have in his or her possession a suitable wrapper, bag or container for the purpose of complying with the requirements of this section. The failure of any person to carry such a wrapper, bag or container when in charge or control of any dog in a location other than on property exclusively owned or controlled by such person, or on the property of the owner of the dog, shall constitute a violation of this section.

C.

Subsections A and B of this section shall not apply to the proper use of guide dogs, service dogs, or signal dogs as defined in Section 54.1 of the California Civil Code, as may be amended.

D.

It is unlawful for the owner or person having the custody of any dog to allow its feces to accumulate or remain on the ground or other surface on his or her private property to the extent that the accumulation creates a nuisance. A nuisance, for purposes of this subsection, includes, but is not limited to, feces accumulation that interferes with the use or enjoyment of any neighboring property as a result of odors or the attraction or breeding of vermin or vectors.

Respectfully Submitted,
Lynda Risucci, Legal Assistant

Travis Cochran, Senior Deputy City Attorney

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Jasso Staff Report
2. Jasso Citation
3. Jasso Appeal Hearing Form

REVIEWERS:

Lynda Risucci, City Attorney Department

Created -



Roseville Police Department
1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Troy Bergstrom, Chief of Police

Administrative Citation Appeal Hearing Staff Report

Date of Hearing: Tuesday, October 14, 2025 @ 9:00 AM
Appellant: Jessica Jasso. @ 1209 Hemingway Dr.
Citation: 22378

Violation: RMC 7.14.010 Removal of dog defecation (1 count)

A.

It is unlawful for the owner or person having the custody of any dog to fail to immediately remove and dispose of in a sanitary manner, by placing in a closed or sealed container and depositing in a trash receptacle, any feces deposited by such dog upon public property or upon private property not exclusively owned or controlled by the owner or person having the custody of such dog.

B.

Any person who has the charge or control of any dog in a location other than on private property exclusively owned or controlled by such person, or on the property of the owner of the dog, shall have in his or her possession a suitable wrapper, bag or container for the purpose of complying with the requirements of this section. The failure of any person to carry such a wrapper, bag or container when in charge or control of any dog in a location other than on property exclusively owned or controlled by such person, or on the property of the owner of the dog, shall constitute a violation of this section.

C.

Subsections A and B of this section shall not apply to the proper use of guide dogs, service dogs, or signal dogs as defined in Section 54.1 of the California Civil Code, as may be amended.

D.

It is unlawful for the owner or person having the custody of any dog to allow its feces to accumulate or remain on the ground or other surface on his or her private property to the extent that the accumulation creates a nuisance. A nuisance, for purposes of this subsection, includes, but is not limited to, feces accumulation that interferes with the use or enjoyment of any neighboring property as a result of odors or the attraction or breeding of vermin or vectors.

Narrative:

The following narrative is regarding the specific Animal Control incident regarding the citation subject to this appeal (Citation #22378).

On August 19, 2025, at approximately 0701 hours, Roseville Police Animal Control received an online complaint from Joseph Rodriguez, to report that the residents of 1209 Hemingway Dr. allow their dogs feces to accumulate in the backyard and the smell is creating a nuisance. Joseph was requesting the dog owners be cited for removal of dog defecation.

At approximately 1446 hours, I contacted Jessica via phone and advised that Roseville Police Animal Control received a second complaint regarding an accumulation of dog feces in their backyard. I advised Jessica that the reporting party was requesting a citizen's citation be issued for removal of dog defecation.

I issued citation #22378 on behalf of Joseph and forwarded to the City Attorney's office for review.

PRIOR HISTORY:

7/24/2025

On July 24, 2025, at approximately 1527 hours, Roseville Police Animal Control received a call from Joseph Rodriguez to report that the residents of 1209 Hemingway Dr. allow dog feces to collect in their backyard. Joseph advised that due to the amount of feces he was having to deal with smell and a rat infestation.

At approximately 1630 hours, I contacted Joseph who provided the following statement in summary; the neighbors dog uses the bathroom along their shared fence. Joseph stated the feces had been accumulating over the past few months. Joseph stated there was approximately five feces piles that he could see, however, the yard was overgrown with tall grass. Joseph stated he is unable to enjoy his backyard due to smell, flies and rats.

A warning letter was mailed to Jessica.

Respectfully Submitted,
N. Sammons, Badge #3075
Animal Control Officer

CITY OF ROSEVILLE
NOTICE OF CITATION

22378

Date	8/19/25	Time	1349hrs	Day of Week	Tuesday
Name (First, Middle, Last)	Jessica Joanne Jasso				
Residence Address	209 Hemingway Dr. Roseville, CA 95747				
Business Address					
Driver's Lic. No.					
Breed	Labrador	Color	Wht/crm	Age	under 1yo
Vehicle Make		Model		License #	SF
Color		Year			
Location of Violation	209 Hemingway Dr.				
Date / Time of Violation	8/18/25 1700hrs				
Code / Ordinance	RMC 7.14.010(A) Removal of dog defecation				
Description of Violation					
☒ VIOLATIONS NOT COMMITTED IN MY PRESENCE, CERTIFIED ON INFORMATION AND BELIEF.					
I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.					
Date	8/19/25	Issuing Officer:	N. Sammons #3075		
COMPLAINING PARTY					
X Signature:	Complaint				
I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS CITATION.					
X Signature:	Complaint				
YOU DO NOT NEED TO DO ANYTHING AT THIS TIME. YOU WILL RECEIVE NOTICE BY MAIL WITHIN 14 DAYS. CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (916) 774-5325					
1 - City Attorney Copy 2 - Defendant Copy 3 - Issue Dept Copy					

Print This Page

View

[Edit](#)

[Report](#)

[Activity](#)

Administrative Citation Appeal – Request For Hearing 2025-09-10 02:15 PM(PST)

Completely fill out all required information prior to submitting. The City Attorney's office will contact you once a hearing date has been set.

Submissions received later than 30 days after the date of the citation notification letter will not be accepted pursuant to Roseville Municipal Code § 2.50.060.

***Name:** Jessica Jasso

***Citation
Number:** A25-01195

***Home
Address:** 1209 Hemingway Dr

***City** ROSEVILLE

***Zip** 95747

Mailing
Address

(if
different Jessica
from
above):

***Phone
Number:**

***Email:**

My neighbor is claiming to the city that I did not clean up dog feces in my backyard, however, it was cleaned up and continued to be clean in my backyard.

***Reason
for
Appeal:**

- ☒ By checking this box, I certify that I am the individual named on the citation and am appealing the administrative citation issued to me.
- ☒ I certify under penalty of perjury that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Submit

Cancel



Board of Appeals Communication

Meeting Date: 10/14/2025
Item #: VII.2
Item ID: 2025-743

Title: Appeal of Citation No.: 22351 - Michael Ojeda

Contact: Lynda Risucci 916-774-5363 lmrisucci@roseville.ca.us

SUMMARY

Appellant: Michael Anthony Ojeda, 412 Cherry Street, Roseville, CA 95678
Roseville Municipal Code Section: 7.16.010, Failure to License (\$50.00)

RECOMMENDATION

The Board of Appeals will consider the appeal of Administrative Citation No.: 22351, submitted by Michael Anthony Ojeda for the following violation:

Violation: RMC 7.16.010 Licensing (1 count)

A dog or cat over the age of four months must be licensed according to the provisions of this chapter.

Respectfully Submitted,
Lynda Risucci, Legal Assistant

Travis Cochran, Senior Deputy City Attorney

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Ojeda Staff Report
2. Ojeda Citation
3. Ojeda Appeal Hearing Form

REVIEWERS:

Lynda Risucci, City Attorney Department

Created -



Roseville Police Department
1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Troy Bergstrom, Chief of Police

Administrative Citation Appeal Hearing Staff Report

Date of Hearing: Tuesday, October 14, 2025 @ 9:00 AM
Appellant: Michael Ojeda. @ 412 Cherry St.
Citation: 22351

Violation: RMC 7.12.010 Leash Law (1 count)

No person shall permit or allow any dog to run at large. This section may be cited as the "leash law."

RMC: 7.01.060 At Large Defined

"At large" means the following:

1.

Off the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by a leash or adequate enclosure. The leash shall not exceed six feet in length and shall be of sufficient strength to restrain the dog and must be held continuously by a responsible person capable of controlling the dog.

Violation: RMC 7.16.010 Licensing (1 count)

A dog or cat over the age of four months must be licensed according to the provisions of this chapter

Narrative:

The following narrative is regarding the specific Animal Control incident regarding the citation subject to this appeal (Citation #22351).

On June 24, 2025, at approximately 0908 hours, Roseville Police Animal Control dispatch received a call from the reporting party, Stephanie Eich, to report that at approximately 0830 hours, a Pitbull type dog that resides at 412 Cherry St. was running loose at Saugstad Park.

At approximately 1327 hours, I contacted Stephanie who provided the following statement in summary; at approximately 0830 hours she was inside the dog park at Saugstad. The Pitbull type dog which resides at 412 Cherry St. was loose outside the dog park fence. Stephanie advised the dog walked off towards the parking lot. Stephanie advised that she knew where the dog lives because it had previously attacked her dog.

At approximately 1359 hours, I contacted dog owner Michael who provided the following statement in summary; this morning his sliding class down was open, and his dog "Sosa" ran across the street to Saugstad Park. Michael got into his truck and drove to Saugstad park where he grabbed "Sosa" within a few minutes.

I advised Michael that Stephanie was requesting a citizen's citation for leash law. I forward citation # 22351 to the City Attorney's office for review.

After issuance of the citation, the complainant withdrew their complaint for the appellant's dog being off leash. Therefore, the leash-law violation was dismissed and the only remaining violation subject to the appeal is the failure to license.

PRIOR HISTORY:

7/31/2023

Reporting party called into Roseville Police Animal Control dispatch to advise that "Sosa" was running loose at Saugstad Park. Reporting party of this call declined to do a citizen's citation for leash law at the time of the call. Michael was cited for licensing.

6/26/2023

Reporting party called into Roseville Police Animal Control dispatch to advised that a dog residing at 412 Cherry St. gets loose from its residence and runs to Saugstad Park. The reporting party advised that the dog was not currently loose. Animal Control Officer Cooper contacted the reporting party who did not want to do a citizen's citation for leash law. Animal Control Officer Cooper mailed Michael a notice to comply for leash law, aggressive behavior concerns and licensing.

7/8/2023

Michael called into Roseville Police Animal Control dispatch to report that "Sosa" got loose from his residence.

11/17/2021

Roseville Police Animal Control dispatch received a call to report that "Sosa" was running at large at Saugstad Park. Per notes in the call, Michael was on scene the entire time trying to catch the dog.

Respectfully Submitted,
N. Sammons, Badge #3075
Animal Control Officer

CITY OF ROSEVILLE
NOTICE OF CITATION

22351

Date	6/24/2025	Time	1332 hrs	Day of Week	Tuesday
Name (First, Middle, Last) Michael Anthony Ojeda					
Residence Address 412 Cherny St. Roseville, CA 95678					
Business Address 					
					
Breed:	Pitbull	Color:	brindle	Age:	
Vehicle Make		License #:		Sex:	um
Model:		Year:			
Color:					
Location of Violation 100 Bujian Dr.					
Date/Time of Violation 6/24/25 0830hrs					
Code / Ordinance			Description of Violation		
RMC 7.12.010 leash law					
RMC 7.16.010 licensing					
☒ VIOLATIONS NOT COMMITTED IN MY PRESENCE, CERTIFIED ON INFORMATION AND BELIEF.					
I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.					
Date:	6/24/25	Issuing Officer: Badge No. N. Sammons #3075			
COMPLAINING PARTY					
X Signature: Complaint					
I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS CITATION.					
X Signature: Complaint					
YOU DO NOT NEED TO DO ANYTHING AT THIS TIME. YOU WILL RECEIVE NOTICE BY MAIL WITHIN 14 DAYS. CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (916) 774-5325					
1 - City Attorney Copy		2 - Defendant Copy		3 - Issue Dept Copy	

Administrative Citation Appeal Form

Request For Hearing



Name Michael Ojeda Email [Redacted] Phone [Redacted]
Address 412 Cherry Street City Roseville Zip 95678
Mailing Address (if different from above) City Zip
Citation Number 22351 Date of Citation June 29, 2025

Reason for appeal?

(Include all information to support your appeal here. Continue on second page if needed.)

I received citation for my dog, Sosa.
No leash, No license, No Bueno. A good
Santitas was so distraught about my Sosa, she
made a citizen complaint and my dog and I
were cited. The incident was at the dog park
at Sonoma Park. "Cont Next page"...

Michael Ojeda
Signature of Appellant

July 25, 2025
Date

Please mail or personally deliver this form within 30 days after the date of the citation notification letter to the Roseville City Attorney's office located at:

City of Roseville
City Attorney's Office
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, CA 95678
(916) 774-5325 • FAX (916) 773-7348

Forms received later than 30 days after the date of the citation notification letter will not be accepted pursuant to Roseville Municipal Code § 2.50.060.

Page 1

My Appeal is Requesting two things:

1. I am Requesting additional time to
Acquire License and any additional Stds
He may need.

* I am Retired and Live on fixed income
and would have to budget this in, actually
perform a side job in order to pay.

In addition, going through a ~~diff~~ divorce after
26 years of Marriage and my retirement income
only pays my House Payment, hence the reason
for side job and extra income in order to live
now that my household expenses are no longer
satisfied.

2. Remove the citation and fine for No Lease

* I do not dispute that my dog was off his
Leash, but my dog and myself are

paying the  Mother Nature's calling
price for

over —

Cart # 2

and an overvigilant civilian who ~~thou~~
 exercised her civic duty to tattle on
 me and fulfill her ^{as the} duties of Mayor of
 Smootown.

- We live in a society of laws, without them
 we have chaos. But now we have a society
 of ~~Bar~~ folks who spy on neighbors, and
 feel they need to comment on how good their
 service was and Post on "YELP".

* I live directly across the creek from
 Sangued Park, My dog loves the park
 and will go by himself if you don't watch him.
 The day in question, I was playing with him in
 back yard, this is where Mother Nature
 comes in. - My dog is ~~three~~ ^{four} years old,
 he is still young, dumb, and full of energy.
 When another dog goes in heat, My dog
 goes literally off his chain (~~no he is not~~
~~on a chain~~)

He Whines and cries, can't sleep, He goes
Barkers. It is in the Air. And since
So many female dogs go to Park Every
day, My Sosa feels it's his duty to go
check out the Park.

As I started to say, I was playing with him
in back yard, when he got this look in his eye,
and he bolted for the Creek, he swam across
and went directly for Dog Park. I immediately
got in my car and went to Park to retrieve him.
10 more than 3 minute past when I
was at Park and bringing him back home.

It was at this time ~~my dog~~ the call was made
About A Revenge Dog, ^{with no leash} ~~on the loose~~ and NO
OWNER.

* THERE are two things I've Done to ensure
this will not happen again: 1. Installed a fence at
the Entrance of the Creek from my Yard where
Sosa crosses in order to get to Park.

Cont'd

; two, made an appointment to have him neutered, which will take all the drive, or feeling he has to want to have puppies. This will also cost money, which I don't have at the moment, but will help remove the urge to leave.

My Apologies to Ms. Elliott, she has every right to call about my dog. She is using the system that way it was intended to be used. To protect and inform. What if my dog had rabies, etc. She is within her rights to want to feel safe.

My new reason for appealing, I'm struggling to stay afloat and not lose my house, ^{pay attorney,} pay my bills, fix my truck, etc. Like most folks, but these extra expenses I was not prepared for and anything I can do to lessen the impact, almost not much to a lot of us here, but my income is now unlivable and was!