



MINUTES
May 28, 2026

BOARD OF APPEALS HEARING
3:00 p.m.
City Council Chambers
Roseville Electric Building
116 S. Grant Street, 1st Floor
Roseville, CA

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson Charles Sandoval called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m. in the City Council Chambers.

2. ROLL CALL (SILENT)

The following Hearing Panel members were present:

Sharon Lawin
Tom Rutherford
Charles Sandoval

The following Staff members were present:

Travis Cochran, Senior Deputy City Attorney
Chloe Porath, Deputy City Attorney
Lynda Risucci, Board Secretary
Melissa Lipp, Board Secretary

3. IDENTIFICATION OF CHAIR

Chairperson for the May 28, 2026 hearing was Charles Sandoval.

4. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairperson Charles Sandoval led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

5. PUBLIC COMMENT

No one from the public offered any comments.

6. SWEAR IN

Chairperson Charles Sandoval administered the Oath to those in attendance who would be offering testimony at the hearing.

7. MATTERS TO BE HEARD

7.1. Administrative Citation No.: 22228

Appellant: Madison Dodson, 1423 Vista Creek Drive, Roseville, CA 95678

Roseville Municipal Code Section 7.16.010, Licensing, Two Counts

The appellant, Madison Dodson, was not present at 3:00 p.m. The item was trailed to allow her 15 minutes from the commencement of the hearing to appear per the Administrative Hearing Procedures.

At 3:34 p.m., the Appellant was not present. According to section VII(A) of the rules for Administrative Hearing Procedures, if the responsible party fails to appear for the hearing within 15 minutes after the hearing commences, the responsible party's appeal will be automatically denied.

A motion was made by Sharon Lawin and seconded by Tom Rutherford to deny the appeal and uphold Administrative Citation No. 22228 because Appellant failed to appear.

The motion passed with the following vote:

AYES: ALL

NOES: NONE

Chairperson Charles Sandoval signed the decision form (Exhibit 7.1-A), asked the Appellant to see staff for the paperwork, and informed the parties that they may file a petition for review with the Superior Court in Placer County within 20 days.

7.2. Administrative Citation No.: 21461

Appellant: Sandra Lake

Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.190(d)(9), Special Conditions,
Second Offense

This item was resolved prior to the Board of Appeals Hearing.

7.3. Administrative Citation No.: 22072 & 21460

Appellant: Dimas Velasquez

Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.190(d)(9) – Special Conditions
Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.190(d)(9) – Special Conditions,
Second Offense

A. Staff Report:

Officer Erika Broussard offered testimony regarding the Staff Report (Exhibit 7.3-A) and Notice of Violation (Exhibit 7.3-B).

Per RMC Section 2.50.060(D), the Staff Report was submitted to the City of Roseville Attorney's Office prior to the hearing and was provided to the Appellant and the panel members in advance of the hearing.

B. Appellant's Response:

Request for Hearing:

Appellant's Request for Hearing forms (Exhibit 7.3-C) was received by the Roseville City Attorney's Office on February 28, 2026 and was provided to the panel in advance of the hearing for review.

Appellant Dimas Velasquez, testified in support of the appeal and presented Exhibit 7.3-D, in support of the appeal.

Exhibit 7.3-D:

1. Copy of Roseville Police Department Entertainment Establishment Permit Application;
2. Copy of Bureau of Security and Investigative Services Licensing Details for Dimas Velasquez;
3. Copy of Bureau of Security and Investigative Services Licensing Details for Maurice Bryant;

4. Copy of Bureau of Security and Investigative Services Licensing Details for Earnest Justin Jasper; and,

5. Copy of Bureau of Security and Investigative Services Licensing Details for Patrick Torrance Theodas Lambert.

C. Rebuttals and Panel Questions:

Officer Erika Broussard offered rebuttal testimony and answered panel questions.

Appellant Dimas Velasquez offered rebuttal testimony and answered panel questions.

D. Panel Discussion and Decision:

The panel discussed the evidence and testimony presented for Administrative Citation Nos.: 22072 and 21460.

In regard to the following violations of the Roseville Municipal Code sections:

Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.190(d)(9) – Special Conditions

Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.190(d)(9) – Special Conditions, Second Offense

A motion was made by Sharon Lawin and seconded by Tom Rutherford to deny the appeals and uphold the violations because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.

The motion passed with the following vote:

AYES: ALL

NOES: NONE

Chairperson Charles Sandoval signed the decision form (Exhibit 7.3-E), asked the Appellant to see staff for the paperwork, and informed the parties that they may file a petition for review with the Superior Court in Placer County within 20 days.

7.4. Administrative Citation No.: 21462

Appellant: Richard Ryan

Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.030(a) – Entertainment Permit Required, Second Offense

Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.060(b) -Responsible Person, Second Offense

Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.190(d)(9) – Special Conditions-Second Offense

A. Staff Report:

Officer Erika Broussard offered testimony regarding the Staff Report (Exhibit 7.4-A) and Notice of Violation (Exhibit 7.4-B).

Per RMC Section 2.50.060(D), the Staff Report was submitted to the City of Roseville Attorney’s Office prior to the hearing and was provided to the Appellant and the panel members in advance of the hearing.

Chairperson Charles Sandoval administered the Oath to Richard Ryan as he was not in attendance at the time the Oath was initially administered.

B. Appellant’s Response:

Request for Hearing:

Appellant’s Request for Hearing forms (Exhibit 7.4-C) was received by the Roseville City Attorney’s Office on February 28, 2026 and was provided to the panel in advance of the hearing for review.

Appellant Richard Ryan, testified in support of the appeal and presented Exhibit 7.4-D, in support of the appeal.

Exhibit 7.4-D:

1. Copy of Motion to Dismiss Administrative Citation from Richard Ryan

C. Rebuttals and Panel Questions:

Officer Erika Broussard offered rebuttal testimony and answered panel questions.

Senior Deputy City Attorney, Travis Cochran, provided clarification to the panel of Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.030(a), Entertainment Permit Required and Roseville Municipal Code Section

9.45.060(b), Responsible Person.

Richard Ryan offered rebuttal testimony and answered panel questions.

Officer Erika Broussard answered additional panel questions.

Senior Deputy City Attorney, Travis Cochran, provided clarification to the panel of Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.020, Definitions and provided clarification on the Entertainment Establishment Permit Application

D. Panel Discussion and Decision:

The panel discussed the evidence and testimony presented for Administrative Citation No.: 21462.

In regard to the following violations of the Roseville Municipal Code sections:

Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.030(a) – Entertainment Permit Required, Second Offense

Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.060(b) – Responsible Person, Second Offense

Roseville Municipal Code Section 9.45.190(d)(9) – Special Conditions, Second Offense

A motion was made by Tom Rutherford and seconded by Sharon Lawin to deny the appeal and uphold the violations because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violations occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.

The motion passed with the following vote:

AYES: ALL

NOES: NONE

Chairperson Charles Sandoval signed the decision form (Exhibit 7.4-E), asked the Appellant to see staff for the paperwork, and informed the parties that they may file a petition for review with the Superior Court in Placer County within 20 days.

8. ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Sharon Lawin and seconded by Tom Rutherford to adjourn the hearing.

AYES: ALL

NOES: NONE

Chairperson Charles Sandoval adjourned the May 28, 2026 hearing at 4:05 p.m.

Melissa Lipp
Board Secretary

EXHIBIT 7.1-A



City Attorney
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

CITATION APPEAL DECISION


Name of Appellant: Madison Yvonne Dodson Citation No.: 22228

VIOLATION

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 7.16.010, Failure to License

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
 - That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
 - That the appellant is the responsible party.
- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION but waive the fine in the interest of justice.
- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the appellant failed to appear.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant failed to appear.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION at the request of the City/Complainant.

Dated: May 28, 2026

By: 
Charles Sandoval
Hearing Panel Chairperson

Notice to Appellant: Under California Government Code Section 53069.4, any appeal of today's Hearing Panel decision must be filed with Placer County Superior Court within twenty (20) days of today's date.

EXHIBIT 7.3-A



Roseville Police Department
1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Jeff Belgh, Chief of Police

**Administrative Citation Appeal Hearing
Staff Report**

Date of Hearing: Thursday, May 28, 2026 at 3:00 p.m.
Appellant: Dimas Velasquez, (Aura Kitchen and Bar, 117 Church Street)
Citation: 22072 (Date of Violation 1/9/2026), 21460 (Date of Violation 1/24/2026)

Narrative:

On 10/15/2025, Dimas Velasquez submitted an application for an Entertainment Establishment Permit for Aura Kitchen and Bar (117 Church Street). This area is considered the Old Town Commercial District and businesses in this area are covered by 7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances. Under 7.4(D)(11)(a) and (b)- Security shall be provided via a private security company that is licensed and bonded. When open for entertainment, the operator shall provide a minimum of two security guards to admit patrons and monitor exterior and interior areas when occupancy levels are below 100 persons.

Velasquez's application contained a copy of the Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) conditions for Aura Kitchen and Bar and a business security and emergency action plan created by Velasquez. Velasquez was issued an Entertainment Establishment Permit #2025-00000090 on 10/30/2025 and it is valid through 10/30/2027. Under "Permit Conditions", on the face of the Entertainment Permit it specifically states, Must utilize a licensed proprietary Private Security Officer that is registered with CA Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (minimum of 2).

Velasquez's reason for appeal states, "The entertainment permit did not specify 2 guard cards were needed".

01/09/2026

On 01/09/2026, at approximately 2245 hours, Community Service Officer ("CSO") E. Broussard, Roseville Police Department Patrol staff, and Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) agents arrived at Aura Kitchen and Bar (117 Church Street) to conduct an unannounced compliance inspection. Upon approaching the establishment, audible music could be heard coming from the open front door of the business. CSO Broussard noted there was a DJ playing music, patrons were dancing to the music and consuming alcoholic beverages inside the establishment.

A black male adult was standing at the entrance of the business and identified himself as security. The male subject identified himself as [REDACTED] (verified via Ca driver's license) but could not produce any proof of a valid Bureau of Security and Investigative Services guard card. Samuel McCurley, Special Investigator (Bureau of Security & Investigative Services) later confirmed [REDACTED] previously had a security guard license, but it expired on 8/31/2025 and there were no pending applications for renewal.

No other "employees" of the establishment ever produced identification or proof of a security guard card registered with BSIS upon request. This is a violation of Violation RMC 9.45.190(D)(9). The business did not have any security guard(s) on duty who had a current and active guard card issued by BSIS.

1/24/2026

On 01/24/2026, at approximately 2230 hours, Community Service Officer ("CSO") E. Broussard, Roseville Police Department Patrol staff, Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) agents and Bureau of Security and Investigative Services agents (BSIS) arrived at Aura Kitchen and Bar (117 Church Street) to conduct an unannounced compliance inspection. Upon approaching the establishment, audible music could be heard coming from the open front door of the business. CSO Broussard noted there was a DJ playing music, patrons were dancing to the music and consuming alcoholic beverages inside the establishment.

Two adult males were standing at the entrance of the business wearing t-shirts identifying them as security. BSIS agents contacted the subjects who identified themselves via their California identification cards as [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. Per BSIS Special Investigator, Samuel McCurley, neither [REDACTED] had a current/active guard card. Furthermore, McCurley advised [REDACTED] was recently denied a guard card license. [REDACTED] was admonished to remove the security shirt by McCurley.

No other "employees" of the establishment ever produced identification or proof of a security guard card registered with BSIS upon request. This is a violation of Violation RMC 9.45.190(D)(9). The business did not have any security guard(s) on duty who had a current and active guard card issued by BSIS.

BSIS later conducted an independent investigation, and [REDACTED] was issued a citation and issued a cease and desist. BSIS also drafted and sent a letter to Aura Kitchen and Bar dated 02/10/2026 informing Velasquez of the investigation and violation.

CSO Broussard issued citation(s) #22072 and #21460 for the violations noted above, specifically:

- **RMC 9.45.190(D)(9)**

Violation RMC 9.45.190(D)(9) – Special Conditions

In issuing the permit, the chief of police may impose conditions relating to the operation of the entertainment establishment. It is unlawful for a permittee or other responsible person to violate the terms or conditions of the permit.

Respectfully Submitted,

Erika Broussard
Community Service Officer II/Permit Coordinator

Attachment(s):

7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances, specifically 7.4 Nightclubs, 11 (a) and (b)

Entertainment Establishment Permit Application (NEW) #2025-00000090 submitted 10/15/2025 with ABC conditions and Business Security & Emergency Action Plan

Entertainment Establishment Permit #2025-00000090 issued to Dimas Velasquez (Aura Kitchen and Bar), valid 10/30/2025-10/30/2027

BSIS Investigative Report and Citation Order re: [REDACTED] and letter to Aura Kitchen and Bar

Roseville Police Report #2026-00004844 dated 01/24/2026

Roseville Police Report # 2026-00001845 dated 01/09/2026

7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances

7.4 Nightclubs



Nightclubs will be considered as principally permitted uses in the Old Town Commercial District south of Main Street, and Administratively Permitted Uses in the Old Town Commercial District north of Main Street, and in the Vernon Street District as reflected in Chapter 2.3.3 of the **Downtown Code**. To promote this type of activity which supports the Vision for Downtown, a set of performance standards have been developed.

A. Purpose - To promote the Old Town Commercial district as an entertainment district and to allow nightclub activities within 500 feet of residential uses, the following provisions are being established to allow nightclubs within the entertainment district.

B. Permits Required

- 1. Old Town Commercial District - South of Main Street.** The Old Town Commercial District principally permits nightclubs when they meet the standards established by this chapter of the **Downtown Code**, and are located in the areas shown as principally permitted in Exhibit 7.1. Prior to operation or issuance of a business license, building permit or other City approval, a nightclub use shall obtain approval from the Director.

The Director's approval shall be subject to the nightclub use meeting the provisions of this chapter. Nightclubs that are in compliance with the provisions of this chapter shall obtain a Zoning Clearance as defined in the Roseville Municipal Code Chapter 19.72.

- 2. Old Town Historic District north of Main Street and on Vernon Street.** Nightclub uses desiring to locate within this area will be required to obtain an Administrative Permit as described in Chapter 19.74 of the City's Zoning Ordinance.

C. Design Requirements

The following design requirements will be required to be addressed as part of a request for a Zoning Clearance and in consideration of a request for an Administrative Permit;

- 1. Storefront.** The storefronts of ground floor nightclubs or cocktail lounges shall be designed in compliance with the storefront and building façade standards and guidelines located in the Downtown Specific Plan.
- 2. Construction Standards.** Proposed or required construction and/or use changes require a Building Permit. Construction documents shall include occupancy, type of construction, floor area, means of egress, accessibility, 100 psf floor live load and sanitary facility designs as regulated by the Roseville Building Division regulations and standards described in Roseville Municipal Code (RMC) Chapter 16.04.
- 3. Room Capacity.** Maximum room capacity posting, exit identification/illumination, exit access and egress devices shall be designed and provided in accordance with the Roseville Building Division regulations and standards described in Roseville Municipal Code (RMC) Chapter 16.04.





7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances

4. **Fire Equipment.** Fire equipment shall be regulated, designed and provided in accordance with the Roseville Fire Department and building regulations and standards described in Roseville Municipal Code (RMC) Chapter 16.16.
5. **Lighting.** The establishment shall provide outside lighting in a manner that would illuminate outside street and sidewalk areas at a minimum of 0.5 foot-candles, and adjacent parking areas and entry driveways at a minimum of 1.0 foot-candles as a maintained minimum at the pavement surface throughout the night.
6. **Smoking Areas - when provided.** Outdoor smoking areas shall be designed and located in a secured (fenced/walled) area with exterior lighting of a minimum of 1.0 foot-candles that does not adversely impact passing pedestrian traffic and surrounding uses. Smoking areas should be located to minimize impacts to adjacent residential areas.
7. **Noise.** All feasible noise-reducing techniques, such as the use of double doors, sound-absorbing insulation, adequate ventilation that discourages the opening of doors and/or windows, and buffering outdoor seating areas, shall be employed wherever possible.



In a mixed-use development, where there are residential uses over the nightclub, all feasible mitigation will be installed to minimize the noise impacts within the residential unit. A typical mitigation measure is to provide at least one floor of non-residential use (eg. office) between the nightclub floor and residential floor. Re-use of an existing building will require installation of feasible noise reduction construction techniques.

D. Operating Requirements

The following operating requirements will be required to be addressed as part of a request for a Zoning Clearance and in consideration of a request for an Administrative Permit;

1. **Entertainment Permit Required.** Pursuant to RMC Chapter 9.40 the nightclub operator shall be required to secure an Entertainment Permit from the Roseville Police Department. The provisions of the business plan and security plan accepted by the Police Department as part of the approved Entertainment Permit shall establish site specific operational and security provisions. The following criteria provide minimum standards that shall be required of all nightclub operations; the provisions of the Entertainment Permit may exceed the criteria listed below, but shall not be less than the listed criteria.
2. **Hours of Operation.** Patrons shall only be allowed in the nightclub between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 2:00 a.m. Entertainment in any establishment shall only be allowed between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 1:30 a.m. Exceptions to these operating hours can be granted by the Director when there are limited impacts due to additional operational measures being put in place. Such measures may include, but are not limited to, additional on and off-premise security, valet parking, restaurant and food services, and limited alcohol service.



7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances

3. **Alcohol Beverage Control.** All nightclubs shall comply with all conditions and restrictions imposed upon the California Department of Alcohol Beverage Control (ABC) license and all applicable ABC regulations.
4. **Room Capacity.** Maximum room capacity posting, exit identification/illumination, exit access and egress devices shall be maintained in accordance with the Roseville Fire Department and building regulations and standards described in Roseville Municipal Code (RMC) Chapter 16.16.
5. **Pyrotechnic Effects Prohibited.** The use of pyrotechnic special effects or displays is prohibited.
6. **Interior Lighting.** The establishment shall have minimum interior lighting of six-candle power at floor level, except during performances on stages.
7. **Trash Receptacles.** Sufficient trash and recycling receptacles shall be provided and shall be regularly maintained. The operator shall be responsible for cleaning the sidewalk within fifty (50) feet horizontal distance from the premises during the hours of operation to maintain the sidewalk free of garbage or other debris. The operator shall arrange for litter removal from the storefront and public sidewalks adjacent to the storefront after the close of business. Sidewalks in front of the business and within 100 feet of the operation shall be pressure washed on a monthly basis at the expense of the business.
8. **Outdoor Seating.** Outdoor seating that is in conjunction with and clearly accessory to any nightclub use will be evaluated based on the regulations provided in Chapter 7.8.3 of the Downtown Code.
9. **Noise Impacts.** All feasible noise-reducing techniques, such as the use of double doors, sound-absorbing insulation, adequate ventilation that discourages the opening of doors and/or windows, and buffering outdoor seating areas, shall be employed wherever possible. No use shall create noise levels which impact residential interior areas by 45 db or more.
10. **Sanitation Facilities.** Operating provisions will be put in-place that allow queuing patrons to gain access to restrooms, within the club, if necessary.
11. **Security Provisions.**
 - a. Security shall be provided via a private security company that is licensed and bonded.
 - b. When open for entertainment, the operator shall provide a minimum of two security guards to admit patrons and monitor exterior and interior areas when occupancy levels are below 100 persons. When occupancy exceeds 100 persons, the operator shall provide additional guards to adequately control the environment at a ratio of one guard per additional 50 people (or any fraction thereof). The operator is responsible for providing a safe environment.



7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances

- c. Management shall designate a front door security presence when open for entertainment. Front door security will check identification to verify age requirements, monitor occupancy, screen for weapons, and direct security to prohibit further entry when maximum occupancy is reached.
- d. Management is responsible for maintaining an outdoor security presence when a crowd is waiting to gain access to the building. Security officers shall be responsible for providing an organized method of maintaining a line that will not block public sidewalks, driveways, or surrounding business doorways.
- e. Security officers shall wear uniforms and be readily identifiable as private security personnel.
- f. Security personnel shall be visible at the primary entrance at closing of the entertainment business and shall remain until patrons are dispersed. Security shall not permit crowds and/or patrons to loiter in the front of or in the immediate vicinity of the nightclub or cocktail lounge after closing.

7.5 Parking Structures

A. Purpose

Parking structures are to be integrated into the overall atmosphere and architecture for the Downtown. It is important that these facilities are functional, but do not become a detriment due to their appearance. The following criteria is provided to ensure that these facilities are attributes to the Downtown.

B. Parking Structure Design

The following parking structure design standards shall apply to all parking structures located in the Specific Plan area.

1. Parking decks should be flat where feasible. At a minimum, a majority of both the ground floor and top parking decks shall be required to be flat, as opposed to continuously ramping.
2. External elevator towers and stair wells shall be open to public view or enclosed with transparent glazing.
3. Public restrooms shall be located on the ground floor of the parking structure.



Conceptual of Pacific Street Parking Structure



ROSEVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT
 1051-Junction Boulevard, Roseville, CA 95678
 (916) 746-1069

ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENT PERMIT APPLICATION (NEW)

2023-
 Permit Number Issued: 00000090

All information requested on this application is required. Completed applications can take up to 60 days to process. Incomplete applications will be rejected, thus delaying issuance of a permit. **Any Entertainment Permit Application should be submitted at least 60 days before the permit is required.** Entertainment Establishment permits shall expire two years following their issuance without a qualified renewal. Unless exempt pursuant to Section 9.45.040, it is unlawful for any person or premises located within the City of Roseville to provide or allow any entertainment that is open to the public without an Entertainment Permit.

YOU ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS WITH YOUR APPLICATION:

1.	Copy of applicant/owner and manager/supervisor (responsible persons) Driver's License or other valid government-issued photo ID
2.	\$284.00 Non-refundable application fee (Check payable to the City of Roseville, sent by mail to the Roseville Police Department)
3.	LiveScan fingerprint service for any new applicant, or responsible persons, such as managers/supervisors + \$32.00 Non-refundable DOJ fee + \$20.00 Non-refundable LiveScan fingerprint fee + \$17.00 Non-refundable FBI fee -- Payable at the time of the LiveScan service. Please provide a copy of the completed Live Scan to the Permit Coordinator
4.	Current City of Roseville Business License (HDL Companies https://roseville.hdlgov.com 916-228-5207)
5.	Fictitious name filing with Placer County (County of Placer main webpage) if the business name is not the applicant's surname, or a business was purchased and the name was changed -- Not applicable for Corporations and LLC's
6.	ABC License and Conditions - If alcohol will be served
7.	Business Security Plan/Emergency Action Plan/Business Diagram (Those who have security personnel must utilize a licensed "Proprietary Private Security Officer" that is registered with California Bureau of Security and Investigative Service) -- Further explanation on page five (5)
8.	Written consent from the Property Owner, if not the applicant
9.	Copy of the Rental Lease for the business location
10.	Zoning approval from the Planning Department (Handled by the Permit Coordinator)
11.	Certificate of Occupancy (Building Division -- Permits Center, located at 311 Vernon Street, appointment required)
12.	Fire/Life Safety Inspection (Fire Department)
13.	Proof of business entity status (W-9 Forms are available on the IRS main webpage): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If a sole proprietor, please provide your current Form W-9. • If a corporation, please provide your current Form W-9, date of incorporation, evidence corporation is in good standing under laws of California, the names and capacities of all officers and directors and name and address of registered agent for service of process. • If a limited liability corporation, please provide your current Form W-9, date of formation, evidence limited liability company is in good standing under laws of California, the names and capacities of all members, and name and address of registered agent for service of process. • If a partnership, please provide a copy of the partnership agreement the names and contact information for all partners, and a current Form W-9 for all partners.
14.	If applicant is also an independent contractor, in addition to providing the information in Section 13 above, evidence of the independent contractor relationship must be provided. *Please note, review of independent contractor relationship is for application purposes only and is no representation, certification, or guarantee that applicant is in fact an independent contractor under the California Labor Code.

ROSEVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT

ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENT PERMIT - NEW
Page 2 of 6

Business Name The Aura Kitchen & Bar

Review City Municipal Code Title 9, Chapter 9.45: Entertainment Establishment Permits

BUSINESS ESTABLISHMENT INFORMATION

- Sole Proprietor Independent Contractor Corporation Limited Liability Corporation
- Partnership Other _____

Establishment Name The Aura Kitchen & Bar

Establishment Address 117 Church St., Roseville, Ca 95678

Establishment Telephone Number(s) 916-865-9289

Establishment Owner(s) Dimas D. Velasquez

Establishment Owner's Telephone(s) 530-844-0444

Website Address The Aura - bar

Maximum Occupancy 49

Location Square Footage (Include square footage of the room, as well as the full suite)
2,000

Hours of Operation m - closed / Tue 11-3am 5pm-10 / FRI 11-3 5-2am / SUN 10-8pm
THUR SAT

Admission Fee/Cover Charge 0

Description of proposed entertainment to have live entertainment

Are ID scanners, metal weapon detectors, dress code and security implemented? (Those who have security personnel must utilize a licensed "Proprietary Private Security Officer" that is registered with California Bureau of Security and Investigative Service) Yes No

If "Yes", provide a description:

On nights needed The Aura has security that is registered and all equipment needed is on site. Security ensures a safe environment, to ensure proper abse.

ROSEVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT

ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENT PERMIT - NEW
Page 3 of 6

Business Name The Aura
Kitchen & Bar

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Owner Other _____

Applicant Full Name Dimas D. Velasquez

Other Names Used _____

Date of Birth [Redacted]

Male Female [Redacted]

Scars, tattoos or other marks [Redacted]

Driver's License # [Redacted]

Residence Address [Redacted]

Home Phone [Redacted]

E-mail address [Redacted]

Residence addresses for the past five (5) years (Begin with your current address)
[Redacted]

Employment history for the past five (5) years (Begin with the current or most recent; include the business name, address, and phone number):
[Redacted]

Do you now hold or have you ever previously held a dance or entertainment permit or owned any similar business? Yes No

If "Yes", explain when and where:
Party Planning / event coordinator in 2004 in Sacramento. It
was a home / base business.

Have you ever had a Dance Permit, Entertainment Permit or Business License suspended or revoked for any reason? Yes No

If "Yes", provide an explanation:

ROSEVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT

ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENT PERMIT- NEW
Page 4 of 6

Business Name The Aura
Kitchen & Bar

Have you ever been convicted of any Misdemeanor or Felony crime? Yes No

If yes, state the nature of the offense(s), jurisdiction where offense occurred, and sentence (Only the last five (5) years will be taken into consideration):

Are you presently, or have you EVER been, on informal/formal probation or parole in California or elsewhere? Yes No

If yes, provide all details, including probation/parole officer's name, office address and phone number:

Have you ever been convicted of a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude? Yes No

What is "Moral Turpitude"? Moral turpitude is a legal concept in the United States that refers to the gross violation of standards of moral conduct. Conviction of crimes of moral turpitude may disqualify someone from an employment opportunity.

Some examples of crimes involving "moral turpitude" include: grand theft, perjury, vice crimes, bigamy, rape, arson, blackmail, embezzlement, fraud, robbery, prostitution, murder, voluntary manslaughter, narcotic sales and falsifying a crime report. Liquor law violations and disorderly conduct do not fall under this classification.

If yes to the above concerning moral turpitude, provide details and jurisdiction where offense occurred.

List 3 character references other than immediate family members (Name, address and phone number).



I give permission to allow a background investigation to verify the information provided.

YES Initial: AV

ROSEVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT

ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENT PERMIT- NEW
Page 5 of 6

Business Name The Aura
Kitchen & Bar

ON-SITE RESPONSIBLE PERSONS
(Managers/Supervisors)

Manager/Supervisor Name Dimas D. Velasquez

Other Names Used _____

Date of Birth _____
Residence Address _____
cell
Residence Phone _____
Primary E-mail _____

Please advise the On-Site Manager/Supervisor (responsible persons) there will be a background check and have them sign below acknowledging and providing permission for the background check.

x [Signature] Date: 10/3/2025

Are there additional On-Site Responsible Persons (Managers/Supervisors) Yes No

Note: If you have more than one manager/supervisor please list their information on a separate page that includes the items mentioned in the "On-Site Responsible Persons" section along with their signature acknowledging there will be a background check. Please provide the separate page(s) along with the rest of the application.

ON-SITE SECURITY PLAN / EMERGENCY ACTION PLAN / BUSINESS DIAGRAM

Please provide with this application a Security Plan that details the actions to be taken for the safety of patrons (Those who have security personnel must utilize a licensed "Proprietary Private Security Officer" that is registered with California Bureau of Security and Investigative Service).

Please include a plan outlining the steps taken to ensure the safety of patrons during an emergency (Examples include emergency contacts, personnel training, evacuation routes and procedures, natural disaster procedures, extended power loss procedure, etc.)

Please include a diagram of the business detailing location(s) of security personnel, exits, food booths/alcohol stands, patron areas, dance floor etc. The sketch or diagram need not be professionally prepared but must be drawn to a designated scale or drawn with marked dimensions of the interior of the premises to an accuracy of plus or minus six inches.

Business Name The A19
Kitchen & Bar

CHANGES OF ANY KIND TO THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON THIS APPLICATION MUST BE REPORTED TO THE ROSEVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT IN WRITING WITHIN TEN (10) CALENDAR DAYS.

I, the undersigned applicant, hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the answers and statements I have made on all pages of this application and in all supporting documents are true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any information misrepresented or intentionally omitted will result in automatic denial of this application, and/or revocation of the permit, and may subject me to criminal prosecution. I have read and understand the applicable sections of the Roseville Municipal Code as it applies to Entertainment Ordinances and agree to abide by such ordinances.

[Signature]
APPLICANT: Signature

Dimas D. Velasquez 10/2/2025
Print Name Date

E. Broussard
CITY REPRESENTATIVE: Signature

E. BROUSSARD 10/15/25
Print Name Date

▼ FOR POLICE DEPARTMENT USE ONLY ▼

- Notes
- Captain will determine if any special conditions are required

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE
ON-SALE GENERAL BREW-PUB

VALID FROM

Jul 01, 2025

DDV CONSULTING, LLC

7834 SAYBROOK DR
CITRUS HEIGHTS, CA 95621

EXPIRES

Jun 30, 2026

TYPE NUMBER DUP

75 664398

AREA CODE

3105 23

RENEWAL

BUSINESS ADDRESS (IF DIFFERENT) DBA: THE AURA KITCHEN & BAR
117 CHURCH ST
ROSEVILLE, CA 95678-2210

CONDITION

OWNERS DDV CONSULTING, LLC

7



IMPORTANT INFORMATION

EFFECTIVE PERIOD: This license is effective only for the operating period shown above. A new license will be sent 4 to 6 weeks after the expiration date on your license if payment is timely. Your license status will remain in good standing for 60 days after the expiration date if the renewal payment was received timely. To check the status of your license, visit <http://www.abc.ca.gov/datport/LQSMenu.html>.

RENEWAL NOTICES: Renewal notices are sent to premises address unless a specific mailing address is requested. If a notice is not received 30 days before expiration date shown above, contact the nearest ABC office. To assure receipt of notices, advise your local ABC office of any change in address.

RENEWAL DATE It is the licensee's responsibility to pay the required renewal fee by the expiration date shown above.

A Penalty is charged for late renewal and the license can be automatically revoked for failure to pay

RENEWAL PAYMENTS: Renewal payments can be made in person by visiting your local office or sent by mail to ABC Headquarters, 3927 Lennane Drive, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95834. If you do not have your renewal notice, your license number and the reason for payment (ex. renewal) must be clearly indicated on the check. You can contact your local ABC office for your renewal fee amount.

SEASONAL LICENSE It is the licensee's responsibility to pay the required renewal fee prior to the next operating period.

POSTING. Cover this license with glass or other transparent material and post it on premises in a conspicuous place.

CONDITIONS: A copy of all applicable conditions must be kept on premises.

LICENSEE NAME: Only 10 names will be printed on each license. If there are more names associated with the license, they will be indicated by "AND XX OTHERS". All names are on file and available upon request from your local ABC office.

DBA: If you change your business name please notify your local ABC office.

If you have any questions regarding this license, contact your local ABC office. You can find the contact information for each district office at <http://www.abc.ca.gov/datmap.html>.

NOTE: CONTACT YOUR LOCAL ABC OFFICE IF YOUR LICENSED PREMISES WILL BE TEMPORARILY CLOSED FOR MORE THAN 15 DAYS OR WILL BE PERMANENTLY CLOSED.

<http://www.abc.ca.gov>

@ca_abc CaliforniaABC



Report Date: Wednesday, October 08, 2025

LICENSE INFORMATION

License Number: 664398 **Primary Owner:** DDV CONSULTING, LLC **Office of Application:**
23 - SACRAMENTO

BUSINESS NAME

THE AURA KITCHEN & BAR

BUSINESS ADDRESS

117 CHURCH ST , ROSEVILLE, CA, 95678
County: PLACER **Census Tract:** 0210.03

LICENSEE INFORMATION

Licensee: DDV CONSULTING, LLC

Company Information

OFFICER: VELASQUEZ, DIMAS DANIEL (MANAGING MEMBER)
MEMBER: VELASQUEZ, DIMAS DANIEL



LICENSE TYPES

***Allow up to six weeks for expiration date updates after renewal fee submittal.**

75 - ON-SALE GENERAL BREW-PUB

License Type Status: ACTIVE **Status Date:** 07-MAR-2025 **Term:** 12 Month(s)

Original Issue Date: 06-MAR-2025 **Expiration Date*:** 30-JUN-2026 **Master:** Y **Duplicate:** 0

From License Number: 75-658055

Fee Code: P40 **Transfers:** Transferred On: 06-MAR-2025

OPERATING RESTRICTIONS:

Entertainment provided shall not be audible beyond the area under the control of the licensee(s) as depicted on the most recently certified ABC-257.

No alcoholic beverages shall be consumed on any property adjacent to the licensed premises under the control of the licensee(s) as depicted on the most recently certified ABC-257 and ABC-253.

Said patio/terrace/other area shall be fully enclosed with a fixed barrier.

A sign shall be posted in a conspicuous space at the entrance/exit point of said patio/terrace/other area, which shall state, "NO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES BEYOND THIS POINT". Said sign shall measure no less than seven inches by eleven inches (7" x 11"), and contain lettering no less than one (1) inch height.

DISCIPLINARY ACTION:

No Active Disciplinary Action found

DISCIPLINARY HISTORY:

No Disciplinary History found.

HOLDS:

No Active Holds found

ESCROWS:

No Escrow found

NOTIFICATIONS:

No notifications found



■ THE AURA KITCHEN & BAR

Business Security & Emergency Action Plan (Live Music Events)

Owner / Incident Commander: Dimas Velasquez

Title: Owner / Fire Chief (Military) / Certified EMT

Authority: Has full command in emergency situations, directs evacuation, and coordinates with emergency services.

Objective: Ensure the safety of guests, staff, and performers during live music events through a structured plan addressing security, emergency response, evacuation, and communication.

2. SECURITY OVERVIEW

During all Live Music Events, professional licensed security is hired to ensure the safety of guests, staff, and performers.

Primary Security Contact:

California Guard Card #: 1905149

Licensed Since: 2009

Position: Head of Security / Lead Officer

Responsibilities:

- Supervises all contracted security staff
- Communicates directly with Owner/IC during all incidents
- Ensures all guards are briefed on entry procedures, crowd management, and emergency response protocols

Event Staffing (Minimum Requirements):

- 1 Lead Security Officer [REDACTED]
- 1 Front Door Officer (ID Check & Entry Control)
- 1 Floor Patrol Officer (Interior / Stage Area)
- 1 Float / Roving Officer (Patio / Perimeter, as needed)

3. ADT SECURITY SYSTEM INTEGRATION

System: ADT Commercial

Connected To: Police, Fire, and EMS

Authorized Users: Owner, Manager on Duty, Head of Security

Notifications are immediate; remain on-site to meet responders unless unsafe.

4. COMMUNICATION PLAN

Primary: Two-way radios (Security + Management)

Backup: Cell phone group text

Code Words:

Code Red – Fire

Code Blue – Medical Emergency
Code Yellow – Suspicious Behavior
Code Black – Evacuation
Code Green – All Clear

5. EMERGENCY ACTIONS (Summary)

- 🔴 Fire: Activate alarm, announce evacuation, use posted exits, meet in parking lot.
- 🟡 Medical: Notify Owner/EMT, call 911, provide first aid.
- 🟠 Disturbance: De-escalate, remove calmly, call police if needed.
- 🟢 Active Threat: Run, Hide, Fight, call 911 immediately.

6. EVACUATION PLAN


Exit	Location	Use	Notes
Main Entrance	Front Door	Primary exit	Manned by security
Back Patio Gate	Patio	Emergency exit	Used for emergency only

Assembly Point: Far corner of parking lot (marked).

10. EMERGENCY CONTACTS

- 📞 Police / 📞 Fire / 📞 EMS: 911
- 📞 ADT Commercial Support: (800) 238-2727

Owner / Fire Chief: Dimas Velasquez
Head of Security: [REDACTED]



THIS PERMIT IS NOT TRANSFERABLE

THIS PERMIT MUST BE VISIBLY DISPLAYED ON THE BUSINESS PREMISES.

Roseville Police Department
 1051 Junction Blvd, Roseville CA
 www.roseville.ca.us/police

Entertainment Establishment Permit

Roseville Municipal Code 9.45

Entertainment Permit #: 2025-00000090

Permit Expiration Date: 10/30/2027

Applicant Name: Dimas Velasquez

Establishment Name: Aura Kitchen and Bar

Establishment Address: 117 Church Street

ABC License #: 75-658055

ABC License Expiration: 06/30/2026

Issuing Officer: Brandon Fernandez
Captain

Issuing Officer Signature

Permit Conditions

- Implementation of high definition cameras
- All entrances/ exits must be properly illuminated
- Must utilize a licensed Proprietary Private Security Officer that is registered with CA Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (minimum of 2)
- Implementation of an approved identification scanner
- The establishment shall maintain compliance with all applicable codes/regulations at all times
- No changes shall be made to the floor plan or operations without prior review and approval from the Planning Division
- Enforce Dress Code
- Comply with all conditions of ABC license
- Obey all laws applicable to noise abatement



BUSINESS, CONSUMER SERVICES AND HOUSING AGENCY • GAVIN NEWSOM, GOVERNOR
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES
 2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 270, Sacramento, CA 95834
 P (916) 322-4000 | F (916) 675-7289 | www.bsis.ca.gov



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Complaint Number: 120 2026 000786
Related Complaints: 120 2026 000625 Aura Kitchen and Bar (Employer)
Special Investigator: Nikki Judge
Complainant: BSIS
Respondent: [REDACTED]
License Number(s): Unlicensed **Issue Date:** N/A

SYNOPSIS OF COMPLAINT

On January 27, 2026, the Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (Bureau) opened a complaint against Aura Kitch and Bar after a compliance check was conducted on security guards working for them on January 24, 2026, with assistance from the Roseville Police Department (PD).

NARRATIVE

On January 24, 2026, Special Investigator Nikki Judge and Special Investigator Kourtney Black, with assistance from the Roseville Police Department, conducted compliance checks at Aura Kitchen and Bar, located at 117 Church Street, Roseville, California 95678.

Upon arrival, I observed one male standing at the front entrance wearing a uniform identifying him as security. I contacted the individual, identified myself, and explained the purpose of the compliance check. The male confirmed he was employed as a security guard for Aura Kitchen and Bar.

I requested the male's identification and security guard registration card. The male stated he did not have his guard card in his possession. A Roseville Police Officer advised that during a prior contact the previous week, the male also did not have his guard card or identification.

The male stated his guard card information was available on his phone. I requested to view the information.

The male provided his phone, which displayed a DCA printout listing the name [REDACTED] with guard registration number G #1905149. I then requested photo identification. [REDACTED] provided a California Driver License identifying him as [REDACTED] date of birth [REDACTED] (Exhibit A).

Complaint No. 120 2026 000786

Respondent: [REDACTED]

License # Unlicensed

I observed that the guard registration number appeared to be from an earlier issuance. I asked [REDACTED] to confirm whether the guard card belonged to him, and he confirmed on the spot that it was his.

On January 26, 2026, I conducted a licensing search through the Bureau's BreEZe system and confirmed that Security Guard Registration No. 1905149 was issued to [REDACTED] date of birth [REDACTED] with an address of record in Los Angeles, California.

The individual I contacted, who identified himself as [REDACTED] and claimed the registration number as his own, presented a California Driver License reflecting a date of birth of [REDACTED] and an address in Fair Oaks, California.

Based on the discrepancy between the Bureau's licensing records and the information reflected on the California Driver License provided, Security Guard Registration No. 1905149 does not correspond to the individual contacted.

On February 10, 2026, I mailed a certified aiding and abetting notification letter to Aura Kitchen and Bar advising them of the findings from January 24, 2026, compliance check and educating them on the Bureau's rules and regulations regarding the lawful employment of security guards (Exhibit B).

LICENSE INFORMATION/HISTORY

[REDACTED] (born on [REDACTED]), was issued security guard permit number G #1646299 on June 26, 2009. On June 17, 2021, [REDACTED] was notified that his security guard card will be suspended due to [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] appeared in person at the Disciplinary Review Hearing on August 4, 2022. And on September 7, 2022, [REDACTED] was notified that the committee found clear and convincing evidence to uphold the Bureau's decision to suspend security guard #1646299 (Exhibit C).

On April 30, 2023, [REDACTED] applied for security guard registration. On May 30, 2023, the application was denied [REDACTED] was notified of the denial on May 30, 2023. A review of Bureau records indicates no appeal was filed in response to the denial (Exhibit D).

On May 22, 2024 [REDACTED] applied for security guard registration. On July 18, 2024, the application was denied [REDACTED] was notified of the

Complaint No. 120 2026 000786
Respondent [REDACTED]
License # Unlicensed

denial on July 18, 2024. A review of Bureau records indicates no appeal was filed in response to the denial (Exhibit E).

ENFORCEMENT HISTORY

Other than the suspended and denied applications listed above one other complaint was found in the Bureaus records listing [REDACTED] as the respondent.

[REDACTED]

VIOLATIONS

BPC 7582 – Operate without a license.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the compliance investigation conducted on January 24, 2026, and subsequent review of Bureau records, it is recommended that a citation be issued to [REDACTED] for violation of Business and Professions Code (BPC) section 7582, operating as an unlicensed security guard. It is recommended that a fine in the amount of \$1,000 be imposed pursuant to the authority granted under BPC 7582.3(b).

The following factors were considered when determining the amount of \$1,000 for the administrative fine:

- (a) **The good or bad faith exhibited by the cited person:** The respondent exhibited bad faith by knowingly engaging in security guard services after being denied licensure, falsely representing another individual's registration number as his own, and continuing to perform security functions despite having actual knowledge that he was not authorized to do so. Such conduct demonstrates an awareness of the licensure requirement and a deliberate disregard for compliance with the Security Services Act.
- (b) **The nature and severity of the violation:** The respondent engaged in security guard services without a valid registration, despite having previously been denied licensure. By falsely representing another individual's registration number as his own, the respondent circumvented the Bureau's regulatory safeguards designed to ensure that only qualified and properly vetted individuals perform security

Complaint No. 120 2026 000786
Respondent: [REDACTED]
License # Unlicensed

functions. Such conduct undermines public trust and poses a potential risk to public safety.

- (c) **Evidence that the violation was willful:** The respondent's violation was willful, as he had prior knowledge of his ineligibility to perform security guard services due to the denial of his application for licensure. Despite this knowledge, the respondent engaged in security activities and falsely represented a registration number issued to another individual as his own, demonstrating a deliberate and intentional disregard for the licensing requirements of the Security Services Act.
- (d) **The extent to which the cited person has cooperated with the Bureau:** The respondent's level of cooperation with the Bureau was limited, as he falsely represented a registration number issued to another individual as his own when questioned about his licensure status. Although he provided identification upon request, his misrepresentation regarding his registration undermined the integrity of the investigation and does not demonstrate full and forthright cooperation.
- (e) **The extent to which the cited person has mitigated or attempted to mitigate any damage or injury caused by their violation:** The respondent has not demonstrated any effort to mitigate or attempt to mitigate the violation. There is no evidence that he ceased performing security services voluntarily, notified his employer of his unlicensed status, or took corrective steps to come into compliance with Bureau requirements. As such, no mitigating actions have been identified.

Nikki Judge
Special Investigator Signature

2/18/2026
Date

majidi abdel salam
Enforcement Chief


02/20/2026
Date

Bureau of Security and Investigative Services
Investigation Report: 120 2026 000786

 *Unlicensed*

EXHIBIT A

Aura Kitch and Bar



Bureau of Security and Investigative Services
Investigation Report: 120 2026 000786

 *Unlicensed*

EXHIBIT B



BUSINESS, CONSUMER SERVICES AND HOUSING AGENCY • GAVIN NEWSOM, GOVERNOR
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES
2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 270, Sacramento, CA 95834
P (916) 322-4000 | F (916) 575-7289 | www.bsis.ca.gov



February 10, 2026

Aura Kitch and Bar
117 Church Street
Roseville, CA 95678

The Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (BSIS or Bureau) conducted an investigation at your establishment, Aura Kitch and Bar, located at 117 Church Street, Roseville, California 95678, on January 24, 2026.

During the inspection, myself and Special Investigator Kourtney Black, came into contact with two men [REDACTED] at the time of the compliance check [REDACTED] were both unable to provide valid and or current security guard permits in violation of the following Business and Profession Codes.

Business and Profession Code 7582: *No person shall engage in a business regulated by this chapter; act or assume to act as, or represent himself or herself to be, a licensee unless he or she is licensed under this chapter; and no person shall falsely represent that he or she is employed by a licensee.*

Business and Profession Code 7583.3 (a): *Fail to carry on their person, while on duty, a valid and current security guard registration card, or if pending receipt of the registration card after the bureau's approval, a hardcopy printout of the approved security guard registration information from the bureau's internet website and a valid picture identification.*

As a lawful business, you are permitted to employ security guards at your establishment. However, it is your responsibility to ensure that all security guards are properly employed and compensated through your payroll. It is also the responsibility of your establishment to verify that each security guard holds a valid license issued by the Bureau.

Failure to comply with these requirements constitutes a violation of **Business and Professions Code section 7582.3(b)**, which makes it a misdemeanor to violate Private Patrol Operator (PPO) licensing laws, conspire to violate them, or knowingly employ unlicensed or nonexempt security personnel. Violations are punishable by a fine of up to \$5,000, imprisonment in county jail for up to one year, or both.

As of the date you receive this letter, please consider yourself formally informed and educated regarding your responsibilities under the Business and Professions

Code and the Bureau's rules and regulations pertaining to the lawful employment of security guards. It is your obligation to ensure full compliance moving forward.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me so that I may provide further guidance or verify licensure requirements.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

**Nikki Judge
Special Investigator**

Bureau of Security and Investigative Services
Investigation Report: 120 2026 000786

 *Unlicensed*

EXHIBIT C



BUSINESS, CONSUMER SERVICES AND HOUSING AGENCY • GAVIN NEWSOM, GOVERNOR
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES
 Post Office Box 980550, West Sacramento, CA 95798-0550
 P (916) 322-4000 | P (800) 952-5210 | F (916) 575-7290 | www.bsis.ca.gov



November 17, 2021



NOTICE OF AUTOMATIC SUSPENSION OF SECURITY GUARD REGISTRATION

RE: Security Guard Registration No.: G 1846299
 Case No.: 1202021005580

Dear

Your registration as a security guard has been suspended, effective immediately, pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section¹ 7583.21. The reason for this suspension is that you have been convicted of the following crime(s) that has/have been preliminarily determined to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a security guard.

Date	Court	Violation(s)
09/21/2020	Superior Court, County of Solano	

Pursuant to Penal Code Sections 11105 and 11105.2, enclosed is a copy of the Criminal Offender Record Information the Bureau received from the California Department of Justice detailing information about the above violation(s).

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 7581.3 and 7583.21, you may request a review before a panel of the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee to determine whether the Bureau's automatic suspension of your registration should be reversed. The Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee is comprised of members appointed by the Governor to consider matters relating to license denials, suspensions, and revocations, as well as the issuance of administrative citations. You may be represented by an attorney of your choosing and present witnesses to speak on your behalf during your review.

Be advised, if you hold a Bureau-issued firearm permit or baton permit associated solely with the license, certification, or registration addressed by this notice of automatic suspension, your firearm permit and/or baton permit will be suspended by operation of law, and will display as "Suspended, No Qualifier," pursuant to license searches performed on the Bureau's website.

¹ See Business and Professions Code and California Code of Regulations Sections at <http://www.bsis.ca.gov/about-us/laws/index.shtml>.

November 17, 2021
Case No.: 1202021005580
Page 2

You may use the enclosed *Request for Appeal of Automatic Suspension* form to request an appeal of the Bureau's decision to suspend your security guard registration before the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee. Please include evidence of your rehabilitation with your appeal to assist with the reconsideration of your suspension including but not limited to a rehabilitation or dismissal document issued to you by a Superior Court, character reference letters, or statement from you regarding the incident resulting in the conviction(s). This information will be considered as part of the reevaluation of your registration suspension.

Upon receipt of your appeal request, the Bureau will schedule you for the next available review and you will be notified, in writing, of your review date. Please note that it takes 60-90 days from the date the Bureau receives your appeal request for a Disciplinary Review Committee hearing to be scheduled.

The Bureau must receive your completed *Request for Appeal of Automatic Suspension* within 30 days from the date of this notice to enable you to be heard by the Disciplinary Review Committee. If the Bureau does not receive your appeal request by this date, then your suspension stands, and no further action will be considered regarding the suspension.

NOTE: You are prohibited from engaging in employment as a security guard with a suspended license. If you are appealing the Bureau's suspension of your registration, you are still prohibited from working as a security guard until a final determination is made by the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee regarding the suspension of your registration. Any further employment as a security guard is unlawful and may subject you to fines, citations, and/or discipline. In addition, any employer who employs you for security guard services may be subject to fines, citations, and/or otherwise disciplined.

The California Code of Regulations Section 601.6 authorizes the Bureau to issue a citation to any unlicensed person who is acting in the capacity of a registrant that is not exempt from licensure. Each citation may include a fine up to five thousand dollars (\$5,000).

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 480, the Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (Bureau) must retain race and gender information that license applicants voluntarily provide. Using the information the Bureau collects, the Bureau must also annually make de-identified information available on its website and report it to the Legislature.

This anonymous electronic survey seeks information that would assist the Bureau in complying with its annual reporting obligation. Therefore, the Bureau would appreciate your voluntary feedback about your denial or disqualification of licensure due to a criminal record. Please take a few minutes to complete this survey at <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Series1-HRHD7NT> or cut and paste the link into your internet browser. You are not required to complete this survey. You are also not required to submit to the Bureau any information relating to your race or gender. You may submit to the Bureau information about your race or gender without using this survey.

November 17, 2021
Case No.: 1202021005580
Page 3

If you have questions regarding this notice, you may contact Cody Reeves at 916-575-7020 or Cody.Reeves@dca.ca.gov.

Sincerely,



Samuel Stodolski
Deputy Chief

Enclosures **Criminal Offender Record Information
Request for Appeal of Automatic Suspension Form**



BUSINESS, CONSUMER SERVICES AND HOUSING AGENCY • GAVIN NEWSOM, GOVERNOR
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES
 Post Office Box 980550, West Sacramento, CA 95798-0550
 P (916) 322-4000 | P (800) 952-5210 | F (916) 575-7290 | www.bsis.ca.gov



REQUEST FOR APPEAL OF AUTOMATIC SUSPENSION

If you are interested in appealing the automatic suspension of your security guard registration before the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee, **COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS FORM** to:

Attn: Disciplinary Review Unit
 Bureau of Security and Investigative Services
 P.O. Box 980550
 West Sacramento, CA 95798-0550

The Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee is comprised of members appointed by the Governor to consider private security-related licensing matters including the automatic suspension of a security guard's registration.

1. Please submit any evidence of rehabilitation with your appeal request.
2. The Bureau must receive your completed Request for Appeal of Automatic Suspension form **within 30 days** from the date of your Notice of Automatic Suspension of Security Guard Registration letter.
3. When the Bureau receives your appeal request, the Bureau will schedule your review and notify you of your review date.
4. Please note that it generally takes 60-90 days from the date the Bureau receives your appeal request for a Disciplinary Review Committee review to be scheduled.

Incomplete requests will not be processed; they will be returned.

- I request a review before the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee. **The Bureau must receive this appeal request within 30 days from the date of your Notice of Automatic Suspension of Security Guard Registration letter.**
- I have enclosed evidence of rehabilitation including a detailed statement regarding the identified conviction(s) on the Notice of Automatic Suspension Letter with this Request for Appeal of Automatic Suspension form.

Guard No.: G 1646299	
Date Signed:	Signature:
Employer's Business Name:	Mailing Address:
Employer's License No.:	City/State/Zip:
	Telephone Number: ()

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY REGULAR MAIL

I, Alyse Hutchison, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is P.O. Box 980550, West Sacramento, California 95798-0550; I served a copy of the attached Notice of Review and Review information on the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:



Each said envelope was then, on July 7, 2022, sealed and deposited in the United States mail at Sacramento, California, the county in which I am employed, as regular mail, with the postage thereon fully prepaid, that there is delivery service by United States mail at the place so addressed.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed on July 7, 2022, at Sacramento, California.



BUSINESS, CONSUMER SERVICES AND HOUSING AGENCY • GAVIN NEWSOM, GOVERNOR
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES
2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 270, Sacramento, CA 95834
P (916) 322-4000 | F (916) 575-7289 | www.bsis.ca.gov



September 7, 2022



RE: Security Guard Registration No. G 1646299

Dear 

Enclosed is the decision of the Disciplinary Review Committee regarding your review on August 4, 2022. The committee found that clear and convincing evidence exists to uphold the bureau's decision and initiate proceedings to suspend your Security Guard Registration.

You have the right to request a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge by completing a written request **within 30 days** of the date of this letter. Upon receipt of the notice, the case will be forwarded to the Attorney General for an administrative hearing. You will then be notified by their office of the date, time, and place of the hearing.

If you do not request a hearing within the 30 days, the decision of the Disciplinary Review Committee will be final and default proceedings will commence.

Sincerely,

Alyse Hutchison
Bureau of Security and Investigative Services
Disciplinary Review Division

Enclosure

BEFORE THE
PRIVATE SECURITY DISCIPLINARY REVIEW COMMITTEE
BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Suspension
Against:



DECISION

Guard Registration No. G 1646299
Respondent.

1. This matter came on regularly for review before the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee on August 4, 2022, concerning the Bureau of Security and Investigative Services' intent to initiate disciplinary proceedings against respondent's security guard registration. Respondent, after proper notice:

- Appeared in person and represented self.
- Appeared and was represented by _____.
- Did not appear.
- Other _____

FINDINGS OF FACT

2. Evidence was received and the matter was then submitted. The Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee now finds the following based upon the clear and convincing evidence:



3. Evidence of rehabilitation and/or mitigating circumstances:

- Does not justify disciplinary proceedings to suspend respondent's security guard registration.
- Justifies disciplinary proceedings to suspend respondent's security Guard card till the expiration date.
- Other: _____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Guard registration suspended based on the following:

- Evidence was not submitted.
- Insufficient time has elapsed since crime(s) was committed.
- Insufficient in view of nature/severity of crime.
- Is not sufficient in view of repeated criminal conduct.
- Insufficient rehabilitation shown.
- Other _____

CONCLUSION OF LAW

4. Based on the foregoing findings:


Cause does / does not exist to discipline the above-referenced security guard registration pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 7587.1, 7587.4, 7583.16, and 7581.2.

ORDER

- Initiation of disciplinary proceedings are ordered to suspend said registration.
- Suspension proceedings will not be initiated against said registration.
- Other: _____

The foregoing is the decision of the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee in the above-entitled matter.

Dated: 8/4/2012



Private Security Disciplinary
Review Committee
Bureau of Security and
Investigative Services

G 1646299

Bureau of Security and Investigative Services
Investigation Report: 120 2026 000786

 *Unlicensed*

EXHIBIT D



DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES

10/12/2000

10

505 7290

bsis.ca.gov

May 30, 2023



NOTICE OF DENIAL OF SECURITY GUARD REGISTRATION

RE: File No.: 2493167
Case No.: 1202023002654

Dear

The State of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (Bureau) received your complete security guard registration application on April 20, 2023.

The Bureau must deny your application for registration as a security guard because of your conviction of the following crime(s), which [is/are] substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a security guard.

Date	Court	Violation(s)
12/02/2020	CASCFAIRFIELD	

Pursuant to Penal Code Section 11105 or 11105.2, enclosed please find a copy of the Criminal Offender Record Information the Bureau received from the California Department of Justice detailing information about the above violation(s).

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 7574.33, you may request a review before the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee to appeal the Bureau's denial of your application. This review is conducted by a committee of members appointed by the Governor to consider matters relating to license denials, suspensions, and revocations, as well as the issuance of administrative citations. You may be represented by an attorney of your choosing and present witnesses to speak on your behalf during your review.

The Bureau must receive your completed Request for Appeal of Application Denial form within 30 days from the date of this notice to enable you to be heard by the Disciplinary Review Committee.



Case No.: 1202023002654
May 30, 2023
Page 2

Please use the Request for Appeal of Application Denial form enclosed with this notice to request an appeal and include evidence of your rehabilitation with your appeal to assist with the reconsideration of your application, including, but not limited to, a rehabilitation or dismissal document issued to you by a Superior Court, character reference letters, or statement from you regarding the incident resulting in the conviction(s). This information will be considered as part of the reevaluation of your application.

When the Bureau receives your appeal request, you will be scheduled for the next available review and you will be notified in writing of your review date. Please note that it generally takes 60 days from the date the Bureau receives your appeal request for a Disciplinary Review Committee review to be scheduled.

If you do not submit a request to appeal your application denial to the Disciplinary Review Committee, then no further action will be considered on your denied application. Please note that, in that case, you will be required to wait one year from the date of this notice before the Bureau will consider a new application for registration.

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 158 and 7588.4, the application fee is a processing fee and is non-refundable.

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 480, the Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (Bureau) must retain race and gender information that license applicants voluntarily provide. Using the information the Bureau collects, the Bureau must also annually make de-identified information available on its website and report it to the Legislature.

This anonymous electronic survey seeks information that would assist the Bureau in complying with its annual reporting obligation. Therefore, the Bureau would appreciate your voluntary feedback about your denial or disqualification of licensure due to a criminal record. Please take a few minutes to complete this survey at <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Series1-HRHD7NT> or cut and paste the link into your internet browser. You are not required to complete this survey. You are also not required to submit to the Bureau any information relating to your race or gender. You may submit to the Bureau information about your race or gender without using this survey.

If you have questions regarding this notice, you may contact the Bureau via email at BSIS@dca.ca.gov. Please be sure to include the File No. and Case No. from above with your inquiry.

BSIS Disciplinary Review Unit

Enclosures: Criminal Offender Record Information
Request for Appeal of Application Denial Form



DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
 BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES
 Post Office Box 98
 95789-0098 | Phone: (916) 575-5210 | (916) 575-7290 | www.bsis.ca

REQUEST FOR APPEAL OF APPLICATION DENIAL

If you are interested in being scheduled for a formal hearing before the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee, **COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS FORM** to:

Attn: Disciplinary Review Unit
 Bureau of Security and Investigative Services
 P.O. Box 980550
 West Sacramento, CA 95798-0550

Your appeal request **must be received within 30 days of the date of this denial notice.**

Include evidence of your rehabilitation with your appeal to assist with the reconsideration of your application, including, but not limited to, a rehabilitation or dismissal document issued to you by a Superior Court, character reference letters, or statement from you regarding the incident resulting in the conviction(s).

When the Bureau receives your request, you will be scheduled for the next available review and you will be notified in writing of your review date. Please note that it generally takes 60 days from the date the Bureau receives your appeal request for a Disciplinary Review Committee review to be scheduled.

- I request a hearing before the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee, pursuant to Business and Professions Code commencing with Section 7574.33, to appeal the Bureau's denial of my security guard application.
- Please check this box if you are enclosing evidence of rehabilitation.

Complaint No.: 1202023002654

Name: [REDACTED]

Signature: _____

Date: _____ Telephone Number: _____

Address (Required) _____

City/State/Zip _____

Email Address (Optional) _____

Bureau of Security and Investigative Services
Investigation Report: 120 2026 000786

 *Unlicensed*

EXHIBIT E



**DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES**

P.O. Box 980550, West Sacramento, CA 95798-0550

P (916) 322-4000 (800) 952-5210 | F (916) 575-7290 | www.bsis.ca.gov



July 18, 2024



NOTICE OF DENIAL OF SECURITY GUARD REGISTRATION

RE: File No.: 2582399
Case No.: 1202024004353

Dear

The State of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (Bureau) received your complete security guard registration application on May 6, 2024.

The Bureau must deny your application for registration as a security guard because of your conviction of the following crime(s), which is/are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a security guard.

Date	Court	Violation(s)
12/02/2020	CASCFAIRFIELD	

The Bureau also considered whether there was a showing of rehabilitation following the above-listed conviction(s), pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 602.1. The Bureau does not find a showing of rehabilitation based in part on evidence of your conviction(s) and/or pending charges for the following crime(s):

Date	Court	Violation(s)
10/05/2018	CASCWOODLAND	

Pursuant to Penal Code Section 11105 or 11105.2, enclosed please find a copy of the Criminal Offender Record Information the Bureau received from the California Department of Justice detailing information about the above violation(s).

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 7581.3, you may request a review before the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee to appeal the Bureau's denial of your application. This review is conducted by a committee of members appointed by the Governor to consider matters relating to license denials, suspensions, and revocations, as well as the issuance of administrative citations. You may be represented by an attorney of your choosing and present witnesses to speak on your behalf during your review.

The Bureau must receive your completed *Request for Appeal of Application Denial* form within 30 days from the date of this notice to enable you to be heard by the Disciplinary Review Committee. Please use the *Request for Appeal of Application Denial* form enclosed with this notice to request an appeal and include evidence of your rehabilitation with your appeal to assist with the reconsideration of your application, including, but not limited to, a rehabilitation or dismissal document issued to you by a Superior Court, character reference letters, or statement from you regarding the incident resulting in the conviction(s). This information will be considered as part of the reevaluation of your application.

When the Bureau receives your appeal request, you will be scheduled for the next available review, and you will be notified in writing of your review date. Please note that it generally takes 60 days from the date the Bureau receives your appeal request for a Disciplinary Review Committee review to be scheduled.

If you do not submit a request to appeal your application denial to the Disciplinary Review Committee, then no further action will be considered on your denied application. **Please note that you will be required to wait one year from the date of this notice before the Bureau will consider a new application for registration.**

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 158 and 7588.4, the application fee is a processing fee and is non-refundable.

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 480, the Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (Bureau) must retain race and gender information that license applicants voluntarily provide. Using the information the Bureau collects, the Bureau must also annually make de-identified information available on its website and report it to the Legislature.

This anonymous electronic survey seeks information that would assist the Bureau in complying with its annual reporting obligation. Therefore, the Bureau would appreciate your **voluntary** feedback about your denial or disqualification of licensure due to a criminal record. Please take a few minutes to complete this survey at <https://bit.ly/3KwKUhX> or cut and paste the link into your internet browser. You are not required to complete this survey. You are also not required to submit to the Bureau any information relating to your race or gender. You may submit to the Bureau information about your race or gender without using this survey.

If you have questions regarding this notice, you may contact the Bureau via email at BSISReviewUnit@dca.ca.gov. Please be sure to include the File No. and Case No. from above with your inquiry.

BSIS Disciplinary Review Unit

**Enclosures: Criminal Offender Record Information
 Request for Appeal of Application Denial Form**

REQUEST FOR APPEAL OF APPLICATION DENIAL

If you are interested in being scheduled for a formal hearing before the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee, **COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS FORM** to:

Attn: Disciplinary Review Unit
Bureau of Security and Investigative Services
P.O. Box 980550
West Sacramento, CA 95798-0550

Your appeal request must be received within 30 days of the date of this denial notice.

Include evidence of your rehabilitation with your appeal to assist with the reconsideration of your application, including, but not limited to, a rehabilitation or dismissal document issued to you by a Superior Court, character reference letters, or statement from you regarding the incident resulting in the conviction(s).

When the Bureau receives your request, you will be scheduled for the next available review, and you will be notified in writing of your review date. Please note that it generally takes 60 days from the date the Bureau receives your appeal request for a Disciplinary Review Committee review to be scheduled.

I request a hearing before the Private Security Disciplinary Review Committee, pursuant to Business and Professions Code commencing with Section 7581.3, to appeal the Bureau's denial of my security guard application.

Please check this box if you are enclosing evidence of rehabilitation.

Complaint No.: 1202024004353

Name: [REDACTED]

Signature: _____

Date: _____ Telephone Number: _____

Address (Required) _____

City/State/Zip _____

Email Address (Optional) _____

07/18/2024 BS-ij 2582399



DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
 BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES
 2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 270, Sacramento, CA 95834
 P (916) 322-4000 | F (916) 575-7289 | www.bsis.ca.gov



CITATION ORDER

*** Sent via Certified Mail & Regular Mail ***

DATE OF ISSUANCE: February 26, 2026
MEMBER: [REDACTED]
ADDRESS OF RECORD: [REDACTED]
LICENSE NO.: Unlicensed
CITATION NO.: 120 2026 000786

Majdi Abdelsalam issues this notice in his official capacity as the Enforcement Chief of the Bureau of Security and Investigative Services, hereinafter referred to as the "Bureau".

Licensing History

Bureau records show that [REDACTED] was issued Security Guard Registration No. G#1646299 on June 26, 2009. On June 17, 2021, he was notified that his registration would be suspended due to a 2020 conviction for Penal Code [REDACTED]. Following a Disciplinary Review Hearing on August 4, 2022, the Bureau upheld the suspension on September 7, 2022.

Bryant applied for security guard registration on April 30, 2023, and May 22, 2024. Both applications were denied based on the 2020 conviction. Bureau records indicate no appeals were filed in response to either denial.

Citation

A citation is hereby issued to [REDACTED] pursuant to California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 16, Division 7, Section 601.6(a), in accordance with Business and Professions Code (BPC) Section(s) 148 and 7582 for violation of BPC Section(s) described below:

1. BPC Section 7582 – No person shall engage in a business regulated by this chapter; act or assume to act as, or represent himself or herself to be, a licensee unless he or she is licensed under this chapter; and no person shall falsely represent that he or she is employed by a licensee.

Cause for Citation

On January 24, 2026, a Bureau investigation discovered that [REDACTED] was acting as a security guard at Aura Kitchen and Bar and in Roseville, CA, while wearing a uniform identifying him as a security guard.

Order of Abatement

The Bureau hereby directs [REDACTED] to immediately cease and desist from violating BPC Section(s) 7582 and 7582.3(a).

Order

Upon receipt of this citation, [REDACTED] is to pay the following administrative fine(s):

CCR Section 601.6(a) for violation of BPC Section 7582

Fine Amount
\$1000.00

Total Amount of Citation: \$1000.00

This citation shall become a final order of the Bureau thirty (30) days after the date of service of the citation. Payment of the administrative fine is due within thirty (30) days after service of the citation. Payment should be made payable to the Bureau of Security and Investigative Services, and mailed to the Bureau at the following address:

**Bureau of Security and Investigative Services
Attention: Enforcement
PO Box 989002
West Sacramento, CA 95798-9002**

Please indicate your citation number on the check, cashier's check, or money order.

Payment arrangements may be available. Please contact the Bureau at bsis.enforcementunit@dca.ca.gov for further details.

Please note that if payment for the citation is made, it will be viewed as a satisfactory resolution to the matter, and you may lose the opportunity to have the citation reviewed by the Office of Administrative Hearings.

Appeal Rights

You have the right to appeal this citation order by requesting a hearing with the Office of Administrative Hearings, which will be held pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code. To request a hearing with the Office of Administrative Hearings, you must notify the Bureau *in writing* within thirty (30) days after the date this citation was served on you. You may also request an informal conference with the Bureau.

To request an informal conference, you must make this request within ten (10) days of the issuance of the citation per CCR, Title 16, Division 7, Section 601.8(e). You do not lose your right to appeal this citation by failing to request an informal conference.

Enclosed you will find a "Notice of Appeal" form. You may indicate on this form whether you wish to formally appeal this citation or have an informal conference. Alternately, you can separately notify us in writing of your formal appeal and request for a hearing, provided you do so within thirty (30) days of service.

Please note, per BPC Section 149 – Advertising in Telephone Directory without License, if, upon investigation, an agency has probable cause to believe that a person is advertising with respect to the offering or performance of services, without being properly licensed by or registered with the agency to offer or perform those services, the agency may issue a citation under BPC Section containing an order of correction that requires the violator to do both of the following:

1. Cease the unlawful advertising.
2. Notify the telephone company furnishing services to the violator to disconnect the telephone service furnished to any telephone number contained in the unlawful advertising.

This action is stayed if the person to whom a citation is issued under subdivision (a) notifies the agency in writing that he or she intends to contest the citation. The agency shall afford an opportunity for a hearing, as specified in BPC Section 125.9.

If the person to whom a citation and order of correction is issued under subdivision (a) fails to comply with the order of correction after that order is final, the agency shall inform the Public Utilities Commission of the violation and the Public Utilities Commission shall require the telephone corporation furnishing services to that person to disconnect the telephone service furnished to any telephone number contained in the unlawful advertising.

The good faith compliance by a telephone corporation with an order of the Public Utilities Commission to terminate service issued pursuant to this section shall constitute a complete defense to any civil or criminal action brought against the telephone corporation arising from the termination of service.

Failure to request a hearing to formally appeal this citation within thirty (30) days will waive your right to contest or appeal this citation.

majdi abdel salam

Majdi Abdelsalam, Enforcement Chief

02/26/2026

Date



BUSINESS, CONSUMER SERVICES AND HOUSING AGENCY • GAVIN NEWSOM, GOVERNOR
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES
 2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 270, Sacramento, CA 95834
 P (916) 322-4000 | F (916) 575-7289 | www.bsis.ca.gov



**Notice of Appeal
 Office of Administrative Hearing**

DATE OF ISSUANCE: February 26, 2026
MEMBER: [REDACTED]
ADDRESS OF RECORD: [REDACTED]
LICENSE NO.: Unlicensed
CITATION NO.: 120 2026 000786

I hereby acknowledge receipt of the citation referenced above and notification of my rights to contest the citation and fine. I do hereby contest the citation and request the following:

Check Appropriate Box/Boxes:

- A hearing with the Office of Administrative Hearings pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code.
- I request an informal conference.

 Signature Date

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip Code: _____

Telephone No.: _____

Email Address: _____

Please note that if payment for the citation is made, it will be viewed as a satisfactory resolution to the matter, and you may lose the opportunity to have the citation reviewed by the Office of Administrative Hearings.



**City of Roseville
Police**
1051 Junction Blvd, Roseville CA 95678
CRIME / INCIDENT REPORT

**CASE NUMBER:
2026-00001845**
ASSOCIATED CASE NUMBER:

REPORTED DATE/TIME 1/9/2026 23:31	OCCURRED FROM DATE/TIME 01/09/2026 22:45	OCCURRED THRU DATE/TIME 01/09/2026 22:45
---	--	--

OCCURRED INCIDENT TYPE MUNI CODE VIOLATION	LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE 117 CHURCH ST ROSEVILLE, CA 95678
--	--

ROUTE TO

<input type="checkbox"/> Placer DA	<input type="checkbox"/> Sac DA	<input type="checkbox"/> Parole	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other CITY ATTORNEY OFFICE
<input type="checkbox"/> Placer Probation	<input type="checkbox"/> Sac Probation	<input type="checkbox"/> CPS	

STATUTE / DESCRIPTION 01 9.45.190(D)(9) RMC-I (ALL OTHER) ENT PERMIT SPECIAL COND	CLASS INFRACTION
---	----------------------------

SUBJECT TYPE VICTIM	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX) CITY OF ROSEVILLE,	DOB
-------------------------------	--	-----

RELATED OFFENSE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP) 311 VERNON ST ROSEVILLE, CA 95678
-----------------	--

SCHOOL EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS	EMAIL
------------------------------	-------

DL NUMBER / STATE	PRIMARY PHONE	PHONE #2	PHONE #3
-------------------	---------------	----------	----------

RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE COLOR	AGE or AGE RANGE
------	-----	-----------------	-----------------	------	-----------	------------------

SCAR / MARK / TATTOO

CLOTHING DESCRIPTION

SUBJECT TYPE CITED	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX) VELASQUEZ, DIMAS DANIEL	
------------------------------	---	--

RELATED OFFENSE	
-----------------	--

SCHOOL EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS	EMAIL
------------------------------	-------

SCAR / MARK / TATTOO

CLOTHING DESCRIPTION

--

--

SYNOPSIS

A compliance check was conducted at 117 Church Street (Aura Kitchen and Bar) with the assistance of Roseville Police Department personnel and Alcoholic Beverage Control. Violations were noted during the inspection and a Complaint Cite was issued.

Unlawful dissemination of this restricted information is prohibited.

Released to:

Date/Badge:

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ID# 3070 BROUSSARD	DATE / TIME 01/22/2026 08:54	APPROVED BY HAGERMAN, MICHAEL	01/22/2026
--	--	---	-------------------



City of Roseville
Police
 1051 Junction Blvd, Roseville CA 95678
 CRIME / INCIDENT REPORT

CASE NUMBER:
2026-00001845
 ASSOCIATED CASE NUMBER:

ADDITIONAL SUBJECTS

SUBJECT TYPE MENTIONED		NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX) AURA KITCHEN AND BAR,				DOB
RELATED OFFENSE		ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP) 117 CHURCH ST ROSEVILLE, CA 95678				
SCHOOL/EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS			EMAIL			
DL NUMBER / STATE		PRIMARY PHONE BUSINESS (916)866-9289		PHONE #2	PHONE #3	
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE COLOR	AGE or AGE RANGE
SCAR / MARK / TATTOO						
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION						
SUBJECT TYPE MENTIONED		[REDACTED]				
RELATED OFFENSE		[REDACTED]				
SCHOOL/EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS			EMAIL			
DL NUMBER / STATE		PRIMARY PHONE		PHONE #2	PHONE #3	
[REDACTED]						
SCAR / MARK / TATTOO						
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION						
SUBJECT TYPE		NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX)				DOB
RELATED OFFENSE		ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)				
SCHOOL/EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS			EMAIL			
DL NUMBER / STATE		PRIMARY PHONE		PHONE #2	PHONE #3	
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE COLOR	AGE or AGE RANGE
SCAR / MARK / TATTOO						
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION						
SUBJECT TYPE		NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX)				DOB
RELATED OFFENSE		ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)				
SCHOOL/EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS			EMAIL			
DL NUMBER / STATE		PRIMARY PHONE		PHONE #2	PHONE #3	
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE COLOR	AGE or AGE RANGE
SCAR / MARK / TATTOO						
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION						

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ID#
3070 BROUSSARD

DATE / TIME
01/22/2026 08:54

APPROVED BY
HAGERMAN, MICHAEL 01/22/2026



City of Roseville
Police
 1051 Junction Blvd, Roseville CA 95678

CASE NUMBER:
2026-00001845
 ASSOCIATED CASE NUMBER:

GENERAL PROPERTY

PROPERTY CODE(S) NONE TAKEN			VALUE
QUANTITY/UNIT OF MEASURE	PROPERTY TYPE/CLASS NONE TAKEN	SERIAL	COLOR
MAKE	MODEL	CLETS ARTICLE TYPE	
ITEM DESCRIPTION			
PROPERTY CODE(S)			VALUE
QUANTITY/UNIT OF MEASURE	PROPERTY TYPE/CLASS	SERIAL	COLOR
MAKE	MODEL	CLETS ARTICLE TYPE	
ITEM DESCRIPTION			
PROPERTY CODE(S)			VALUE
QUANTITY/UNIT OF MEASURE	PROPERTY TYPE/CLASS	SERIAL	COLOR
MAKE	MODEL	CLETS ARTICLE TYPE	
ITEM DESCRIPTION			
PROPERTY CODE(S)			VALUE
QUANTITY/UNIT OF MEASURE	PROPERTY TYPE/CLASS	SERIAL	COLOR
MAKE	MODEL	CLETS ARTICLE TYPE	
ITEM DESCRIPTION			
PROPERTY CODE(S)			VALUE
QUANTITY/UNIT OF MEASURE	PROPERTY TYPE/CLASS	SERIAL	COLOR
MAKE	MODEL	CLETS ARTICLE TYPE	
ITEM DESCRIPTION			
PROPERTY CODE(S)			VALUE
QUANTITY/UNIT OF MEASURE	PROPERTY TYPE/CLASS	SERIAL	COLOR
MAKE	MODEL	CLETS ARTICLE TYPE	
ITEM DESCRIPTION			
PROPERTY CODE(S)			VALUE
QUANTITY/UNIT OF MEASURE	PROPERTY TYPE/CLASS	SERIAL	COLOR
MAKE	MODEL	CLETS ARTICLE TYPE	
ITEM DESCRIPTION			

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / I.D.# 3070 BROUSSARD	DATE / TIME 01/22/2026 08:54	APPROVED BY HAGERMAN, MICHAEL 01/22/2026
--	--	--



NARRATIVE

REFERENCE:

- (S/Cited) Dimas Velasquez, Owner- Aura Kitchen and Bar
- (V) City of Roseville
- (M) Aura Kitchen and Bar
- (M) [REDACTED] Security- Aura Kitchen and Bar

RELATED CASE(S):

- Roseville Police Department 2025-54609
- Roseville Police Department 2025-72617
- Roseville Police Department 2026-552

BODY WORN CAMERA (BWC): (Sgt Hagerman, Det. G Small, Det. M Campbell)

Yes No If yes, this report is only a summary of the events that occurred. For more details please review the BWC footage keeping in mind the BWC has a limited field of view and audio may be low and/or muffled.

SUMMARY:

On 1/9/2026, I was assigned to the Roseville Police Department's Investigations Unit as a Community Service Officer. As part of my duties, I am also responsible for permitting entertainment establishments and conducting compliance checks. I have completed POST training and meet the requirements of Penal Code Section 872; certifying in-service officers to testify to hearsay evidence in preliminary hearings.

A compliance check was conducted at 117 Church Street (Aura Kitchen and Bar) with the assistance of Roseville Police Department personnel and Tim Monelo, Alcoholic Beverage Control Supervising Agent and his team members (Agent's Allen, Jimenez and Tentyuk). Violations were noted during the inspection and a Complaint Cite was issued.

NARRATIVE:

On 1/9/2026, I conducted a check of the online portal of the City of Roseville (HDL) and I located a business license issued to Aura Kitchen and Bar (117 Church Street). I noted the license #00852899 indicated the license status was "Current and Active", issued to Dimas Velasquez and expires as of 12/31/2026.

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ICD 3070 BROUSSARD	DATE TIME 01/22/2026 08:54	APPROVED BY HAGERMAN, MICHAEL 01/22/2026
--	--------------------------------------	--



NARRATIVE (continuation)

I next conducted a check of the Roseville Police Department permit module and located an Entertainment Permit issued to Aura Kitchen and Bar (#2025-00000090, Expiration date 10/30/2027) – The permit is issued to Dimas Velasquez. [REDACTED] is the only designated onsite manager/responsible.

The Aura Kitchen and Bar entertainment establishment permit has the following permit conditions: Implementation of high definition cameras, all entrances/exits must be properly illuminated, must utilize a licensed Proprietary Private Security Officer that is registered with CA Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (minimum of 2), Implementation of an approved identification scanner, the establishment shall maintain compliance with all applicable codes/regulations at all times, no changes shall be made to the floor plan or operations without prior review and approval from Planning Division, comply with all conditions of ABC license, enforce dress code and obey all laws applicable to noise abatement.

Jason Rudolph, Roseville PD Crime Analyst provided me a statistical report for calls for service during 2025. The report reflected 4 in 2025. – See attached. Aura Kitchen and Bar is a new establishment that opened in September 2025.

Velasquez has a valid Alcoholic Beverage Control license (#664398) valid thru 6/30/2026 for On Sale General Brew Pub.

Per Matt Walling, Fire & Environmental Inspection Supervisor of Roseville Fire Department, Aura Kitchen and Bar has approved occupancy of 49.

On 1/9/2026 at approximately 2245 hours, Roseville Police Department personnel, Tim Monelo, Alcoholic Beverage Control Supervising Agent, his team members and I arrived at Aura Kitchen and Bar (117 Church Street) to conduct an establishment inspection. Upon approaching the establishment, audible music could be heard coming from the open front door of the business. A black male adult was standing at the entrance of the business and identified himself as security. The male subject identified himself as [REDACTED] (verified via Ca driver's license) but could not produce any proof of Bureau of Security and Investigative Services guard card. Samuel McCurley, Special Investigator (Bureau of Security & Investigative Services) later confirmed [REDACTED] previously had a security guard license, but it expired on 8/31/2025 and there are no renewal applications pending.

[REDACTED] was checking customer ID's and allowing customers access to the business. [REDACTED] could not confirm how many customers were currently inside the establishment (capacity).

Dimas was located inside the establishment and was admonished by ABC Agent Monelo to lower the volume of the music coming from the establishment or close the front door as it could be heard from across the street in the parking lot of Old Town Pizza.

I noted there was a DJ and large crowd dancing to music and consuming alcoholic beverages inside the establishment. No other "employees" of the establishment ever produced identification or proof of a security guard card registered with BSIS upon request.

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ID# 3070 BROUSSARD	DATE / TIME 01/22/2026 08:54	APPROVED BY HAGERMAN, MICHAEL 01/22/2026
--	--	--



City of Roseville
Police
1051 Junction Blvd, Roseville CA 95678

CASE NUMBER:
2026-00001845
ASSOCIATED CASE NUMBER:

NARRATIVE (continuation)

The establishment is in violation of the following:

Special Conditions, RMC 9.45.190(d)(9): It is unlawful for a permittee or other responsible person to violate the terms or conditions of the permit.

The business was unable to confirm the current occupancy inside the establishment at the time of the inspection. Music could be heard coming from the establishment while Roseville Police Department and ABC Personnel approached the business and while standing across the street in a parking lot located where Old Town Pizza is. The business did not have any security guard(s) on duty who had a current and active guard card issued by BSIS.

Based upon the violations, I issued Complaint Cite #22072 to Velasquez.

It should be noted that Officer Hancock conducted a bar check of this establishment on 1/3/2026 and a Complaint Cite was issued for operating without a business license.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Forward to City Attorney Office

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ICH

3070 BROUSSARD

DATE - TIME

01/22/2026 08:54

APPROVED BY

HAGERMAN, MICHAEL

01/22/2026



**City of Roseville
Police**
1051 Junction Blvd, Roseville CA 95678
CRIME / INCIDENT REPORT

**CASE NUMBER:
2026-00004844**
ASSOCIATED CASE NUMBER:

REPORTED DATE/TIME: **1/24/2026 23:30** OCCURRED FROM DATE/TIME: **01/24/2026 23:30** OCCURRED THRU DATE/TIME: **01/24/2026 23:30**

OCCURRED INCIDENT TYPE: **MUNI CODE VIOLATION** LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE: **117 CHURCH ST
ROSEVILLE, CA 95678**

ROUTE TO:
 Placer DA Sac DA Parole
 Placer Probation Sac Probation CPS Other CAO/ABC/BISI

STATUS / DESCRIPTION	CLASS
01 9.45.190(D)(9) RMC-I (ALL OTHER) ENT PERMIT SPECIAL COND	INFRACTION

SUBJECT TYPE: **VICTIM** NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX): **CITY OF ROSEVILLE,** DOB: RELATED OFFENSE: **311 VERNON ST ROSEVILLE, CA 95678**

SCHOOL EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS: EMAIL: DL NUMBER / STATE: PRIMARY PHONE: PHONE #2: PHONE #3: RACE: SEX: HEIGHT or RANGE: WEIGHT or RANGE: HAIR: EYE COLOR: AGE or AGE RANGE: SCAR / MARK / TATTOO: CLOTHING DESCRIPTION:

SUBJECT TYPE: **CITED** NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX): **VELASQUEZ, DIMAS DANIEL** DOB: RELATED OFFENSE: SCHOOL EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS: EMAIL: PHONE #2: PHONE #3: SCAR / MARK / TATTOO: CLOTHING DESCRIPTION:

SYNOPSIS

A compliance check was conducted at 117 Church Street (Aura Kitchen and Bar) and violations were noted.

Unlawful dissemination of this restricted information is prohibited.

Released to: _____

Date/Badge: _____

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ION: **3070 BROUSSARD** DATE / TIME: **02/04/2026 12:39** APPROVED BY: **HAGERMAN, MICHAEL** **02/04/2026**



City of Roseville
Police
 1051 Junction Blvd, Roseville CA 95678
 CRIME / INCIDENT REPORT

CASE NUMBER:
2026-00004844
 ASSOCIATED CASE NUMBER:

ADDITIONAL SUBJECTS

SUBJECT TYPE		NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SURFID)				DOB	
MENTIONED		AURA KITCHEN AND BAR,					
RELATED OFFENSE		ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)					
		117 CHURCH ST ROSEVILLE, CA 95678					
SCHOOL/EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS				EMAIL			
DL NUMBER / STATE		PRIMARY PHONE BUSINESS		PHONE #2		PHONE #3	
		(916)865-9289					
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE COLOR	AGE or AGE RANGE	
SCAR / MARK / TATTOO							
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION							
SUBJECT TYPE		NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SURFID)				DOB	
MENTIONED		[REDACTED]				[REDACTED]	
RELATED OFFENSE		[REDACTED]					
SCHOOL/EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS		[REDACTED]					
[REDACTED]				EMAIL			
DL NUMBER / STATE		PRIMARY PHONE		PHONE #2		PHONE #3	
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE COLOR	AGE or AGE RANGE	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
SCAR / MARK / TATTOO							
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION							
SUBJECT TYPE		NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SURFID)				DOB	
MENTIONED		[REDACTED]				[REDACTED]	
RELATED OFFENSE		[REDACTED]					
SCHOOL/EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS		[REDACTED]					
[REDACTED]				EMAIL			
DL NUMBER / STATE		PRIMARY PHONE		PHONE #2		PHONE #3	
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE COLOR	AGE or AGE RANGE	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
SCAR / MARK / TATTOO							
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION							
SUBJECT TYPE		NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SURFID)				DOB	
RELATED OFFENSE		ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)					
SCHOOL/EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS				EMAIL			
DL NUMBER / STATE		PRIMARY PHONE		PHONE #2		PHONE #3	
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE COLOR	AGE or AGE RANGE	
SCAR / MARK / TATTOO							
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION							
DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW							
REPORTED BY / ICD			DATE / TIME		APPROVED BY		
3070 BROUSSARD			02/04/2026 12:39		HAGERMAN, MICHAEL 02/04/2026		



NARRATIVE

REFERENCE:

(S/Cited) Dimas Velasquez, Owner- Aura Kitchen and Bar

(V) City of Roseville

(M) Aura Kitchen and Bar

(M) [REDACTED] BSIS Lic# 1646299- Security, Aura Kitchen and Bar

(M)

RELATED CASE(S):

Roseville Police Department 2026-4837

Roseville Police Department 2026-1845

BODY WORN CAMERA (BWC): (Sgt Hagerman, Det. M Campbell, Officer B Bissell)

Yes No If yes, this report is only a summary of the events that occurred. For more details please review the BWC footage keeping in mind the BWC has a limited field of view and audio may be low and/or muffled.

SUMMARY:

On 1/24/2026, I was assigned to the Roseville Police Department's Investigations Unit as a Community Service Officer. As part of my duties, I am also responsible for permitting entertainment establishments and conducting compliance checks. I have completed POST training and meet the requirements of Penal Code Section 872; certifying in-service officers to testify to hearsay evidence in preliminary hearings.

A compliance check was conducted at 117 Church Street (Aura Kitchen and Bar) with the assistance of Roseville Police Department personnel and Tim Monelo, Alcoholic Beverage Control Supervising Agent and his team members (Agent's Allen, Jimenez and Tentyuk), Bureau of Security & Investigative Services Special Investigators McCurley, Judge, Carranza and Black. Roseville Fire Department was also present, Neeley, Moreno and Schroeder. Violations were noted during the inspection and a Complaint Cite was issued.

NARRATIVE:

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ID#

3070 BROUSSARD

DATE / TIME

02/04/2026 12:39

APPROVED BY

HAGERMAN, MICHAEL

02/04/2026



NARRATIVE (continuation)

On 1/24/2026, I conducted a check of the online portal of the City of Roseville (HDL) and I located a business license issued to Aura Kitchen and Bar (117 Church Street). I noted the license #00852899 indicated the license status was "Current and Active", issued to Dimas Velasquez and expires as of 12/31/2026.

I next conducted a check of the Roseville Police Department permit module and located an Entertainment Permit issued to Aura Kitchen and Bar (#2025-00000090, Expiration date 10/30/2027) – The permit is issued to Dimas Velasquez. [REDACTED] is the only designated onsite manager/responsible.

The Aura Kitchen and Bar entertainment establishment permit has the following permit conditions: Implementation of high definition cameras, all entrances/exits must be properly illuminated, must utilize a licensed Proprietary Private Security Officer that is registered with CA Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (minimum of 2), Implementation of an approved identification scanner, the establishment shall maintain compliance with all applicable codes/regulations at all times, no changes shall be made to the floor plan or operations without prior review and approval from Planning Division, comply with all conditions of ABC license, enforce dress code and obey all laws applicable to noise abatement.

Velasquez has a valid Alcoholic Beverage Control license (#664398) valid thru 6/30/2026 for On Sale General Brew Pub.

Per Matt Walling, Fire & Environmental Inspection Supervisor of Roseville Fire Department, Aura Kitchen and Bar has approved occupancy of 49.

On 1/24/2026 at approximately 2230 hours, Roseville Police Department personnel, Tim Monelo, Alcoholic Beverage Control Supervising Agent, his team members, BSIS team members and Roseville Fire Department members and I arrived at Aura Kitchen and Bar (117 Church Street) to conduct an establishment inspection. Upon approaching the establishment, audible music could be heard coming from the open front door of the business. I noted there was a DJ and multiple people dancing to music and consuming alcoholic beverages inside the establishment.

Two adult males were standing at the entrance of the business wearing t-shirts identifying them as security. BSIS team members contacted the subjects who identified themselves via their California identification cards as [REDACTED]. Per BSIS Special Investigator, Samuel McCurley, neither [REDACTED] had a current/active guard card. Furthermore, McCurley advised [REDACTED] was recently denied a guard card license. [REDACTED] was admonished to remove the security shirt by McCurley.

While conducting the compliance inspection inside the establishment, an intoxicated male subject approached and was subsequently arrested for 69PC and 647(F)PC. See report 2026-00004837.

I completed an Entertainment Establishment Inspection sheet noting the violations and Velasquez signed page 2 of 2 as the Establishment Representative. (see attached)

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ID#

3070 BROUSSARD

DATE / TIME

02/04/2026 12:39

APPROVED BY

HAGERMAN, MICHAEL

02/04/2026



NARRATIVE (continuation)

Based upon the violations, I issued Complaint Cite #21460 to Velasquez.

It should be noted that a prior inspection of the establishment was conducted on 1/9/2026, violations were noted and a Complaint Cite was issued to Velasquez (2026-1845).

I later conducted an online search of BSIS and found a record for [REDACTED] under license #1646299. The record indicated [REDACTED] security guard status was "Cancelled" as of 10/31/2022. I also located two letters attached to his profile: 11/17/2021 Notice of Automatic Suspension of Security Guard Registration and 9/7/2022 letter regarding Security Guard Registration No. G 1646299. I attached them to this report for reference.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Forward to City Attorney Office.

Forward copy of report to Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) tim.monelo@abc.ca.gov and Bureau of Security & Investigative Services (BSIS) samuel.mccurley@dca.ca.gov

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ICD 3070 BROUSSARD	DATE / TIME 02/04/2026 12:39	APPROVED BY HAGERMAN, MICHAEL 02/04/2026
--	--	---



**Roseville Police Department
Entertainment Establishment
Inspection**

2026-00004844

Business Name: Aura Kitchen and Bar

Date: 1/24/2026

Business Address: 117 Church St

Owner Name: Dimas Velasquez

Manager (if on site):

C – Compliance

V – Violation / Noncompliance

Entertainment Establishment Permit, RMC 9.45.030(a): it is unlawful for any person or premises, located within the City of Roseville, to provide or permit any entertainment that is open to the public without an entertainment permit issued pursuant to this chapter.

Business has a valid entertainment establishment permit issued by the Roseville Police Department. C

Business License, RMC 6.04.040: It is unlawful for any person to transact and carry on any business, trade, profession, calling or occupation in the city without first having procured a license from said city so to do and paying the tax hereinafter prescribed or without complying with any and all applicable provisions of this chapter.

Business has a valid business license issued by the City of Roseville (HDL). C

Hours of Operation, RMC 9.45.060(a): Except as otherwise provided herein, all entertainment establishments shall be closed and all patrons shall vacate the premises between 2:30 a.m. and 6:00 a.m.

Business is not operating between 2:30 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. N/A-Inspection conducted at 2230 hours

Responsible Person, RMC 9.45.060(b): A responsible person must be present in the entertainment establishment during all hours that the entertainment establishment is open and offering entertainment.

Designated responsible person was onsite at time of inspection. C- Dimas Velasquez present

Display of Entertainment Permit, RMC 9.45.200: The permit issued pursuant to this chapter shall at all times be displayed in a conspicuous place in the entertainment establishment for which it was issued and shall be immediately produced upon the request of any police or code enforcement officer.

Entertainment permit is properly displayed. C

Special Conditions, RMC 9.45.190(d)(9): It is unlawful for a permittee or other responsible person to violate the terms or conditions of the permit.

(2) Violations-

If noncompliant, provide additional details: Failed to have (minimum of 2) licensed Proprietary Private Security Officer(s) registered with Bureau of Security and Investigative Services present.

Music being played by the DJ could be heard outside the establishment, across the street in the Old Town Pizza parking lot.

ADDITIONAL VIOLATIONS:

RMC Section: _____ **Description of Violation:** _____

RMC Section: _____ **Description of Violation:** _____

RMC Section: _____ **Description of Violation:** _____

RMC Section: _____ **Description of Violation:** _____

RMC Section: _____ **Description of Violation:** _____

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTING OFFICIAL(s)

E. BROUSSARD

E. Broussard

1/24/26

Print Name

Sign Name

Date

SIGNATURE OF ESTABLISHMENT REPRESENTATIVE(s)

y *Dimitri Velasquez*

[Signature]

1/24/26

Print Name

Sign Name

Date

EXHIBIT 7.3-B

CITY OF ROSEVILLE

NOTICE OF CITATION 2026-4844

21460

Date	2/4/26	Time	1000 hr	Day of Week	Wednes
Name (First, Middle, Last)	Dimas Velasquez				
Residence Address	7834 Saybrook Dr Citrus Heights CA 95621				
Business Address	Aura Kitchen and Bar 117 Church St Roseville 95678				



Description of Animal (If Applicable)				Sex:	
Breed:	Color:	Age:	License #:		
Vehicle Make			Year:		
Model:					
Color:					
Location of Violation	117 Church St				
Date / Time of Violation	1/24/26 2230 hr				
Code / Ordinance	Rmc 9.45.190(d)(9) Special conditions				

VIOLATIONS NOT COMMITTED IN MY PRESENCE, CERTIFIED ON INFORMATION AND BELIEF.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

Date: 2/4/26 Issuing Officer: E Broussard 3070
Badge No.

COMPLAINING PARTY

X Signature: *E. Broussard*

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS CITATION.

X Signature: *complaint cite*

**YOU DO NOT NEED TO DO ANYTHING AT THIS TIME.
YOU WILL RECEIVE NOTICE BY MAIL WITHIN 14 DAYS.
CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (916) 774-5325**

1 - City Attorney Copy 2 - Defendant Copy 3 - Issue Dept Copy

EXHIBIT 7.3-C

[Print This Page](#)

View

[Edit](#)

[Report](#)

[Activity](#)

Administrative Citation Appeal – Request For Hearing 2026-02-28 09:26 PM(PST)

Completely fill out all required information prior to submitting. The City Attorney's office will contact you once a hearing date has been set.

Submissions received later than 30 days after the date of the citation notification letter will not be accepted pursuant to Roseville Municipal Code § 2.50.060.

***Name:** Dimas Velasquez

***Citation Number:** CE26-00282

***Home Address:** 117 Church St

***City** Roseville

***Zip** 95678

Mailing Address

(if different from above):
7834 Saybrook Dr, Citrus Heights 95621

***Phone Number:**

***Email:**

The entertainment permit did not specify 2 guard cards were needed

***Reason
for
Appeal:**

- By checking this box, I certify that I am the individual named on the citation and am appealing the administrative citation issued to me.
- I certify under penalty of perjury that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Submit

Cancel

[Print This Page](#)

View

[Edit](#)

[Report](#)

[Activity](#)

Administrative Citation Appeal – Request For Hearing 2026-02-28 09:51 PM(PST)

Completely fill out all required information prior to submitting. The City Attorney's office will contact you once a hearing date has been set.

Submissions received later than 30 days after the date of the citation notification letter will not be accepted pursuant to Roseville Municipal Code § 2.50.060.

***Name:** Dimas Velasquez

***Citation
Number:** CE26-00331

***Home
Address:** 117 Church St

***City** Roseville

***Zip** 95678

**Mailing
Address**

(if
different 7834 Saybrook Dr, Citrus Heights 95621
from
above):

***Phone
Number:**

***Email:**

The entertainment permit did not specify the number of guard cards needed on site

***Reason
for
Appeal:**

- By checking this box, I certify that I am the individual named on the citation and am appealing the administrative citation issued to me.
- I certify under penalty of perjury that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

EXHIBIT 7.3-D



ROSEVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT
 1051 Junction Boulevard, Roseville, CA 95678
 (916) 746-1069

ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENT PERMIT APPLICATION (NEW)

Permit Number Issued: _____

All information requested on this application is required. Completed applications can take up to 60 days to process. Incomplete applications will be rejected, thus delaying issuance of a permit. Any Entertainment Permit Application should be submitted at least 60 days before the permit is required. Entertainment Establishment permits shall expire two years following their issuance without a qualified renewal. Unless exempt pursuant to Section 9.45.040, it is unlawful for any person or premises located within the City of Roseville to provide or allow any entertainment that is open to the public without an Entertainment Permit.

YOU ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS WITH YOUR APPLICATION:

1.	Copy of applicant/owner and manager/supervisor (responsible persons) Driver's License or other valid government-issued photo ID
2.	\$264.00 Non-refundable application fee (Check payable to the City of Roseville, sent by mail to the Roseville Police Department)
3.	LiveScan fingerprint service for any new applicant, or responsible persons, such as managers/supervisors + \$32.00 Non-refundable DOJ fee + \$20.00 Non-refundable LiveScan fingerprint fee + \$17.00 Non-refundable FBI fee – Payable at the time of the LiveScan service. Please provide a copy of the completed Live Scan to the Permit Coordinator
4.	Current City of Roseville Business License (HDL Companies https://roseville.hdlgov.com 916-226-5207)
5.	Fictitious name filing with Placer County (County of Placer main webpage) if the business name is not the applicant's surname, or a business was purchased and the name was changed – Not applicable for Corporations and LLC's
6.	ABC License and Conditions - If alcohol will be served
7.	Business Security Plan/Emergency Action Plan/Business Diagram (Those who have security personnel must utilize a licensed "Proprietary Private Security Officer" that is registered with California Bureau of Security and Investigative Service) – Further explanation on page five (5)
8.	Written consent from the Property Owner, if not the applicant
9.	Copy of the Rental Lease for the business location
10.	Zoning approval from the Planning Department (Handled by the Permit Coordinator)
11.	Certificate of Occupancy (Building Division – Permits Center, located at 311 Vernon Street, appointment required)
12.	Fire/Life Safety Inspection (Fire Department)
13.	Proof of business entity status (W-9 Forms are available on the IRS main webpage): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If a sole proprietor, please provide your current Form W-9. • If a corporation, please provide your current Form W-9, date of incorporation, evidence corporation is in good standing under laws of California, the names and capacities of all officers and directors and name and address of registered agent for service of process. • If a limited liability corporation, please provide your current Form W-9, date of formation, evidence limited liability company is in good standing under laws of California, the names and capacities of all members, and name and address of registered agent for service of process. • If a partnership, please provide a copy of the partnership agreement the names and contact information for all partners, and a current Form W-9 for all partners.
14.	If applicant is also an independent contractor, in addition to providing the information in Section 13 above, evidence of the independent contractor relationship must be provided. *Please note, review of independent contractor relationship is for application purposes only and is no representation, certification, or guarantee that applicant is in fact an independent contractor under the California Labor Code.

6:51

5G+



BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES

LICENSING DETAILS FOR: 6891729

NAME: VELASQUEZ, DIMAS

LICENSE TYPE: SECURITY GUARD

PRIMARY STATUS: CURRENT

ADDRESS OF RECORD

CITRUS HEIGHTS CA 95621
SACRAMENTO COUNTY

ISSUANCE DATE

FEBRUARY 18, 2026

EXPIRATION DATE

FEBRUARY 29, 2028

CURRENT DATE / TIME


MAY 8, 2026
6:51:35 PM

search.dca.ca.gov

CLOSE

6:46

5G+



BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES

LICENSING DETAILS FOR: 1905149

NAME: BRYANT, MAURICE

LICENSE TYPE: SECURITY GUARD

PRIMARY STATUS: CURRENT

ADDRESS OF RECORD

LOS ANGELES CA 90047-4129
LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ISSUANCE DATE

MARCH 16, 2015

EXPIRATION DATE

MARCH 31, 2027

CURRENT DATE / TIME

MAY 8, 2026
6:46:20 PM

search.dca.ca.gov

6:47

5G+



BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES

LICENSING DETAILS FOR: 6890742

NAME: JASPER, EARNEST JUSTIN

LICENSE TYPE: SECURITY GUARD

PRIMARY STATUS: CURRENT

ADDRESS OF RECORD

LINCOLN CA 95648
PLACER COUNTY

ISSUANCE DATE

FEBRUARY 11, 2026

EXPIRATION DATE

FEBRUARY 29, 2028

CURRENT DATE / TIME

MAY 8, 2026
6:47:27 PM

search.dca.ca.gov

CLOSE

6:50

5G+



BUREAU OF SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES

LICENSING DETAILS FOR: 6887119

NAME: LAMBERT, PATRICK TORRANCE THEODAS

LICENSE TYPE: SECURITY GUARD

PRIMARY STATUS: CURRENT

ADDRESS OF RECORD

SACRAMENTO CA 95842-3390
SACRAMENTO COUNTY

ISSUANCE DATE

JANUARY 23, 2026

EXPIRATION DATE

JANUARY 31, 2028

CURRENT DATE / TIME

MAY 8, 2026
6:50:05 PM

search.dca.ca.gov

EXHIBIT 7.3-E



City Attorney
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

CITATION APPEAL DECISION

Name of Appellant: Dimas Velasquez

Citation No.: 22072

FIRST VIOLATION

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 9.45.190(d)(9), Special Conditions

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
 - That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
 - That the appellant is the responsible party.
- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION but waive the fine in the interest of justice.
- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the appellant failed to appear.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant failed to appear.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION at the request of the City/Complainant.

Name of Appellant: Dimas Velasquez

Citation Number: 21460

SECOND VIOLATION

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 9.45.190(d)(9), Special Conditions, Second Offense

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.

- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
 - That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
 - That the appellant is the responsible party.


- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION but waive the fine in the interest of justice.

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the appellant failed to appear.

- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant failed to appear.

- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION at the request of the City/Complainant.

Dated: May 28, 2026

By: 
Charles Sandoval
Hearing Panel Chairperson

Notice to Appellant: Under California Government Code Section 53069.4, any appeal of today's Hearing Panel decision must be filed with Placer County Superior Court within twenty (20) days of today's date.

EXHIBIT 7.4-A



Roseville Police Department
1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Jeff Beigh, Chief of Police

**Administrative Citation Appeal Hearing
Staff Report**

Date of Hearing: Thursday, May 28, 2026 at 3:00 p.m.
Appellant: Richard Ryan, (Opera House, 411 Lincoln Street)
Citation: 21462 (Date of Violation 1/24/2026)

Background:

Opera House was last issued an Entertainment Establishment Permit (2022-11-05) on 03/03/2022 and it expired on 03/03/2024. Opera House is owned and operated by Richard Ryan and is located at 411 Lincoln Street. This area is considered the Old Town Commercial District and businesses in this area are covered by 7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances. The business also requires an Entertainment Establishment permit under RMC 9.45 and 7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances. The Opera House also has a Conditional Use Permit issued by the Planning Division on 01/23/2014 with terms and conditions.

On 07/22/2024, CSO Broussard drafted and sent a letter to Ryan notifying him that the Entertainment Establishment Permit for Opera House had expired on 03/03/2024. There was subsequently no contact or response to the letter from Ryan. On 12/30/2025, CSO Broussard drafted and sent a certified letter to Ryan again notifying him that the Entertainment Permit for Opera House had expired on 03/03/2024. The letter was received and signed for by Alexis Lewis on 01/07/2026.

In response, Ryan contacted CSO Broussard by phone on 01/12/2026 at 0930 hours. Ryan inquired about the application process and status of prior Live Scans. CSO Broussard advised that per Department of Justice (DOJ), once an authorized relationship no longer exists, a Live Scan holder must immediately submit a No Longer Interested (NLI) to DOJ to cancel the service. Ryan was explained that once the Entertainment Permit had expired in 2024, this concluded the "authorized relationship". CSO Broussard provided Ryan additional information regarding the application process and on 01/12/2026 at 1113 hours, CSO Broussard emailed Ryan Live Scan forms.

On 02/18/2026 at 1046 hours, Ryan emailed CSO Broussard requesting an appointment to meet to submit his application for an Entertainment Establishment Permit. CSO Broussard advised Ryan she was available to meet with him on 02/18/2026 at 1500 hours, however she had received information from Roseville Fire Department that the last inspection of the business conducted on 12/19/2025 had failed. A passing Fire/Life Safety Inspection is a requirement of the application process. Ryan responded and advised he would hold off on an appointment until he resolved the inspection with Roseville Fire Department. On 02/27/2026 at 1147 hours, Ryan emailed CSO Broussard and advised he received a passing inspection from Roseville Fire Department. CSO Broussard accommodated Ryan with her next available appointment to submit his application for Entertainment Establishment Permit on 03/03/2026 at 1300 hours.

Narrative:

01/24/2026

On 01/24/2026, at approximately 2230 hours, Community Service Officer ("CSO") E. Broussard, Roseville Police Department Patrol staff, Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) agents and Bureau of Security and Investigative Services agents (BSIS) arrived at Opera House (411 Lincoln Street) to conduct an unannounced compliance inspection. Upon approaching the business, audible music could be heard coming from the second story floor of the establishment. CSO Broussard noted there was a band playing music on the second story floor, patrons were dancing to the music and consuming alcoholic beverages inside the establishment.

Upon entering the establishment, a white male adult [REDACTED] seated on the interior of the entrance at a podium was identified and confirmed as security. [REDACTED] confirmed neither Ryan nor his spouse/business partner (Rebecca) were present.

At the conclusion of the inspection, it was determined the business was operating without a current/valid Entertainment Establishment Permit, without the presence of a responsible/owner present, without (2) security guards with guard cards and audible music beyond the area under the control of the license.

CSO Broussard issued citation #21462 for the violations noted above, specifically:

- RMC 9.45.190(D)(9)
- RMC 9.45.030(a)
- RMC 9.45.060(b)

Violation RMC 9.45.190(D)(9) – Special Conditions

In issuing the permit, the chief of police may impose conditions relating to the operation of the entertainment establishment. It is unlawful for a permittee or other responsible person to violate the terms or conditions of the permit.

RMC 9.45.030(a)- Entertainment Establishment Permit: It is unlawful for any person or premises, located within the City of Roseville, to provide or permit any entertainment that is open to the public without an entertainment permit issued pursuant to this chapter.

RMC 9.45.060(b)- Responsible Person: A responsible person must be present in the entertainment establishment during all hours that the entertainment establishment is open and offering entertainment.

BSIS conducted an independent investigation and confirmed the business only had one security guard present with a current/active guard card. ABC also conducted an independent investigation and found the business was in violation of having live entertainment that was audible beyond the area under the control of the license, and the business did not provide two uniformed security personnel.

Evidence of the music being discernable outside of the establishment was captured on the body worn camera of Sgt. Hagerman, who was part of the inspection team that evening. Relevant portions of the

video will be shown during the hearing.

Respectfully Submitted,

Erika Broussard
Community Service Officer II/Permit Coordinator

Attachment(s):

7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances, specifically 7.4 Nightclubs, 11 (a) and (b)

Conditional Use Permit- Planning Division dated 01/23/2014

Letter addressed to Richard Ryan Re: Expired Entertainment Establishment Permit dated 07/22/2024

Certified letter addressed to Richard Ryan Re: Expired Entertainment Establishment Permit dated 12/30/2025

Roseville Fire Department inspection reports dated 12/19/2025 and 02/25/2026

BSIS Investigation Report #120 2026 000788

ABC Investigation Report #26-23-004

Roseville Police Report #2026-00004827 dated 01/24/2026

7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances

7.4 Nightclubs



Nightclubs will be considered as principally permitted uses in the Old Town Commercial District south of Main Street, and Administratively Permitted Uses in the Old Town Commercial District north of Main Street, and in the Vernon Street District as reflected in Chapter 2.3.3 of the **Downtown Code**. To promote this type of activity which supports the Vision for Downtown, a set of performance standards have been developed.

A. Purpose - To promote the Old Town Commercial district as an entertainment district and to allow nightclub activities within 500 feet of residential uses, the following provisions are being established to allow nightclubs within the entertainment district.

B. Permits Required

1. Old Town Commercial District - South of Main Street. The Old Town Commercial District principally permits nightclubs when they meet the standards established by this chapter of the **Downtown Code**, and are located in the areas shown as principally permitted in Exhibit 7.1. Prior to operation or issuance of a business license, building permit or other City approval, a nightclub use shall obtain approval from the Director.

The Director's approval shall be subject to the nightclub use meeting the provisions of this chapter. Nightclubs that are in compliance with the provisions of this chapter shall obtain a Zoning Clearance as defined in the Roseville Municipal Code Chapter 19.72.


2. Old Town Historic District north of Main Street and on Vernon Street. Nightclub uses desiring to locate within this area will be required to obtain an Administrative Permit as described in Chapter 19.74 of the City's Zoning Ordinance.

C. Design Requirements

The following design requirements will be required to be addressed as part of a request for a Zoning Clearance and in consideration of a request for an Administrative Permit;

- 1. Storefront.** The storefronts of ground floor nightclubs or cocktail lounges shall be designed in compliance with the storefront and building façade standards and guidelines located in the Downtown Specific Plan.
- 2. Construction Standards.** Proposed or required construction and/or use changes require a Building Permit. Construction documents shall include occupancy, type of construction, floor area, means of egress, accessibility, 100 psf floor live load and sanitary facility designs as regulated by the Roseville Building Division regulations and standards described in Roseville Municipal Code (RMC) Chapter 16.04.
- 3. Room Capacity.** Maximum room capacity posting, exit identification/illumination, exit access and egress devices shall be designed and provided in accordance with the Roseville Building Division regulations and standards described in Roseville Municipal Code (RMC) Chapter 16.04.





7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances

4. **Fire Equipment.** Fire equipment shall be regulated, designed and provided in accordance with the Roseville Fire Department and building regulations and standards described in Roseville Municipal Code (RMC) Chapter 16.16.
5. **Lighting.** The establishment shall provide outside lighting in a manner that would illuminate outside street and sidewalk areas at a minimum of 0.5 foot-candles, and adjacent parking areas and entry driveways at a minimum of 1.0 foot-candles as a maintained minimum at the pavement surface throughout the night.
6. **Smoking Areas - when provided.** Outdoor smoking areas shall be designed and located in a secured (fenced/walled) area with exterior lighting of a minimum of 1.0 foot-candles that does not adversely impact passing pedestrian traffic and surrounding uses. Smoking areas should be located to minimize impacts to adjacent residential areas.
7. **Noise.** All feasible noise-reducing techniques, such as the use of double doors, sound-absorbing insulation, adequate ventilation that discourages the opening of doors and/or windows, and buffering outdoor seating areas, shall be employed wherever possible.



In a mixed-use development, where there are residential uses over the nightclub, all feasible mitigation will be installed to minimize the noise impacts within the residential unit. A typical mitigation measure is to provide at least one floor of non-residential use (eg. office) between the nightclub floor and residential floor. Re-use of an existing building will require installation of feasible noise reduction construction techniques.

D. Operating Requirements

The following operating requirements will be required to be addressed as part of a request for a Zoning Clearance and in consideration of a request for an Administrative Permit;

1. **Entertainment Permit Required.** Pursuant to RMC Chapter 9.40 the nightclub operator shall be required to secure an Entertainment Permit from the Roseville Police Department. The provisions of the business plan and security plan accepted by the Police Department as part of the approved Entertainment Permit shall establish site specific operational and security provisions. The following criteria provide minimum standards that shall be required of all nightclub operations; the provisions of the Entertainment Permit may exceed the criteria listed below, but shall not be less than the listed criteria.
2. **Hours of Operation.** Patrons shall only be allowed in the nightclub between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 2:00 a.m. Entertainment in any establishment shall only be allowed between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 1:30 a.m. Exceptions to these operating hours can be granted by the Director when there are limited impacts due to additional operational measures being put in place. Such measures may include, but are not limited to, additional on and off-premise security, valet parking, restaurant and food services, and limited alcohol service.



7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances

3. **Alcohol Beverage Control.** All nightclubs shall comply with all conditions and restrictions imposed upon the California Department of Alcohol Beverage Control (ABC) license and all applicable ABC regulations.
4. **Room Capacity.** Maximum room capacity posting, exit identification/illumination, exit access and egress devices shall be maintained in accordance with the Roseville Fire Department and building regulations and standards described in Roseville Municipal Code (RMC) Chapter 16.16.
5. **Pyrotechnic Effects Prohibited.** The use of pyrotechnic special effects or displays is prohibited.
6. **Interior Lighting.** The establishment shall have minimum interior lighting of six-candle power at floor level, except during performances on stages.
7. **Trash Receptacles.** Sufficient trash and recycling receptacles shall be provided and shall be regularly maintained. The operator shall be responsible for cleaning the sidewalk within fifty (50) feet horizontal distance from the premises during the hours of operation to maintain the sidewalk free of garbage or other debris. The operator shall arrange for litter removal from the storefront and public sidewalks adjacent to the storefront after the close of business. Sidewalks in front of the business and within 100 feet of the operation shall be pressure washed on a monthly basis at the expense of the business.
8. **Outdoor Seating.** Outdoor seating that is in conjunction with and clearly accessory to any nightclub use will be evaluated based on the regulations provided in Chapter 7.8.3 of the Downtown Code.
9. **Noise Impacts.** All feasible noise-reducing techniques, such as the use of double doors, sound-absorbing insulation, adequate ventilation that discourages the opening of doors and/or windows, and buffering outdoor seating areas, shall be employed wherever possible. No use shall create noise levels which impact residential interior areas by 45 db or more.
10. **Sanitation Facilities.** Operating provisions will be put in-place that allow queuing patrons to gain access to restrooms, within the club, if necessary.
11. **Security Provisions.**
 - a. Security shall be provided via a private security company that is licensed and bonded.
 - b. When open for entertainment, the operator shall provide a minimum of two security guards to admit patrons and monitor exterior and interior areas when occupancy levels are below 100 persons. When occupancy exceeds 100 persons, the operator shall provide additional guards to adequately control the environment at a ratio of one guard per additional 50 people (or any fraction thereof). The operator is responsible for providing a safe environment.



7.0 Downtown Specific Ordinances

- c. Management shall designate a front door security presence when open for entertainment. Front door security will check identification to verify age requirements, monitor occupancy, screen for weapons, and direct security to prohibit further entry when maximum occupancy is reached.
- d. Management is responsible for maintaining an outdoor security presence when a crowd is waiting to gain access to the building. Security officers shall be responsible for providing an organized method of maintaining a line that will not block public sidewalks, driveways, or surrounding business doorways.
- e. Security officers shall wear uniforms and be readily identifiable as private security personnel.
- f. Security personnel shall be visible at the primary entrance at closing of the entertainment business and shall remain until patrons are dispersed. Security shall not permit crowds and/or patrons to loiter in the front of or in the immediate vicinity of the nightclub or cocktail lounge after closing.

7.5 Parking Structures

A. Purpose

Parking structures are to be integrated into the overall atmosphere and architecture for the Downtown. It is important that these facilities are functional, but do not become a detriment due to their appearance. The following criteria is provided to ensure that these facilities are attributes to the Downtown.

B. Parking Structure Design

The following parking structure design standards shall apply to all parking structures located in the Specific Plan area.

1. Parking decks should be flat where feasible. At a minimum, a majority of both the ground floor and top parking decks shall be required to be flat, as opposed to continuously ramping.
2. External elevator towers and stair wells shall be open to public view or enclosed with transparent glazing.
3. Public restrooms shall be located on the ground floor of the parking structure.



Conceptual of Pacific Street Parking Structure



**PLANNING DIVISION STAFF REPORT
PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING**

JANUARY 23, 2014

Prepared by: Ron Miller, Associate Planner

**ITEM V-A: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT – MCRAE OPERA HOUSE – 411 LINCOLN STREET –
FILE# 13PL-0270**

REQUEST

The applicant requests approval of a Conditional Use Permit to expand an existing Nightclub use by allowing patrons to utilize an enclosed patio area (enclosure) at the rear of the McRae Opera House building.

Applicant/Owner – Richard Ryan

SUMMARY RECOMMENDATION

The Planning Division recommends that the Planning Commission take the following action:

- A. Adopt the three (3) findings of fact for the Conditional Use Permit; and
- B. Approve the Conditional Use Permit subject to thirteen (13) conditions of approval.

SUMMARY OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES

There are no outstanding issues with this request. Staff and the applicant have worked together to reach mutual agreement on the recommended conditions of approval for this Conditional Use Permit request. The applicant is in agreement with the recommended conditions of approval.

BACKGROUND

The McRae Opera House, (Opera House Saloon) at 411 Lincoln Street is located in the City's Downtown Specific Plan (DTSP) area within the Historic District (DT-4), north of Main Street. The Opera House building is recognized as a Pre-Existing Nightclub, meaning that it was in operation prior to adoption of a December 1999 Zoning Ordinance Amendment (Ordinance No. 3450) that added Chapter 10.49 to the Zoning Ordinance which established Nightclubs as a Conditionally Permitted Use. Per the City's Downtown Code, adopted in April 2009, Nightclubs located north of Main Street in the DT-4 district are Conditionally Permitted and require approval of a Conditional Use Permit (CUP).

Since the Opera House was operating as a nightclub prior to the Nightclub Ordinance and adoption of the Downtown Code, it was considered a Nonconforming Use and was allowed to continue to operate, in its existing size and configuration, as a Nightclub, with no additional permit requirements. Per Zoning Ordinance Chapters 19.24.020 B.3 and 19.74., expansion of a Conditionally Permitted or Nonconforming Use requires approval of a CUP.

In July 2012, the nightclub owner applied for a Minor Design Review Permit (MDRP) to construct a fenced enclosure at the rear of the Opera House Saloon at 411 Lincoln Street in the City's Historic District. The proposed wall/fence was to provide additional security at the rear of the building which is adjacent to Guava Alley.

The Planning Director determined that the business operating at the site is a single entity (a Nightclub); therefore, the outdoor patio created by construction of the wall enclosure would be an expansion of the Nightclub use, requiring approval of a CUP. The determination was based on the fact both the upstairs

and downstairs operations share a common liquor license and common ingress/egress. The California Building Code (CBC) considers occupancy of the entire space (upstairs and downstairs) for exiting purposes. Therefore, Condition No. 3 was included in the staff report approving the MDRP which states that occupancy of the proposed fence enclosure by patrons of the McCrae Opera House Saloon constitutes an expansion of an existing nightclub and is not permitted without approval of a Conditional Use Permit, per the City's Downtown Code. The property owner subsequently appealed the Planning Director's decision, and specifically, Condition No. 3.


The Planning Commission held a public hearing on the Appeal on September 12, 2013 and their action resulted in a tie vote (3 votes to grant the Appeal, 3 votes to deny the Appeal). Based on a tie vote and in accordance with the adopted Planning Commission meeting procedures, the Presiding Officer (Chairman Hinkle) exercised his right to forward this item to the City Council for consideration and action.

City Council held a public hearing on the Appeal on November 20, 2013. Their action resulted in a 3-0 vote to adopt the findings of fact stating that occupancy of the fenced enclosure by Opera House Saloon patrons is considered an expansion of the existing Nightclub use and therefore, would not be permitted without approval of a Conditional Use Permit; and to deny the Appeal of the Planning Director's Decision. Council also directed staff to process the applicant's request for a Conditional Use Permit as expeditiously as possible.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The applicant requests approval of a Conditional Use Permit to allow occupancy of a recently constructed walled enclosure by patrons of the Opera House Saloon.

Vicinity Map



FINDINGS/EVALUATION

The Downtown Code states that Nightclub's north of Main Street are required to obtain a CUP as described in Chapter 19.74 of the City's Zoning Ordinance (CUP requirement for expansion of a Conditionally Permitted or Nonconforming use). Section 19.78.060 of the City of Roseville Zoning

Ordinance requires that three findings be made in order to approve a Conditional Use Permit. The three findings are listed below in ***bold italics*** and are followed by an evaluation of the proposal in relation to each finding.

1. The proposed use or development is consistent with the City of Roseville General Plan and any applicable Specific Plan.

The request is to allow occupancy of an outdoor patio area by patrons of the Opera House Saloon nightclub. The request is consistent with the City of Roseville General Plan land use designation of Central Business District, which anticipates a mix of uses including restaurant and entertainment uses. The request is also consistent with the Downtown Specific Plan, which encourages entertainment venues. The General Plan and Downtown Specific Plan rely on the Downtown Code, through the requirement for a conditional use permit, to address any potential conflicts between the proposed nightclub activities and the surrounding uses.

2. The proposed use or development conforms with all applicable standards and requirements of the Zoning Ordinance and the Downtown Code.

The establishment is located within the Downtown Specific Plan (DTSP) area. Properties within the DTSP area are subject to the standards and requirements established within the Downtown Code. The Downtown Code is a comprehensive document that guides development within the DTSP. The Zoning Ordinance applies when the Downtown Code is silent on a subject. With respect to this application, the Downtown Code Section 7.4 addresses the standards and regulations for nightclubs.

The Zoning Ordinance contains the process and findings for obtaining a CUP and the Downtown Code contains the criteria to evaluate requests for a CUP. The application is evaluated to ensure that the use will conform to the design and operation standards for nightclubs within the Downtown Code, as summarized and evaluated below.

Design Standards

Storefront – There are no changes proposed to the building's storefront.

Construction Standards – Per the California Building Code (CBC), the fence/wall enclosure cannot fully enclose the area at the rear of the building without acquiring a building permit. A building permit has been issued to allow a gate enclosure on the existing wall enclosure for security purposes only, but not for occupancy purposes.

Since the walled enclosure area is proposed to be used for assembly use (dining, beverage consumption), a building permit will be required (as a tenant improvement) to ensure that all CBC requirements, including egress, lighting, and maximum occupancy load are met. If the design of the proposed tenant improvement has an occupant load of 50 or more patrons, two exits may be required from the patio area.

The exit(s) necessary for the patio enclosure are considered required exits and not emergency exits. Therefore, the exit location(s) must have emergency lighting and an illuminated exit sign. Lighting within the patio area must maintain a minimum of 1.0 foot-candles; per the CBC (see Conditions 4 - 7).

Room Capacity – Maximum occupancy load for the patio area, including exit identification/illumination, and egress devices (gates & hardware) will be submitted and reviewed by the Building Division as part of the building permit review process (see Conditions 4 and 5).

Fire Code/Equipment – The Fire Department has reviewed the application, and will also review the building permit plans that will be submitted, to ensure that the patio enclosure meets the City's Fire Code and that fire protection equipment, if required, is designed and provided in accordance with the Roseville Fire Department and building regulations. No additional modifications are required to address fire safety.

Smoking Areas – The outdoor patio area will be used as a smoking area for patrons of the Opera House Saloon. The patio area is enclosed by a masonry wall six feet in height. Use of the patio for smoking shall not adversely impact passing pedestrian traffic and shall minimize impacts to adjacent residential uses.

Noise – The masonry wall that encloses the patio will serve to buffer noise levels from nearby properties. No amplification devices, music or entertainment is to be provided in the patio/enclosure area. Noise limits as established in the City's Noise Ordinance shall not be exceeded (see Conditions 7 – 10).

Operating Requirements

Entertainment Permit – The Police Department has issued an Entertainment Permit for the Opera House Saloon & Nightclub, inclusive of the outdoor patio area (see Attachment 1).

Hours of Operation – Patrons shall only be allowed in the Nightclub from 8:00 am to 2:00 am and entertainment shall be between the hours of 1:00 pm and 1:30 am. Per the applicant's Operational Plan, the outdoor patio area will be open only during the hours that the first floor bar is open (6:00 PM – closing [11:00 PM – not later than 1:30 AM]) (see the Operations Plan).

In December 2013, staff was contacted by the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) regarding the Opera House Saloon's ABC license renewal that is currently under their review. Staff has confirmed that ABC customarily places restrictions on a bar or nightclub's outdoor seating or patio area that is adjacent to residential communities. If the outdoor patio is within 100 feet of a residence, ABC has customarily applied the following restrictions.

Sunday - Thursday – no sale, service, or consumption of alcoholic beverages is permitted on/within the outdoor patio area after 10:00 PM.

Friday – Saturday – no sale, service, or consumption of alcoholic beverages is permitted on/within the outdoor patio area after 11:30 PM.

ABC is currently reviewing the Opera House Saloon's license renewal application, and will address conditions for the recently constructed outdoor patio area as part of their review. Due the close proximity of residential properties (419 Lincoln Street is approximately 25 feet from the patio area); staff believes the restrictions as customarily used by ABC should be applicable to this request, so long as the property at 419 Lincoln Street is occupied as a residence. Condition 2 has been included which places the above-referenced restrictions on sale, service, or consumption of alcoholic beverages within the outdoor patio area.

Alcohol Beverage Control – The establishment shall comply with the conditions and restrictions imposed by the Department of Alcohol and Beverage Control (ABC) for the sale, service, and consumption of alcohol, which will be enforced by the ABC.

Capacity – At no time shall the maximum occupancy (as determined by the CBC through a building permit) be exceeded.

Pyrotechnic Effects – For the safety of patrons and people and properties in the vicinity, pyrotechnic special effects and displays are prohibited.

Trash Receptacles – The establishment has sufficient trash receptacles to meet the Refuse Division requirements. Additionally, the Opera House Saloon will be responsible for ensuring the sidewalk within 50 feet of the establishment is free of debris. On a monthly basis, the sidewalk shall be pressure washed at the expense of the Opera House Saloon.

Noise Impacts – Noise generated from the establishment shall not exceed the maximum levels outlined in the Roseville Municipal Code and shall comply with conditions associated with the Opera House Saloon's ABC license and Entertainment Permit issued by the Police Department.

Security Provisions – When entertainment is provided, the Nightclub is required to provide uniformed security officers via a private licensed and bonded company to ensure that the environment is safe for patrons and persons in the vicinity and adequate crowd control is in place so as not to create an unnecessary burden on the Roseville Police Department (see Attachment 2 – ABC License).

3. *The location, size, design and operating characteristics of the use or development is compatible with and shall not adversely affect or be materially detrimental to the health, safety, or welfare of persons residing or working in the area, or be detrimental or injurious to public or private property improvements.*

The McRae Opera House building (Opera House Saloon) is located in the City's Historic District with a mix of commercial uses (retail, bars, nightclubs, personal service) to the south and west, with Lincoln Street, and a parking lot beyond to the east. A residential neighborhood is to the north, immediately adjacent to Guava Alley which is at the rear of the Opera House Saloon. The area has existing entertainment venues, as envisioned by the DTSP.

As referenced in Finding #2 above, the Opera House Saloon will conform with the standards established in Section 7.4 of the Downtown Code. These standards were developed to promote entertainment venues such as nightclubs within the Downtown and Historic District, while ensuring that the nightclub uses are compatible with and will not adversely affect the surrounding persons, uses and properties. Conditions associated with the Opera House Saloon's ABC License, Entertainment Permit, and the Conditional Use Permit place restrictions on outdoor activities (no amplification devices, amplified music or entertainment), that could potentially generate noise levels that could impact nearby properties. Additionally, the ABC License is conditioned to not allow noise that is audible beyond the property boundaries of the McRae Opera House building, and the noise levels shall not exceed the noise limits as established in the City's Noise Ordinance.

The applicant has provided an Operational Plan (Exhibit B) to address requirements. As described in detail in Finding #2 above, the plan addresses hours of operations, noise reduction, trash/maintenance requirements, and security. Additional conditions of approval have been added to ensure the use remains compatible with the area and the Downtown Code. With the Operations Plan and the Conditions of Approval, the use is compatible with and will not adversely affect persons, public or property in the surrounding area.

CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis contained in this staff report and as conditioned, staff finds that proposed project is consistent with the findings needed to approve the CUP and meets the requirements of the General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, Downtown Code and DTSP. Based on the evaluations above, and as conditioned, staff believes that the Planning Commission can make the required findings and approve the Conditional Use Permit.

PUBLIC OUTREACH

A Public Hearing Notice was mailed to the Roseville Heights Neighborhood Association and to property owners and residents within a 300 foot radius of the project site. To date, no comments have been received by staff.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION

This project is categorically exempt from the environmental review requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 305 of the City of Roseville CEQA Implementing Procedures.

RECOMMENDATION

The Planning Division recommends that the Planning Commission:

- A. Adopt the three (3) findings of fact as listed in the staff report for the CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT - MCRAE OPERA HOUSE - 411 LINCOLN STREET - FILE# 13PL-0270;
- B. Approve the CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT - MCRAE OPERA HOUSE - 411 LINCOLN STREET - FILE# 13PL-0270 subject to the thirteen (13) conditions below.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (PL 13-0270)

1. The project is approved as shown and described in Exhibit A and as conditioned or modified below. (Planning)
2. The nightclub's outdoor patio is within 25 feet of the nearest residential property (419 Lincoln Street); therefore, so long as the property at 419 Lincoln Street is occupied as a residence, the following use restrictions shall apply to the outdoor patio area.

Sunday - Thursday - no sale, service, or consumption of alcoholic beverages is permitted on/within the outdoor patio area after 10:00 PM.

Friday - Saturday - no sale, service, or consumption of alcoholic beverages is permitted on/within the outdoor patio area after 11:30 PM. (Planning, Police)
3. Prior to occupancy of the patio by patrons of the Opera House Saloon, the applicant must obtain a building permit. Plans must be submitted for review as a Tenant Improvement. (Building)
4. If the design of the proposed tenant improvement has an occupant load of 50 or more patrons, two exits may be required from the patio area. (Building)
5. The exit(s) necessary for the patio enclosure are considered required exits and not emergency exits. Therefore, each exit location must have emergency lighting and an illuminated exit sign. (Building)
6. Lighting within the patio area must maintain a minimum of 1.0 foot-candles, per the CBC. Lighting shall be installed and directed to have no off-site glare. (Building, Planning, Police)
7. The use of any audio amplification system or device shall not be permitted on the patio. (Planning, Police)

8. At no time shall the noise generated from the establishment exceed the maximum allowed noise levels established by the Roseville Municipal Code. (Planning, Police)
9. A valid Entertainment Permit shall be maintained with the Police Department.
10. The establishment shall comply with all conditions of its Entertainment Permit, ABC License, the City's Downtown Code, and the approved Operations & Security Plan. (Planning, Police)
11. No pyrotechnic special effects or displays are permitted. (Fire)
12. At no time shall the maximum occupancy, per the CBC, be exceeded. (Building)
13. The Opera House Saloon is responsible for ensuring the sidewalk within 50 feet of the establishment is free of debris. On a monthly basis, the sidewalk shall be pressure washed at the expense of the Opera House Saloon. (Planning)

ATTACHMENTS

1. Entertainment Permit & Conditions
2. Current ABC License & Conditions

EXHIBITS

- A. Floor Plan
- B. Operations Plan

Note to Applicant and/or Developer: Please contact the Planning Division staff at (916) 774-5276 prior to the Commission meeting if you have any questions on any of the recommended conditions for your project. If you challenge the decision of the Commission in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues which you or someone else raised at the public hearing held for this project, or in written correspondence delivered to the Planning Director at, or prior to, the public hearing.



Roseville Police Department
1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Troy Bergstrom, Chief of Police

7/22/2024

Opera House Saloon
Richard Ryan and Rebecca Ryan
411 Lincoln St
Roseville Ca 95678

Reference: Entertainment Establishment Permit

Mr. and Ms. Ryan,

This letter is to notify you that the Entertainment Establishment Permit #2022-11-05 for Opera House Saloon expired on 3/3/2024.

The City of Roseville Municipal Code Ordinance 9.45 for entertainment establishments requires businesses within the City of Roseville that provide entertainment or if they serve alcoholic beverages after midnight are required to obtain an "Entertainment Establishment Permit" through the Roseville Police Department.

The businesses that fall under this requirement must comply with the ordinance or will be subject to being cited for violation Roseville Municipal Code, Section 9.45.040:

It is unlawful for any person or premises, located within the City of Roseville, to provide or permit any entertainment that is open to the public without an entertainment permit issued pursuant to this chapter.

For your convenience, a copy of the application is enclosed. If you are paying with a money order or check please make it payable to the "City of Roseville".

If you have any questions, please contact Erika Broussard at 916-746-1069 or via email at embroussard@roseville.ca.us.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Erika Broussard".

Erika Broussard
CSO/ Permit Coordinator

(916)774-5000 • Fax (916)773-6335 • www.roseville.ca.us/police



Roseville Police Department
1051 Junction Blvd
Roseville, CA 95678

Troy Bergstrom, Chief of Police

12/30/2025

Opera House Saloon
Richard Ryan, Owner
108 Main Street
Roseville Ca 95678

Reference: Entertainment Establishment Permit Requirement

Mr. Ryan,

It has been brought to our attention you have a current City of Roseville Business License #00825098 for a business located at 411 Lincoln St in Roseville, CA (Opera House Saloon) and an Entertainment Permit previously issued for your business expired on 3/3/2024.

The City of Roseville Municipal Code Ordinance 9.45 for entertainment establishments requires businesses within the City of Roseville that provide "Entertainment", to obtain an Entertainment Establishment Permit through the Roseville Police Department.

"Entertainment" or "entertainment establishment"

means any single event, a series of events, or an ongoing activity or business, occurring alone or as part of another business, to which the public is invited or allowed to watch, listen, or participate or that is conducted for the purposes of holding the attention of, gaining the attention of or diverting or amusing guests or patrons, including, but not limited to:

A. Presentations by single or multiple performers, such as hypnotists, mimes, comedians; musical song or dance acts, plays, concerts, any type of contest; sporting events, exhibitions, carnival, rodeo or circus acts, demonstrations of talent; shows, reviews and any other such activity which may be attended by members of the public.

B. Dancing to live or recorded music.

C. Serving alcohol after 12:00 a.m. (This provision does not include sales of original, sealed containers for off premises consumption pursuant to an exclusively off-sale alcoholic beverage license.)

D. The presentation of recorded music played on equipment which is operated by an agent or contractor of the establishment, commonly known as a "DJ" or "disc jockey." Entertainment does not include ambient music provided through the use of a radio, stereo, juke box, music recording machine or other similar device.

It is unlawful for any person or premises, located within the City of Roseville, to provide or permit any entertainment that is open to the public without an entertainment permit issued pursuant to this chapter, RMC 9.45.030.

Violation of any provision of this chapter may be charged as an administrative citation, misdemeanor or infraction, at the discretion of the city attorney, Roseville Municipal Code, Section 9.45.280. Failure to respond within 14 days of receipt of this notice will result in immediate action.

For your convenience, a copy of the application and Roseville Municipal Code Chapter 9.45 is enclosed.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact the Roseville Police Department Permits Coordinator, Erika Broussard at 916-746-1069.

Sincerely,



Erika Broussard
CSO/Permits Coordinator

Cc: Alcoholic Beverage Control
3927 Lennane Drive, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95834
Re: License #538787


SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	<p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p>
<p>1. Article Addressed to:</p> <p>Richard Ryan 108 Main St Roseville CA 95678</p>	<p>B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery Alexis Lewis</p>
<p>2. Article Addressed to:</p> <p>Richard Ryan 108 Main St Roseville CA 95678</p>	<p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>3. Service Type</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)</p>	<p>4. Article Number (Transfer from sender label) 7019 2280 0000 8234 9646</p>
<p>PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053</p>	<p>Domestic Return Receipt</p>

<p>USPS TRACKING #</p> <p>SACRAMENTO CA 957</p> <p>JAN 2025 PM 7 L</p> <p>9590 9402 9618 5121 7855 19</p>	<p>First-Class Mail Postage & Fees Paid USPS Permit No. G-10</p>
<p>United States Postal Service</p>	<p>• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box.</p> <p>ROSEVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT 1051 Junction Blvd. Roseville, CA 95678 PERMITS DEPARTMENT</p> <p><i>Open House</i></p>

USPS Tracking®

Tracking

Flags >



Get the free Inform Delivery® feature to receive automated notifications on your packages

Learn More

Tracking Number:

70192280000032349646

Remove X

Copy Add to Inform Delivery

Latest Update

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 11:24 am on January 7, 2026 in ROSEVILLE, CA 95678.



Delivered, Left with Individual

ROSEVILLE, CA 95678

January 7, 2026, 11:24 am

See All Tracking History

What Do USPS Tracking Sources Mean?

Get More Out of USPS Tracking:
 USPS Tracking Plus®

Text & Email Updates



USPS Tracking Plus®



Product Information





ROSEVILLE FIRE DEPARTMENT

316 Vernon Street, Suite 480
Roseville, CA 95678
916-774-6800

INSPECTION REPORT Results for 12/19/2025

Permit #: FP25-0986
Permit Type: Occupancy
Project Name: Opera House Saloon
Address: 411 LINCOLN ST
Inspector: Laura Bell

Inspection Type: Annual Inspection

Inspection Result: ***Failed***

Inspection Findings:

Thank you for your cooperation during today's inspection. The following items have been found to be in violation and shall be corrected immediately:

- Have the fire extinguishers serviced and tagged. They were last serviced in October 2024 and must be serviced at least annually.
 - Have the fryer kitchen suppression systems in both kitchens professionally serviced and tagged. These systems must be serviced at least every six months.
 - Secure the CO2 tank under the bar to prevent it from tipping over. Resolved-on-site.
 - Ensure that the lights in the attic and any extension cords are used only on a temporary basis. Do not leave the lights on, and ensure they are properly mounted and not resting on or near materials that could easily catch fire.
- *** Note: During the inspection, it was questioned whether the curtains and the soundproofing on the stage were flame treated or fire resistant. The building representative was unsure. The inspector will attempt to locate archived records indicating their flame resistance.

A re-inspection will occur in 14 days. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Laura Bell
Fire & Environmental Safety Inspector II
ROSEVILLE FIRE DEPARTMENT
316 Vernon Street, Suite 480
Roseville, CA 95678
916-774-5889

INSPECTOR: <i>Signature on file</i>	RECEIVED BY:
---	---------------------

CITATION WARNING

You are hereby notified to correct all violations immediately or show cause why you should not be required to do so. Failure to correct violations by the re-inspection date may result in issuance of a citation. Thank you for your assistance and cooperation in minimizing the fire and life loss in your community.

For questions, please contact the Roseville Fire Department at (916) 774-5800.



ROSEVILLE FIRE DEPARTMENT

316 Vernon Street, Suite 480
Roseville, CA 95678
916-774-5800

INSPECTION REPORT Results for 02/25/2026

Permit #: FP25-0986
Permit Type: Occupancy
Project Name: Opera House Saloon
Address: 411 LINCOLN ST
Inspector: Laura Bell

Inspection Type: Annual Inspection

Inspection Result: Passed

Inspection Findings:

Thank you for your cooperation during today's inspection. There were no violations observed at time of inspection.

Laura Bell
Fire & Environmental Safety Inspector II
ROSEVILLE FIRE DEPARTMENT
316 Vernon Street, Suite 480
Roseville, CA 95678
916-774-5889

INSPECTOR: <i>Signature on file</i>	RECEIVED BY:
---	-----------------------------

CITATION WARNING

You are hereby notified to correct all violations immediately or show cause why you should not be required to do so. Failure to correct violations by the re-inspection date may result in issuance of a citation. Thank you for your assistance and cooperation in minimizing the fire and life loss in your community.

For questions, please contact the Roseville Fire Department at (916) 774-5800.



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Complaint Number:	120 2026 000788
Related Complaints:	None
Special Investigator:	<i>Ruben Carranza</i>
Complainant:	BSIS
Respondent:	<i>Opera House Saloon</i>
License Number(s):	N/A
	Issue Date: N/A

SYNOPSIS OF COMPLAINT

On January 24 2026, Special Investigator Ruben Carranza (SI Carranza) and Special Investigator Samuel McCurley (SI McCurley) conducted compliance checks for security guards working at Opera House Saloon located at, Roseville, CA 95678. The purpose was to insure security guards working at the location where in compliance with the California Bureau of Security and Invesigative Services (BSIS) Laws and Regulations.

NARRATIVE

On January 24, 2026, SI Carranza, SI MCCurley, and Roseville Police Department, conducted a compliance check on security guards working at Opera House Saloon. SI Carranza approached the entrance and identified himself to the the security guard working the entrance. SI Carranza requested to see that any and all security guards working at the establishment come out to the front entrance with their Bureau-issued licenses. The security guard working the entrance informed SI Carranza that he was the only security guard working at the establishment according to his knowledge.

The security was identified as [REDACTED] was wearing a red shirt with the words "Security" across the back [REDACTED] provided SI Carranza a BSIS Guard Registration card with a registration number of G172645 (EXHIBIT A).

Note: Other employees wore a red shirt, but had the words "Staff" and informed SI Carranza they are not acting in the capacity of a security guard.

SI Carranza educated the security guard and the manager of the saloon on licensure requirements for security guards working for Opera House Saloon as their employer, and vacated the premises.

On February 3, 2026 SI Carranza, was assigned complaint #120 2026 000788

LICENSE INFORMATION/HISTORY

Bureau records for [REDACTED] shows a security guard license SG #172645 renewed on 05/31/2025 that expires 05/31/2027, unless renewed.

ENFORCEMENT HISTORY

None.

VIOLATIONS

None.

RECOMMENDATION

During my investigation, I conducted a field visit at Opera House Saloon located at, Roseville, CA 95678 and conducted compliance checks on the security that were working the location.

Based on my investigation, I recommend closing the complaint as CLSE -Closed Subjects Educated.

<u><i>Ruben Carranza</i></u>	<u>2/12/2026</u>
Special Investigator Signature	Date
<u><i>majdi abdel salam</i></u>	<u>02/06/2026</u>
Supervisor Signature	Date

EXHIBITS

A. Photos of Guard Card

EXHIBIT A



CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
CONSUMER
AFFAIRS

Bureau of Security and Investigative Services

P.O. Box 989002

West Sacramento, CA 95798-9002

(800) 952-5210


Email: bsis@dca.ca.gov



GUARD REGISTRATION

Must Hold Valid BSIS Firearms Permit to Work Armed

Registration No. **G1726425** Expiration **05/31/2027**





INVESTIGATION REPORT
 State of California
 Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
 SACRAMENTO
 2400 DEL PASO ROAD, SUITE 155
 SACRAMENTO, CA 95834
 (916) 419-1319



1. REPORT NUMBER 26-23-004	2. ASSIGNMENT NUMBER SAC-101581.14	3 PAGE 1 of 6
-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------

4. CODE SECTIONS INVESTIGATED (Section number and title)
 23804 B&P Violation of Petition for Conditional License.

5. DAY/DATE/TIME OF INCIDENT January 09, 2026, January 24 th , 2026	6. LOCATION OF INCIDENT 411 Lincoln St, Roseville, CA 95678
---	--

CODE: L – Licensee; E – Employee; V – Victim; W – Witness; S – Suspect; O – Other

7. CODE L-1	8. NAME (Last, first, middle) RYAN, Richard John	
----------------	---	--

10. HOME ADDRESS	11. OTHER ADDRESS
------------------	-------------------

12. RACE	13. SEX	14. BIRTHDATE	15. AGE	16. APPARENTAGE	17. HAIR	18. EYES	19. HEIGHT	20. WEIGHT
----------	---------	---------------	---------	-----------------	----------	----------	------------	------------

21. OPERATOR'S LICENSE NUMBER	22. CLOTHING DESCRIPTION
-------------------------------	--------------------------

23. MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION
 Conditions violation occurred on several occasions over a two (2) week period.

VEHICLE

24. LICENSE	25. STATE	26. YEAR	27. MAKE	28. MODEL	29. COLOR
-------------	-----------	----------	----------	-----------	-----------

30. REGISTERED OWNER	31. ADDRESS
----------------------	-------------

32. SUMMARY OF REPORT

On January 9, 2026, and January 24, 2026, Department Agents inspected the premises of the Opera House. During both visits, the Opera House was in violation of their operating conditions. The live entertainment being provided was audible beyond the area under the control of the license, and the licensee did not provide two uniformed security personnel, as required by their conditions.

ABC USE ONLY

LICENSEE NAME RICHARD JOHN RYAN	DBA OPERA HOUSE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER
PREMISES ADDRESS 411 LINCOLN ST ROSEVILLE, CA 95678	LICENSE NUMBER 538787	
INVESTIGATOR/ID Tentyuk, A #1350	OFFICE/UNIT SACRAMENTO	DATE 02/11/2026
SIGNATURE <i>A. Tentyuk #1350</i>	DATE 2/3/2026	SUPERVISOR/ID <i>T. Monelo #1174</i>
		ROUTING Admin Only



INVESTIGATION REPORT
 State of California
 Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
SACRAMENTO
 2400 DEL PASO ROAD, SUITE 155
 SACRAMENTO, CA 95834
 (916) 419-1319



1. REPORT NUMBER 26-23-004	2. ASSIGNMENT NUMBER SAC-10158114	3 PAGE 2 of 6
--------------------------------------	---	-------------------------

CODE: L – Licensee; E – Employee; V – Victim; W – Witness; S – Suspect; O – Other

7. CODE E-1	8. NAME (Last, first, middle) [REDACTED]	9. TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]
10. HOME ADDRESS [REDACTED]		11. OTHER ADDRESS [REDACTED]
12. RACE	13. SEX	14. BIRTHDATE
15. AGE	16. APPARENTAGE	17. HAIR
18. EYES	19. HEIGHT	20. WEIGHT
22. CLOTHING DESCRIPTION [REDACTED]		
23. MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION Security at the door.		

CODE: L – Licensee; E – Employee; V – Victim; W – Witness; S – Suspect; O – Other

7. CODE E-2	8. NAME (Last, first, middle) [REDACTED]	9. TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]
10. HOME ADDRESS [REDACTED]		11. OTHER ADDRESS [REDACTED]
12. RACE	13. SEX	14. BIRTHDATE
15. AGE	16. APPARENTAGE	17. HAIR
18. EYES	19. HEIGHT	20. WEIGHT
22. CLOTHING DESCRIPTION [REDACTED]		
23. MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION Manager on duty.		

CODE: L – Licensee; E – Employee; V – Victim; W – Witness; S – Suspect; O – Other

7. CODE O-1	8. NAME (Last, first, middle) Tentyuk, A. #1350	9. TELEPHONE NUMBER (916) 419-1319
10. HOME ADDRESS		11. OTHER ADDRESS 2400 Del Paso RD. Suite 155 Sacramento, CA 95834
12. RACE	13. SEX	14. BIRTHDATE
15. AGE	16. APPARENTAGE	17. HAIR
18. EYES	19. HEIGHT	20. WEIGHT
21. OPERATOR'S LICENSE NUMBER		22. CLOTHING DESCRIPTION
23. MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION Reporting Agent, Sacramento District.		



INVESTIGATION REPORT
 State of California
 Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
SACRAMENTO
 2400 DEL PASO ROAD, SUITE 155
 SACRAMENTO, CA 95834
 (916) 419-1319



1. REPORT NUMBER 26-23-004	2. ASSIGNMENT NUMBER SAC-10158114	3 PAGE 3 of 6
--------------------------------------	---	-------------------------

CODE: L – Licensee; E – Employee; V – Victim; W – Witness; S – Suspect; O – Other

7. CODE O-2	8. NAME (Last, first, middle) Monelo, T #1174	9. TELEPHONE NUMBER (916) 419-1319						
10. HOME ADDRESS		11. OTHER ADDRESS 2400 Del Paso RD. Suite 155 Sacramento, CA 95834						
12. RACE	13. SEX	14. BIRTHDATE	15. AGE	16. APPARENTAGE	17. HAIR	18. EYES	19. HEIGHT	20. WEIGHT
21. OPERATOR'S LICENSE NUMBER			22. CLOTHING DESCRIPTION					
23. MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION Supervising Agent, Sacramento District.								

CODE: L – Licensee; E – Employee; V – Victim; W – Witness; S – Suspect; O – Other

7. CODE O-3	8. NAME (Last, first, middle) Jimenez, C #1227	9. TELEPHONE NUMBER (916) 419-1319						
10. HOME ADDRESS		11. OTHER ADDRESS 2400 Del Paso RD. Suite 155 Sacramento, CA 95834						
12. RACE	13. SEX	14. BIRTHDATE	15. AGE	16. APPARENTAGE	17. HAIR	18. EYES	19. HEIGHT	20. WEIGHT
21. OPERATOR'S LICENSE NUMBER			22. CLOTHING DESCRIPTION					
23. MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION Assisting Agent, Sacramento District.								



INVESTIGATION REPORT
 State of California
 Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
SACRAMENTO
 2400 DEL PASO ROAD, SUITE 155
 SACRAMENTO, CA 95834
 (916) 419-1319



1. REPORT NUMBER	2. ASSIGNMENT NUMBER	3 PAGE
26-23-004	SAC-10158114	4 of 6

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Copy of the signed license conditions.
2. One (1) digital photograph of the person checking IDs, taken on 01/09/2026

BACKGROUND:

On May 11, 2011, the licensee signed the ABC-172 (petition for conditional license).

On May 16, 2011, the ABC-172 was received by Sacramento Alcoholic Beverage Control.

On February 12, 2014, the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control issued a conditional type 48, On-Sale General Public Premises, for RYAN, RICHARD JOHN. The premises is located at 411 Lincoln St, Roseville, CA. The premises is called Opera House

CIRCUMSTANCES/INVESTIGATIONS: Conditions

On January 9, 2026, Department Agents and I, Agent Tentyuk #1350, along with multiple Roseville PD Officers, and code enforcement, conducted a compliance sweep in the Downtown area of Roseville. The purpose of the operation was to inspect the entertainment businesses and ensure they were following the City of Roseville entertainment ordinance and the rules and regulations required of ABC licensees.

While preparing to inspect the Opera House, I heard loud, live entertainment (drums, guitar, singing) coming from the second story of the premises. It was clear and audible as the patio doors upstairs remained open. This is in violation of condition #10, which states on the conditional license, *"No noise shall be audible beyond the area under the control of the licensee."*

Once I went inside, I observed a male checking IDs for proper age at the door (see attachment #2). The male subject verbally identified himself as [REDACTED] but could not produce any identification card or proof of a Bureau of Security and Investigative Services guard card. [REDACTED] identity was later confirmed via CalPhoto, and his security guard card status was confirmed via bsis.ca.gov website [REDACTED] wore a red shirt with tan pants. [REDACTED] at behind a podium and scanned people's IDs as they entered. [REDACTED] had "Staff" written on the back of the shirt in small print. No other identifiable markings of security were seen on the shirt. [REDACTED] confirmed he was acting in the capacity of security for Opera House Saloon.

Agents inspected the upstairs area where the live band was playing/singing. I did not see any easily identifiable "Security" present as required per condition #9. Condition #9 on the conditional license states, *"Any time the premises is providing live entertainment, the petitioners shall provide no less than two (2) uniformed security guards, certified by the Department of Consumer Affairs, to maintain order therein and prevent any activity which would interfere with the quiet enjoyment of their property by nearby residents."*



INVESTIGATION REPORT
State of California
Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
SACRAMENTO
2400 DEL PASO ROAD, SUITE 155
SACRAMENTO, CA 95834
(916) 419-1319



1. REPORT NUMBER 26-23-004	2. ASSIGNMENT NUMBER SAC-10158114	3 PAGE 5 of 6
-------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------

Outside the premises, Agents spoke to a white female adult who identified herself as [REDACTED] (verified via CA driver's license). [REDACTED] advised she was the bar manager. [REDACTED] also confirmed neither of the licensees, Richard nor Rebecca were present. [REDACTED] advised that she had three security guards on duty; however, none of them, besides [REDACTED] were able to produce any proof of a guard card issued by BSIS.

Supervising Agent Monelo spoke to a man outside the premises who identified himself as the licensee's son. Monelo educated the licensee's son on the conditions that the Opera House had and how to get into compliance. The son said he will let his father, RYAN, know, as he is the licensee.

Supervising Agent Monelo spoke to the licensee on 01/13/2026. Monelo explained the reason for the department's visit and the issues that Alcoholic Beverage Control Agents found. Monelo explained to the licensee that his conditions required two uniformed security, certified by BSIS, whenever live entertainment was provided. Monelo also advised the licensee to keep the patio doors and windows closed to keep the noise down during live events.

On Saturday, January 24, 2026, Department agents, along with Roseville PD, once again conducted an inspection of different licensed premises in Downtown Roseville.

During the inspections of the Opera House, there was a live band playing music upstairs. I was unable to see any uniformed security present in the close vicinity of the live event. I looked at the entrance/exits, the front of the stage area, and the bar with negative results.

After the inspection was over, the inspecting team gathered outside, on the east side of Lincoln St. by the parking lot area. The distance from the parking lot area to the Opera House is approximately 98 feet (Google distance tool). As we stood outside, patrons of the Opera house would walk onto the patio and prompt the doors open. I could hear loud live music (guitars, drums, vocals/singing) playing from the Opera House as the doors remained open.

Both conditions #9 and #10 were violated once again, two weeks after the first inspection, and the education provided to the manager and the licensee.

END OF REPORT



INVESTIGATION REPORT
State of California
Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
SACRAMENTO
2400 DEL PASO ROAD, SUITE 155
SACRAMENTO, CA 95834
(916) 419-1319



1. REPORT NUMBER 26-23-004	2. ASSIGNMENT NUMBER SAC-10158114	3. PAGE 6 of 6
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

Attachment #2



One (1) digital photograph of the person checking IDs, taken on 01/09/2026

**BEFORE THE
DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF

RYAN, Douglas James
Db: McRae
411 Lincoln St
Roseville, CA 95678

} FILE 48-504795

}
} REG.

} PETITION FOR CONDITIONAL
} LICENSE
}

RECEIVED

MAY 16 2011

Dept. of Alcoholic Beverage Control
Sacramento

For Issuance of an On-Sale General Public Premises License
Under the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act

WHEREAS, petitioner(s) has/have filed an application for the issuance of the above-referred-to license(s) for the above-mentioned premises; and

WHEREAS, petitioner(s) intend to exercise privileges of the license in an exterior patio area; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed premises and/or parking lot, operated in conjunction therewith, are located within 100 feet of residence(s); and,

WHEREAS, issuance of the applied-for license without the below-described conditions would interfere with the quiet enjoyment of the property by nearby residents and constitute grounds for the denial of the application under the provisions of Rule 61.4, of Chapter 1, Title 4, of the California Code of Regulations; and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 23958 of the Business and Professions Code, the Department may deny an application for a license where issuance would result in or add to an undue concentration of licenses; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed premises is located in Census Tract #0210.03 where there presently exists an undue concentration of licenses as defined by Section 23958.4 of the Business and Professions Code; and,

WHEREAS, the petitioner(s) stipulate(s) that by reason of the aforementioned over-concentration, grounds exist for denial of the applied-for license; and,

WHEREAS, the issuance of an unrestricted license would be contrary to public welfare and morals; and,

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned petitioner(s) do/does hereby petition for a conditional license as follows, to-wit:

1. The licensee(s) or an employee of the licensee(s) will monitor the patio at all times that alcoholic beverages are being served or consumed, to ensure that the premises will operate in compliance with all applicable laws and/or conditions.
2. The use of any amplifying system or device is prohibited on the patio.
3. No pay phone will be maintained on the exterior of the premises.

RYAN, Douglas James
Db: McRae
48-504795

4. The petitioner(s) shall be responsible for maintaining free of litter the area adjacent to the premises over which they have control.
5. Entertainment provided shall not be audible beyond the area under the control of the licensee(s).
6. The sale of alcoholic beverages for consumption off the premises is strictly prohibited.
7. The petitioner(s) shall post and maintain in the patio a clearly prominent sign, not less than 7" X 11" in size reading:


NO PERSON UNDER 21 ALLOWED
8. Petitioner(s) shall not allow their patrons or the general public to loiter or congregate on the sidewalks or any property adjacent to their licensed premises.
9. Any time the premises is providing live entertainment, the petitioners shall provide no less than two (2) uniformed security guards, certified by the Department of Consumer Affairs, to maintain order therein and prevent any activity which would interfere with the quiet enjoyment of their property by nearby residents.
10. No noise shall be audible beyond the area under the control of the licensee.

This petition for conditional license is made pursuant to the provisions of Sections 23800 through 23805 of the Business and Professions Code and will be carried forward in any transfer at the applicant-premises.

Petitioner(s) agree(s) to retain a copy of this petition on the premises at all times and will be prepared to produce it immediately upon the request of any peace officer.

The petitioner(s) understand(s) that any violation of the foregoing condition(s) shall be grounds for the suspension or revocation of the license(s).

DATED THIS 11th DAY OF MAY, 2011.



Applicant/Petitioner

Applicant/Petitioner

RECEIVED

MAY 16 2011

Dept. of Alcoholic Beverage Control
Sacramento

02/11/

Request for Preparation and Filing of Accusation

TO: Legal Unit

DATE: February 13, 2026

DISTRICT OFFICE: SACRAMENTO
EXPEDITE: NO
Type & File: 48-538787

Licensee(s):
RICHARD JOHN RYAN
OPERA HOUSE
411 LINCOLN ST
ROSEVILLE, CA 95678

Mailing Address:
[REDACTED]

Licensee's Attorney/Representative of Record:
None
Licensed since: February 12, 2014

Prior Discipline:

Viol Date	Violation	Reg. Date	Reg. Number	Penalty

Authorized Pre-Hearing Settlement Offer: 15 day suspension with 5 days stayed for one year

162 POIC Formula (circle one whenever statutorily eligible) A

Division Approval Required: NO

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

Licensee was given warning both in person and on the phone, 2nd visit, location was still in violation.

SAC Signature: Michael Greens #1114 **Date:** 2/13/2026

Division Approval (if required): _____ **Date:** _____

ATTORNEY NOTES:



**City of Roseville
Police**
1051 Junction Blvd, Roseville CA 95678
CRIME / INCIDENT REPORT

CASE NUMBER:
2026-00004827
ASSOCIATED CASE NUMBER:

REPORTED DATE/TIME 1/24/2026 20:43	OCCURRED FROM DATE/TIME 01/24/2026 20:43	OCCURRED TO DATE/TIME 01/24/2026 20:43
--	--	--

OCCURRED INCIDENT TYPE MUNI CODE VIOLATION	LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE 411 LINCOLN ST ROSEVILLE, CA 95678
---	--

ROUTE TO

<input type="checkbox"/> Placer DA	<input type="checkbox"/> Sac DA	<input type="checkbox"/> Parole
<input type="checkbox"/> Placer Probation	<input type="checkbox"/> Sac Probation	<input type="checkbox"/> CPS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other CAO/ABC/BSIS		

ST# / TYS / DESCRIPTION	CLASS
01 9.45.030 RMC-I ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT REQUIRED - COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS	INFRACTION
02 9.45.060(B) RMC-I (ALL OTHER) RESPONSIBLE PERSON MUST BE PRESENT	INFRACTION
03 9.45.190(D)(9) RMC-I (ALL OTHER) ENT PERMIT SPECIAL COND	INFRACTION

SUBJECT TYPE VICTIM	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SURFIX) CITY OF ROSEVILLE,	JOB
RELATED OFFENSE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP) 311 VERNON ST ROSEVILLE, CA 95678	
SCHOOL EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS	EMAIL	
DL NUMBER / STATE	PRIMARY PHONE	PHONE #2
PHONE #3	RACE	SEX
HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR
EYE COLOR	AGE or AGE RANGE	
SCAR / MARK / TATTOO		
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION		

SUBJECT TYPE CITED	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SURFIX) RYAN, RICHARD JOHN SR	JOB
RELATED OFFENSE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)	
SCHOOL EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS	EMAIL	
PHONE #2	PHONE #3	
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE
WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE COLOR
AGE or AGE RANGE		
SCAR / MARK / TATTOO		
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION		

SYNOPSIS	Unlawful dissemination of this restricted information is prohibited. Released to: _____ Date/Badge: _____
An entertainment establishment compliance check was conducted at 411 Lincoln St (Opera House Saloon) and violations were noted.	

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ICR 3070 BROUSSARD	DATE / TIME 02/05/2026 08:46	APPROVED BY HAGERMAN, MICHAEL	DATE 02/05/2026
--	--	---	---------------------------



City of Roseville
Police
 1051 Junction Blvd, Roseville CA 95678
 CRIME / INCIDENT REPORT

CASE NUMBER:
2026-00004827
 ASSOCIATED CASE NUMBER:

ADDITIONAL SUBJECTS

SUBJECT TYPE MENTIONED		NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SURFID) OPERA HOUSE SALOON,				DOB
RELATED OFFENSE		ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP) 411 LINCOLN ST ROSEVILLE, CA 95678				
SCHOOL/EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS				EMAIL		
DL NUMBER / STATE	PRIMARY PHONE BUSINESS (916)970-9777		PHONE #2	PHONE #3		
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE COLOR	AGE or AGE RANGE
SCAR / MARK / TATTOO						
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION						
SUBJECT TYPE MENTIONED		[REDACTED]				DOB
RELATED OFFENSE		[REDACTED]				
SCHOOL/EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS				EMAIL		
[REDACTED]			PHONE #2	PHONE #3		
[REDACTED]						
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION						
SUBJECT TYPE MENTIONED		[REDACTED]				DOB
RELATED OFFENSE		[REDACTED]				
SCHOOL/EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS				EMAIL		
[REDACTED]			PHONE #2	PHONE #3		
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE COLOR	AGE or AGE RANGE
SCAR / MARK / TATTOO						
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION						
SUBJECT TYPE MENTIONED		[REDACTED]				DOB
RELATED OFFENSE		[REDACTED]				
SCHOOL/EMPLOYER NAME/ADDRESS				EMAIL		
[REDACTED]			PHONE #2	PHONE #3		
[REDACTED]						
CLOTHING DESCRIPTION						

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

PREPARED BY / RDP
3070 BROUSSARD

DATE / TIME
02/05/2026 08:46

APPROVED BY
HAGERMAN, MICHAEL 02/05/2026



City of Roseville
Police
 1051 Junction Blvd, Roseville CA 95678

CASE NUMBER:
2026-00004827
 ASSOCIATED CASE NUMBER:

NARRATIVE

REFERENCE:

(S/Cited) Richard Ryan

(V) City of Roseville

(M) Opera House Saloon

(M) [REDACTED] Security- Opera House Saloon (Security Guard BSIS #1726425)

(M) [REDACTED] Security- Opera House Saloon

(M) [REDACTED], Manager- Opera House Saloon

RELATED CASE(S):

Roseville Police Department 2026-00000549

Roseville Police Department 2026-00001847

BODY WORN CAMERA (BWC): (Sgt Hagerman, Det. M Campbell, Officer B Bissell)

Yes No If yes, this report is only a summary of the events that occurred. For more details please review the BWC footage keeping in mind the BWC has a limited field of view and audio may be low and/or muffled.

SUMMARY:

On 1/24/2026, I was assigned to the Roseville Police Department's Investigations Unit as a Community Service Officer. As part of my duties, I am also responsible for permitting entertainment establishments and conducting compliance checks. I have completed POST training and meet the requirements of Penal Code Section 872; certifying in-service officers to testify to hearsay evidence in preliminary hearings.

A compliance check was conducted at 411 Lincoln St (Opera House Saloon) with the assistance of Roseville Police Department personnel, Tim Monelo, Alcoholic Beverage Control Supervising Agent and his team members (Agent's Allen, Jimenez and Tentyuk), Bureau of Security & Investigative Services Special Investigators McCurley, Judge, Carranza and Black. Roseville Fire Department was also present, Neeley, Moreno and Schroeder. Violations were noted during the inspection and a Complaint Cite was issued.

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ID#

3070 BROUSSARD

DATE TIME

02/05/2026 08:46

APPROVED BY

HAGERMAN, MICHAEL

02/05/2026



City of Roseville
Police
 1051 Junction Blvd, Roseville CA 95678

CASE NUMBER:
2026-00004827
 ASSOCIATED CASE NUMBER:

NARRATIVE (continuation)

NARRATIVE:

On 1/24/2026, I conducted a check of the online portal of the City of Roseville (HDL) and I located a business license issued to Opera House Saloon (411 Lincoln St). I noted the license #00825098 indicated the license status was "Current and Active", issued to Richard Ryan and expires as of 12/31/2026.

I next conducted a check of the Roseville Police Department permit module and noted the Entertainment Permit issued to Opera House Saloon #2022-11-05 expired on 3/3/2024. I did not have any pending applications for renewal of the entertainment permit. The application for entertainment establishment permit previously submitted on 3/3/2022 indicated Richard Ryan was the business owner and Rebecca Ryan was the onsite responsible. I previously mailed a letter on 7/22/2024 regarding the expired status of the Entertainment Permit to the business owner, Richard Ryan at the Opera House Saloon (411 Lincoln St Roseville Ca 95678). No response to the letter was ever received. I also previously mailed the business owner, Ryan a certified letter on 12/30/2025 regarding the continued expired status of the Entertainment Permit to his mailing address of record on his business license (108 Main Street Roseville Ca 95678). I received a return receipt from USPS confirming receipt of the letter on 1/7/2026. At the time of the submission of this report, there has been no contact from Ryan.

Opera House Saloon's entertainment establishment permit has the following permit conditions: implementation of high definition cameras, all entrances/exits must be property illuminated, security must utilize a license Proprietary Private Security Officer that is registered with CA Bureau of Security and Investigative Service **Downtown Specific Ordinance 7.4(D)(11)(b) requires minimum of (2) security guards when levels are below 100 and when over 100 (1) guard per additional 50 people**, use of an approved identification scanner, establishment shall maintain compliance with all applicable codes/regulations at all times, no changes shall be made to the floor plan or operations without prior review and approval from Planning Division and comply with all conditions of ABC license.

Ryan has a valid Alcoholic Beverage Control license (#538787) valid thru 1/31/2027 for on sale general public premises.

Per Matt Walling, Fire & Environmental Inspection Supervisor of Roseville Fire Department, Opera House has approved occupancy of 279 inside (upper and lower level combined)/49 outside. I obtained a copy of the most recent inspection conducted by the Roseville Fire Department dated 12/19/2025. The inspection report indicated the annual inspection results to be "Failed". I attached a copy of the inspection to this report for reference.

On 1/24/2026 at approximately 2300 hours, Roseville Police Department personnel, Tim Monelo, Alcoholic Beverage Control Supervising Agent, his team members, BSIS team members and Roseville Fire Department members and I arrived at Opera House Saloon (411 Lincoln Street) to conduct an establishment inspection. Upon approaching the business, audible music could be heard

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ID# 3070 BROUSSARD	DATE TIME 02/05/2026 08:46	APPROVED BY HAGERMAN, MICHAEL 02/05/2026
--	--------------------------------------	--



NARRATIVE (continuation)

coming from the second story floor of the establishment. Upon entering the establishment, a white male adult was seated on the interior of the entrance at a podium. The male subject was identified via his California driver's license and he produced a copy of his guard registration issued by BSIS (#G1726425, valid thru 5/31/2027). [REDACTED] confirmed he was acting in the capacity of security for Opera House Saloon. [REDACTED] advised there were approximately 130 customers currently inside the establishment (capacity). [REDACTED] confirmed neither Richard or Rebecca were present.

A white female adult who identified herself as [REDACTED] approached me and advised she was the bar manager. I recognized [REDACTED] from a previous inspection. [REDACTED] also confirmed neither Richard or Rebecca were present. [REDACTED] advised she had 3 security officers on duty, including [REDACTED] was only able to locate one other individual who she said was acting in the capacity of a security guard and he was identified via his California drivers license as [REDACTED] BSIS confirmed [REDACTED] did not have a current/valid guard card issued by BSIS.

I noted there were several customers on the first floor listening to music, dancing and consuming alcoholic beverages. On the second floor, a band was playing on stage and there was a large crowd dancing and consuming alcoholic beverages.

Fire personnel issued [REDACTED] a verbal warning due to upstairs exit being obstructed.

The establishment is in violation of the following:

Entertainment Establishment Permit, RMC 9.45.030(a): It is unlawful for any person or premises, located within the City of Roseville, to provide or permit any entertainment that is open to the public without an entertainment permit issued pursuant to this chapter.

Entertainment Establishment Permit #2022-11-05 issued to Opera House Saloon (Richrd Ryan) expired on 3/3/2024.

Responsible Person, RMC 9.45.060(b): A responsible person must be present in the entertainment establishment during all hours that the entertainment establishment is open and offering entertainment.

Richard Ryan and Rebecca Ryan are the only documented responsible persons previously reported to the Roseville Police Department. Neither responsible was present during the inspection while the business was open and operating.

Special Conditions, RMC 9.45.190(d)(9): It is unlawful for a permittee or other responsible person to violate the terms or conditions of the permit.

Music could be heard coming from the establishment upon approaching the business and while standing across the street in a parking lot located at 400-426 Lincoln Street (public parking lot for Historic Old Town district). The business only had one security guard on duty who had a current and active guard card issued by BSIS.

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY / ID# 3070 BROUSSARD	DATE / TIME 02/05/2026 08:46	APPROVED BY HAGERMAN, MICHAEL 02/05/2026
--	--	--



City of Roseville
Police
1051 Junction Blvd, Roseville CA 95678

CASE NUMBER:
2026-00004827
ASSOCIATED CASE NUMBER:

NARRATIVE (continuation)

Based upon the violations, I issued Complaint Cite #21462 to Ryan.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Forward to City Attorney Office

Forward copy of report to Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) tim.monelo@abc.ca.gov and Bureau of Security & Investigative Services (BSIS) samuel.mccurley@dca.ca.gov

DUPLICATION OR REISSUANCE CONTROLLED BY LAW

REPORTED BY: JCM

3070 BROUSSARD

DATE - TIME

02/05/2026 08:46

APPROVED BY

HAGERMAN, MICHAEL

02/05/2026

EXHIBIT 7.4-B

Date	2/5/26	Time	0630 hr	Day of Week	Thur
------	--------	------	---------	-------------	------

Name (First, Middle, Last) Richard Ryan

Residence Address 108 Main St
Roseville CA 95678

Business Address Opera House Saloon
411 Lincoln St
Roseville CA

State	Class	Date of Birth
-------	-------	---------------

Description of Animal (If Applicable)

Breed: Color: Age: Sex:

Vehicle Make License #:

Model: Color: Year:

Location of Violation 411 Lincoln St

Date / Time of Violation 1/24/26 2300 hrs

Code / Ordinance Description of Violation

Rmc 9.45.030(a) Entertainment Permit

Rmc 9.45.060(b) Responsible Person

Rmc 9.45.190(d)(9) Special Conditions

VIOLATIONS NOT COMMITTED IN MY PRESENCE, CERTIFIED ON INFORMATION AND BELIEF.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

Date: 2/5/26 Issuing Officer: Badge No. E Broussard 3070

COMPLAINING PARTY

X Signature: *Emm Broussard*

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS CITATION.

X Signature: Complaint City

YOU DO NOT NEED TO DO ANYTHING AT THIS TIME.
YOU WILL RECEIVE NOTICE BY MAIL WITHIN 14 DAYS.
CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (916) 774-5325

EXHIBIT 7.4-C

Print This Page

View

[Edit](#)

[Report](#)

[Activity](#)

Administrative Citation Appeal – Request For Hearing 2026-02-28 06:32 AM(PST)

Completely fill out all required information prior to submitting. The City Attorney's office will contact you once a hearing date has been set.

Submissions received later than 30 days after the date of the citation notification letter will not be accepted pursuant to Roseville Municipal Code § 2.50.060.

***Name:** Richard Ryan

***Citation Number:** 21452 21462 22067

***Home Address:** 108 Main St

***City** Roseville

***Zip** 95678

Mailing Address

(if different from above):

108 Main St

***Phone Number:**

***Email:**

Statement of Appeal, Request for Dismissal, Compromise

I am formally appealing the administrative citations totaling \$1,200. I request that these citations be dismissed, consolidated or compromised.

1. Lack of Proper Service and Notice (RMC § 2.50.035).

2. Violation of Due Process & Equitable Estoppel

Each citation contained the printed instruction: "You do not need to do anything at this time. You will receive notice by mail within 14 days."

I strictly relied on this official city directive. By issuing subsequent citations (1/12 and 2/5) before the 14-day window for the first notice had even passed, the City violated the principle of Equitable Estoppel.

3. Arbitrary and Capricious Administrative Demands

Requiring a "100% from scratch" resubmission—including new Live Scans already in the City's possession—is an arbitrary and capricious use of authority. Refusing to use existing records and denying a meeting with a supervisor to discuss these onerous requirements constitutes a barrier to compliance rather than a regulatory necessity.

4. Documented "Good Cause" Delays (Agency Error)

The delay in renewal was due to the City's failure to issue the Fire Permit which was required prior to submitting the Entertainment Permit application, a circumstances beyond my control:

Technical Failure: The City's website consistently, and to this day, returned a "Page Not Found" error at the Business Service Permits portal, preventing online renewal. The primary delay for the 1/12 and 2/5 citations was caused by the Fire Department 'passed' certificate due curtain concerns. Curtain fire retardant certificates had been previously provide and relied on for annual certification for years. The Opera House has always maintained a "Good Faith Effort" to comply, but was stalled by a City failure to confirm previous certifications.

5. Reliance on Officer Instructions (Administrative Estoppel)

On 1/8/26, Officer Boussard explicitly instructed me to "not come in until everything was compiled." I followed this directive to ensure a complete application. To issue citations for "non-compliance" while I was following a city officer's specific timeline for compliance is a violation of Administrative Estoppel.

Request for Relief:

I request that the City Attorney consolidate these matters and attempt to resolve this matter without a hearing. To that end I request a pre-appeal scheduling settlement conference.

*Reason
for
Appeal:

By checking this box, I certify that I am the individual named on the citation and am appealing the administrative citation issued to me.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Submit

Cancel

EXHIBIT 7.4-D

MOTION TO DISMISS ADMINISTRATIVE CITATION TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING PANEL:

I, Richard Ryan, the Appellant, hereby move for the immediate dismissal of the administrative citation 21462 on the grounds of **facial insufficiency** and a **violation of Due Process** rights under the Roseville Municipal Code and the California Constitution.

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

The administrative citation mailed to me lists three sections of the Roseville Municipal Code (RMC), specifically Sections 9.45.030(a), 9.45.060(b), and 9.45.190(d)(9).

However, the field labeled "**Description of Violation**" contains no factual narrative, acts, or omissions. It is entirely blank.

II. MANDATORY STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS (RMC § 2.50.030)

The Roseville Municipal Code § 2.50.030(B) explicitly dictates what a citation "**shall**" contain. Under California law, the word "shall" is mandatory. Specifically:

- **RMC § 2.50.030(B)(3):** Every citation **shall** contain "The section of this code violated **and a description of the violation.**"

Because this citation provides only a code section without a factual description of the conduct, it fails a mandatory legal requirement for issuance.

III. DUE PROCESS AND THE "FOUR CORNERS" DOCTRINE

The California Constitution (Article I, § 7) and established case law require that a person be given **adequate notice** of the charges against them to prepare a meaningful defense.

- **Sallas v. Municipal Court 86 Cal. App. 3d 737 (1978):** The court held that a defendant is entitled to notice of the **specific conduct** alleged, **not just a citation of a statute.**

By providing a factually blank citation, the City has failed to "charge" any specific conduct. The City is limited to the **four corners of the citation** for the purpose of providing notice. The City cannot cure the citation defect at this hearing. To do so would constitute an "ambush" and a denial of my right to Due Process.

IV. CONCLUSION

The citation is **void on its face**. It fails to meet the mandatory requirements of **RMC § 2.50.030(B)(3)** and fails to satisfy the constitutional notice requirements established by the California Supreme Court.

I respectfully request that this panel uphold the law and DISMISS the citation in its entirety.

Richard Ryan

§ 9.45.030 Entertainment permit required—Compliance with other laws.

- A.** Unless exempt pursuant to Section **9.45.040**, it is unlawful for any person or premises, located within the City of Roseville, to provide or permit any entertainment that is open to the public without an entertainment permit issued pursuant to this chapter.
- B.** Neither the obtaining of an entertainment permit nor compliance with the operating standards provided in this chapter shall obviate the need for, or excuse any noncompliance with, the zoning code, building code, fire code or any other or additional permit requirement or standard made applicable to the entertainment or entertainment establishment under any other provision of this code or state or federal law.

(Ord. 5114 § 2, 2012; Ord. 5852 § 3, 2017)

§ 9.45.060 Hours of operation—Responsible person.

A. Except as otherwise provided herein, all entertainment establishments shall be closed and all patrons shall vacate the premises between 2:30 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. It is unlawful for any responsible person to fail to abide by the hours of closure.

B. A responsible person must be present in the entertainment establishment during all hours that the entertainment establishment is open and offering entertainment.

(Ord. 5114 § 2, 2012)

EXHIBIT 7.4-E



City Attorney
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, California 95678

CITATION APPEAL DECISION

Name of Appellant: Richard Ryan

Citation No.: 21462

FIRST VIOLATION

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 9.45.030(a), Entertainment Permit Required, Second Offense

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
 - That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
 - That the appellant is the responsible party.
- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION but waive the fine in the interest of justice.
- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the appellant failed to appear.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant failed to appear.
- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION at the request of the City/Complainant.

Name of Appellant: Richard Ryan

Citation Number: 21462

SECOND VIOLATION

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 9.45.060(b), Responsible Person, Second Offense

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.

- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
 - That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
 - That the appellant is the responsible party.

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION but waive the fine in the interest of justice.

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the appellant failed to appear.

- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant failed to appear.

- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION at the request of the City/Complainant.

Name of Appellant: Richard Ryan

Citation Number: 21462

THIRD VIOLATION

Roseville Municipal Code Section: 9.45.190(d)(9), Special Conditions, Second Offense

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) occurred and that the appellant is the responsible party.

- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence:
 - That the violation(s) occurred; and/or
 - That the appellant is the responsible party.

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION but waive the fine in the interest of justice.

- DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE VIOLATION because the appellant failed to appear.

- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION because the City/Complainant failed to appear.

- GRANT THE APPEAL AND DISMISS THE VIOLATION at the request of the City/Complainant.

Dated: May 28, 2026

By:



Charles Sandoval
Hearing Panel Chairperson

Notice to Appellant: Under California Government Code Section 53069.4, any appeal of today's Hearing Panel decision must be filed with Placer County Superior Court within twenty (20) days of today's date.