



MINUTES
January 22, 2026

BOARD OF APPEALS HEARING
3:00 p.m.
City Council Chambers
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, CA

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson Tom Rutherford called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m. in the City Council Chambers.

2. ROLL CALL (SILENT)

The following Hearing Panel members were present:

Tom Rutherford
Andrea Seminer
Sandeep Upamaka

The following Staff members were present:

Travis Cochran, Senior Deputy City Attorney
Lynda Risucci, Board Secretary

3. IDENTIFICATION OF CHAIR

Chairperson for the January 22, 2026 hearing was Tom Rutherford.

4. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairperson Tom Rutherford led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

5. PUBLIC COMMENT

No one from the public offered any comments.

6. SWEAR IN

No swear in took place as the Appellants and Complainant were not present at the hearing.

7. MATTERS TO BE HEARD

7.1. Notice of Violation No.: 2025-38797

Appellant: Brayden Barrette, 9017 Running Wolf Way, Roseville, CA 95747

Roseville Municipal Code Section 16.16.140(B) – Prohibited Fireworks -76 Counts

The appeal was withdrawn and no hearing was held for this matter

7.2. Administrative Citation No.: T08583

Appellant: Abdullah Oryakhail

• Roseville Municipal Code Section 10.58.100(a) – Municipal Parking Facilities – Restrictions on Use

At 3:15 p.m., the Appellant was not present. According to section VII(A) of the rules for Administrative Hearing Procedures, if the responsible party fails to appear for the hearing within 15 minutes after the hearing commences, the responsible party's appeal will be automatically denied.

A. Panel Decision:

In regard to Roseville Municipal Code Section 10.58.100(a), Municipal Parking Facilities – Restrictions on Use, a motion was made by Andrea Seminer and seconded by Sandeep Upamaka to deny the appeal and uphold the citation because the appellant failed to appear.

The motion passed with the following vote:

AYES: ALL

NOES: NONE

Chairperson Tom Rutherford signed the decision form (Exhibit 7.2-A).

7.3. Administrative Citation No.: 22041

Appellant: Derek Koonce

- Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, Three Counts
- Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.050, Aggressive Behavior, Three Counts

At 3:15 p.m., the Appellant was not present. According to section VII(A) of the rules for Administrative Hearing Procedures, if the responsible party fails to appear for the hearing within 15 minutes after the hearing commences, the responsible party's appeal will be automatically denied.

A. Panel Decision:

In regard to Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.010, Leash Law, Three Counts, and Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.12.050, Aggressive Behavior, Three Counts, a motion was made by Andrea Seminer and seconded by Sandeep Upamaka to deny the appeal and uphold the citations because the appellant failed to appear.

The motion passed with the following vote:

AYES: ALL

NOES: NONE

Chairperson Tom Rutherford signed the decision form (Exhibit 7.3-A.)

8. ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Andrea Seminer and seconded by Sandeep Upamaka to adjourn the hearing.

AYES: ALL

NOES: NONE

Chairperson Tom Rutherford adjourned the January 22, 2026 hearing at 3:18 p.m.

Lynda Risucci
Board Secretary



City Attorney
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

**CITATION APPEAL
DECISION**

Name of Appellant: **Abdullah Oryakhail**

Administrative Citation No. T08583

**Roseville Municipal Code Section: 10.58.100(a), Municipal Park Facilities –
Restrictions on Use**

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE CHARGE because the City or Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the Appellant is the responsible party.
- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE CHARGE because the Appellant failed to appear.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE CHARGE because the City or Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
 - That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
 - That the Appellant is the responsible party.
- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE CHARGE but waive the fine in the interest of justice.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE CHARGE because the City/Complainant failed to appear.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE CHARGE at the request of the City/Complainant.

Dated: 01/22/2026

By: 
Hearing Panel Chairperson

Notice to Appellant: Under California Government Code Section 53069.4, any appeal of today's Hearing Panel decision must be filed with Placer County Superior Court within twenty (20) days of today's date.



City Attorney
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

CITATION APPEAL DECISION

Name of Appellant: Derek Koonce

Citation No.: 22041

FIRST VIOLATION

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 7.12.010, Leash Law, Three Counts

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
 - That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
 - That the appellant is the responsible party.
- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION but waive the fine in the interest of justice.
- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the appellant failed to appear.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant failed to appear.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION at the request of the City/Complainant.

Name of Appellant: Derek Koonce

Citation Number: 22041

SECOND VIOLATION

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 7.12.050, Aggressive Behavior, Three Counts

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.

- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
 - That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
 - That the appellant is the responsible party.


- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION but waive the fine in the interest of justice.

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the appellant failed to appear.

- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant failed to appear.

- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION at the request of the City/Complainant.

Dated: 01/22/2026

By: 
Hearing Panel Chairperson

Notice to Appellant: Under California Government Code Section 53069.4, any appeal of today's Hearing Panel decision must be filed with Placer County Superior Court within twenty (20) days of today's date.