



MINUTES

October 23, 2025

BOARD OF APPEALS HEARING

3:00 p.m.
Roseville Electric First Floor Conference Room
116 S. Grant Street
Roseville, CA

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson Tom Rutherford called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m. in the Roseville Electric First Floor Conference Room.

2. ROLL CALL (SILENT)

The following Hearing Panel members were present:

Howard Rudd
Tom Rutherford
Andrea Seminer

The following Staff members were present:

Travis Cochran, Senior Deputy City Attorney
Lynda Risucci, Legal Assistant
Melissa Lipp, Legal Assistant

3. IDENTIFICATION OF CHAIR

Chairperson for the October 23, 2025 hearing was Tom Rutherford.

4. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairperson Tom Rutherford led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

5. PUBLIC COMMENT

No one from the public offered any comments.

6. SWEAR IN

Chairperson Tom Rutherford administered the Oath to those in attendance who would be offering testimony at the hearing.

7. MATTERS TO BE HEARD

7.1. Citation No.: 22391

Appellant: Mark Ormes

- Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law One Count (\$100.00)

A. Staff Report:

Animal Control Officer Nicole Sammons offered testimony in regard to the Staff Report (Exhibit 7.1-A) and Notice of Violation (Exhibit 7.1-B).

Complainant's Statement:

Complainant Faith Oddo presented Exhibit 7.1-C and offered testimony in support of the complaint and answered panel questions.

Exhibit 7.1-C:

Five Photographs;
Complainant's Timeline of Events;
Staff Report with Complainant's edits; and,
Sheldon Family Veterinary bill dated 08/21/25

B. Appellant's Response:

Request for Hearing:

Appellant's Request for Hearing form (Exhibit 7.1-D) was received by the Roseville City Attorney's Office on September 12, 2025 and was provided to the panel in advance of the hearing for review.

Appellant offered testimony in support of the appeal.

C. Rebuttals and Panel Questions:

Complainant Faith Oddo offered rebuttal testimony.

Animal Control Officer Nicole Sammons answered panel questions.

Complainant Faith Oddo answered panel questions.

D. Panel Discussion and Decision:

The panel discussed the evidence and testimony presented for Administrative Citation No. 22391.

In regard to Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, One Count, a motion was made by Andrea Seminer and seconded by Howard Rudd to DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE CITATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.

The motion passed with the following vote:

AYES: ALL

NOES: NONE

E. Panel Decision:

The panel decided to deny the appeal of Administration Citation No. 22391 and uphold the charge of Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, One Count, because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.

Chairperson Tom Rutherford signed the decision form (Exhibit 7.1-E), asked the Appellant to see staff for the paperwork, and informed the parties that they may file a petition for review with the Superior Court in Placer County within 20 days.

7.2. Citation 22368:

Appellant: Melanie Hirsch

- Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, Three Counts (\$300.00)

At 3:24 p.m., the Complainant was not present. According to Section VII(B) of the rules for Administrative Hearing Procedures, if the Complainant fails to appear for the hearing within 15 minutes after the hearing commences, the responsible party's appeal will be automatically granted.

A motion was made by Andrea Seminer and seconded by Howard Rudd to GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE CITATOIN because the Complainant failed to appear.

The motion passed with the following vote:

AYES: ALL

NOES: NONE

Decision form attached to Minutes as Exhibit 7.2-A.

8. ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Andrea Seminer and seconded by Howard Rudd to adjourn the hearing.

AYES:

NOES: none

Chairperson Tom Rutherford adjourned the October 23, 2025 hearing at 3:26 p.m.

Lynda Risucci
Legal Assistant

EXHIBIT 7.1-A



Roseville Police Department
1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Troy Bergstrom, Chief of Police

Administrative Citation Appeal Hearing Staff Report

Date of Hearing: Tuesday, October 23, 2025 @ 3:00 PM
Appellant: Mark Ormes Sr. @ 212 King Rd.
Citation: 22391

Violation: RMC 7.12.010 Leash Law (1 count)

No person shall permit or allow any dog to run at large. This section may be cited as the "leash law."

RMC: 7.04.060 At Large Defined

"At large" means the following:

1.

Off the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by a leash or adequate enclosure. The leash shall not exceed six feet in length and shall be of sufficient strength to restrain the dog and must be held continuously by a responsible person capable of controlling the dog.

Narrative:

The following narrative is regarding the specific Animal Control incident regarding the citation subject to this appeal (Citation #22391).

On August 21, 2025, at approximately 0755 hours, Roseville Police Animal Control dispatch received a call from the reporting party, Faith Oddo, to report that she and her dog were attacked by an off-leash Pitbull at Saugstad Park. Faith advised dispatch that she her dog were not injured.

At approximately 0948 hours, Animal Control Officer (ACO) Sammons spoke with Faith who provided the following statement: Faith brought her Basset Hound dog to Saugstad to use the dog park. Faith was walking towards the dog park when she observed a male, later identified as Mark Ormes, walking with a Pitbull type dog near the entrance gate to the dog park with his dog off leash. Faith stated the Pitbull did a 180, got low to the ground and ran at her and her dog. The Pitbull type dog pinned her Bassett Hound to the ground and was shaking its head. The Pitbull let go of her Bassett Hound and Faith was using her feet to try to kick/ keep the Pitbull away while doing circles with her Bassett Hound on leash to create distance. Faith stated the male owner of the Pitbull came running and was trying to grab the Pitbull by the harness. Faith stated there was an additional female who was inside the dog park with her two dogs that was also trying to grab the Pitbull, however her two dogs than got loose and Faith was being circled by

all three dogs. Once the dogs were separated, the owner of the Pitbull placed his dog on a leash. Faith requested a citizen's citation against the male Pitbull owner for leash law and aggressive behavior.

At approximately 1233 hours, ACO Sammons contacted Pitbull owner Mark Ormes via telephone. Mark provided the following statement: This morning Mark brought his dog "Kanny" to Saugstad to use the dog park. As Mark approached the entrance to the dog park he let "Kanny" off leash. Mark thought "Kanny" would run into the dog park where his friend was located with her two dogs. Mark did not know that Faith was behind him on the walking path. "Kanny" instead took off towards Faith and her dog. Mark stated a scuffle ensued between the two dogs and he was doing everything that he could to contain "Kanny" and at one point she slipped out of her collar/harness. Mark eventually grabbed "Kanny" and contained her inside the dog park.

ACO Sammons advised Mark that Faith was requesting a citizen's citation be issued for leash law and aggressive behavior. Citation # 22391 was forwarded to the City Attorney's office for review, including one count of licensing on this citation because "Kanny" was not licensed.

On or around September 30th, Mark paid the administrative fines for licensing and aggressive behavior. The only violation subject to this appeal is RMC 7.12.010, Leash Law.

Respectfully Submitted,
N. Sammons, Badge #3075
Animal Control Officer

EXHIBIT 7.1-B

CITY OF ROSEVILLE
NOTICE OF CITATION

22391

Date:	8/21/25	Time:	1234 hrs	Day of Week:	Thursday
Name (First, Middle, Last): Mark Anthony Ormues					
Residence Address: 212 King Rd. Roseville, CA 95678					
Business Address:					
Driver's Lic. No. [REDACTED] State: [REDACTED] Class: [REDACTED] Date of Birth: [REDACTED]					
Description of Animal (If Applicable): "Rabbit"					
Breed:	Pitbull	Color:	Whi/gray	Age:	
Vehicle Make:		License #:		Sex:	SF
Model:					
Color:		Year:			
Location of Violation: 100 Buljan Dr.					
Date / Time of Violation: 8/21/25 0755hrs					
Code / Ordinance			Description of Violation		
RMC 7.12.010			leash law x1		
RMC 7.16.010			licensing x1		
RMC 7.12.050			Aggressive behavior x1		
☒ VIOLATIONS NOT COMMITTED IN MY PRESENCE, CERTIFIED ON INFORMATION AND BELIEF.					
I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.					
Date:	8/21/25	Issuing Officer: Badge No. N. Sammons #3075			
COMPLAINING PARTY					
X Signature: Complaint					
I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS CITATION.					
X Signature: Complaint					
YOU DO NOT NEED TO DO ANYTHING AT THIS TIME. YOU WILL RECEIVE NOTICE BY MAIL WITHIN 14 DAYS. CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (916) 774-5325					
1 - City Attorney Copy 2 - Defendant Copy 3 - Issue Dept Copy					

EXHIBIT 7.1-C





- Timeline :

+ Mr. Ormes and I arrived @ park the same time.

As I drove past him to park he was exiting his vehicle, w/ his dog on leash.

+ He walked past my car, myself and my dog as we were exiting our vehicle. He did see us.

+ We were walking behind him. At small utility building, female companion inside designated dog area asked if we were "coming in?" "Yes". She then stated "We need to come out first". I stopped by utility shed.

+ At this point Mr. Ormes had unleashed dog outside designated dog enclosure.

+ I believe the sound of the exchange between myself and female companion alerted Mr. Ormes dog, who then turned heel, ran straight for us, low to ground, ears back, and viciously lay hold of my dogs neck - shaking head violently and growling.

+ Female companions dogs, also unleashed, ran towards us and were circling the attack as I was trying to fend off the dog -

- + My dog is 46 lbs. - pit was larger and heavier.
- + Mr. Ormes definitely let dog off leash - it was not "unintentional" - see his statement.
- + On my ride home discovered liquid on fur, later realized it was blood, discovered puncture wound. (photos)
Took to vet immediately. (bill/w/description)
- + Mr. Ormes admitted he let his dog off leash in statement.

Accountability matters.

I incurred costs of vet bill due to puncture wound in the amount of \$172.35.

Mr. Ormes should be responsible to pay the remaining fine.

Faith Oddo

10/23/2025



Roseville Police Department
1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Troy Bergstrom, Chief of Police

Administrative Citation Appeal Hearing Staff Report

Date of Hearing: Tuesday, October 23, 2025 @ 3:00 PM
Appellant: Mark Ormes Sr. @ 212 King Rd.
Citation: 22391

Violation: RMC 7.12.010 Leash Law (1 count)

No person shall permit or allow any dog to run at large. This section may be cited as the "leash law."

RMC: 7.04.060 At Large Defined

"At large" means the following:

1.

Off the premises of the owner or the person having care, custody, control or possession of the dog unless such dog is restrained by a leash or adequate enclosure. The leash shall not exceed six feet in length and shall be of sufficient strength to restrain the dog and must be held continuously by a responsible person capable of controlling the dog.

Narrative:

The following narrative is regarding the specific Animal Control incident regarding the citation subject to this appeal (Citation #22391).

On August 21, 2025, at approximately 0755 hours, Roseville Police Animal Control dispatch received a call from the reporting party, Faith Oddo, to report that she and her dog were attacked by an off-leash Pitbull at Saugstad Park. Faith advised dispatch that she her dog were not injured. *later found poachure wound to Animal Control. called back to report.*

see timeline →
At approximately 0948 hours, Animal Control Officer (ACO) Sammons spoke with Faith who provided the following statement: Faith brought her Basset Hound dog to Saugstad to use the dog park. Faith was walking towards the dog park when she observed a male, later identified as Mark Ormes, walking with a Pitbull type dog near the entrance gate to the dog park with his dog off leash. Faith stated the Pitbull did a 180, got low to the ground and ran at her and her dog. The Pitbull type dog pinned her Bassett Hound to the ground and was shaking its head. The Pitbull let go of her Bassett Hound and Faith was using her feet to try to kick/ keep the Pitbull away while doing circles with her Bassett Hound on leash to create distance. Faith stated the male owner of the Pitbull came running and was trying to grab the Pitbull by the harness. Faith stated there was an additional female (who was inside the dog park) with her two dogs that was also trying to grab the Pitbull, however her two dogs than got loose and Faith was being circled by

all three dogs. Once the dogs were separated, the owner of the Pitbull placed his dog on a leash. Faith requested a citizen's citation against the male Pitbull owner for leash law and aggressive behavior.

At approximately 1233 hours, ACO Sammons contacted Pitbull owner Mark Ormes via telephone. Mark provided the following statement: This morning Mark brought his dog "Kanny" to Saugstad to use the dog park. As Mark approached the entrance to the dog park he let "Kanny" off leash. Mark thought "Kanny" would run into the dog park where his friend was located with her two dogs. Mark did not know that Faith was behind him on the walking path. "Kanny" instead took off towards Faith and her dog. Mark stated a scuffle ensued between the two dogs and he was doing everything that he could to contain "Kanny" and at one point she slipped out of her collar/harness. Mark eventually grabbed "Kanny" and contained her inside the dog park. *did not go back in.*

ACO Sammons advised Mark that Faith was requesting a citizen's citation be issued for leash law and aggressive behavior. Citation # 22391 was forwarded to the City Attorney's office for review, including one count of licensing on this citation because "Kanny" was not licensed.

On or around September 30th, Mark paid the administrative fines for licensing and aggressive behavior. The only violation subject to this appeal is RMC 7.12.010, Leash Law.

*why? when he let her off leash ad mittedly **

Respectfully Submitted,
N. Sammons, Badge #3075
Animal Control Officer

** not true
saw me
in parking
lot*



Sheldon Family Veterinary
1079 Sunrise Avenue Ste C
Roseville, United States 95661
P: (916) 633-1092
info@sheldonfamilyveterinary.com

STANDARD SOAP (08/21/2025)

Patient Information

Name: Freya
Breed (Species): Basset Hound (Canine)
Age (Birthday): 1 year 7 months (01/21/2024)
Sex: Female (spayed)
Color:
Chip: [REDACTED]
Weight: 46 lbs (20.87 kg)

Owner Information

<u>Name</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Mobile</u>	<u>Home</u>	<u>Email</u>
Faith Oddo	1005 Coloma Way, Roseville, CA 95661-4410	[REDACTED]		

Visit Information

Visit Date: 08/21/2025
Complaint: Dog bite on neck
Provider: Joseph Sheldon

Subjective

History:

Tech History: Puncture is on left side of the back, O thinks in a few spots
Was attacked by dog while walking into the dog park, dog charged and tried to bite O and P, got the dog.
Happened today at 7:50 am
E/d wnl
No c/s/v/d
Diet: Kibble.
Preventatives: Current.

Objective

Vital Signs:

Temperature: 101.8 F.
Weight: 46 Lbs.

Abdomen:

Notes: Palpates WNL

Cardiovascular:

Murmur: None.

Pulse: Normal.

Rhythm: Normal.

Notes: Normal rate and rhythm.

Lymph Nodes:

Notes: Palpates WNL

Mucous Membrane:

Notes: Pink and Moist CRT < 2 sec

Musculoskeletal:

Notes: Normal. Ambulo x 4, BCS 5/9

Neurologic:

Notes: No neurologic defects, full exam not performed.

Ophthalmic:

Ophthalmology Exam:

Notes: OU WNL

Oral:

Notes: Clean

Otic / Ears:

Otic Exudate: None.

Pinna: Normal.

Notes: WNL

Respiratory:

Notes: Clear all fields.

Skin and Coat:

Notes: Puncture wound 0.20 cm on left chest.

Urogenital:

Anal Glands: Normal. Rectal not performed

Labs + Diagnostics:

Assessment

Diagnoses:

Notes: Puncture wound

Client Communication

Notes: Apply Neosporin to the wound twice daily until healed. Monitor for swelling, heat and pain. Please call if you have any questions or concerns.

JS

Medical Records

08/21/2025

1 Unit(s) of Office Visit Exam.

1 Procedure(s) of Clip & Clean - Level 1.

Only one small puncture wound identified. Clip and cleaned. Local anesthetic infused into the wound. Lavage with dilute chlorhexidine solution.

1 Each of Flush Local Anesthesia.

1 ml infused into the wound.

JS

- 1 Each of Pharmacy Consultation.
Pharmacy consultation was accepted
- 1 Box(es) of Meloxicam Oral Suspension 1.5mg/mL 10mL.
Give a 45 pound dose orally every 24 hours for 7 days. Give with food.
Lot #: ZFAD
Expiration: 06/30/2028
- 20 Capsule(s) of Cephalexin 500mg Capsules.
Give 1 capsule orally every 12 hours for 10 days. Give with food.
Lot #: 802V030A
Expiration: 06/30/2027
Lot #: 802V028A
Expiration: 06/30/2027

**Sheldon Family Veterinary**

1079 Sunrise Avenue Ste C

Roseville, United States 95661

Phone: (916) 633-1092

info@sheldonfamilyveterinary.com

If you refer a friend to Sheldon Family Veterinary, you both will receive \$20 off your next exam!



Invoice

Client: Faith Oddo**Invoice No:** 7138**Address:** 1005 Coloma Way
Roseville, California 95661-4410**Invoice Date:** 08/21/2025**Terms:** Due upon receipt

Patient:	Date:	Item:	Qty:	Price:	Line Total:
Freya	08/21/2025	Office Visit Exam	1 Unit(s)	\$70.00	\$70.00
Freya	08/21/2025	Clip & Clean - Level 1	1 Procedure(s)	\$26.00	\$26.00
Freya	08/21/2025	Flush Local Anesthesia	1 Each	\$20.00	\$20.00
Freya	08/21/2025	Cephalexin 500mg Capsules	20 Capsule(s)	\$25.00	\$25.00
Freya	08/21/2025	Meloxicam Oral Suspension 1.5mg/mL 10mL	1 Box(es)	\$31.35	\$31.35
Freya	08/21/2025	Pharmacy Consultation	1 Each	\$0.00	\$0.00
Notes:	Apply Neosporin to the wound twice daily until healed. Monitor for swelling, heat and pain. Please call if you have any questions or concerns. JS				

Subtotal: \$172.35**Tax (T):** \$0.00**Total:** \$172.35**Payment (Credit Card On Terminal
(CardConnect)):** \$172.35**Balance Due:** \$0.00

Payment Record

Payment ID:	Date:	Amount:	Payment Method:	AID:	Auth Code:
#5999	08/21/2025	\$172.35			

Please make all checks payable to Sheldon Family Veterinary. A service charge of 1.5% per month may apply to late payments.

EXHIBIT 7.1-D

Administrative Citation Appeal Form
Request For Hearing

RECEIVED

SEP 12 2025

ROSEVILLE
CITY ATTORNEY



Name <u>Mark Anthony Ormes Sr</u>	Email <u>Mark.Ormes@roscity.org</u>	Phone <u>(916) 774-5325</u>
Address <u>212 King Rd</u>		
Mailing Address (if different from above)	City <u>Roseville</u>	Zip <u>95678</u>
Citation Number <u>22391</u>	Date of Citation <u>8/21/25</u>	

Reason for appeal?

(Include all information to support your appeal here. Continue on second page if needed.)

While inside the Dog Park Off lease, with two other dogs running around, we seen A young lady walking up with a Bassett Hound we had ^{not} seen before. I Asked to wait while I took Kanny out and onto the Grass Field She kept walking, and ^{Kanny} pulled the lease out of my Hand for A minor Backfest. There was A witness to what Happened Her Name is towards us

Signature of Appellant Mark Anthony Ormes Sr Date 9.6-25

Please mail or personally deliver this form within 30 days after the date of the citation notification letter to the Roseville City Attorney's office located at:

City of Roseville
City Attorney's Office
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, CA 95678
(916) 774-5325 • FAX (916) 773-7348

Forms received later than 30 days after the date of the citation notification letter will not be accepted pursuant to Roseville Municipal Code § 2.50.060.

Maria Tounzo [REDACTED]. This is why I Am
Appealing RMC 7.12.010 lease law.

Thank you
for your help with this matter.

Maria Tounzo

EXHIBIT 7.1-E

CITATION APPEAL DECISION

Name of Appellant: Marc Ormes

Administrative Citation No. 22391

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 7.12.010, Leash Law, One Count

- ☒ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE CHARGE because the City or Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the Appellant is the responsible party.
- ☐ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE CHARGE because the Appellant failed to appear.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE CHARGE because the City or Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
- ☐ That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
- ☐ That the Appellant is the responsible party.
- ☐ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE CHARGE but waive the fine in the interest of justice.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE CHARGE because the City/Complainant failed to appear.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE CHARGE at the request of the City/Complainant.

Dated: October 23, 2025

By: 

Tom Rutherford
Hearing Panel Chairperson

Notice to Appellant: Under California Government Code Section 53069.4, any appeal of today's Hearing Panel decision must be filed with Placer County Superior Court within twenty (20) days of today's date.

EXHIBIT 7.2-A

CITATION APPEAL DECISION

Name of Appellant: Melanie Hirsch

Administrative Citation No. 22368

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 7.12.010, Leash Law, Three Counts

- ☐ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE CHARGE because the City or Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the Appellant is the responsible party.
- ☐ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE CHARGE because the Appellant failed to appear.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE CHARGE because the City or Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
- ☐ That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
- ☐ That the Appellant is the responsible party.
- ☐ DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE CHARGE but waive the fine in the interest of justice.
- ☒ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE CHARGE because the City/Complainant failed to appear.
- ☐ GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE CHARGE at the request of the City/Complainant.

Dated: October 23, 2025

By: 

Tom Rutherford
Hearing Panel Chairperson

Notice to Appellant: Under California Government Code Section 53069.4, any appeal of today's Hearing Panel decision must be filed with Placer County Superior Court within twenty (20) days of today's date.